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#### Abstract

We show that a square zero, degree one element in $W(V)$, the Weyl algebra on a vector space $V$ is equivalent to providing $V$ with the structure of an algebra over the properad Cobar(coFrob).


## 1. Introduction

We fix a ground field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic zero. Let $V$ be a graded vector space over $\mathbf{k}$, let $S^{k} V$ be the $k$-th symmetric product of $V$, let $S V=\oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} S^{k} V$ be the symmetric algebra of $V$, and let $\widehat{S V}$ denote the completion of $S V$. There exists a star product,

$$
\star: \operatorname{Hom}(S V, \widehat{S V})[[\hbar]] \otimes_{k[[\hbar]]} \operatorname{Hom}(S V, \widehat{S V})[[\hbar]] \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(S V, \widehat{S V})[[\hbar]]
$$

which is an associative, noncommutative, degree zero map of $k[[\hbar]]$ modules. We call $\operatorname{Hom}(S V, \widehat{S V})[[\hbar]]$ together with this star product the Weyl algebra of $V$ and denote it by $W(V)$. The star product is determined by its action on $f, g \in \operatorname{Hom}(S V, \widehat{S V})$ and decomposes in powers of $\hbar$ by

$$
f \star g=f \circ_{1} g+\hbar f \circ_{1} g+\hbar^{2} f \circ_{2} g+\cdots
$$

We are interested in degree negative one elements $H \in W(V)$ satisfying $H \star H=0$. Any element $H \in W(V)$ in the Weyl algebra comprises a collection of operators $\left(\sigma_{(g)}\right)_{i}^{j}: S^{i} V \rightarrow$ $S^{j} V, g, i, j \geq 0$ : decompose $H$ into pieces $H=\sigma_{(0)}+\hbar \sigma_{(1)}+\hbar^{2} \sigma_{(2)}+\cdots$ where each $\sigma_{(g)}: S V \rightarrow \widehat{S V}$ and decompose each map $\sigma_{(g)}$ into operators $\left(\sigma_{(g)}\right)_{i}^{j}: S^{i} V \rightarrow S^{j} V$. The condition that $H \star H=0$ summarizes an infinite collection of relations among the maps $\left(\sigma_{(g)}\right)_{i}^{j}$.

In this paper, we prove that a degree one element $H \in W(V)$ satisfying $H \star H=0$ is the same as affording the vector space $V$ with the structure of an algebra over the properad Cobar(coFrob). We make a technical assumption on $H$ that $\left(\sigma_{(g)}\right)_{i}^{j}=0$ if either $i$ or $j$ or both are zero in order to avoid certain problems which arise in the theory of properads.

Roughly speaking, a properad [5] is a construct that models composable operations to and from the tensor powers of a vector space. In the same way that operads govern algebras with many-to-one operations, properads govern algebras with many-to-many operations, such as Lie bi-algebras, and are built to accomodate the "higher genus" phenomena which may arise from multiple compositions, such as the involutive relation possessed by certain biLie algebras, or the Frobenius compatibility in Frobenius algebras. The homology of an algebra over Cobar(coFrob) is a (commutative) Lie bialgebra satisfying the involutive relation, but at present, is not known whether the Cobar(coFrob) properad is a resolution of the involutive Lie bialgebra properad.

It is no surprise that degree one, square zero elements of the Weyl algebra make up the data of an algebra over a properad. The work about which we are reporting consists mostly of identifying the properad precisely, and working through the signs and combinatorial factors. A motivation for the work is that elements of square zero in the Weyl algebra appear in a number of settings-they figure prominently in a mathematical interpretation of quantum field theory that grew from the BV-quantization scheme [3]; and the deep compactification, gluing, and analysis theorems and conjectures in symplectic geometry can be summarized as a square zero, degree one element $H$ in the Weyl algebra of a vector space defined by the Reeb orbits of a contact manifold [2]. The involutive Lie bialgebra in homology is known to contact geometers [1], see also [4].

## 2. REVIEW OF SYMMETRIC AND TENSOR ALGEbRAS

Much of the paper concerns signs and combinatorial factors, so we take pains to fix notation and detail certain basic constructions in the symmetric and tensor algebra. For any element $v$ in the graded vector space $V$, let $|v|$ denote the degree of $v$. Let $T^{n} V$ and $T V$ denote the corresponding tensor power and tensor algebra of $V$. The tensor product is denoted by $\otimes$ and the symmetric product by $\odot$. The element $v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k} \in T^{k} V$ will be denoted by $\bar{v}$.

If $\sigma$ is in $\mathbb{S}^{k}$, the symmetric group on $k$ letters, then let $\epsilon(\sigma, \bar{v})$ be the Koszul sign, i.e. the sign of the permutation induced by $\sigma$ on the odd entries of $\bar{v}$. Then there is a left $\mathbb{S}^{k}$-action on $T^{k} V$ defined as the linear extension of $\sigma\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k}\right)=\epsilon(\sigma, \bar{v}) v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}$. The image of $\bar{v}$ under the action of $\sigma$ will be denoted $\sigma \bar{v}$.

The sign $\epsilon(\phi, \bar{v})$ where $\phi$ is the permutation which reverses the order of $\bar{v}$ appears occasionally; denote it by $\|\bar{v}\|$. This sign depends only on the number of odd entries of $\bar{v}$; if this number is 0 or $1 \bmod 4$, the sign is positive; if it is 2 or 3 the sign is negative. It also satisfies $(\|\bar{u}\|)(\|\bar{v}\|)(\|\bar{u} \otimes \bar{v}\|)=(-1)^{|\bar{u} \| \bar{v}|}$.

A $k, \ell$ shuffle $\sigma$ is an element of $\mathbb{S}_{k}$ such that $\sigma(1)<\cdots<\sigma(\ell)$ and $\sigma(\ell+1)<\cdots<\sigma(k)$. Let the set of shuffles be $\mathbb{S}_{k, \ell}$. An unshuffle is an element of $\mathbb{S}_{k, \ell}^{-1}$. If $\tau$ is an unshuffle, then $\bar{v}_{\ell}$ and $\bar{v}_{k-\ell}$ should be taken to mean the first $\ell$ and the last $k-\ell$ factors of $\tau(\bar{v})$, respectively. The suppression of $\tau$ should not cause much confusion. Any permutation in $\mathbb{S}_{k}$ can be factored uniquely as the composition of a $k, \ell$ shuffle with a permutation from $\mathbb{S}_{\ell} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{k-\ell}$ and uniquely as the composition of a permutation from $\mathbb{S}_{\ell} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{k-\ell}$ with a $k, \ell$ unshuffle.

The space $S^{k} V$ can be obtained as the quotient of $T^{k} V$ by the subspace spanned by $v-\sigma v$, where $v$ ranges over $T^{k} V$ and $\sigma$ ranges over $\mathbb{S}_{k}$. Let the symmetric class of an element $v$ of $T^{k} V$ be denoted $[v]$; let $s^{k}: T^{k} V \rightarrow S^{k} V$ denote the projection $v \mapsto[v]$. Also, in characteristic zero, $S^{k} V$ can be embedded in $T^{k} V$ via the symmetrization map $\iota^{k}: S^{k} V \rightarrow T^{k} V$ defined by

$$
\iota^{k}[v]=\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{k}} \sigma v
$$

The superscript $k$ in $\iota^{k}$ and $s^{k}$ will usually be suppressed. Observe that $s \iota[v]=\frac{1}{k!} \sum[\sigma v]=[v]$ and $\iota s(v)=\frac{1}{k!} \sum \sigma v$. If $v \in T^{k} V$ satisfies $\sigma v=v$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{k}$, then $\iota s v=v$. The following
lemmas concern the effect of symmetrization part of a vector in the tensor algebra. The first asserts that the outcome of symmetrizing part of a vector followed by symmetrizing the entire vector is the same as simply symmetrizing the entire vector. It is straightforward to check and we omit the proof. The second asserts that $\binom{k}{\ell}\left(s^{\ell} \otimes s^{k-\ell}\right) \iota: S^{k} V \rightarrow S^{\ell} V \otimes S^{k-\ell} V$ approximates a sum over unshuffles $\mathbb{S}_{k, \ell}^{-1}$, and $\binom{k}{\ell} s\left(\iota^{\ell} \otimes \iota^{k-\ell}\right): S^{\ell} V \otimes S^{k-\ell} V \rightarrow S^{k} V$ approximates a sum over shuffles $\mathbb{S}_{k, \ell}$. It is also straightforward to check but we include the proof since it explains the combinatorial factors.

