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#### Abstract

We introduce a natural structure of a semigroup (isomorphic to a factorization semigroup of the unity in the symmetric group) on the set of irreducible components of Hurwitz space of marked degree $d$ coverings of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of fixed ramification types. It is proved that this semigroup is finitely presented. The problem when collections of ramification types define uniquely the corresponding irreducible components of the Hurwitz space is investigated. In particular, the set of irreducible components of the Hurwitz space of three-sheeted coverings of the projective line is completely described.


## Introduction

Usually, to investigate the Hurwitz space $\operatorname{HUR}_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ of degree $d$ coverings of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^{1}:=\mathbb{C P}^{1}$, the following approach is used. A Galois group $G$ of the coverings, the number $b$ of branch points, and the types of local monodromies (that is, collections consisting of $b$ conjugacy classes of $G$ ) are fixed, and after that the set of collections of representatives of these conjugacy classes is investigated up to, so called, Hurwitz moves (see, for example, [1] - [6]). There are several problems (for example, to describe the set of plane algebraic curves up to equisingular deformation or, more generally, to describe the set plane pseudoholomorphic curves up to symplectic isotopy, to describe the set of symplectic Lefschetz pencils up to diffeomorphisms, and so on) in which also resembling objects naturally arise, namely, finite collections of elements of some group considering up to Hurwitz moves (see, for example, [7] [9]). (In the case of plane algebraic and pseudoholomorphic curves, to obtain such collections, one should choose a pencil of (pseudo)lines to obtain a fibration over $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.) As it was shown in [10], there is natural structure of semigroups on the sets of such collections considered up to Hurwitz moves, namely, so called, factorization semigroups over groups. Moreover, if we consider such fibrations not only over the hole $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ but also over the disc $D_{R}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z \mid \leqslant R\}$, then this semigroup structure has a natural geometric meaning (see [10]).

In section 1 of this article, we give basic definitions and investigate properties of factorization semigroups over finite groups. In particular, we prove that the factorization semigroups of the unity in finite groups are finitely presented, and also we investigate
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the problem when an element of factorization semigroup is defined uniquely by its type and product.

In section 2, factorization semigroups over symmetric groups $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ are considered more closely. Here we prove a stabilization theorem and completely describe the factorization semigroup of the unity in $\mathcal{S}_{3}$.

In section 3, we introduce a natural structure of a semigroup (a factorization semigroup of the unity in symmetric group) on the set of irreducible components of Hurwitz space of marked degree $d$ coverings of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with fixed ramification types and we show that this structure induces a semigroup structure on the set of irreducible components of the Hurwitz space $\operatorname{HUR}_{d}^{G}$ of Galois coverings of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with Galois group $G$ having no outer automorphisms. Also, the results, obtained in sections 1 and 2, are applied to the problem when the irreducible components of the $\mathrm{HUR}_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ are defined uniquely by collections of types of local monodromies of the coverings.
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## 1. Semigroups over groups

1.1. Factorization semigroups. A collection $(S, G, \alpha, \lambda)$, where $S$ is a semigroup, $G$ is a group, and $\alpha: S \rightarrow G, \lambda: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(S)$ are homomorphisms, is called $a$ semigroup $S$ over a group $G$ if for all $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S$ we have

$$
s_{1} \cdot s_{2}=\rho\left(\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)\right)\left(s_{2}\right) \cdot s_{1}=s_{2} \cdot \lambda\left(\alpha\left(s_{2}\right)\right)\left(s_{1}\right),
$$

where $\rho(g)=\lambda\left(g^{-1}\right)$.
Let ( $S_{1}, G_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \lambda_{1}$ ) and ( $S_{2}, G_{2}, \alpha_{2}, \lambda_{2}$ ) be two semigroups over, respectively, groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. We call a pair ( $h_{1}, h_{2}$ ) of homomorphisms $h_{1}: S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}$ and $h_{2}: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ a homomorphism of semigroups over groups if
(i) $h_{2} \circ \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \circ h_{1}$,
(ii) $\lambda_{2}\left(h_{2}(g)\right)\left(h_{1}(s)\right)=h_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}(g)\right)(s)$ for all $s \in S_{1}$ and all $g \in G_{1}$.

The factorization semigroups defined below constitute the principal, for our purpose, examples of semigroups over groups.

Let $O \subset G$ be a subset of a group $G$ invariant under the inner automorphisms. We call the pair $(G, O)$ an equipped group. Let us associate to the set $O$ an alphabet $X=X_{O}=\left\{x_{g} \mid g \in O\right\}$ and for each pair of letters $x_{g_{1}}, x_{g_{2}} \in X, g_{1} \neq g_{2}$ denote by $R_{g_{1}, g_{2} ; l}$ and $R_{g_{1}, g_{2} ; r}$ the following relations: $R_{g_{1}, g_{2} ; l}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{g_{1}} \cdot x_{g_{2}}=x_{g_{2}} \cdot x_{g_{2}^{-1} g_{1} g_{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $g_{2} \neq 1$ and $x_{g_{1}} \cdot x_{1}=x_{g_{1}}$ if $g_{2}=1$, and $R_{g_{1}, g_{2} ; r}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{g_{1}} \cdot x_{g_{2}}=x_{g_{1} g_{2} g_{1}^{-1}} \cdot x_{g_{1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $g_{1} \neq 1$ and $x_{1} \cdot x_{g_{2}}=x_{g_{2}}$ if $g_{1}=1$.
Put

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left\{R_{g_{1}, g_{2} ; r}, R_{g_{1}, g_{2} ; l} \mid\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in O \times O, g_{1} \neq g_{2}\right\}
$$

and, with the help of the set of relations $\mathcal{R}$, define a semigroup

$$
S(G, O)=\left\langle x_{g} \in X \mid R \in \mathcal{R}\right\rangle
$$

which is called the factorization semigroup of $G$ with factors in $O$.
Introduce also a homomorphism $\alpha: S(G, O) \rightarrow G$ given by $\alpha\left(x_{g}\right)=g$ for each $x_{g} \in X$ and call it the product homomorphism.

Next, we define an action $\lambda$ of the group $G$ on the set $X$ as follows:

$$
x_{a} \in X \mapsto \lambda(g)\left(x_{a}\right)=x_{g^{-1} a g} \in X
$$

As is easy to see, the above relation set $\mathcal{R}$ is preserved by the action $\lambda$. Therefore $\lambda$ defines a homomorphism $\lambda: B \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(S(G, O))$ (the conjugation action). The action $\lambda(g)$ on $S(G, O)$ is called the simultaneous conjugation by $g$. Put $\lambda_{S}=\lambda \circ \alpha$ and $\rho_{S}=\rho \circ \alpha$.
Claim 1.1. ([8]) For all $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S(G, O)$ we have

$$
s_{1} \cdot s_{2}=s_{2} \cdot \lambda_{S}\left(s_{2}\right)\left(s_{1}\right)=\rho_{S}\left(s_{1}\right)\left(s_{2}\right) \cdot s_{1} .
$$

It follows from Claim 1.1 that $(S(G, O), G, \alpha, \lambda)$ is a semigroup over $G$. When $G$ is fixed, we abbreviate $S(G, O)$ to $S_{O}$. By $x_{g_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{g_{n}}$ we denote the element in $S_{O}$ defined by a word $x_{g_{1}} \ldots x_{g_{n}}$.

Notice that $S:(G, O) \mapsto(S(G, O), G, \alpha, \lambda)$ is a functor from the category of the equipped groups to the category of the semigroups over groups. In particular, if $O_{1} \subset O_{2}$ are two sets invariant under the inner automorphisms of $G$, then the identity map id : $G \rightarrow G$ defines an embedding $i d_{O_{1}, O_{2}}: S\left(G, O_{1}\right) \rightarrow S\left(G, O_{2}\right)$. So that, for each group $G$, the semigroup $S_{G}=S(G, G)$ is an universal factorization semigroup of elements in $G$, which means that each semigroup $S_{O}$ over $G$ is canonically embedded in $S_{G}$ by $i d_{O, G}$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a subgroup of $G$. Denote by $S_{O}^{\Gamma}=\left\{s \in S_{O} \mid \alpha(s) \in \Gamma\right\}$. Obviously, $S_{O}^{\Gamma}$ is a subsemigroup of $S_{O}$ and it coincides with the image of semigroup $S(\Gamma, O \cap \Gamma)$ under the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $\Gamma \hookrightarrow G$. In particular, if $G_{O}$ is the subgroup of $G$ generated by the elements of the image of $\alpha: S_{O} \rightarrow G$, then $S\left(G_{O}, O\right) \simeq S_{O}^{G_{O}}$.

If $\Gamma=\{\mathbf{1}\}$, then the semigroup $S_{O}^{1}$ will be denoted by $S_{O, 1}$ and for each subgroup $\Gamma$ of $G$ we denote $S_{O, 1}^{\Gamma}=S_{O, 1} \cap S_{O}^{\Gamma}$.

A group $G$ acts on itself by inner automorphisms, that is, for any group $G$ there is a natural homomorphism $h: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ (the action of the image $h(g)=a$ of an element $g$ on $G$ is given by $\left(g_{1}\right) a=g^{-1} g_{1} g$ for all $\left.g_{1} \in G\right)$. It is easy to see that the homomorphism $h$ defines on $S_{G}$ a structure of a semigroup over $A=\operatorname{Aut}(G)$, where the homomorphism $\alpha_{A}: S_{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ is the composition $h \circ \alpha$ and an element $a \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ acts on $S_{G}$ by the rule $x_{g} \mapsto x_{(g) a}$. It is easy to see that the subsemigroup $S_{G, 1}$ is invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ on $S_{G}$. Therefore $S_{G, 1}$ also can be considered as a semigroup over $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$.

To each element $s=x_{g_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{g_{n}} \in S_{O}, g_{i} \neq \mathbf{1}$, let us associate a number $\ln (s)=n$ called the length of $s$. It is easy to see that $\ln : S_{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}=\{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbf{a} \geqslant 0\}$ is a homomorphism of semigroups.

For each $s=x_{g_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{g_{n}} \in S_{O}$ denote by $G_{s}$ the subgroup of $G$ generated by the images $\alpha\left(x_{g_{1}}\right)=g_{1}, \ldots, \alpha\left(x_{g_{n}}\right)=g_{n}$ of the factors $x_{g_{1}}, \ldots, x_{g_{n}}$.
Claim 1.2. The subgroup $G_{s}$ of $G$ is well defined, that is, it does not depend on a presentation of $s$ as a product of generators $x_{g_{i}} \in X_{O}$.

The proof of Claim 1.2 and the following proposition is very simple and therefore it will be omitted.

Proposition 1.1. ([8]) Let $(G, O)$ be an equipped group and let $s \in S_{O}$. We have
(1) $k e r \lambda$ coincides with the centralizer $C_{O}$ of the group $G_{O}$ in $G$;
(2) if $\alpha(s)$ belongs to the center $Z\left(G_{s}\right)$ of $G_{s}$, then for each $g \in G_{s}$ the action $\lambda(g)$ leaves fixed the element $s \in S_{O}$;
(3) if $\alpha\left(s \cdot x_{g}\right)$ belongs to the center $Z\left(G_{s \cdot x_{g}}\right)$ of $G_{s \cdot x_{g}}$, then $s \cdot x_{g}=x_{g} \cdot s$,
(4) if $\alpha(s)=\mathbf{1}$, then $s \cdot s^{\prime}=s^{\prime} \cdot s$ for any $s^{\prime} \in S_{G}$.