Lemma 2.1. If $\ell \leq k, s^{k}\left(\mathrm{id}^{k-\ell} \otimes\left(\iota s^{\ell}\right)\right)=s^{k}$
Definition 2.1. Let $\mu^{k, \ell}, \nu_{k, \ell}: T^{k} V \rightarrow T^{k} V$ be given by $v \mapsto \sum \sigma v$, where the sum is taken over unshuffles $\mathbb{S}_{k, \ell}^{-1}$ for $\mu$ and over shuffles $\mathbb{S}_{k, \ell}$ for $\nu$.

Lemma 2.2. The following diagrams commute:


Proof. Following the first diagram along the top and left gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{k}{\ell}(s \otimes s) \iota s(\bar{v}) & =\frac{1}{\ell!(k-\ell)!}(s \otimes s) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{k}} \sigma \bar{v} \\
& =\frac{1}{\ell!(k-\ell)!}(s \otimes s) \sum_{\tau_{1} \in \mathbb{S}_{\ell}} \sum_{\tau_{2} \in \mathbb{S}_{k-\ell}} \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{S}_{k, \ell}^{-1}}\left(\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}\right) \rho \bar{v} \\
& =\sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{S}_{k, \ell}^{-1}}\left[\bar{v}_{\ell}\right] \otimes\left[\bar{v}_{k-\ell}\right] \\
& =(s \otimes s)\left(\mu^{k, \ell} \bar{v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for the second diagram, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{k}{\ell} \iota s(\iota \otimes \iota)([\bar{u}] \otimes[\bar{v}]) & =\frac{1}{\ell!(k-\ell)!} \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{S}_{k, \ell}} \sum_{\tau_{1} \in \mathbb{S}_{\ell}} \sum_{\tau_{2} \in \mathbb{S}_{k-\ell}} \rho\left(\left(\tau_{1} \iota[\bar{u}]\right) \otimes\left(\tau_{2} \iota[\bar{v}]\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{S}_{k, \ell}} \rho(\iota \otimes \iota)([\bar{u}] \otimes[\bar{v}]) \\
& =\nu_{k, \ell}(\iota \otimes \iota)([\bar{u}] \otimes[\bar{v}]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The maps $\mu^{k, \ell}$ and $\nu_{k, \ell}$ are defined in the tensor algebra, but by abuse of notation, we use $\mu^{k, \ell}$ and $\nu_{k, \ell}$ to refer to the compositions $\binom{k}{\ell}(s \otimes s) \iota$ and $\binom{k}{l} s(\iota \otimes \iota)$ defined in the symmetric algebra as well.

Definition 2.2. For $i, j, k, m, n \geq 0$, there is a partial gluing map $\circ_{k}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{m} V, T^{n} V\right) \otimes$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{i} V, T^{j} V\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{m+i-k} V, T^{n+j-k} V\right)$ given by $\varphi \circ_{k} \psi=\left(\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes j-k}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{id}^{\otimes m-k} \otimes \psi\right)$. There is also an induced partial composition map, by abuse of notation also denoted $\circ_{k}$ : $\operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{m} V, S^{n} V\right) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{i} V, S^{j} V\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{m+i-k} V, S^{n+j-k} V\right)$ defined by

$$
g \circ_{k} f=\binom{m+i-k}{i}\binom{j}{k} s\left((\iota g s) \circ_{k}(\iota f s)\right) \iota
$$

Remark 2.1. By convention, $\mathrm{id}^{\otimes \ell}=0$ when $\ell<0$, so that the partial gluing map $o_{k}$ is zero when $k>m$ or $k>j$.

Remark 2.2. Note that the definition of $g \circ_{k} f$ for maps $g: S^{m} V \rightarrow S^{n} V$ and $f: S^{i} V \rightarrow S^{j} V$ extends to all of $\operatorname{Hom}(S V, \widehat{S V})$ since there are only finitely many contributions to $\circ_{k}$.

Given maps between symmetric products of $V$, one can precompose with $s$ and postcompose with $\iota$ to obtain maps between tensor products of $V$. The following proposition indicates the combinatorial factor introduced when comparing the result of the partial gluing prior to passing from symmetric to tensor (the left hand side) and the partial gluing after passing from symmetric to tensor (the right hand side).

Proposition 2.1. Let $f: S^{i} V \rightarrow S^{j} V$ and $g: S^{m} V \rightarrow S^{n} V$. Then

$$
\frac{(j+n-k)!k!}{n!j!} \iota\left(g \circ_{k} f\right) s=\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{i+m-k, i}^{-1} \\ \tau \in \mathbb{S}_{j+n-k, j-k}}} \tau\left((s g \iota) \circ_{k}(s f \iota)\right) \sigma
$$

Proof. The proof is a commutative diagram. The composition along the righthand side of the diagram below computes

$$
\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{i+m-k, i}^{-1} \\ \tau \in \mathbb{S}_{j+n-k, j-k}}} \tau\left((s g \iota) \circ_{k}(s f \iota)\right) \sigma,
$$

the righthand side of the equality in the proposition. It will be shown that the composition along the top, lefthand side, and bottom of the diagram computes the lefthand side of the equality in
the proposition.


First, we check commutativity. The square and triangle near the middle of the diagram commute by Lemma 2.1. The rectangles at the top and bottom commute by the construction of the shuffle and unshuffle maps. Everything else commutes trivially. In order to see that the composition along the lefthand side computes $\frac{(j+n-k)!k!}{n!j!} f \circ_{k} g$, consider the following commutative diagram:


The left side of this diagram is $g \circ_{k} f$ and the right hand side is the left hand side of the previous diagram. Here everything commutes trivially except the triangle, which commutes due to

Lemma 2.1. Since

$$
\binom{i+m-k}{i}\binom{j+n-k}{n}=\frac{(j+n-k)!k!}{j!n!}\binom{i+m-k}{i} \frac{j!}{(j-k)!k!}
$$

this computes the left hand side of the equation in the proposition, completing the proof.

## 3. The Weyl algebra

In this section we define the Weyl algebra of a vector space $V$ over a field $\mathbf{k}$. The coordinate free definition that we give will be a $\mathbf{k}[\hbar \hbar]]$ algebra on $\operatorname{Hom}(S V, \widehat{S V})[[\hbar]]$.

Definition 3.1. We define the Weyl algebra of $V$ to be the $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$ algebra $(W(V), \star)$ where

$$
W(V)=\operatorname{Hom}(S V, \widehat{S V})[[\hbar]]
$$

and

$$
\star: W(V) \otimes_{k[[\hbar]]} W(V) \rightarrow W(V)
$$

is defined for $f, g \in \operatorname{Hom}(S V, \widehat{S V})$ by

$$
g \star f=g \circ_{0} f+\left(g \circ_{1} f\right) \hbar+\left(g \circ_{2} f\right) \hbar^{2}+\cdots
$$

One frequently encounters this $\star$ product for a finite dimensional vector space $V$ and "in coordinates." Traditionally, elements of $V$ are denoted by $q$ 's and elements of its dual space $V^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}(V, \mathbf{k})$ are denoted by $p$ 's (position and momentum). If $\left\{q_{\ell}\right\}$ is a homogeneous basis for $V$ with dual basis $\left\{p^{\ell}\right\}$ of $V^{*}$, elements of $\operatorname{Hom}(S V, \widehat{S V})$ are power series in the $p^{\ell}$ 's and the $q{ }_{\ell}$ 's. Maps $f: S^{i} V \rightarrow S^{j} V$ and $g: S^{m} V \rightarrow S^{n} V$ are expressed in a standard form with all the $p$ 's on the right

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum f_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}} q_{\bar{j}} p^{\bar{i}} \text { and } g=\sum g_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} q_{\bar{n}} p^{\bar{m}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\bar{j}, \bar{i}, \bar{m}$, and $\bar{n}$ are multi-indices, and we will use the same notational conventions that we do for tensor products: $\bar{j}_{k}$ and $\bar{j}_{j-k}$ denote the multi-indices consisting of the first $k$ indices of $\bar{j}$ and the last $j-k$ indices of $\bar{j}$ respectively, and $\phi(\bar{j})$ will be the reverse of $\bar{j}$. We distinguish vectors in the tensor algebra from the symmetric algebra by using a tensor symbol in the subscript: if, for example, $\bar{j}=(5,2,8)$, then $q_{\bar{j}}=q_{5} \odot q_{2} \odot q_{8} \in S V$ and $q_{\otimes \bar{j}}=q_{5} \otimes q_{2} \otimes q_{8} \in T V$. The symbol $\delta_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}}$ is zero unless $\bar{i}=\bar{j}$, in which case $\delta_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}}=1$.

The function $f$ in Equation (1) for instance maps $q_{\bar{k}}=\left[q_{\otimes \bar{k}}\right]$ to

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{k}} \epsilon(\sigma, \bar{k}) \delta_{\sigma \bar{k}}^{\phi \bar{i}} f_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}}\left[q_{\otimes \bar{j}}\right]
$$

Note that the $p$ 's act in "reverse" order, which gives the standard signs when translated to a tensor algebra context for a graded vector space. In other words,

$$
f=i!f_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}}\left[q_{\otimes \bar{j}}\right] p^{\otimes \bar{i}} \iota
$$

Lemma 3.1. The product $g \star f$ is the free product on the formal power series in the variables $\left\{q_{\ell}, p^{\ell}\right\}$ subject to the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[p^{\ell}, q_{\ell^{\prime}}\right]:=p^{\ell} q_{\ell^{\prime}}-(-1)^{\mid p^{\ell} \|}\left|q_{\ell^{\prime}}\right|} \\
q_{\ell^{\prime}} p^{\ell}=\hbar \delta_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\ell} \\
{\left[p^{\ell}, p^{\ell^{\prime}}\right]=\left[q_{\ell}, q_{\ell^{\prime}}\right]=0 .}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. We want to show that the $\hbar^{k}$ term of $g f$ using the above commutation relations is $g \circ_{k} f$. Using the relations to put the result of $g f$ back in standard form with $p$ 's on the right is a process that involves commuting all the $p^{m}$ 's in $g$ with the $q_{j}$ 's in $f$. As $p^{m}$ is moved to the right, each occurence of $p^{m} q_{j}$ is replaced by the two terms $q_{j} p^{m}$ and $\hbar \delta_{j}^{m}$. We need to show that the signs and combinatorial factors are correct.
Moving a variable $p^{m}$ to the right as far as possible involves the sum of moving it past all the $q_{j}$ with replacing it with $\hbar \delta_{j}^{m}$ as it passes each $q_{j}$. This process induces a recursive sequence of choices, for each $p^{m}$, of moving all the way to the end or replacing with an $\hbar \delta_{j}^{m}$ on one of the remaining $q_{j}$. A term with an $\hbar^{k}$ coefficient will come from the choice of $|\bar{m}|-k$ of the $p^{m}$ to move all the way to the end, with the remaining $k$ of the $p^{m}$ interacting with some $q_{j}$. This further involves the choice of $k$ of the $q_{j}$ and a permutation of $\mathbb{S}_{k}$ to govern which of the $k p^{m}$ interacts with which of the $k$ chosen $q_{j}$. Then the $\hbar^{k}$ term of the product $g f$, put in standard form, is the following sum over $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{m, m-k}^{-1}, \tau \in \mathbb{S}_{j, k}^{-1}$, and $\rho \in \mathbb{S}_{k}$

$$
\sum \epsilon \delta_{\overline{\rho_{k}} k}^{\bar{m}_{k}} q_{\bar{n}} q_{\bar{j}_{j-k}} k^{\bar{m}_{m-k}} p^{\bar{i}}
$$

The signs $\epsilon$ will be reconciled at the end of the argument.
Let us evaluate the above expression on $\left[q_{\otimes \bar{v}}\right] \in S^{m-k+i}$. First, $q_{\otimes \bar{v}}$ is symmetrized, and then $p^{\otimes \bar{m}_{m-k}}$ is evaluated on the first $m-k$ factors of each summand of the symmetrization while $p^{\otimes \bar{i}}$ is evaluated on the following $i$ factors of each summand. Using the unique representation of a permutation in $\mathbb{S}_{m-k+i}$ as the composition of an element of $\mathbb{S}_{m-k} \times \mathbb{S}_{i}$ with an $(m-k+i, m-k)$ unshuffle, $g f\left(\left[q_{\otimes \bar{u}}\right]\right)$ is the following sum over $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_{m-k+i, m-k}^{-1}, \eta \in \mathbb{S}_{m-k}, \theta \in \mathbb{S}_{i}$, and $\sigma, \tau, \rho$ as before:

$$
\sum \epsilon \delta_{\rho \bar{j}_{k}}^{\bar{m}_{k}} \delta_{\eta \bar{m}_{m-k}}^{\bar{m}_{m-k}} \delta_{\theta \overline{v_{i}}}^{\bar{i}}\left[q_{\otimes \bar{n}} \otimes q_{\otimes \bar{j}_{j-k}}\right]
$$

Now, let us evaluate $g \circ_{k} f$ applied to the same element $\left[q_{\otimes \bar{v}}\right]$. By definition,

$$
g \circ_{k} f=\binom{m+i-k}{i}\binom{j}{k} m!i!s\left(\iota s q_{\otimes \bar{n}} p^{\otimes \bar{m}} \iota s\right) \circ_{k}\left(\iota s q_{\otimes \bar{j}} p^{\otimes \bar{i}} \iota s\right) \iota
$$

To apply this to $\left[q_{\otimes \bar{v}}\right]$, we begin by symmetrizing $q_{\otimes \bar{v}}$. Again, it is more convenient to view this as an unshuffle followed by a product of permutations from $\mathbb{S}_{m-k}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{i}$. So we write

$$
\iota\left[q_{\otimes \bar{v}}\right]=\frac{1}{(m-k+i)!} \sum q_{\otimes \eta \bar{v}_{m-k}} \otimes q_{\otimes \theta \bar{v}_{i}}
$$

Next the second factor is resymmetrized, which has no effect since it is already symmetric, and then $\iota s q_{\otimes \bar{j}} p^{\otimes \bar{i}}$ is applied to it, yielding

$$
\frac{m!i!}{(m-k+i)!} \sum \epsilon \delta_{\theta \bar{v}_{i}}^{\bar{i}} q_{\otimes \eta \bar{v}_{m-k}} \otimes \iota s q_{\otimes \bar{j}}
$$

We view each summand permutation in the symmetrization of $\bar{j}$, again, as the composition of product permutations with a $(j, k)$-unshuffle. We will sum over $\rho \in \mathbb{S}_{k}$ and $(j, k)$-unshuffles $\tau$, but incorporate the $\mathbb{S}_{j-k}$ permutations with $\iota$ and $s$. So this is

$$
\frac{(j-k)!}{j!(m-k+i)!} \sum \epsilon \delta_{\theta \bar{v}_{i}}^{\bar{i}} q_{\otimes \eta \bar{v}_{m-k}} \otimes q_{\otimes \rho \bar{j}_{k}} \otimes \iota s q_{\otimes \bar{j}_{j-k}}
$$

Applying $\iota s$ to symmetrize the first two factors corresponds to first symmetrizing each one individually and then shuffling them with an $(m, m-k)$-shuffle $\sigma^{-1}$. Since they are both already symmetric, this gives

$$
\frac{(j-k)!(m-k)!k!}{m!j!(m-k+i)!} \sum \epsilon \delta_{\theta \bar{v}_{i}}^{\bar{i}} \sigma^{-1}\left(q_{\otimes \eta \bar{v}_{m-k}} \otimes q_{\otimes \rho \bar{j}_{k}}\right) \otimes \iota s q_{\otimes \bar{j}_{j-k}}
$$