Claim 1.3. For any equipped group $(G, O)$ the semigroup $S_{O, 1}$ is contained in the center of the semigroup $S_{G}$ and, in particular, it is a commutative subsemigroup.
Proof. It follows from Proposition 1.1 (4).
It is easy to see that if $g \in O$ is an element of order $n$, then $x_{g}^{n} \in S_{O, 1}$.
Lemma 1.1. Let $s \in S_{O, 1}$ and $s_{1} \in S_{O}$ be such that $G_{s_{1}}=G_{O}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \cdot s_{1}=\lambda(g)(s) \cdot s_{1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g \in G_{O}$.
In particular, if $C \subset O$ is a conjugacy class of elements of order $n_{C}$ and $s \in S_{O}$ is such that $G_{s}=G$, then for any $g_{1}, g_{2} \in C$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{g_{1}}^{n_{C}} \cdot s=x_{g_{2}}^{n_{C}} \cdot s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Equality (4) is proved in [5]. The proof of (3) is similar.
1.2. $C$-groups associated to equipped groups and the type homomorphism. Let $(G, O)$ be an equipped group such that $\mathbf{1} \notin O$ and let the set $O$ be the union of $m$ conjugacy classes, $O=C_{1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{m}$.

A group $\hat{G}_{O}$, generated by an alphabet $Y_{O}=\left\{y_{g} \mid g \in O\right\}$ (so called $C$-generators) being subject to the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{g_{1}} y_{g_{2}}=y_{g_{2}} y_{g_{2}^{-1} g_{1} g_{2}}=y_{g_{1} g_{2} g_{1}^{-1}} y_{g_{1}}, \quad y_{g_{1}}, y_{g_{2}} \in Y_{O} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the $C$-group associated to $(G, O)$. It is obvious that the maps $x_{g} \mapsto y_{g}$ and $y_{g} \mapsto g$ define two homomorphisms: $\beta: S(G, O) \rightarrow \hat{G}_{O}$ and $\gamma: \hat{G}_{O} \rightarrow G$ such that $\alpha=\gamma \circ \beta$. The elements of $\operatorname{Im} \beta$ are called the positive elements of $\hat{G}_{O}$.

A $C$-group $\hat{G}_{O}$, associated to an equipped group $(G, O)$, has similar properties as the semigroup $S_{O}$ has. For example, like in the case of factorization semigroups, it is easy to check that for any $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}_{O}$ and any $g_{1} \in O$ the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{g}^{-1} y_{g_{1}} \hat{g}=y_{g^{-1} g_{1} g} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a consequence of relations (5), where $g=\gamma(\hat{g})$.
Denote by $\hat{O}$ the subset $\left\{y_{g} \mid g \in O\right\}$ of $\hat{G}_{O}$. It follows from relation (6) that $\hat{O}$ is invariant under inner automorphisms of $\hat{G}_{O}$.

Claim 1.4. Let $(G, O)$ be an equipped group. Then the semigroups $S(G, O)$ and $S\left(\hat{G}_{O}, \hat{O}\right)$ are naturally isomorphic.

Proof. By relations (6), it is easy to see that the map $\xi: S\left(\hat{G}_{O}, \hat{O}\right) \rightarrow S(G, O)$, given by $\xi\left(x_{y_{g}}\right)=x_{g}$ for $g \in O$, is an isomorphism of semigroups.

Applying relations (6), it is easy to prove the following proposition (see, for example, [11]).
Proposition 1.2. For any equipped group $(G, O)$ we have

$$
Z\left(\hat{G}_{O}\right)=\gamma^{-1}\left(Z\left(G_{O}\right)\right)
$$

where $Z\left(G_{O}\right)$ and $Z\left(\hat{G}_{O}\right)$ are the centers, respectively, of $G_{O}$ and $\hat{G}_{O}$.
It is easy to see that the first homology group $H_{1}\left(\hat{G}_{O}, \mathbb{Z}\right)=\hat{G}_{O} /\left[\hat{G}_{O}, \hat{G}_{O}\right]$ of $\hat{G}_{O}$ is a free abelian group of rank $m$. Let ab: $\hat{G}_{O} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\hat{G}_{O}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ be the natural epimorphism. The group $H_{1}\left(\hat{G}_{O}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{m}$ is generated by $\operatorname{ab}\left(y_{g_{i}}\right)=(0, \ldots, 0,1,0 \ldots, 0)$ ( 1 stands on the $i$-th place), where $g_{i} \in C_{i}$.

The homomorphism of semigroups $\tau=\mathrm{ab} \circ \beta: S(G, O) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{m}$ is called the type homomorphism and the image $\tau(s)$ of $s \in S(G, O)$ is called the type of $s$. If $O$ consists of a single conjugacy class, then the homomorphism $\tau$ can (and will) be identified with the homomorphism $\ln : S(G, O) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$.
Lemma 1.2. Any element $\hat{g}$ of the $C$-group $\hat{G}_{O}$, associated with an equipped group $(G, O)$, can be represented in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{g}=\hat{g}_{1} \hat{g}_{2}^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{g}_{1}, \hat{g}_{2}$ are positive elements. In particular, $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}_{O}^{\prime}=\left[\hat{G}_{O}, \hat{G}_{O}\right]$ if and only if $a b\left(\hat{g}_{1}\right)=a b\left(\hat{g}_{2}\right)$ in representation (7) of $\hat{g}$ as a quotient of two positive elements $\hat{g}_{1}$ and $\hat{g}_{2}$.
Proof. Write $\hat{g}$ in the form: $\hat{g}=y_{g_{i_{1}}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \ldots y_{g_{i_{k}}}^{\varepsilon_{k}}$, where $g_{i_{j}} \in O$ and $\varepsilon_{j}= \pm 1$. To prove lemma, it suffices to note that by relations (5) we have $y_{g_{2}}^{-1} y_{g_{1}}=y_{g_{2}{ }^{-1} g_{1} g_{2}} y_{g_{2}}^{-1}$ for any $g_{1}, g_{2} \in O$.

Claim 1.5. Let $(G, O)$ be a finite equipped group. The homomorphism $\beta: S_{O} \rightarrow \hat{G}_{O}$ is an embedding if and only if $O \subset Z\left(G_{O}\right)$, that is, $G_{O}$ is an abelian group.

Proof. Let $O=C_{1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{m}$ be the decomposition into the union of conjugacy classes. It is easy to see that if $O \subset Z\left(G_{O}\right)$ then $\hat{G}_{O} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{|O|}$, where the isomorphism is induced by homomorphism $a b$, and in this case the semigroup $S_{O}$ can be identified with semigroup $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{|O|} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{|O|}$.

If $O \not \subset Z\left(G_{O}\right)$, then there is $C_{i} \subset O$ consisting of at least two elements, say $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$. Let $n$ be their order in $G$. Then it is easy to see that $x_{g_{1}}^{n} \neq x_{g_{2}}^{n}$ in $S_{O}$. On the other hand, their images $y_{g_{1}}^{n}=\beta\left(x_{g_{1}}^{n}\right)$ and $y_{g_{2}}^{n}=\beta\left(x_{g_{2}}^{n}\right)$ coincide in $\hat{G}_{O}$. Indeed, without loss of generality? we can assume that there is $g \in G_{O}$ such that $g_{2}=g^{-1} g_{1} g$. Consider an element $\hat{g} \in \gamma^{-1}(g)$. Then

$$
\hat{g}^{-1} y_{g_{1}}^{n} \hat{g}=\left(\hat{g}^{-1} y_{g_{1}} \hat{g}\right)^{n}=y_{g^{-1} g_{1} g}^{n}=y_{g_{2}}^{n},
$$

but by Proposition 1.2, $y_{g_{1}}^{n}$ and $y_{g_{2}}^{n}$ belong to $Z\left(\hat{G}_{O}\right)$. Therefore $y_{g_{1}}^{n}=y_{g_{2}}^{n}$.
1.3. Hurwitz equivalence. As above, let $O$ be a subset of $G$ invariant under the action by inner automorphisms of $G$. Consider the set

$$
O^{n}=\left\{\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \mid g_{i} \in O\right\}
$$

of all ordered $n$-tuples in $O$ and let $\mathrm{Br}_{n}$ be the braid group with $n$ strings. We fix a set $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right\}$ of so called standard (or Artin) generators of $\mathrm{Br}_{n}$, that is, generators being subject to the relations

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a_{i} a_{i+1} a_{i}=a_{i+1} a_{i} a_{i+1} & & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1, \\
a_{i} a_{k} & =a_{k} a_{i} &  \tag{8}\\
|i-k| \geqslant 2 .
\end{array}
$$

The group $\mathrm{Br}_{n}$ acts on $O^{n}$ as follows

$$
\left.\left(\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_{i}, g_{i+1}, g_{i+2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right) a_{i}=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_{i} g_{i+1} g_{i}^{-1}, g_{i}, g_{i+2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Usually, the action of the standard generators $a_{i} \in \mathrm{Br}_{n}$ and their inverses on $O^{n}$ is called Hurwitz moves. Two elements in $O^{n}$ are called Hurwitz equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by a finite sequence of Hurwitz moves, that is, if they belong to the same orbit under the action of $\mathrm{Br}_{n}$.

There is a natural map (product map) $\alpha: O^{n} \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$
\alpha\left(\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right)=g_{1} \ldots g_{n}
$$

and an element $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in O^{n}$ is called a factorization of $g=\alpha\left(\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right) \in G$ with factors in $O$.

There is a natural map $\varphi: O^{n} \rightarrow S(G, O)$ sending $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ to $s=x_{g_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{g_{n}}$.
Claim 1.6. Two factorizations $y$ and $z \in O^{n}$ are Hurwitz equivalent if and only if $\varphi(y)=\varphi(z)$.

Proof. Evident.

Remark 1.1. In what follows, according with Claim 1.6, we identify classes of Hurwitz equivalent factorizations in $O$ with their images in $S(G, O)$.

Define also the conjugation action of $G$ on $O^{n}$ :

$$
\lambda(g)\left(\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right)=\left(g^{-1} g_{1} g, \ldots, g^{-1} g_{n} g\right) .
$$

Obviously, this action is compatible under the map $\varphi$ with the conjugation action of $G$ on $S(G, O)$ defined above.

Denote by $W=W(O)$ the set of words in the alphabet $X=X_{O \backslash\{1\}}$ and by $W_{n}$ its subset consisting of the words of length $n$. In what follows, the elements of the set $O^{n}$ will be identified with the elements of $W_{n}$ (identification: $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in O^{n} \leftrightarrow$ $\left.x_{g_{1}} \ldots x_{g_{n}} \in W_{n}\right)$ and we put

$$
W(s)=\{w \in W \mid \varphi(w)=s \in S(G, O)\} .
$$

1.4. Finite presentability of some subsemigroups of $S(G, O)$. Let $(G, O)$ be a finite equipped group. By definition, the semigroup $S_{O}$ is finitely presented. From geometric point of view the most interesting subsemigroups of $S_{G}$ are $S_{O, 1}$ and $S_{O, 1}^{G}=$ $\left\{s \in S_{O, 1} \mid G_{s}=G\right\}$. (Note that $S_{O, 1}^{G}$ is non-empty if and only if $G_{O}=G$.) In this subsection, we will show that the semigroups $S_{O, 1}$ are finitely presented, but for the semigroups $S_{O, 1}^{G}$ the property to be finitely presented (and, moreover, to be finitely generated) is not obligatory.

Let $N=|G|$ be the order of $G$ and $\mathcal{C}=\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}\right\}$ be the set of conjugacy classes of $G$ such that $O=\cup C_{i}$. For $C \in \mathcal{C}$ let $n_{C}=n_{g}$ be the order of $g \in C$. In each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ we choose and fix an element $g_{C} \in C$.

It is evident that a necessary condition for a subsemigroup $S$ of $S_{O}$ to be finitely generated is that its image $\tau(S)$ is a finitely generated semigroup, where $\tau: S_{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$ is the type homomorphism.

Theorem 1.1. A factorization semigroup $S_{O, 1}$ over a finite group $G$ is finitely presented.

Proof. Let $O=C_{1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{m}$ be the decomposition into the union of conjugacy classes and let $\mathbf{1} \notin O$. We numerate the elements of $O=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{K}\right\}$ so that $g_{i}=g_{C_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.

For any $g \in O$ we have $s_{g}=x_{g}^{n_{g}} \in S_{O, 1}$. Let $F=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{M}\right\}$ be the set of elements of $S_{O, 1}$ of length less or equal to $K^{N}$, where $N=|G|$, and we assume also that $s_{i}=s_{g_{i}}=x_{g_{i}}^{n_{g_{i}}}$ for $i \leqslant K$. Let us show that the elements $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{M} \in F$ generate the semigroup $S_{O, 1}$.

Lemma 1.3. An element $s \in S_{O, 1}$ of length $\ln (s)>K^{N}$ can be written in the following form:

$$
s=s_{i_{1}}^{n_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{i_{l}}^{n_{l}} \cdot \bar{s},
$$

where $1 \leqslant i_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant i_{l} \leqslant K$ and $\bar{s} \in S_{O, 1}$ with $\ln (\bar{s}) \leqslant K^{N}$.

Proof. If $\ln (s)>K^{N}$, then in a presentation of $s$ as a product $x_{g_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{g_{\ln (s)}}$ there are at least $N$ coinciding factors $x_{g}$ for some $g \in O$. Since $n_{g} \leqslant N$, moving $n_{g}$ of these factors to the left (by means of relations (1)), we obtain that $s=s_{g} \cdot s^{\prime}$, where $s^{\prime} \in S_{O, 1}$ and $\ln \left(s^{\prime}\right)<\ln (s)$.

It follows from Lemma 1.3 that $S_{O, 1}$ is generated by the elements $s \in S_{O, 1}$ of length $\ln (s) \leqslant K^{N}$, that is, $S_{O, 1}$ is finitely generated.

To show that $S_{O, 1}$ is finitely presented, let us divide the set of all relations as follows. The first set $R_{1}$ of relations consists of relations:

$$
s_{i} \cdot s_{j}=s_{j} \cdot s_{i}, \quad s_{i}, s_{j} \in F .
$$

Denote by $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{M}\right)$ an ordered collection of non-negative integers and put $s_{\mathbf{k}}=s_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{M}^{k_{M}}$. In view of the existence of relations $R_{1}$, we can assume that all other relations in $S_{O, 1}$ connecting the generators $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{M}$ have the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}=s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if we have a relation of form (9), then $G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}}=G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}}$ and $\tau\left(s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}\right)=\tau\left(s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}\right)$.
Consider the set $\bar{R}_{2}$ of all relations of form (9) for which $G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}}$ is a proper subgroup of $G$. By induction, we can assume that the semigroups $S(\Gamma, \bar{O})_{1}$ are finitely presented for all equipped groups $(\Gamma, \bar{O})$ of order less than $N$. Since there are only finitely many proper subgroups of $G$ and the embeddings $\left(G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}}, O \cap G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}}\right) \hookrightarrow(G, O)$ define the embeddings $S\left(G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}}, O \cap G_{s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}} \hookrightarrow S_{O, \mathbf{1}}$, we obtain that there is a finite set of relations $R_{2} \subset \bar{R}_{2}$ generating all relations of $\bar{R}_{2}$.

Denote by $R_{3}$ the set of all relations in $S_{O, 1}$ of the form $s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}=s_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{2}}}$ which are not contained in $R_{1} \cap R_{2}$ and such that $\ln \left(s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}\right) \leqslant K^{N}$. It is easy to see that $R_{3}$ is a finite set.

For each element $s_{i}$ of the set of generators of $S_{O, 1}$ with $i \geqslant K+1$, we put

$$
n_{i}=\min _{n}\left\{\ln \left(s_{i}^{n}\right)>K^{N}\right\}-1 .
$$

From Lemma 1.3 it follows
Lemma 1.4. For any $i \geqslant K+1$ the element $s_{i}^{n_{i}+1}$ can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}^{n_{i}+1}=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{K} s_{j}^{a_{j}}\right) \cdot s_{l}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{K}\right)$ is a collection of non-negative integers and $s_{l} \in F$ is a generator with index $l \geqslant K+1$.

Denote by $R_{4}$ the set of relations of form (10). It is a finite set. By Lemma 1.4, applying relations of the set $R_{1} \cup R_{4}$, each element $s \in S_{O, 1}$ can be written in the form: $s=s_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{M}\right)$ satisfies the following condition: $k_{i} \leqslant n_{i}$ for $i \geqslant K+1$.

An element $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ is called $\Gamma$-primitive if in $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{M}\right)$ all $k_{i} \leqslant 1$ for $i \leqslant K$, $k_{i} \leqslant n_{i}$ for $i \geqslant K+1$, and $G_{s_{\mathbf{k}}}=\Gamma$. By Lemma 1.1, for each $G$-primitive element $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ we have the following relations in $S_{O, 1}$ :

$$
s_{i} \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}}=s_{j} \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}},
$$

where $i \leqslant m$ and $j \leqslant K$ is such that $g_{j} \in C_{i}$. Denote by $R_{5}$ the set of all such relations. Obviously, $R_{5}$ is a finite set.

Let $s \in S_{O, 1}$ be such that $G_{s}=G$. Applying relations of $R_{5}$, as above it is easy to show that $s$ can be written in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{a_{j}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ is some $G$-primitive element. Denote by $\bar{R}_{6}$ the set of relations in $S_{O, 1}$ of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{b_{j, 1}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{b_{j, 2}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}$ and $s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}$ are $G$-primitive elements.
To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that the relations of $\bar{R}_{6}$ are consequences of a finite set of relations $R_{6}$. Since there are only finitely many $G$-primitive elements, it is suffices to show that for fixed $G$-primitive elements $s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}$ and $s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}$ relations of form (12) are consequences of a finite set of relations. For this purpose, consider the semigroup $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}=\left\{\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m} \mid a_{i} \geqslant 0\right\}$.

A subsemigroup $S$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$ is called non-perforated if for any $\mathbf{a} \in S$ and any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$ the element $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b} \in S$. Note that if $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are non-perforated subsemigroups, then $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ and $S_{1} \cap S_{2}$ are also non-perforated subsemigroups. An element a of a non-perforated subsemigroup $S$ is called an origin of $S$ if there does not exist elements $\mathbf{b} \in S$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}$. Denote by $O(S)$ the set of origins of a non-perforated subsemigroup $S$. A non-perforated subsemigroup $S$ with a single origin is called prime. It is easy to see that if $\mathbf{a}$ is the origin of a prime non-perforated subsemigroup $S$, then

$$
S=F_{\mathbf{a}}=\left\{\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m} \mid \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}\right\} .
$$

It is obvious that a non-perforated subsemigroup $S$ can be represented as a union of prime non-perforated subsemigroups, for example,

$$
S=\bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in S} F_{\mathbf{a}}
$$

Let $A$ be a subset of $S$ and let $S$ be represented as the union of prime non-perforated subsemigroups,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in A} F_{\mathbf{a}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that representation (13) is minimal if

$$
S \neq \bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in A \backslash\left\{\mathbf{a}_{0}\right\}} F_{\mathbf{a}}
$$

for any $\mathbf{a}_{0} \in A$.
Claim 1.7. For a non-perforated subsemigroup $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$ there is the unique minimal representation as the union of prime non-perforated subsemigroups, namely,

$$
S=\bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in O(S)} F_{\mathbf{a}}
$$

Proof. It follows from the definition of origins that if $S=\cup F_{\mathbf{a}_{i}}$ is a representation as the union of prime non-performed subsemigroups and $\mathbf{a}$ is an origin of $S$, then $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}$ for some $i$.

Assume that

$$
C=S \backslash \bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in O(S)} F_{\mathbf{a}}
$$

is not empty, then there is $\mathbf{c}_{0}=\left(c_{1,0}, \ldots, c_{m, 0}\right) \in C$ such that $c_{m, 0}=\min c_{m}$ for $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right) \in C, c_{m-1,0}=\min c_{m-1}$ for $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m-1}, c_{m, 0}\right) \in C, \ldots, c_{1,0}=\min c_{1}$ for $\left(c_{1}, c_{2,0} \ldots, c_{m, 0}\right) \in C$. It is obvious that $\mathbf{c}_{0}$ is an origin of $S$.

Proposition 1.3. Every increasing sequence of non-perforated subsemigroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$,

$$
S_{1} \subset S_{2} \subset S_{3} \subset \ldots,
$$

such that $S_{i} \neq S_{i+1}$ is finite.
Proof. Proposition is obvious if $m=1$. let us use the induction on $m$. Consider an increasing sequence of non-perforated subsemigroups $S_{1} \subset S_{2} \subset S_{3} \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$, $m \geqslant 2$. Denote by $P_{j}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m} \mid z_{m}=j\right\}$, and $S_{i, j}=S_{i} \cap P_{j}$. Then $S_{i, j}$ also can be considered as a non-perforated subsemigroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m-1}$ (if we forget about the last coordinate). By inductive assumption, increasing sequences $S_{1, j} \subset S_{2, j} \subset S_{3, j} \subset \ldots$ must stop for each $j$. Denote by $\bar{S}_{j}=S_{i(j), j}$ the first biggest semigroups in these sequences.

Consider a map sh: $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{sh}\left(\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m-1}, z_{m}\right)\right)=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m-1}, z_{m}+1\right) .
$$

It follows from definition of non-perforated subsemigroups that $s h: S_{i, j} \rightarrow S_{i, j+1}$ is an embedding of semigroups. Therefore we can (and will) identify a semigroup $S_{i, j}$ with subsemigroup $s h^{n}\left(S_{i, j}\right)$ of $S_{i, j+n}$. It follows from definition of non-performed subsemigroups that if $j_{1}<j_{2}$, then $\bar{S}_{j_{1}}=S_{i\left(j_{1}\right), j_{1}} \subset \bar{S}_{j_{2}}=S_{i\left(j_{2}\right), j_{2}}$. As a result we obtain an increasing sequence of non-perforated subsemigroups

$$
S_{i(0), 0} \subset S_{i(1), 1} \subset S_{i(2), 2} \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m-1}
$$

It must stop. It is easy to see that if $S_{i\left(j_{0}\right), j_{0}}$ is the biggest semigroup, then $S_{i\left(j_{0}\right)}=$ $S_{i\left(j_{0}\right)+1}=S_{i\left(j_{0}\right)+2}=\ldots$.

Corollary 1.1. The set of origins $O(S)$ of a non-perforated subsemigroup $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$ is non-empty and finite.
Proof. If the set $O(S)=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{a}_{3}, \ldots\right\}$ is infinite, then by Claim 1.7 we will have an infinite increasing sequence

$$
F_{\mathbf{a}_{1}} \subset F_{\mathbf{a}_{1}} \cup F_{\mathbf{a}_{2}} \subset F_{\mathbf{a}_{1}} \cup F_{\mathbf{a}_{2}} \cup F_{\mathbf{a}_{3}} \subset \ldots
$$

which contradicts Proposition 1.3.
Let us return to the proof that the relations of the set $\bar{R}_{6}$ are consequences of a finite set of relations $R_{6}$. For this purpose, note that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{b_{j, 1}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{b_{j, 2}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a relation, then

$$
\left(b_{1,1} n_{C_{1}}, \ldots, b_{m, 1} n_{C_{m}}\right)+\tau\left(s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}\right)=\left(b_{1,2} n_{C_{1}}, \ldots, b_{m, 2} n_{C_{m}}\right)+\tau\left(s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}\right) .
$$

Therefore if $\tau\left(s_{\mathbf{k}_{j}}\right)=\left(\alpha_{1, j}, \ldots, \alpha_{m, j}\right)$, then $\alpha_{i, 1} \equiv \alpha_{i, 2}\left(\bmod n_{C_{i}}\right)$ for all $i$. Put $a_{i, 1,0}=$ $b_{i, 1}-b_{i, 2}$ if $\alpha_{i, 2} \geqslant \alpha_{i, 1}$ and $a_{i, 1,0}=0$ if otherwise. Respectively, put $a_{i, 2,0}=b_{i, 2}-b_{i, 1}$ if $\alpha_{i, 1} \geqslant \alpha_{i, 2}$ and $a_{i, 2,0}=0$ if otherwise. We have

$$
n_{C_{i}} a_{i, 1,0}+\alpha_{i, 1}=n_{C_{i}} a_{i, 2,0}+\alpha_{i, 2}
$$

and $a_{i, 1,0}, a_{i, 2,0}$ are defined uniquely by $\alpha_{i, 1}, \alpha_{i, 2}$, and $n_{C_{i}}$. Moreover, if we denote $a_{i, j}=b_{i, j}-a_{i, j, 0}$, then $a_{i, 1}=a_{i, 2} \geqslant 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$, and each relation of the form (14) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{a_{j}}\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{a_{j, 1,0}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{a_{j}}\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{a_{j, 2,0}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{j}=a_{j, 1}=a_{j, 2}$.
If (15) is a relation in $S_{O, 1}$, then

$$
\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{a_{j}+b_{j}}\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{a_{j, 1,0}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{a_{j}+b_{j}}\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{a_{j, 2,0}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}
$$

is also a relation for each $\mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$ and it is a consequence of relation (15).