Applying $\iota s q_{\otimes \bar{n}} p^{\otimes \bar{m}}$ to the first factor gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(j-k)!(m-k)!k!}{m!j!(m-k+i)!} \sum \epsilon \delta_{\theta \bar{v}_{i}}^{\bar{i}} \delta_{\sigma^{-1} \eta \bar{v}_{m-k} \otimes \rho \bar{j}_{k}}^{\bar{m}_{k}} \iota s q_{\otimes \bar{n}} \otimes \iota s q_{\otimes \bar{j}_{j-k}} \\
&=\frac{(j-k)!(m-k)!k!}{m!j!(m-k+i)!} \sum \epsilon \delta_{\theta \bar{v}_{i}}^{\bar{i}} \delta_{\eta \bar{v}_{m-k}}^{\bar{m}_{m-k}} \delta_{\rho \bar{j}_{k}}^{\bar{m}_{k}} \iota s q_{\otimes \bar{n}} \otimes \iota s q_{\otimes \bar{j}_{j-k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, symmetrizing this whole expression means that we can ignore the symmetrizations on $\bar{n}$ and $\bar{j}_{j-k}$. Including the combinatorial factor $\binom{m+i-k}{i}\binom{j}{k} m!i!$, we obtain

$$
\sum \epsilon \delta_{\theta \bar{v}_{i}}^{\bar{i}} \delta_{\eta \bar{\eta}_{m-k}}^{\bar{m}_{m-k}} \delta_{\rho \bar{j}_{k}}^{\bar{m}_{k}}\left[q_{\otimes \bar{n} \otimes \bar{j}_{j-k}}\right]
$$

just as before.
Finally, we check equality of the signs. $\epsilon(\pi, \bar{v}), \epsilon\left(\eta, \bar{v}_{m-k}\right), \epsilon\left(\theta, \bar{v}_{i}\right), \epsilon(\tau, \bar{j}), \epsilon\left(\rho, \bar{j}_{k}\right)$, and the sign $\epsilon(\sigma, \bar{m})$ are all on both the right and left-hand side. On the left side, there are also the signs $(-1)^{\left|\overline{\bar{j}}_{j-k}\right|\left|\bar{m}_{m-k}\right|},\left\|\bar{m}_{k}\right\|$, and $\|\bar{v}\|$, the first from commuting the noninteracting $q_{j}$ and $p^{m}$ past one another and the second and third the induced sign of applying a tensor product of $p$ 's to a tensor product of $q$ 's. These are not literally the correct signs, but they coincide whenever the corresponding $\delta$ functions are nonzero. On the right, there are the signs $\|\bar{i}\|$ and $\|\bar{m}\|$ for the same reason, along with the sign $(-1)^{|f|\left|\bar{v}_{m-k}\right|}$ from applying $f$ to the tensor factors on the right. Expanding either side with the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\bar{m}_{m-k}\right\|\|\bar{m}\|\left\|\bar{m}_{k}\right\|=(-1)^{\left|\bar{m}_{k} \| \bar{m}_{m-k}\right|} \\
&\left\|\bar{v}_{i}\right\| \|
\end{aligned}\|\bar{v}\|\left\|\bar{v}_{m-k}\right\|=(-1)^{\left|\bar{v}_{i} \| \bar{v}_{m-k}\right|}
$$

along with noting that $\left|\bar{m}_{k}\right|=\left|\bar{j}_{k}\right|,\left|\bar{m}_{m-k}\right|=\left|\bar{v}_{m-k}\right|$, and $\left|\bar{v}_{i}\right|=|\bar{i}|$ when the corresponding $\delta$ functions are nonzero yields the equality of the two signs.

Remark 3.1. The significance of the $p-q$ description of the Weyl algebra is that the symplectic nature of the situation is illuminated: $\operatorname{Hom}(S V, \widehat{S V})$ can be viewed as (a completion of) the polynomial functions on the symplectic vector space $V \oplus V^{*}$. As usual, the set of such functions forms a Poisson algebra. In the notation of this paper, $f \circ_{0} g$ defines a graded commutative associative product and the Poisson bracket $\{f, g\}$ has the expression $\{f, g\}=$
$f \circ_{1} g-(-1)^{|f||g|} g \circ_{1} f$. The star product corresponds to a deformation quantization of this Poisson algebra. We have the expected relations; e.g., $\{f, g\}=\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \frac{f \star g-(-1)^{|f||g|} g \star f}{\hbar}$.

## 4. The Frobenius Coproperad

The main reference for this section is [5]. A properad is, roughly, an algebraic structure that models composable operations to and from the tensor powers of a vector space. In the same way that operads govern gebras with only many to one operations, properads govern gebras with many to many operations, such as Frobenius and biLie algebras. The dual notion of a properad is a coproperad, and there are a number of ways to obtain a coproperad from a given properad, and vice versa. The most naive uses finite dimensional pieces of a properad and dualizes each piece individually. Starting from the properad $\mathbf{P}$, this yields the coproperad co $\mathbf{P}$. A more conceptually elegant method of dualization is the bar or cobar construction. The main result of this paper, again, is that degree one elements of square zero in the Weyl algebra $W(V)$, as discussed in the previous section, are in one to one correspondence with Cobar(coFrob)-algebra structures on $V$.

To describe algebras over Cobar(coFrob), we first define the Frobenius coproperad coFrob, then the cobar construction, and give a presentation of the properad Cobar(coFrob). Finally, we will define algebras over a properad and obtain the relations on an algebra over the particular properad in question.
4.1. Preliminaries; Notation. We now recall the notions of properad and coproperad, and algebras over properads, cf. [5].

Definition 4.1. A finite $n$-level directed graph $G$ consists of a triple $\left(\left\{V_{i}\right\},\left\{F_{v}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}\right)$, given by the following data:
(1) A finite ordered set $V_{i}$ of vertices on level $i$, for $i \in\{0, \ldots, n+1\} . V_{0}$ and $V_{n+1}$ are called the incoming and outgoing vertices of the graph $G$, respectively.
(2) For each vertex $v \in \bigcup V_{n}$, two finite sets $F_{v}^{\text {in }}$ and $F_{v}^{\text {out }}$ of directed incoming and outgoing half-edges incident at $v$, with $\left|F_{v}^{\text {in }}\right|=0$ and $\left|F_{v}^{\text {out }}\right|=1$ for $v \in V_{0}$, and $\left|F_{v}^{\text {in }}\right|=1$ and $\left|F_{v}^{\text {out }}\right|=0$ for $v \in V_{n+1}$. We denote by $F_{v}=F_{v}^{\text {in }} \sqcup F_{v}^{\text {out }}$ the disjoint unit of the incoming and outgoing half-edges.
(3) For $i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$, a bijection

$$
\varphi_{i}: \bigcup_{v \in V_{i}} F_{v}^{\mathrm{out}} \rightarrow \bigcup_{v \in V_{i+1}} F_{v}^{\mathrm{in}}
$$

that joins outgoing half-edges of one level and incoming half-edges of the next. $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{n}$ reorder the overall incoming and outgoing edges of the graph.
Two graphs $\left(\left\{V_{i}\right\},\left\{F_{v}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}\right)$ and $\left(\left\{U_{j}\right\},\left\{G_{u}\right\},\left\{\psi_{j}\right\}\right)$ are equivalent if there are order-preserving bijections on the vertices on each level and bijections of the incoming and outgoing-half-edges which respect the joining bijections $\varphi$ and $\psi$. A $L, R$ labelling of a graph is a pair of bijections
from the set $L$ to the incoming level one half-edges, and a bijection from the outgoing level $n$ half-edges to the $R$.