It follows from consideration above that the set $\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)\right\}$ of exponents interning into the relations written in the form (15) for fixed $s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}$ and $s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}$ form a nonperforated subsemigroup $F_{s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}, s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$. By Corollary 1.1, the set $O\left(F_{s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}, s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}}\right)$ of its origins is finite. It is easy to see that the relations (15) for fixed $s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}$ and $s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}$ are consequences of the relations corresponding to the origins of $F_{s_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}, s_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}}$, and since there are only finitely many $G$-primitive elements, we obtain that the relations of $\bar{R}_{6}$ are consequences of some finite subset $R_{6}$ of $\bar{R}_{6}$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, it suffices to note that all relations are consequences of the relations belonging to $R_{1} \cup \cdots \cup R_{6}$ which is a finite set.

Note that not any subsemigroup $S_{O, 1}^{G}$ of $S_{G}$ is finitely generated. For example, let $G \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ be generated by two elements $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$. If $O=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}\right\}$, then $S_{O, 1}^{G}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup

$$
S=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{2} \mid a_{1}>0, a_{2}>0\right\}
$$

which is not finitely generated.
Proposition 1.4. Let $(G, O)$ be a finite equipped group. Assume that $O$ is the union of conjugacy classes, $O=C_{1}, \cup \cdots \cup C_{m}$, such that for each $i$ the elements of $C_{i}$ generate the group $G$. Then the subsemigroup $S_{O, 1}^{G}$ of $S_{G}$ is finitely presented.

Proof. In notations used in the proof of Theorem 1.1, denote

$$
s_{C_{i}}=\prod_{g_{l} \in C_{i}} x_{g_{l}}^{n_{C_{i}}}=\prod_{g_{l} \in C_{i}} s_{l} .
$$

We have $s_{C_{i}} \in S_{O, 1}^{G}$, since the elements $g_{l} \in C_{i}$ generate $G$.
As it was shown in the proof of Theorem 1.1, any element $s \in S_{O, 1}^{G}$ can be written in the form (11):

$$
s=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}^{a_{i}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}}
$$

where $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ is some $G$-primitive element of $S_{O, \mathbf{1}}^{G}$. If $a_{i} \geqslant\left|C_{i}\right|$, then by Lemma 1.1,

$$
s_{i}^{a_{i}} \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}}=s_{C_{i}} \cdot s_{i}^{a_{i}-\left|C_{i}\right|} \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}} .
$$

Therefore any element $s \in S_{O, 1}^{G}$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} s_{C_{i}}^{b_{i}}\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}^{a_{i}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{k}$ and $0 \leqslant a_{i}<\left|C_{i}\right|$, and $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a $G$-primitive element. Since there are only finitely many expressions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}^{a_{i}}\right) \cdot s_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leqslant a_{i}<\left|C_{i}\right|$, and $s_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a $G$-primitive element, the end of the proof of Proposition 1.4 coincides with the proof of Theorem 1.1.
1.5. Stabilizing elements. If $G$ is an abelian finite group, then it is obvious that the type homomorphism $\tau: S_{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{|G|-1}$ is an isomorphism. If $G$ is not an abelian group and $c(G)$ is the number of conjugacy classes of its elements $g \neq \mathbf{1}$, then the type homomorphism $\tau: S_{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{c(G)}$ is a surjective, but not injective homomorphism, and one of the main problems is to describe the preimages $\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{a})$ of elements $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{c(G)}$ (in particular, to describe the set of elements $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{c(G)}$ for which each element $s \in \tau^{-1}(\mathbf{a})$ is uniquely determined by their value $\alpha(s) \in G$ ).

Proposition 1.5. Let $S_{O, 1}^{G}$ be as in Proposition 1.4. Then there is a constant $c=$ $c(G, O)$ such that for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$ the number $\left|\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{a})\right|$ of preimages of $\mathbf{a}$ under the homomorphism $\tau: S_{O, 1}^{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}^{m}$ is less than $c$.

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 1.4 it was shown that any element $s \in S_{O, 1}^{G}$ can be written in the form (16). Therefore Proposition 1.5 follows from that the number of different expressions of the form (17) is finite.

Note that Proposition 1.5 is false if we consider the semigroup $S_{O, 1}$ instead of $S_{O, 1}^{G}$, see, for example, Corollary 2.4.

An element $s \in S(G, O)$ is called stabilizing if $s \cdot s_{1}=s \cdot s_{2}$ for any $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S(G, O)$ such that $\tau\left(s_{1}\right)=\tau\left(s_{2}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)=\alpha\left(s_{2}\right)$. A semigroup $S(G, O)$ is called stable if it possesses a stabilizing element.

Claim 1.8. If $s$ is a stabilizing element of $S(G, O)$, then for any $s_{1} \in S(G, O)$ the element $s \cdot s_{1}$ is also a stabilizing element. In particular, if $S(G, O)$ is a stable semigroup, then there is a stabilizing element $s \in S(G, O)$ such that $\alpha(s)=\mathbf{1}$.

Proof. Evident.
Conway - Parker Theorem (see Appendix in [5]) gives some sufficient condition for a semigroup $S_{G}$ to be stable. To formulate this theorem, recall that a Schur covering group $R$ of a finite group $G$ is a group of maximal order with the property that $R$ has a subgroup $M \subset R^{\prime} \cap Z(R)$ satisfying $R / M \simeq G$, where $R^{\prime}=[R, R]$ is the commutator subgroup and $Z(R)$ is the center of $R$. Such an $R$ always exists (but non necessarily unique). The group $M$ isomorphic to the Schur multiplier $M(G)=H^{2}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ of $G$. The Schur multiplier $M(G)$ is said to be generated by commutators if $M \cap\left\{g^{-1} h^{-1} g h \mid\right.$ $g, h \in R\}$ generates $M$.

Theorem 1.2. (Conway - Parker) ([5]) Let $G$ be a finite group, $O=G \backslash \mathbf{1}=$ $C_{i} \cup \cdots \cup C_{m}$ the decomposition into the union of conjugacy classes, and denote

$$
\bar{s}=\prod_{g \in G \backslash\{1\}} x_{g}^{n_{g}} \in S_{G},
$$

where $n_{g}$ is the order of $g$ in $G$. Assume that the Schur multiplier $M(G)$ of $G$ is generated by commutators. Then there is a constant $n=n(G)$ such that $\bar{s}^{n}$ is a stabilizing element of $S_{G}$.

Note that a Schur covering group $G$ of a finite group $H$ satisfies the conditions of Conway - Parker Theorem (see [5]).

In the next section we will prove that factorization semigroups $S_{\mathcal{S}_{d}}$ over symmetric groups $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ are also stable. On the other hand, there are many finite equipped groups $(G, O)$ for which $S(G, O)$ is not a stable semigroup.
Proposition 1.6. Let $(H, \tilde{O})$ be a finite equipped group such that
(i) the elements of $\tilde{O}$ generate the group $H$;
(ii) $H^{\prime} \cap Z(H) \neq \mathbf{1}$;
(iii) $\tilde{g}_{1} \tilde{g}_{2}^{-1} \notin Z(H) \backslash\{\mathbf{1}\}$ for all $\tilde{g}_{1}, \tilde{g}_{2} \in \tilde{O}$.

Let $f: H \rightarrow H / Z(H)=G$ be the natural epimorphism and put $O=f(\tilde{O}) \subset G$. Then there are at least two elements $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S_{O, 1}^{G}$ such that $\tau\left(s \cdot s_{1}\right)=\tau\left(s \cdot s_{2}\right)$, but $s \cdot s_{1} \neq s \cdot s_{2}$ for all $s \in S_{O, 1}^{G}$.

In particular, if $\tilde{O}$ consists of a single conjugacy class of $H$, then there is a constant $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $t \in \tau\left(S_{O, 1}^{G}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant N}$ there are at least two elements $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S_{O, 1}^{G}$ such that $\tau\left(s_{1}\right)=\tau\left(s_{2}\right)=t$, but $s_{1} \neq s_{2}$.
Proof. By $(i)$, the elements of $O$ generate the group $G$. By (iii), the surjective map $f_{\mid O}: \tilde{O} \rightarrow O$ is a bijection, and if we denote $g_{i}=f\left(\tilde{g}_{i}\right)$ for $\tilde{g}_{i} \in \tilde{O}$, then the equality $g_{i}^{-1} g_{j} g_{i}=g_{k}$ holds in $G$ for some $g_{i}, g_{j}, g_{k} \in O$ if and only if the equality $\tilde{g}_{i}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{j} \tilde{g}_{i}=\tilde{g}_{k}$ holds in $H$. Therefore the induced homomorphism $f_{*}: S_{\tilde{O}} \rightarrow S_{O}$ (sending the generators $x_{\tilde{g}_{i}}$ of $S_{\tilde{O}}$ to the generators $x_{g_{i}}$ of $S_{O}$ ) is an isomorphism of semigroups. In particular, the restriction of $f_{*}$ to $S_{\tilde{O}, Z(H)}^{H}=\left\{\tilde{s} \in S_{O}^{H} \mid \alpha(\tilde{s}) \in Z(H)\right\}$ gives an isomorphism between $S_{\tilde{O}, Z(H)}^{H}$ and $S_{O, 1}^{G}$. In addition, the homomorphism $f$ induces a surjective homomorphism $f_{*}: \hat{H}_{\tilde{O}} \rightarrow \hat{G}_{O}$ of $C$-groups associated to $(H, \tilde{O})$ and $(G, O)$ (sending the generators $y_{\tilde{g}_{i}}$ of $\hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}$ to the generators $y_{g_{i}}$ of $\hat{G}_{O}$ ) such that the following diagram

is commutative and such that the induced homomorphism

$$
f_{* *}: H_{1}\left(\hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\hat{G}_{O}, \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism compatible with isomorphism $f_{*}: S_{\tilde{O}} \rightarrow S_{O}$ (that is, if $s=f_{*}(\tilde{s})$, then $\tau(s)=f_{* *}(\tau(\tilde{s}))$. Therefore to prove the first part of Proposition 1.6, it suffices
to show that there are two elements $\tilde{s}_{1}, \tilde{s}_{2} \in S_{\tilde{O}, Z(H)}^{H}$ such that $\tau\left(\tilde{s}_{1}\right)=\tau\left(\tilde{s}_{2}\right)$, but $\alpha\left(\tilde{s}_{1}\right) \neq \alpha\left(\tilde{s}_{2}\right)$. Indeed, for such two elements we will have that $\tau\left(\tilde{s} \cdot \tilde{s}_{1}\right)=\tau\left(\tilde{s} \cdot \tilde{s}_{2}\right)$, but $\alpha\left(\tilde{s}^{s} \cdot \tilde{s}_{1}\right) \neq \alpha\left(\tilde{s} \cdot \tilde{s}_{2}\right)$ for all $\tilde{s} \in S_{\tilde{O}, Z(H)}^{H}$. Therefore $s_{1}=f_{*}\left(\tilde{s}_{1}\right)$ and $s_{2}=f_{*}\left(\tilde{s}_{2}\right)$ are non-equal elements of $S_{O, 1}$ and $\tau\left(s \cdot s_{1}\right)=\tau\left(s \cdot s_{2}\right)$, but $s \cdot s_{1} \neq s \cdot s_{2}$ for all elements $s \in S_{O, 1}^{G}$ in view of isomorphism $f_{*}: S_{\tilde{O}, Z(H)}^{H} \xrightarrow{\simeq} S_{O, 1}^{G}$.

It follows from Proposition 1.2 that for any subgroup $\hat{H}_{1}$ of $\hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}$ we have

$$
\gamma\left(\hat{H}_{1} \cap Z\left(\hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}\right)\right)=\gamma\left(\hat{H}_{1}\right) \cap Z(H),
$$

in particular,

$$
\gamma\left(\hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}^{\prime} \cap Z\left(\hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}\right)\right)=H^{\prime} \cap Z(H) .
$$

Hence, by condition (ii), there is an element $\hat{h} \in \hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}^{\prime} \cap Z\left(\hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}\right) \backslash\{\mathbf{1}\}$. By Lemma 1.2, $\hat{h}=\hat{h}_{1} \hat{h}_{2}^{-1}$, where $\hat{h}_{1}=\beta\left(\hat{s}_{1}\right)$ and $\hat{h}_{2}=\beta\left(\hat{s}_{2}\right)$ for some $\hat{s}_{1}, \hat{s}_{2} \in S_{\hat{O}}$ (that is, $\hat{h}_{1}$ and $\hat{h}_{2}$ are positive elements). Since $\hat{h} \in \hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}^{\prime}$, we have $a b\left(\hat{h}_{1}\right)=a b\left(\hat{h}_{2}\right)$.

Each element of a finite group $H$ can be expressed as a positive word in its generators. Therefore, by condition $(i)$, there are $\hat{s} \in S_{\tilde{O}}$ and the positive element $\hat{g}=\beta(\hat{s}) \in \hat{H}_{\tilde{O}}$ such that $\gamma(\hat{g})=\gamma\left(\hat{h}_{2}^{-1}\right)$. Denote also by $\hat{s}_{0}=\prod_{\tilde{g}_{i} \in \tilde{O}} x_{\tilde{g}_{i}}^{n_{i}} \in S_{\tilde{O}, 1}^{H}$, where $n_{i}$ is the order of $\tilde{g}_{i}$. Then $\tilde{s}_{1}=\hat{s}_{0} \cdot \hat{s} \cdot \hat{s}_{1}$ and $\tilde{s}_{2}=\hat{s}_{0} \cdot \hat{s} \cdot \hat{s}_{2}$ are desired elements.

To prove the second part of Proposition 1.6, let us choose elements $\bar{s}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{s}_{n}$ generating $S_{O, 1}^{G}$ (by Proposition 1.4, the semigroup $S_{O, 1}^{G}$ is finitely generated in the case when $O$ consists of a single conjugacy class) and let $s_{1}, s_{2}$ be elements the existence of which was proved in the first part of the proof. Denote by $t_{0}=\tau\left(s_{1}\right)=\tau\left(s_{2}\right)$ and $t_{i}=\tau\left(\bar{s}_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$, and let $G C D\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=d, t_{i}=a_{i} d$. Then the type $\tau(s)$ of any element of $S_{O, 1}^{G}$ is divisible by $d$. Let us show that there is a constant $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an element $s \in S_{O, 1}^{G}$ with $\tau(s)=(M+j) d$. Indeed, there are $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} a_{i}=1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

After renumbering of $\bar{s}_{i}$ we can assume that $q_{i}=-p_{i}<0$ for $i \leqslant k$ and $q_{i} \geqslant 0$ for $i \geqslant k+1$. Denote by $M=a_{1} d \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} p_{i}$ and for $j=0,1, \ldots, a_{1}$ consider elements

$$
s_{0, j}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \bar{s}_{i}^{\left(a_{1}-j\right) p_{i}} \cdot \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} \bar{s}_{i}^{j q_{i}} \in S_{O, 1}^{G} .
$$

We have

$$
\tau\left(s_{0, j}\right)=d a_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i} a_{i}+d j\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} p_{i}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} a_{i} q_{i}\right)=d(M+j)
$$

for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant a_{1}$. Then $\tau\left(\bar{s}_{1}^{m} \cdot s_{0, j}\right)=d\left(m a_{1}+M+j\right)$. From this it is easy to see that $M$ satisfies the property that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an element $s \in S_{O, 1}^{G}$ with $\tau(s)=(M+j) d$, since

$$
\left\{d\left(m a_{1}+M+j\right) \mid m \geqslant 0,0 \leqslant j \leqslant a_{1}\right\}=d \mathbb{N}_{\geqslant M}
$$

To complete the proof of Proposition 1.6, note that $N=M+t_{0}=M+\tau\left(s_{1}\right)$ is a desired constant.

It is not difficult to give examples of groups $H$ satisfying conditions of Proposition 1.6. For example, let $H=S L_{p-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ be the group of $(p-1) \times(p-1)$-matrices with determinant 1 over the finite field $\mathbb{Z}_{p}, p \neq 2$. It is well-known that $H^{\prime}=H$ and $Z(H)$, consisting of scalar matrices, is a cyclic group of order $p-1$. For $i \neq j$ denote by $e_{i, j}$ the matrix whose entries are all zero except one entry equal to one at the intersection of the $i$ th row and $j$ th column. Put $t_{i, j}=e+e_{i, j}$, where $e$ is the identity matrix. It is well known that the matrices $t_{i, j}$ (the transvections) are all conjugate and that they generate the group $H=S L_{p-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Therefore for equipped group $(G, O)$, where $G=P G L_{p-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ and $O$ is the set of transvections, almost all elements of $S_{O, 1}^{G}$ are not defined uniquely by their type, that is, $S_{O, 1}^{G}$ (and, respectively, $S_{O}$ ) is not a stable semigroup.

## 2. Factorization semigroups over symmetric groups

2.1. Basic notations and definitions. Let $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ be the symmetric group acting on the set $\{1, \ldots, d\}=I_{d}$. Remind that an element $\sigma=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ sending $i_{1}$ to $i_{2}$, $i_{2}$ to $i_{3}, \ldots, i_{k-1}$ to $i_{k}, i_{k}$ to $i_{1}$, and leaving fixed all over elements of $I_{d}$ is called a cyclic permutation of length $k$. A cyclic permutation of length 2 is called a transposition. Any cyclic permutation $\sigma=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ is a product of $k-1$ transpositions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\left(i_{k}, i_{k-1}\right)\left(i_{k-1}, i_{k-2}\right) \ldots\left(i_{2}, i_{1}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

A factorization (19) of $\sigma=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ is called canonical if $i_{1}=\min _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant k} i_{j}$.
As is well-known, any permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{d}, \sigma \neq 1$, can be represented as a product of cyclic permutations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\left(i_{1,1}, \ldots, i_{k_{1}, 1}\right)\left(i_{1,2}, \ldots, i_{k_{2}, 2}\right) \ldots\left(i_{1, m}, \ldots, i_{k_{m}, m}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1} \geqslant k_{2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant k_{m} \geqslant 2$ and any two sets $\left\{i_{1, j_{1}}, \ldots, i_{k_{j_{1}, j_{1}}}\right\}$ and $\left\{i_{1, j_{2}}, \ldots, i_{k_{j_{2}}, j_{2}}\right\}$ have empty intersection if $j_{1} \neq j_{2}$. If $\sigma$ is written in the form (20), then the ordered collection $t(\sigma)=\left[k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m}\right]$ is called the type of $\sigma$ and the number $l_{t}(\sigma)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i}-m$ is called the transposition length of $\sigma$.

Note that for any $k_{1} \geqslant k_{2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant k_{m} \geqslant 2$ such that $\sum k_{j} \leqslant d$ there is a permutation $\sigma$ of the type $\left[k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m}\right]$, and as is known, two permutations $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are conjugated in $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ if and only if $t\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=t\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$. For a fixed type $t(\sigma)=\left[k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m}\right]$ a permutation

$$
\left(1, \ldots, k_{1}\right)\left(k_{1}+1, \ldots, k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \ldots\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} k_{i}+1, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i}\right)
$$

is called the canonical representative of the type $t(\sigma)$. The type $t\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=\left[k_{1,1}, \ldots, k_{m_{1}, 1}\right]$ is said to be greater than the type $t\left(\sigma_{2}\right)=\left[k_{1,2}, \ldots, k_{m_{2}, 2}\right]$ if there is $l \geqslant 0$ such that $k_{1, i}=k_{2, i}$ for $i \leqslant l$ and $k_{1, l+1}>k_{2, l+1}\left(\right.$ here $k_{j, i}=0$ if $i>m_{j}$ ). We say that a cyclic permutation $\sigma_{1}=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k_{1}}\right)$ is greater than a cyclic permutation $\sigma_{2}=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k_{2}}\right)$ if either $t\left(\sigma_{1}\right)>t\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$ or if $t\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=t\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$ then there is $r<k_{1}=k_{2}$ such that $j_{1}=l_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}=l_{r}$, and $j_{r+1}>l_{r+1}$ in the canonical factorizations of $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$. Finally, we say that a permutation $\sigma_{1}$ is greater than a permutation $\sigma_{2}$ if either $t\left(\sigma_{1}\right)>t\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$ or if $t\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=t\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$ and $\sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i, 1} \ldots \sigma_{i, m}, i=1,2$, are cyclic factorizations, then there is $l$ such that $\sigma_{1, j}=\sigma_{2, j}$ for $j<l$ and $\sigma_{1, l}>\sigma_{2, l}$. Denote by $\mathcal{T}=\left\{t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{N}\right\}$ the set of all types of permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$.

By definition, the factorization semigroup $\Sigma_{d}=S\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}, \mathcal{S}_{d}\right)$ over the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ is generated by the alphabet $X=\left\{x_{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{d}\right\}$. Let $s=x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{n}}$ be an element of $\Sigma_{d}$. Applying relations (1) and (2), we can assume that $t\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant t\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$, then the sum $\tau(s)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} t_{i}$ is the type of $s$, where $a_{i}$ is the number of factors $x_{\sigma_{j}}$, $t\left(\sigma_{j}\right)=t_{i}$, interning in $s$.

For a subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ denote $\Sigma_{d}^{\Gamma}=\left\{s \in \Sigma_{d} \mid \alpha(s) \in \Gamma\right\}$.
2.2. Decompositions into products of transpositions. Denote by $T_{d}$ the set of transpositions in $\mathcal{S}_{d}$. The subsemigroup $S_{T_{d}}$ of $\Sigma_{d}$ is generated by $x_{(i, j)}, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant d$, $i \neq j$, being subject to the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{(i, j)}=x_{(j, i)} \text { for all }\{i, j\}_{\text {ord }} \subset I_{d} ; \\
& x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)}=x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}=x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)} \text { for all }\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}_{\text {ord }} \subset I_{d} ;  \tag{21}\\
& x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{3}, i_{4}\right)}=x_{\left(i_{3}, i_{4}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \text { for all }\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right\}_{\text {ord }} \subset I_{d}
\end{align*}
$$

(here $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}_{\text {ord }}$ means a subset of $I_{d}$ consisting of $k$ ordered elements, so that for any subset $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$ of $I_{d}$ we have $k$ ! ordered subsets $\left.\left\{\sigma\left(i_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(i_{k}\right)\right\}_{\text {ord }}, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{k}\right)$.