The set of finite $n$-level directed graphs up to equivalence is denoted by $\mathscr{G}^{(n)}$.
Definition 4.2. The geometric realization of a graph $\left(\left\{V_{i}\right\},\left\{F_{v}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}\right)$ is the topological space, defined as the quotient of the disjoint union

$$
\left(\amalg_{v \in \bigcup V_{i}} *_{v}\right) \sqcup\left(\amalg_{f \in \bigcup_{v} F_{v}} I_{f}\right),
$$

where $*_{v}$ denotes a one point space and $I_{f}$ denotes a copy of the unit interval $[0,1]$, divided by the equivalence relation generated by
(1) $0_{f} \sim *_{v}$ if $f \in F_{v}$.
(2) $1_{f_{1}} \sim 1_{f_{2}}$ if $\varphi_{i}\left(f_{1}\right)=f_{2}$ for some $i$.
$G$ is called connected if its geometric realization is connected. The set of finite connected $n$-level directed graphs with $k$ incoming and $\ell$ outgoing edges is denoted $\mathscr{G}_{c}^{(n)}(k, \ell)$, and let $\mathscr{G}_{c}^{(n)}=\sqcup_{k, \ell} \mathscr{G}_{c}^{(n)}(k, \ell)$.

An $\mathbb{S}$-bimodule in the category of graded vector spaces (chain complexes) consists of a set of graded vector spaces (chain complexes) $\{P(m, n)\}$ for $m, n \geq 0$ with commuting left $\mathbb{S}_{m}$ and right $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ actions. The category of $\mathbb{S}$-bimodules is denoted by $\mathscr{C}$. There is a functor

$$
\boxtimes_{c}: \mathscr{C} \times \mathscr{C} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}
$$

which acts on two $\mathbb{S}$-bimodules $P$ and $Q$ by taking

$$
P \boxtimes_{c} Q(k, \ell)=\bigoplus_{\mathscr{G}_{c}^{(2)}(k, \ell)} \bigotimes_{v \in V_{2}} P\left(\left|F_{v}^{\mathrm{out}}\right|,\left|F_{v}^{\mathrm{in}}\right|\right) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \in V_{1}} Q\left(\left|F_{v}^{\mathrm{out}}\right|,\left|F_{v}^{\mathrm{in}}\right|\right) / \sim
$$

where $|X|$ denotes the number of elements of a finite set $X$, and the equivalence relation consists of the following two parts. For one, we divide out by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\bigotimes p_{i} \otimes \bigotimes q_{j}\right)_{\left\{\left\{V_{i}\right\},\left\{F_{v}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right\}\right\}} \\
& \\
& \quad \sim\left(\bigotimes \sigma_{i} p_{i} \rho_{i} \otimes \bigotimes \tau_{j} q_{j} \eta_{j}\right)_{\left\{\left\{V_{i}\right\},\left\{F_{v}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{0}\left(\Pi \eta^{-1}\right),\left(\Pi \tau^{-1}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(\prod \rho_{i}\right),\left(\prod \sigma_{i}\right) \varphi_{2}\right\}\right\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This construction does not have the appropriate $\mathbb{S}$-bimodule structure, so we must tensor over $\prod_{v \in V_{2}} \mathbb{S}_{v^{\text {out }}}$ with $\prod_{v \in V_{3}} \mathbb{S}_{v^{\text {in }}}$ and similarly with the incoming. The other equivariance relation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\bigotimes p_{i} \otimes \bigotimes q_{j}\right)_{\left\{\left\{V_{i}\right\},\left\{F_{v}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right\}\right\}} \\
& \sim\left(\sigma^{-1}\left(\bigotimes p_{i}\right) \otimes \tau\left(\bigotimes q_{j}\right)\right)_{\left\{\left\{V_{i}\right\},\left\{F_{v}\right\},\left\{\tau^{-1} \varphi_{0}, \sigma \varphi_{1} \tau, \varphi_{2} \sigma^{-1}\right\}\right\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the action of $\tau$ and $\sigma$ in the compositions with the $\varphi$ should be taken as acting on blocks of size equal to the number of outputs or inputs of $p_{i}$ or $q_{j}$ as appropriate. The actions on the $p_{i}$ and $q_{j}$ themselves have signs as usual.

In words, $P \boxtimes_{c} Q(k, \ell)$ consists of connected two-level graphs with elements of $Q$ labelling the vertices on the first level and elements of $P$ labelling the vertices on the second level. The labelling elements should be chosen from the pieces $P\left(k^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime}\right)$ so that $k^{\prime}$ is the number of incoming flags at the vertex and $\ell^{\prime}$ the number of outgoing flags.

Definition 4.3. Let $I$ be the $\mathbb{S}$-bimodule which has $I(1,1,0)=k$ and $I(n, m, \chi)=0$ otherwise.
The functor $\boxtimes_{c}$, along with the identity object $I$, makes $\mathscr{C}$ a monoidal category. This means that there is a natural transformation expressing the associativity of $\boxtimes_{c}$ and two more expressing that $I$ is a left and right identity for $\boxtimes_{c}$.

Definition 4.4. A properad $\mathbf{P}$ is a monoid in the category $\mathscr{C}$. This data comprises two morphisms:
(1) A composition morphism $\mu: \mathbf{P} \boxtimes_{c} \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$, and
(2) A unit morphism $\iota: I \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$.

Composition must satisfy associativity up to the natural transformation for associativity of $\boxtimes_{c}$ as well as left and right unit properties (e.g., $\mu \circ(\iota \boxtimes \mathrm{id}) \sim \mathrm{id}$ via the natural transformation between $I \boxtimes \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ ).

Definition 4.5. A coproperad $\mathbf{C}$ is a comonoid in the category $\mathscr{C}$. This data again comprises two morphisms:
(1) A decomposition morphism $\Delta: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \boxtimes_{c} \mathbf{C}$, and
(2) A counit morphism $\eta: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow I$.

Decomposition must satisfy coassociativity (up to the natural transformation for associativity of $\boxtimes_{c}$ ) as well as left and right counit properties dual to the unit properties.

Example 4.1. If $(V, d)$ is a chain complex (whit differential of degree $|d|=1$ ), then $T^{k} V$ has the induced structure of a chain complex where $d(\bar{v})=d v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k}+\cdots+$ $(-1)^{\left|v_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|v_{i-1}\right|} v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i-1} \otimes d v_{i} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k}+\cdots$. If $(V, d)$ and $\left(V^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$ are chain complexes, then $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)$ has the induced structure of a chain complex with differential $f \mapsto d^{\prime} f-$ $(-1)^{|f|} f d$. Thus, if $(V, d)$ is a chain complex, then $\operatorname{End}(V)(m, n):=\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{m} V, T^{n} V\right), D\right)$ is a chain complex, where $D$ is the induced differential. There are commuting left $\mathbb{S}_{m}$ and right $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ actions and the obvious composition maps, so $\operatorname{End}(V)$ is a properad. Note that in the graded context, the symmetric actions respect the grading, so that, for example, $\psi \sigma(\bar{v})=\psi(\sigma \bar{v})$.

Definition 4.6. By definition, $(V, d)$ has the structure of an algebra over the properad of chain complexes $\mathbf{P}$, if there is a properad morphism $\mathbf{P} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$.

Explicitly, this means that there are degree zero maps $\mathbf{P}(m, n) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{m} V, T^{n} V\right)$ which are equivariant with respect to both the $\mathbb{S}_{m}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{n}$-actions, and such that composition in $\mathbf{P}$ corresponds to actual composition of maps between tensor powers of $V$. Furthermore, the differential $d$ in $\mathbf{P}(m, n)$ corresponds to the differential $D$ in the Hom complex.
4.2. The coFrob coproperad. We define an object $\{\operatorname{coFrob}(m, n, \chi)\}$ in the category $\mathscr{C}$ of $\mathbb{S}$ bimodules and morphisms $\eta: \operatorname{coFrob} \rightarrow I$ and $\Delta: \operatorname{coFrob} \rightarrow \operatorname{coFrob} \boxtimes_{c}$ coFrob as follows:
(1) For $m, n \geq 1$ and $\chi \geq m+n-2$ and of the same parity as $m+n$, we set $\operatorname{coFrob}(m, n, \chi)=$ $k$. This corresponds to the unique $m$ to $n$ Frobenius algebra operation of "genus" $\frac{\chi-m-n}{2}$. For all other choices of $m, n$, and $\chi, \operatorname{coFrob}(m, n, \chi)=0$.
(2) All the $\mathbb{S}_{m}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ actions are trivial.
(3) The map $\eta$ is projection onto the factor $\operatorname{coFrob}(1,1,0)$.
(4) The map $\Delta$ is more involved to describe, and will be done below.