Denote by $S_{T_{d}, \mathbf{1}}=S_{T_{d}} \cap \Sigma_{d, \mathbf{1}}$. By Proposition 1.1 (4), the semigroup $\Sigma_{d, \mathbf{1}}$ is a subsemigroup of the center of $\Sigma_{d}$. In particular it is a commutative semigroup.

It is easy to see that for each $\{i, j\} \subset I_{d}$ the element $s_{(i, j)}=x_{i, j} \cdot x_{i, j}=x_{(i, j)}^{2}$ belongs to $S_{T_{d}, \mathbf{1}}$. The element

$$
h_{d, g}=s_{(1,2)}^{g+1} \cdot s_{(2,3)} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{(d-1, d)} \in S_{T_{d}, \mathbf{1}} \subset \Sigma_{d}
$$

is called a Hurwitz element of genus $g$.
Lemma 2.1. For any ordered subset $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k+1}\right\}_{\text {ord }} \subset I_{d}$ and for any $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, the element $s=x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{2}, j_{3}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{k+1}\right)} \in S_{T_{d}}$ is equal to

$$
s_{i}=x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{i-1}, j_{i}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{k+1}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{k+1}, j_{i+1}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)}
$$

Proof. By (21), we have (in each step of transformations the underlining means that we will transform the underlined factors and the result of transformation is written in brackets)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{2}, j_{3}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{k+1}\right)}= \\
& x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot \frac{x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{i+1}\right)} \cdot\left(x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{k+1}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)}\right)}{}= \\
& x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{i-1}, j_{i}\right)}\left(\cdot x_{\left(j_{i+1}, j_{k+1}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{i+1}\right)}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)}= \\
& x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{i-1}, j_{i}\right)} \cdot \frac{\left(x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{k+1}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{k+1}, j_{i+1}\right)}\right) \cdot x_{\left(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)} .}{} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.2. For any ordered subset $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}\right\}_{o r d} \subset I_{d}$ and for any $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, the element $s=x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{2}, j_{3}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{k}\right)} \in S_{T_{d}}$, where $k \leqslant d-1$, is equal to $s_{i}=x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{i-1}, j_{i}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{i+1}\right)}^{2}$.

Proof. By (21), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{2}, j_{3}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{k}\right)}= \\
& \left.x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{2}, j_{3}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot \underline{x_{\left(j_{k-2}, j_{k-1}\right)} \cdot\left(x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{k-1}\right)}\right.} \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)}\right)=\cdots= \\
& x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{i-1}, j_{i}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{i+1}\right)} \cdot\left(x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{i+1}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}\right)}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)}= \\
& x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{i-1}, j_{i}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{i+1}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)}= \\
& x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{i-1}, j_{i}\right)} \cdot \overline{\left(x_{\left(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{i+1}\right)}^{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i+2}, j_{i+3}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)}=\cdots= \\
& x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{i-1}, j_{i}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{i+1}\right)}^{2}\right)=s_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.3. The following equalities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)}=x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)}^{2}=x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)}=x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} ;  \tag{22}\\
x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)}^{2}=x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)}^{2}=x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)}^{2} \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold for all ordered triples $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}_{\text {ord }} \subset I_{d}$; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(i_{3}, i_{4}\right)}^{2}=x_{\left(i_{3}, i_{4}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for all ordered 4 -tuples $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right\}_{\text {ord }} \subset I_{d}$.
Proof. We will check only two of three equalities (22), since the inspection of the other equalities is similar. By (21), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)}=x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)}}{}=x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot\left(x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)}\right)= \\
& \left(x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)}\right) \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)}^{=}=x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)}=x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)}}{}=x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot\left(x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\right)= \\
& \left(x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\right) \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{=x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following lemma is a particular case of Lemma 1.1.
Lemma 2.4. For any ordered subset $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}\right\}_{\text {ord }} \subset I_{d}$ the following equality:

$$
x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{2}, j_{3}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)}=x_{\left(j_{i}, j_{l}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(j_{2}, j_{3}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k-1}, j_{k}\right)}
$$

holds, where $1 \leqslant i<l \leqslant k$.
To each word $w\left(\overline{x_{(i, j)}}\right)=x_{\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)} \ldots x_{\left(i_{m}, j_{m}\right)} \in W=W\left(T_{d}\right)$, let us associate a graph $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{w}$ consisting of $d$ vertices $v_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, the set of edges is in one to one correspondence with the collection of letters incoming in $w$ so that two vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are connected by an edge if the letter $x_{(i, j)}$ is contained in $w$, in particular the number of edges connecting vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ coincides with the number of entry of the letter $x_{(i, j)}$ in $w$. The edges of the graph $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{w}$ are numbered according to the position of the corresponding letter in $w$. Denote by $V_{\text {iso }}$ the set of isolated vertices of $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{w}$ (that is, a vertex $v_{i}$ is isolated if it is not connected by an edge with some other vertex of $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{w}$ ) and put $\Gamma_{w}=\widetilde{\Gamma}_{w} \backslash V_{\text {iso }}$.

Lemma 2.5. For any $s \in S_{T_{d}}$ and for any $w_{1}, w_{2} \in W(s)$ the graphs $\Gamma_{w_{1}}$ and $\Gamma_{w_{2}}$ have the same sets of vertices $V(s)=V\left(\Gamma_{w_{1}}\right)=V\left(\Gamma_{w_{2}}\right)$.
Proof. It is easily follows from relations (21).
Proposition 2.1. Let $s \in S_{T_{d}}$ be of length $k \leqslant d-1$. Then $\alpha(s) \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ is a cyclic permutation of length $k$ if and only if $s$ satisfies the following condition:
there is a word $w \in W(s)$ those graph $\Gamma_{w}$ is a tree.
Moreover, an element s satisfying condition (*) is uniquely defined by the cyclic permutation $\alpha(s)$.

Proof. Let us show that if $s$ satisfies condition (*), then there are exactly $k=\ln (s)$ words $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k} \in W(s)$ such that $\Gamma_{w_{i}}$ are simple paths if we go along the edges according to their numbering. Indeed, it is easy to see that Lemma 2.1 implies the existence of a word $w_{1}=x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} x_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)} \ldots x_{\left(i_{k-1}, i_{k}\right)}$ whose graph $\Gamma_{w_{1}}$ is a simple path. Let us show that if we move the letter $x_{\left(i_{k-1}, i_{k}\right)}$ to the left then we again obtain a word $w_{2}$ defining the same element $s$ and such that $\Gamma_{w_{2}}$ is a simple path. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s= & x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot \frac{x_{\left(i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{k-1}, i_{k}\right)}}{}= \\
& \left.x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot \frac{x_{\left(i_{k-3}, i_{k-2}\right)} \cdot\left(x_{\left(i_{k-2}, i_{k}\right)}\right.}{} \cdot x_{\left(i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}\right)}\right)=\cdots= \\
& \left(x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{k}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeating such transformations $k$ times, we find desired words $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}$.
We have $\alpha(s)=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \ldots\left(i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}\right)\left(i_{k-1}, i_{k}\right)$ is a cyclic permutation of length $k$. On the other hand, if $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ is a cyclic permutation of length $k$ then it can be
represented as a product of $k-1$ transpositions $\sigma=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \ldots\left(i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}\right)\left(i_{k-1}, i_{k}\right)$ and, obviously, that $\alpha(s)=\sigma$ for $s=x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{k-1}, i_{k}\right)}$ and the graph $\Gamma_{x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \ldots x_{\left(i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}\right)} x_{\left(i_{k-1}, i_{k}\right)}}$ satisfies condition (*).

Now if we fix a set $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subset I_{d}$ then there are exactly $(k-1)$ ! distinct cyclic permutations in $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ of length $k$ cyclicly permuting the elements of the set $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$. On the other hand, there are exactly $k$ ! distinct simple paths connecting the vertices $v_{i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}$. Therefore, the elements $s$ satisfying condition $(*)$ are defined uniquely by the cyclic permutations $\alpha(s)$.

Theorem 2.1. For any $s \in S_{T_{d}}$ the difference $\ln (s)-l_{t}(\alpha(s))$ is a non-negative even number and there are elements $\widetilde{s} \in S_{T_{d}}$ and $\bar{s} \in S_{T_{d}, \mathbf{1}}$ such that $s=\widetilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$, the length $\ln (\widetilde{s})=l_{t}(\alpha(s))$ and $\alpha(\widetilde{s})=\alpha(s)$.

If $s \in S_{T_{d}}^{\mathcal{S}_{d}}$ and $\ln (s) \geqslant l_{t}(\alpha(s))+2(d-1)$, then one can find a factorization $s=\widetilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$, where $\bar{s}=h_{d, g}$ with $g=\frac{1}{2}\left(\ln (s)-l_{t}(\alpha(s))\right)-d+1$ and $\widetilde{s}$ is such that $\ln (\widetilde{s})=l_{t}(\alpha(s))$, $\alpha(\widetilde{s})=\alpha(s)$, moreover, $\widetilde{s}$ is defined uniquely by $\alpha(s)$.
Proof. Consider the graph $\Gamma_{w}$ of some $w \in W(s)$. It splits into the disjoint union of its connected components: $\Gamma_{w}=\Gamma_{w, 1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Gamma_{w, l}$. It is easily follows from (21) that $s=\varphi\left(w_{1}\left(\overline{x_{(i, j)}}\right)\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot \varphi\left(w_{l}\left(\overline{\left.x_{(i, j)}\right)}\right)\right)$, where $w_{i}\left(\overline{x_{(i, j)}}\right)$ is a word in letters $x_{(i, j)}$ 's such that $\Gamma_{w_{i}}=\Gamma_{w, i}$. Let $s_{i}=\varphi\left(w_{i}\right) \in S_{T_{d}}$ be an element defined by the word $w_{i}$. We have $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{s_{i}} \cap\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{s_{j}}=\mathbf{1}$ for $i \neq j$, in particular, $s_{i} \cdot s_{j}=s_{j} \cdot s_{i}$. Applying Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that for each $i$ we can find a representation of $s_{i}$ as a word in letters $x_{(i, j)}$ 's such that

$$
s_{i}=x_{\left(j_{1, i}, j_{2, i}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(j_{k_{i}-1, i, i} j_{k_{i, i}, i}\right.} \cdot s_{i, 1}
$$

and the set $\left\{v_{j_{1}, i}, \ldots, v_{j_{k_{i}}, i}\right\}$ is the complete set of the vertices of $\Gamma_{w_{i}}$.
Let $x_{\left(j_{a}, j_{b}\right)}, a<b$, be the first factor of $s_{i, 1}$ if $s_{i, 1} \neq x_{\mathbf{1}}$. Then it follows from relations (21) and Lemma 2.2 that $s_{i}$ can be written in the form : $s_{i}=s_{i}^{\prime} \cdot x_{\left(j_{a}, j_{b}\right)}^{2}$. Note that $x_{\left(j_{a}, j_{b}\right)}^{2} \in S_{T_{d}, 1}$ and $\ln \left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\ln \left(s_{i}\right)-2<\ln \left(s_{i}\right)$, that is, we obtain that $s$ can be written in the form: $s=\widetilde{s}_{1} \cdot \bar{s}_{1}$, where $\ln \left(\widetilde{s}_{1}\right)<\ln (s)$ and $\bar{s}_{1} \in S_{T_{d}, \mathbf{1}}$, in addition, $\alpha\left(\widetilde{s}_{1}\right)=\alpha(s)$, since $\bar{s}_{1} \in S_{T_{d}, \mathbf{1}}$. Repeating, if necessary, these arguments for $\widetilde{s}_{1}, \ldots$, as a result we obtain that $s$ can be written in the form: $s=\widetilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$, where $\bar{s} \in S_{T_{d}, \mathbf{1}}$ is a product of some squares of $x_{(i, j)}$ 's and $\widetilde{s}=s_{1} \cdot \ldots s_{m} \in S_{T_{d}}$, where for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ the elements $s_{i}=x_{\left(j_{1, i}, j_{2, i}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{k_{i}-1, i, j}, j_{k_{i}, i}\right)}$ are such that the subsets $\left\{j_{1, i}, \ldots, j_{k_{i}, i}\right\}$ and $\left\{j_{1, l}, \ldots, j_{k_{l}, l}\right\}$ of $I_{d}$ have the empty intersection for $i \neq l$. Therefore

$$
\alpha(s)=\alpha(\widetilde{s})=\left(j_{k_{1}, 1}, \ldots, j_{1,1}\right) \ldots\left(j_{k_{m}, m}, \ldots, j_{1, m}\right)
$$

and hence $\ln (\widetilde{s})=l_{t}(\alpha(s))$.
Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, the elements $s_{i}$ are defined uniquely (up to renumbering) by $\alpha\left(s_{i}\right)$.

Now let $s=\widetilde{s} \cdot \bar{s} \in S_{T_{d}}^{\mathcal{S}_{d}}$ with $\ln (s) \geqslant l_{t}(\alpha(s))+2(d-1)$, where $\bar{s} \in S_{T_{d} 1}$ is a product of some squares of $x_{(i, j)}$ 's and $\widetilde{s}$ is such that

$$
\alpha(s)=\alpha(\widetilde{s})=\left(j_{1,1}, \ldots, j_{k_{1}, 1}\right) \ldots\left(j_{1, m}, \ldots, j_{k_{m}, m}\right)
$$

and $\ln (\widetilde{s})=l_{t}(\alpha(s))$. Note that $\ln (\bar{s}) \geqslant 2(d-1)$, since $\ln (\widetilde{s})=l_{t}(\alpha(s))$.
Consider the graphs $\Gamma_{\widetilde{w}}, \Gamma_{\bar{w}}$, and $\Gamma_{\widetilde{w} \bar{w}}$, where $\widetilde{w} \in W(\widetilde{s}), \bar{w} \in W(\bar{s})$, and $\widetilde{w} \bar{w} \in$ $W(s)$. Let us show that there is a factorization of $s=\widetilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$ such that $V_{\bar{s}}=I_{d}$. First of all, we have $V_{s}=I_{d}$, since $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{s}=\mathcal{S}_{d}$. Assume that $V_{\bar{s}} \neq I_{d}$ for some factorization of $s=\widetilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$ and let $\bar{s}=\varphi\left(\bar{w}\left(\overline{x_{(i, j)}^{2}}\right)\right)$ and $\widetilde{s}=\varphi\left(\widetilde{w}\left(\overline{x_{(i, j)}}\right)\right)$. Since $\ln (\bar{s}) \geqslant 2(d-1)$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there is a connected component $\Gamma_{1}$ of $\Gamma_{\bar{w}}$ such that for any pair of vertices $v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}} \in \Gamma_{1}$ we can find a word $\bar{w} \in W(\bar{s})$ such that $\bar{s}=\left(x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2}\right)^{2} \cdot \bar{s}^{\prime}$. Next, since $V_{s}=I_{d}$, then there is a pair $v_{i_{0}}, v_{i_{2}} \in V_{\widetilde{s}}$ such that $v_{i_{0}} \notin V_{\bar{s}}, v_{i_{2}} \in V_{\bar{s}}$, and $\widetilde{s}=\widetilde{s} \cdot x_{\left(i_{0}, i_{2}\right)}$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
s=\widetilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}=\widetilde{s} \cdot x_{\left(i_{0}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot \bar{s}^{\prime}=\widetilde{s} \cdot x_{\left(i_{0}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right)}^{2} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot \bar{s}^{\prime}=\widetilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}_{1}
$$

where either $V_{\bar{s}_{1}}=V_{\bar{s}} \cup\left\{i_{0}\right\}$ or for a word $\bar{w}_{1} \in W\left(\bar{s}_{1}\right)$ the number of connected components of the graph $\Gamma_{\bar{w}_{1}}$ is strictly less than the number of connected components of $\Gamma_{\bar{w}}$. Repeating this transformation several times, as a result we obtain a factorization $s=\widetilde{s} \cdot \bar{s}$, such that $V_{\bar{s}}=I_{d}$. Now, to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 it suffices to apply once more Lemma 2.3.
Proposition 2.2. There is a unique homomorphism $r: \Sigma_{d} \rightarrow S_{T_{d}}$ such that
(i) $\alpha\left(r\left(x_{\sigma}\right)\right)=\sigma$ for $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$,
(ii) $\ln \left(r\left(x_{\sigma}\right)\right)=l_{t}(\sigma)$,
(iii) $r_{\mid S_{T_{d}}}=I d$.

Proof. Each element $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{d}, \sigma \neq \mathbf{1}$, can be factorized into a product of pairwise commuting cycles: $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{m}$ and such a factorization is unique up to permutations of factors. According to Proposition 2.1, each of these cyclic permutations $\sigma_{i}$ defines uniquely an element $s_{i} \in S_{T_{d}}$ such that $\ln \left(s_{i}\right)=k_{i}-1$ and $\alpha\left(s_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i}$, where $k_{i}$ is the length of the cycle $\sigma_{i}$, and therefore the product $s(\sigma)=s_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{m} \in S_{T_{d}}$ is defined uniquely by $\sigma$. It is easy to see that the map $\sigma \mapsto s(\sigma)$ defines a homomorphism $r: \Sigma_{d} \rightarrow S_{T_{d}}$ given by $r\left(x_{\sigma}\right)=s(\sigma)$ on the set of generators of $\Sigma_{d}$. It is obvious that $\ln t(s)=\ln (r(s))$ and $r_{\mid S_{T_{d}}}=I d$.

The homomorphism $r: \Sigma_{d} \rightarrow S_{T_{d}}$ defined in Proposition 2.2 is called the regenerating homomorphism and the number $n_{t}(s)=\ln (r(s))$ is called the transposition length of $s \in \Sigma_{d}$.
2.3. Decompositions of the unity into products of transpositions. Let us consider the semigroup $S_{T_{d}, \mathbf{1}}$.
Theorem 2.2. The semigroup $S_{T_{d}, 1}$ is commutative and it is generated by the elements $s_{(i, j)}=x_{(i, j)}^{2},\{i, j\} \subset I_{d}$, being subject to the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot s_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)}=s_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot s_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)}=s_{\left(i_{2}, i_{3}\right)} \cdot s_{\left(i_{1}, i_{3}\right)} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all ordered triples $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}_{\text {ord }} \subset I_{d}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot s_{\left(i_{3}, i_{4}\right)}=s_{\left(i_{3}, i_{4}\right)} \cdot s_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all ordered 4-tuples $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right\}_{\text {ord }} \subset I_{d}$. Moreover, any element $s \in S_{T_{d}, \mathbf{1}}$ has a normal form, that is, it can be uniquely written in the form
$s=\left(s_{\left(i_{1,1}, i_{2,1}\right)}^{k_{1}} \cdot s_{\left(i_{2,1}, i_{3,1}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{\left(i_{j_{1}-1,1}, i_{j_{1}, 1}\right)}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(s_{\left(i_{1, n}, i_{2, n}\right)}^{k_{n}} \cdot s_{\left(i_{2, n}, i_{3, n}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{\left(i_{j_{n}-1, n}, i_{j_{n}, n}\right)}\right)$, where $1 \leqslant i_{1,1}<i_{1,2}<\cdots<i_{1, n} \leqslant d-1, \quad k_{l} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $l=1, \ldots, n$, the sets $M_{l}=\left\{i_{1, l}<i_{2, l}, \cdots<i_{j_{l}, l}\right\}, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant n$, are subsets of $I_{d}$ of cardinality $j_{l} \geqslant 2$ such that $M_{l_{1}} \cap M_{l_{2}}=\emptyset$ for $l_{1} \neq l_{2}$.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $S_{T_{d}, 1}$ is generated by $s_{(i, j)}$ 's. By Lemma 2.3, the elements $s_{(i, j)}$ satisfy relations (25) and (26).

Like in the proof of Theorem 2.1, for each $s=s_{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{\left(j_{m-1}, j_{m}\right)}$ we can associate a graph $\Gamma_{w}$, where $w$ is a word in letters $s_{(i, j)}$ representing the element $s$. The graph $\Gamma_{w}$ splits into the disjoint union of its connected components: $\Gamma_{w}=\Gamma_{w, 1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Gamma_{w, n}$. It is easily follows from (21) that $w=w_{1}\left(\overline{s_{(i, j)}}\right) \ldots w_{n}\left(\overline{s_{(i, j)}}\right)$, where $w_{l}\left(\overline{\left.s_{(i, j)}\right)}\right)$ is a word in letters $s_{(i, j)}$ 's such that $\Gamma_{w_{l}}=\Gamma_{w, l}$. Let $s_{l} \in S_{T_{d}, \mathbf{1}}$ be an element defined by the word $w_{l}$, that is, $s_{l}=\varphi\left(w_{l}\right)$.

It is easily follows from relations (25) and (26) that each element $s_{l}$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{l}=s_{\left(i_{1, l}, i_{2, l}\right)}^{k_{l}} \cdot s_{\left(i_{2, l}, i_{3, l}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{\left(i_{j_{l}-1, l}, i_{j l, l}\right)}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the set $M_{l}=\left\{i_{1, l}<i_{2, l}, \cdots<i_{j_{l, l}}\right\}, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant n$, is in one to one correspondence with the set of vertices of the connected component $\Gamma_{w, l}$ of the graph $\Gamma_{w}$.
Remark 2.1. Note that the element $s_{\left(i_{1, l}, i_{2, l}\right)}^{k_{l}} \cdot s_{\left(i_{2, l}, i_{3, l}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{\left(i_{j_{l}-1, l}, i_{j}, l\right)}$ in (27) is the Hurwitz element $h_{j_{l}, k_{l}-1}$ of the semigroup $S_{T_{j_{l}}, 1}$ if we consider $S_{T_{j_{l}}, 1}$ as a subsemigroup of $S_{T_{d, 1}}$ and the embedding is defined by the natural embedding $M_{l} \hookrightarrow I_{d}$.

Proposition 2.3. The Hurwitz element $h_{d, g}$ belongs to the center of the semigroup $\Sigma_{d}$ and it is fixed under the conjugation action of $\mathcal{S}_{m}$ on $\Sigma_{d}$.

For $h_{d, g_{1}}, h_{d, g_{2}}$ we have

$$
h_{d, g_{1}} \cdot h_{d, g_{2}}=h_{d, g_{1}+g_{2}+d-1} .
$$

Proof. The first part of Proposition follows from Proposition 1.1, since, on the one hand, $\alpha\left(h_{d, g}\right)=\mathbf{1}$ and the transpositions $(i, i+1), i=1, \ldots, d-1$, generate the group $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{h_{d, g}}$. On the other hand, they generate the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_{d}$.

The second part of Proposition follows from Theorem 2.1.
Moreover, as a corollary of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we obtain that a Hurwitz element $h_{d, g}$ is defined uniquely in the semigroup $S_{T_{d}}$ by its length and the following two conditions.

Corollary 2.1. (Clebsch - Hurwitz Theorem) ([1]) Let an element $s \in S_{T_{d}}$ satisfy the following conditions
(i) $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{s}=\mathcal{S}_{d}$;
(ii) $\alpha(s)=1$.