We first examine coFrob $\boxtimes_{c}$ coFrob. This consists of all connected two-level trees labeled by elements of coFrob of the appropriate grading, up to equivalence. Since the symmetric group actions are trivial, only the information of the number of edges between two vertices is important in a two-level graph, but not the actual combinatorics of how the flags are connected. Therefore, a two-level tree with $m$ inputs and $n$ outputs marked with elements of coFrob up to equivalence consists of:
(1) Partitions of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into nonempty sets $u_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, where $u_{i}$ denotes the vertices on the first level and $v_{j}$ the vertices on the second level. This is taken up to reordering of the vertices, with the induced sign.
(2) For each pair $(u, v)$ from $V_{1} \times V_{2}$, a nonnegative number $e(u, v)$ of edges from $u$ to $v$ so that the total number of edges $e(u)=\sum_{v} e(u, v)$ and $e(v)=\sum_{u} e(u, v)$ are positive.
(3) A weight $\chi$ for each $u$ which is of the same parity and at least $|u|+e(u)-2$, and likewise for $v$.

Furthermore, the geometric realization of the graph must be connected. Then the decomposition map $\Delta$ takes $\operatorname{coFrob}(m, n, \chi) \cong k$ into the direct sum over such two level graphs of a tensor product of $\operatorname{coFrob}\left(m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}, \chi^{\prime}\right)$. It is just the zero map on any zero summand and a combinatorial factor $\eta_{G}$ times the canonical isomorphism of $k$ with $k^{\otimes i}$ on the summand spanned by a graph $G$ where each factor of the tensor product is $k$. We define the combinatorial factor $\eta_{G}$ as the product over pairs $(u, v)$ of vertices from $V_{1} \times V_{2}$ of $\frac{1}{e(u, v)!}$.

Remark 4.1. coFrob can be interpreted in some sense as the naive dual of the Frobenius properad or as the Koszul dual of a commutative, rather than skew, version of the involutive biLie properad. We thought it more expedient to define it directly, rather than introduce an additional level of duality.

Theorem 4.1. The data (coFrob, $\Delta, \eta$ ) defines a coproperad.
Proof. We have to check coassociativity for $\Delta$, and the left and right counit properties for $\eta$. To see that $\Delta$ is coassociative, consider coFrob ${ }^{\boxtimes_{c} 3}$. This is the vector space spanned by three-level graphs marked by coFrob. Let edges between the first and second level of vertices generate an equivalence relation on vertices; then let the equivalence classes be the top level of vertices
of a new graph, with incoming flags the disjoint union of the incoming flags of the constituent vertices in the upper level of the equivalence class and outgoing flags the disjoint union of the outgoing flags of the constituent vertices in the lower level of the equivalence class. Let the grading of an equivalence class be the sum of the gradings of its member vertices. Let the third level of vertices of the original graph be the bottom level of vertices of this new graph; then the old (three level) graph is part of the image of the new (two level) one under $\Delta \boxtimes_{c} I d$. If the original graph is $G$, call this graph $G_{12}$.

Given a vertex $[v]$ in the first level of $G_{12}$, that is, an equivalence class of vertices of $G$, we construct a two level graph marked by coFrob denoted $H_{v}$. Let the vertices on the first and second levels of $H_{v}$ be the vertices of $G$ in $[v]$; let the incoming flags, the vertex weights, and the edges between the first and second levels be induced by the corresponding data in $[v]$. Let the number of outgoing flags be determined by $[v]$; however $[v]$ does not induce a labelling, so choose an arbitrary labelling for the outgoing vertices. Intuitively, $H_{v}$ represents $[v]$ as an independent graph.

A similar construction can be performed for the second and third level of the graph $G$ and will yield a two-level graph $G_{23}$ which has the old graph as part of its image under $I d \boxtimes_{c} \Delta$. We similarly get $H_{v}$ for $[v]$ in the second level vertex set of $G_{23}$.

Both $G_{12}$ and $G_{23}$ are part of the image under $\Delta$ of the graph $G_{123}$ obtained from the original by collapsing all of the vertices and internal edges to a single vertex. Let $\pi_{G}$ denote the linear projection onto the one dimensional subspace spanned by $G$. Then $\pi_{G}\left(\Delta \boxtimes_{c} I d\right) \circ \Delta\left[G_{123}\right]$ is equal to $\pi_{G}(\Delta \boxtimes c I d) \circ \pi_{G_{12}} \circ \Delta\left[G_{123}\right]$ because no other two level graphs can yield $G$ under expansion of the vertices on the first level. The cognate statment is true for $G_{23}$.

So to show coassociativity, it is enough to show that for a marked three-level graph $G$,

$$
\pi_{G} \circ\left(\Delta \boxtimes_{c} I d\right) \circ \pi_{G_{12}} \circ \Delta\left[G_{123}\right]=\pi_{G} \circ\left(I d \boxtimes_{c} \Delta\right) \circ \pi_{G_{23}} \circ \Delta\left[G_{123}\right]
$$

No signs are introduced in either of the applications of $\Delta$, so in order for this equality to be true, it is only necessary that the combinatorial factors agree. If $V_{12}$ is the vertex set of the first level of $G_{12}$, the level consisting of equivalence classes, and likewise $V_{23}$, then the above equality is, at the level of combinatorial factors,

$$
\eta_{G_{12}} \prod_{[v] \in V_{12}} \rho_{v} \eta_{H_{v}}=\eta_{G_{23}} \prod_{[v] \in V_{23}} \rho_{v} \eta_{H_{v}}
$$

where for $[v]$ in $V_{12}, \eta_{H_{v}}$ is the product $\frac{1}{e(u, w)!}$ for $u, w$ in $[v]$, and $\rho_{v}$ counts the number of two-level graphs which are similar enough to the graph $H_{v}$ that the projection of $\Delta[v]$ on the summand spanned by them contributes to this projection on the $G$-summand.

The product of the $\eta_{H_{v}}$ over $[v]$ in $V_{12}$ is the product of $\frac{1}{e(u, w)!}$ over all pairs of vertices from the first and second levels of $G$; for pairs where the two vertices come from different equivalence classes, $e(u, w)$ must be zero, so the contribution from such pairs is $1 . \eta_{G_{12}}$ is the product of $\frac{1}{e([v], z)!}$ for $[v]$ in $V_{12}$ and $z$ in the third level of $G$, where $e([v], z)=\sum_{w \in[v]} e(w, z)$.

To see this equality, consider $G_{12}$. Fix a labelling on the incoming flags of the second level vertices. Then there is some finite number $\rho_{v}$ of relabellings of the outgoing flags of $H_{v}$ which are compatible with the given labelling, in the sense that if such relabellings are chosen for each $[v]$, then connecting the relabelled $H_{v}$ s along the identity permutation to the labelled incoming flags of the second level vertices of $G_{12}$ yields a graph isomorphic to $G$ as a three-level graph with vertices marked by coFrob.

To justify the notation, the $\rho_{v}$ must be independent of one another; this occurs because distinct $[v]$ correspond to distinct subsets of the incoming flags of $G$ so that each incoming flag of the second level of $G_{12}$ must be connected to a unique $[v]$. So the outgoing flags from each relabelled $H_{v}$ can be considered seperately, meaning the equality is well-defined.

It remains to calculate $\rho_{v}$. This counts the number of ways of relabelling the outgoing flags of $H_{v}$ to be consistent with the incoming flags of the third level vertices of $G$. By equivalence and by the trivial symmetric action on a vertex $w$ in the second level of $G$ in $[v] \in V_{12}$, any relabelling is equivalent to one where the order of the outgoing flags at $w$ respects a fixed order of the third level vertices of $G$.

Now consider a vertex $z$ on the third level of $G$ and a vertex $[v] \in V_{12}$. To be consistent, a relabelling must associate the incoming flags of $z$ associated to $[v]$ to the specific outgoing flags of the constituent $w$ determined by the order in the previous paragraph. Two relabellings from $[v]$ to $z$ are equivalent if they differ only by a permutation of the outgoing flags of $w$. Also, if there is an isomorphism of $G$ that interchanges $w$ and $w^{\prime}$, then two relabellings interchanging the labels of their outgoing flags are equivalent.

Then we are counting partitions of $e([v], z)$ into pieces of size $e(w, z)$, up to simultaneous relabelling of the partitions corresponding to $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ for all $z$ if there is an isomorphism of $G$ interchanging them. The number of ordered partitions is determined by a familiar combinatorial formula:

$$
\frac{e([v], z)!}{\prod_{w \in[v]} e(w, z)!} .
$$

So the number of relabellings $\rho_{v}$ is the product of these factors for all $z$ divided by permutations of second level vertices along isomorphisms of $G$. Suppose the vertices on the second level of $H_{v}$ are divided into equivalence classes $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{r}$, where $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ are in the same equivalence class if there is an isomorphism of $G$ interchanging them. Note that if there is an isomorphism interchanging any two vertices on the second level of $G$, then they must be in the same equivalence class in $V_{12}$ and in $V_{23}$. Then we obtain

$$
\rho_{v}=\frac{\prod_{z} e([v], z)!}{\prod_{w \in[v], z} e(w, z)!\prod_{1}^{r}\left|W_{i}\right|!} .
$$

Now the left hand side of the equality that will prove coassociativity is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{([v], z)} \frac{1}{(e([v], z)!} \prod_{[v]} \frac{\prod_{z} e([v], z)!}{\prod_{w \in[v], z} e(w, z)!\prod_{1}^{r}\left|W_{i}\right|!} \prod_{u, w} & \frac{1}{e(u, w)!} \\
& =\prod_{w, z} \frac{1}{e(w, z)!} \prod_{u, w} \frac{1}{e(u, w)!} \prod_{W_{i}} \frac{1}{\left|W_{i}\right|!}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the products are taken over pairs $w, z$ from the second and third levels of $G$, pairs $u, w$ from the first and second levels of $G$, and all equivalence classes of second level vertices of $G$.

A similar calculation shows that the right hand side is the same, showing coassociativity.
To see that coFrob is counital, note that one factor of the decomposition of any element $x$ of coFrob is the two-level graph with $x$ on top and only copies of $\operatorname{coFrob}(1,1,0)$ on the bottom. Applying id $\boxtimes_{c} \eta$ to this yields $x$. On the other hand, any other factor of the decomposition will have something other than $\operatorname{coFrob}(1,1,0)$ on the bottom, and $\mathrm{id} \boxtimes_{c} \eta$ will yield 0 . A similar argument applies for the left counit property.
4.3. The Cobar construction. Next it is necessary to discuss the cobar construction, which begins with a coproperad $\mathbf{C}$ and generates a properad $\operatorname{Cobar}(\mathbf{C})$; cf. [5, section 4]. This properad is freely generated on the constituent spaces of the associated $\mathbb{S}$-module $\overline{\mathbf{C}}[-1]$, which in this context can be interpreted as $\mathbf{C}_{m, n, g} / \mathbf{C}_{1,1,0}$ with a shift in grading, putting all the generators in degree negative one.

This free generation is under properadic composition and the symmetric group actions (subject to the associativity and equivariance relations), as a properad of graded vector spaces. The decomposition maps $\Delta_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}}^{k, g^{\prime}}$ enter the picture in terms of a differential $d$ on $\operatorname{Cobar}(\mathbf{C})_{m, n, g}$ which makes this into a properad of chain complexes.

A generic basis element of the free properad on an $\mathbb{S}$-module $V$ is a tree labelled by elements of $V$. So fixing an order on the vertices of the tree, and on the edges connecting two vertices, it is a tensor product of elements from $V(m, n)$. Specifying an element with homogeneous grading, it is a tensor product of elements from $V(m, n, \chi)$. The differential acts on this space as a derivation, meaning that up to sign, it is determined by its action on $V$ itself:

$$
d\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots v_{k}\right)=d v_{1} \otimes \cdots v_{k}+\cdots+(-1)^{\left|v_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|v_{k-1}\right|} v_{1} \otimes \cdots d v_{k}
$$

The differential acts on $V$ as a restriction of the decomposition map $\Delta$. Call vertices in a graph labelled with the identity trivial vertices (in this case this is any vertex with $m=n=1$ and $\chi=0$ ). There is a quotient map on $V \boxtimes_{c} V$ which kills any graph with more or fewer than two nontrivial vertices. Note that because the grading of the identity map is even, we can also forget the ordering on the vertices on each level, as their permutation will not introduce a sign. The composition of this quotient with decomposition gives the action of $d$ on $V$ in the cobar construction. Coassociativity and the shift in the grading guarantee that $d^{2}=0$.
4.4. The properad Cobar(coFrob). Now we describe the properad Cobar(coFrob). First, without the differential, it is just the free properad on the reduced version $\overline{\operatorname{coFrob}}$, i.e. an element of the $(r, t, \chi)$ piece is a connected properad composition of elements of $\overline{\operatorname{coFrob}}$ of grading $\left(r_{i}, t_{i}, \chi_{i}\right)$ with total grading $(r, t, \chi)$ under the rules for the composition.

The only relations, other than those of equivariance and associativity, are those imposed by $d$. Thus, we need to determine how $d$ acts on $\operatorname{Cobar}(r, t, \chi)$. Its image is contained in two-level graphs with appropriate total grading and only one nontrivial vertex on each level. The $r$ inputs and $t$ outputs need to be divided between the two non-trivial vertices. There needs to be some positive number of output flags from the first vertex connected to input flags from the second. Finally, any remaining grading must be shared between the two vertices. Therefore, we take a sum over $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2}(\chi-m-n)+2, k \leq j \leq t+k-1, i+j \leq \chi_{1} \leq \chi-2 k$, $(r, r-i)$ shuffles $\tau,(t, t-j)$ unshuffles $\sigma$, along with $m, n$, and $\chi_{2}$ which are induced as $i+m-k=r, j+n-k=t, \chi_{1}+\chi_{2}=\chi$. Using this sum, we have

$$
d\left(\mathbf{1}_{r, t, \chi}\right)=\sum \frac{1}{k!} \tau\left(\mathbf{1}_{m, n, \chi_{2}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i, j, \chi_{1}}\right) \sigma
$$

The bounds on $i, j, k, \chi_{1}$ ensure that all of the indices here have the appropriate size. If $\chi_{1}$ or $\chi_{2}$ has the wrong parity then the term is zero. Since the order of the vertices on each level doesn't matter and the symmetric actions are trivial, we can fix a convention without introducing signs, namely that on the first level, all of the trivial vertices precede the nontrivial vertex; on the second level the nontrivial vertex precedes the trivial ones.

At this point it is convenient to regrade by "genus" instead of by "Euler characteristic." This means that we replace the grading $\chi$, which is at least $m+n-2$ and of the same parity as $m+n$ with $g=\frac{1}{2}(\chi+2-m-n)$, which is then just nonnegative. With this regrading, properadic composition of two vertices along $k$ flags has degree $k-1$ instead of 0 . Rewriting $d$ with this grading we get

$$
d\left(\mathbf{1}_{r, t, g}\right)=\sum \frac{1}{k!} \tau\left(\mathbf{1}_{m, n, g_{2}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{i, j, g_{1}}\right) \sigma
$$

where $1 \leq k \leq g+1$ and $0 \leq g_{1} \leq g+1-k$, while $i, j, \sigma$, and $\tau$ are taken over the same range as before. Now $g_{1}+g_{2}+k-1=g$.
4.5. Algebras over Cobar(coFrob). We now state and prove our main theorem.

Theorem 4.2. There is a one to one correspondence between algebra structures over Cobar(coFrob) on $V$ and elements $H$ of degree -1 in $W(V)$ such that $H \star H=0$.

Proof. The properad Cobar(coFrob) is quasifree, meaning that every relation among two or more generators involves $d$. These relations were summarized above. Therefore the structure of a Cobar(coFrob)-algebra on $V$ is equivalent to a collection of graded symmetric maps $\varphi_{r, t, g}: T^{m} V \rightarrow T^{n} V$ (with no $\varphi_{1,1,0}$ ) which satisfy the relations above. We can define $\tilde{\varphi}_{r, t, g}$ : $S^{r} V \rightarrow S^{t} V$ as $\tilde{\varphi}_{r, t, g}:=s \varphi_{r, t, g} \iota$, where $s$ and $\iota$ are the maps from section 2.

Because the $\varphi_{r, t, g}$ are symmetric, they can be recovered from $\tilde{\varphi}_{r, t, g}$ as $\varphi_{r, t, g}=\iota \tilde{\varphi}_{r, t, g} s$. This can be seen as follows:

$$
\iota \tilde{\varphi}_{r, t, g} s(\bar{v})=\frac{1}{r!}(\iota s) \varphi_{r, t, g}\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{r}} \sigma \bar{v}\right)=\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{r}}(\iota s) \varphi_{r, t, g}(\sigma \bar{v})=(\iota s) \varphi_{r, t, g}(\bar{v})
$$

Since $\sigma$ applied to $\varphi_{r, t, g}(\bar{v}) \in T^{t} V$ is $\sigma \varphi_{r, t, g}=\varphi_{r, t, g},(\iota s)$ is the identity on $\varphi_{r, t, g}(\bar{v})$.
Now let us examine the relations involved in a Cobar(coFrob)-algebra. This is a structure consisting of a degree -1 differential $d$ and a collection of degree -1 maps $\varphi_{r, t, g}: T^{r} V \rightarrow$ $T^{t} V$ along with a symmetry condition, which can be expressed by saying that they come from the symmetric maps $\tilde{\varphi}_{r, t, g}$ instead. These maps are subject to coherence relations. All these relations involve only $D \varphi_{r, t, g}$ and compositions of two $\varphi_{r, t, g}$ indexed by a two-vertex tree with $k$ edges between the two vertices.

$$
D\left(\varphi_{r, t, g}\right)=\sum \frac{1}{k!} \tau\left(\varphi_{m, n, g_{2}} \circ_{k} \varphi_{i, j, g_{1}}\right) \sigma
$$

But $D \varphi(\bar{v})=d(\varphi(\bar{v}))+\varphi(d \bar{v})$, where $d$ here is extended as a derivation $(d \otimes \operatorname{id} \otimes \cdots) \pm$ $(\mathrm{id} \otimes d \otimes \cdots) \pm \cdots$. This is $d \circ_{1} \varphi+\varphi \circ_{1} d$, so defining $\varphi_{1,1,0}=-d$, the relations are precisely

$$
\sum \frac{1}{k!} \tau\left(\varphi_{m, n, g_{2}} \circ_{k} \varphi_{i, j, g_{1}}\right) \sigma=0
$$

Now, let $\left(V,\left\{\varphi_{r, t, g}\right\}\right)$ be an algebra over Cobar(coFrob). Define $H \in W(V)$ as $\bigoplus \frac{1}{t!} \tilde{\varphi}_{r, t, g} \hbar^{g}$. Then the $\hbar^{g}$ part of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{r} V, S^{t} V\right)$ in $H \star H$ is

$$
\sum \frac{1}{n!j!} \tilde{\varphi}_{m, n, g_{2}} \circ_{k} \tilde{\varphi}_{i, j, g_{1}}
$$

where the sum ranges over $m+i-k=r, n+j-k=t$, and $g_{1}+g_{2}+k-1=g$. If this is applied to $[\bar{v}]$, then its injective image under $\iota$ is equal to

$$
\sum \frac{1}{k!t!} \tau\left(\varphi_{m, n, g_{2}} \circ_{k} \varphi_{i, j, g_{1}}\right) \sigma=0
$$

This shows that a Cobar(coFrob)-algebra defines an element of square zero in the Weyl algebra. On the other hand, suppose that we have such an element $H$ of square zero in the Weyl algebra of a graded vector space $V$. Then $\left(H_{1}^{1(0)}\right)^{2}=0$, so we can take it to be a differential $d$ on $V$. Then, by definining $\varphi_{r, t, g}=n!H_{r}^{t(g)}$, the reverse equality holds, namely,

$$
\sum \frac{1}{k!} \tau\left(\varphi_{m, n, g_{2}} \circ_{k} \varphi_{i, j, g_{1}}\right) \sigma=t!\iota\left(\sum H_{m}^{n\left(g_{2}\right)} \circ_{k} H_{i}^{j\left(g_{1}\right)}\right)[\bar{v}]=t!\iota H \star H(\bar{v})=0
$$

## 5. The homology of algebras over Cobar(coFrob)

The homology of a properad is again a properad and if $V$ is an algebra over a properad $\mathbf{P}$, then $H V$ is an algebra over the properad $H \mathbf{P}$. To see this, recall from Section 4.5 that an algebra $V$ over a properad $\mathbf{P}$ is a collection of chain maps satisfying equivariance and compatibility with composition from $\mathbf{P}(m, n)$ to $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{m} V, T^{n} V\right)$. The induced maps on homology still satisfy equivariance and compatibility, so that there is a properad morphism from
$H \mathbf{P}(m, n)$ to $\operatorname{HHom}\left(T^{m} V, T^{n} V\right)$. There is a natural isomorphism $\operatorname{HHom}\left(T^{m} V, T^{n} V\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{m} H V, T^{n} H V\right)$, hence a properad morphism $H \mathbf{P}(m, n) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(T^{m} H V, T^{n} H V\right)$ affording $H V$ with the structure of an algebra over $H \mathbf{P}$.

For the properad Cobar(coFrob), grading by genus one identifies symmetric generators $\mu \in \operatorname{Cobar}(\operatorname{coFrob})(2,1,0)$ and $\Delta \in \operatorname{Cobar}(\operatorname{coFrob})(1,2,0)$ which are closed under the differential because their decomposition is trivial in coFrob. By general arguments on the cobar construction, $\mu$ and $\Delta$ can be seen not to be boundaries, and therefore pass to nonzero classes $[\mu]$ and $[\Delta]$ in homology. Considering the boundaries of the generators in the $(3,1,0)$, $(1,3,0),(2,2,0)$, and $(1,1,1)$ spaces of Cobar(coFrob) we see that in homology, $[\mu]$ satisfies the Jacobi relation

$$
[\mu] \circ_{1}[\mu]\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)=0
$$

or, rewritten with $\mu$ as a bracket, more familiarly, this is

$$
\left.[[a, b], c]+(-1)^{(|b|+|c|)|a|}[[b, c], a]+(-1)^{(|a|+|b|)|c|}[[c, a], b]\right]
$$

$[\Delta]$ satisfies the coJacobi relation

$$
\left(1+\sigma+\sigma^{2}\right)[\Delta] \circ_{1}[\Delta]=0
$$

and $[\mu]$ and $[\Delta]$ together satisfy the five term compatibility relation

$$
[\Delta] \circ[\mu]+(1+\tau)[\mu] \circ_{1}[\Delta](1+\tau)=0
$$

or, applied to $a \otimes b$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\Delta][a, b]+(-1)^{|a|}([\mu] \otimes \mathrm{id}) a \otimes[\Delta] b } & +(-1)^{|a||b|+|b|}([\mu] \otimes \mathrm{id}) b \otimes[\Delta] a \\
& +(-1)^{|a||b|}(\mathrm{id} \otimes[\mu]) b \otimes[\Delta] a+(\mathrm{id} \otimes[\mu])[\Delta] a \otimes b
\end{aligned}
$$

and the involutivity relation

$$
[\mu] \circ[\Delta]=0
$$

This shows that the homology $H V$ of a Cobar(coFrob)-algebra $V$ is a (commutative as opposed to skew-commutative) involutive biLie algebra, but we have not argued that our computation of the homology is complete. We conjecture that the homology of the properad Cobar(coFrob) is the involutive bi Lie properad, but at present we do not have a proof-there remains the possibility that there are additional nonzero homology operations.
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