Then $\ln (s) \geqslant 2(d-1)$ and $s=h_{d, g}$, where $g=\frac{\ln (s)}{2}-d+1$.
2.4. Factorizations in the symmetric groups (general case). In this subsection we will prove the following generalization of Theorem 2.1.
Theorem 2.3. Let $s=x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m}} \cdot \bar{s} \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$, where $\bar{s} \in S_{T_{d}}$. For $j=1, \ldots, m$, denote by $\sigma_{j, 0}$ the canonical representative of the type $t\left(\sigma_{j}\right)$ and by

$$
\sigma=\sigma(s)=\left(\sigma_{1,0} \ldots \sigma_{m, 0}\right)^{-1} \alpha(s)
$$

If $s \in \Sigma_{d}^{\mathcal{S}_{d}}$ and $\ln (\bar{s})=k \geqslant 3(d-1)$, then

$$
s=x_{\sigma_{1,0}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m, 0}} \cdot r\left(x_{\sigma}\right) \cdot h_{d, g},
$$

where $g=\frac{k-l_{n_{t}}\left(x_{\sigma}\right)}{2}-d+1$.
Proof. Let us show that there is a factorization

$$
s=x_{\sigma_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m}^{\prime}} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{k}, j_{k}\right)}=x_{\sigma_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m}^{\prime}} \cdot \bar{s}_{1}
$$

such that $t\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=t\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ and the set $V_{\bar{s}_{1}}$ of vertices of the graph $\Gamma_{\bar{w}_{1}}$ of the word $\bar{w}_{1}=x_{\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)} \ldots x_{\left(i_{k}, j_{k}\right)} \in W\left(\bar{s}_{1}\right)$ coincides with the set $I_{d}$.

Indeed, let $w \in W(\bar{s})$ and assume that $V_{\bar{s}} \neq I_{d}$. Since $\ln (\bar{s}) \geqslant 3(d-1)$, then there is a connected component $\Gamma_{1}$ of the graph $\Gamma_{w}$ such that the number of its edges is greater than the number of its vertices. Then it follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that for any $v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}$ belonging to the set $V\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ of vertices of $\Gamma_{1}$ there is a word $w^{\prime} \in W$ such that $\bar{s}=x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot \varphi\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ and the vertices of $V\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ belong to one and the same connected component of $\Gamma_{x_{i_{1}, i_{2}}^{2} w^{\prime}}$. Next, since $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{s}=\mathcal{S}_{d}$, then there is $\sigma_{l}$ for some $l, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant m$, such that $\sigma_{l}\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \sigma_{l}^{-1}=\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right)$, where either $v_{i_{0}}$ or $v_{j_{0}}$ (but not both) does not belong $V\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $l=m$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m}} \cdot \bar{s}=x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m}} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)}^{2} \cdot \varphi\left(w^{\prime}\right)= \\
& x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m-1}} \cdot x_{\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right)} \cdot x_{\sigma_{m}} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot \varphi\left(w^{\prime}\right)= \\
& x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m-1}} \cdot \rho\left(\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right)\right)\left(x_{\sigma_{m}}\right) \cdot x_{\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right)} \cdot x_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)} \cdot \varphi\left(w^{\prime}\right)= \\
& x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m-1}} \cdot \rho\left(\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right)\right)\left(x_{\sigma_{m}}\right) \cdot \varphi\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w^{\prime \prime}=x_{\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right)} x_{\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)} w^{\prime}$ such that either the set of vertices of $\Gamma_{w^{\prime \prime}}$ strictly contains the set $V_{\bar{s}}$ or the number of connected components of $\Gamma_{w^{\prime \prime}}$ is strictly less than the one of $\Gamma_{w^{\prime}}$.

Repeating such transformations several times, as a result we obtain a factorization of $s$ of the form

$$
s=x_{\sigma_{1}^{\prime}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m}^{\prime}} \cdot \bar{s}_{1}
$$

such that $\bar{s}_{1} \in S_{T_{d}}$ and $V_{\bar{s}_{1}}=I_{d}$, and $t\left(\sigma_{j}^{\prime}\right)=t\left(\sigma_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. For this factorization we have $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{\bar{s}_{1}}=\mathcal{S}_{d}$ and $\ln \left(\bar{s}_{1}\right) \geqslant 3(d-1)$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.3 we will use induction by $m$. For $m=0$ Theorem 2.3 follows from Theorem 2.1.

Let $m=1$. By Theorem 2.1 we have $\bar{s}_{1}=h_{d, 0} \cdot \bar{s}^{\prime}$ for some $\bar{s}^{\prime} \in S_{T_{d}}$.
Lemma 2.6. For any disjoint union $\left\{i_{1,1}, \ldots, i_{k_{1}, 1}\right\} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup\left\{i_{1, n}, \ldots, i_{k_{n}, n}\right\}$ of ordered subsets of $I_{d}$ the element $h_{d, 0}$ can be represented as a product

$$
h_{d, 0}=\left(x_{\left(i_{1,1}, i_{2,1}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{k_{1}-1,1}, i_{k_{1}, 1}\right)}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x_{\left(i_{1, n}, i_{2, n}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{k_{n}-1, n}, i_{k_{n}, n}\right)}\right) \cdot \bar{h}
$$

where $\bar{h}$ is an element of $S_{T_{d}}^{\mathcal{S}_{d}}$.
Proof. The subgroup $S_{T_{d, 1}}$ is commutative and the element $h_{d, 0}$ is invariant under the conjugation action of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$, therefore $h_{d, 0}$ can be written in the form

$$
h_{d, 0}=\left(s_{\left(i_{1,1}, i_{2,1}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{\left(i_{k_{1}-1,1}, i_{k_{1}, 1}\right)}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(s_{\left(i_{1, n}, i_{2, n}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{\left(i_{k_{n}-1, n}, i_{k_{n}, n}\right)}\right) \cdot \widetilde{h},
$$

where $\widetilde{h}$ is an element of $S_{T_{d, 1}}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{\left(i_{1, j}, i_{2, j}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{\left(i_{k_{j}-1, j}, i_{k_{j}, j}\right)}=x_{\left(i_{1, j}, i_{2, j}\right)}^{2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{k_{j}-1, j}, i_{k_{j}, j}\right)}^{2}= \\
& x_{\left(i_{1, j}, i_{2, j}\right)} \cdot\left(x_{\left(i_{2, j}, i_{3, j}\right)}^{2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{k_{j}-1, j}, i_{k_{j}, j}\right)}^{2}\right) \cdot x_{\left(i_{1, j}, i_{2, j}\right)}=\cdots \cdot= \\
& \left(x_{\left(i_{1, j}, i_{2, j}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{k_{j}-1, j}, i_{k_{j}, j}\right)}\right) \cdot\left(x_{\left(i_{k_{j}-1, j}, i_{k_{j}, j}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{1, j}, i_{2, j}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the elements $x_{\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, i_{l_{1}+1, j_{1}}\right)}$ and $\left.x_{\left(i_{l_{2}, j_{2}}, i_{2}+1, j_{2}\right)}\right)$ commute if $j_{1} \neq j_{2}$. Now to complete the proof of Lemma, note that $V_{s_{j}}=V_{\bar{s}_{j}}$, where $s_{j}=s_{\left(i_{1, j}, i_{2, j}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{\left(i_{k_{j}-1, j}, i_{k_{j}, j}\right)}$ and $\bar{s}_{j}=x_{\left(i_{k_{j}-1, j}, i_{k j, j}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{1, j}, i_{2, j}\right)}$. Therefore $V_{\bar{h}}=I_{d}$ for $\bar{h}=\left(\prod \bar{s}_{i}\right) \cdot \widetilde{h}$.

For the canonical representative $\sigma_{m, 0}$ of the type $t\left(\sigma_{m}\right)$ there is $\bar{\sigma}_{m} \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ such that $\sigma_{m, 0}=\bar{\sigma}_{m}^{-1} \sigma_{m}^{\prime} \bar{\sigma}_{m}$. The permutation $\bar{\sigma}_{m}$ can be factorized into the product of cyclic permutations and each cyclic permutation can be factorized into the product of transpositions:

$$
\bar{\sigma}_{m}=\left(\left(i_{1,1}, i_{2,1}\right) \ldots\left(i_{k_{1}-1,1}, i_{k_{1}, 1}\right)\right) \ldots\left(\left(i_{1, n}, i_{2, n}\right) \ldots\left(i_{k_{n}-1, n}, i_{k_{n}, n}\right)\right) .
$$

Consider an element

$$
r\left(x_{\bar{\sigma}_{m}}\right)=\left(x_{\left(i_{1,1}, i_{2,1}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{k_{1}-1,1}, i_{k_{1}, 1}\right)}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(x_{\left(i_{1, n}, i_{2, n}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\left(i_{k_{n}-1, n}, i_{k_{n}, n}\right)}\right) \in S_{T_{d}},
$$

where $r$ is the regenerating homomorphism. By Lemma 2.6,

$$
h_{d, 0}=r\left(x_{\bar{\sigma}_{m}}\right) \cdot \bar{h}_{m}
$$

with $\bar{h}_{m}$ such that $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{\bar{h}_{m}}=\mathcal{S}_{d}$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s= & x_{\sigma_{m}^{\prime}} \cdot h_{d, 0} \cdot \bar{s}^{\prime}=x_{\sigma_{m}^{\prime}} \cdot r\left(x_{\bar{\sigma}_{m}}\right) \cdot \bar{h}_{m} \cdot \bar{s}^{\prime}= \\
& r\left(x_{\bar{\sigma}_{m}}\right) \cdot x_{\sigma_{m, 0}} \cdot \bar{h}_{m} \cdot \bar{s}^{\prime}=x_{\sigma_{m, 0}} \cdot r\left(x_{\bar{\sigma}_{m}^{\prime}}\right) \cdot \bar{h}_{m} \cdot \bar{s}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{\bar{\sigma}_{m}^{\prime}}=\lambda\left(\sigma_{m, 0}\right)\left(x_{\bar{\sigma}_{m}}\right)$. We have $\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime}=r\left(x_{\bar{\sigma}_{m}^{\prime}}\right) \cdot \bar{h}_{m} \cdot \bar{s}^{\prime} \in S_{T_{d}}$, its length $\ln \left(\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=k$, its image $\alpha\left(\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{m, 0}^{-1} \alpha(s)$, and $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime}}=\mathcal{S}_{d}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, $\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime}=$ $r\left(x_{\sigma}\right) \cdot h_{d, g}$, where $\sigma=\alpha\left(\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{m, 0}^{-1} \alpha(s)$ and $g=\frac{k-l n_{t}\left(x_{\sigma}\right)}{2}-d+1$.

Now, assume that Theorem 2.3 is proved for all $m<m_{0}$ and consider an element

$$
s=x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m_{0}}} \cdot \bar{s}_{1}
$$

where $\bar{s}_{1} \in S_{T_{d}}$ has the length $k \geqslant 3(d-1)$ and it is such that $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{\bar{s}_{1}}=\mathcal{S}_{d}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m_{0}}} \cdot \bar{s}_{1}=x_{\sigma_{2}^{\prime}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m_{0}}^{\prime}} \cdot x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \bar{s}_{1}= \\
& x_{\sigma_{2}^{\prime}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m_{0}}^{\prime}} \cdot x_{\sigma_{1,0}} \cdot \bar{s}_{1}^{\prime}=x_{\sigma_{1,0}} \cdot x_{\sigma_{2}^{\prime \prime}} \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m_{0}}^{\prime \prime}} \cdot \bar{s}_{1}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{1}^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{j}^{\prime \prime}=\sigma_{1,0}^{-1} \sigma_{j}^{\prime} \sigma_{1,0}$ for $j=2, \ldots, m$, and the element $\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime} \in S_{d}$ is such that $\ln \left(\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=k$ and $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime}}=\mathcal{S}_{d}$. Therefore, by inductive assumptions, we have

$$
s=x_{\sigma_{1,0}} \cdot\left(x_{\sigma_{2}^{\prime \prime}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m_{0}}^{\prime \prime}} \cdot \bar{s}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=x_{\sigma_{1,0}} \cdot\left(x_{\sigma_{2,0}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m_{0}, 0}} \cdot \bar{s}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where the element $\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in S_{d}$ is such that $\ln \left(\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=k$ and $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime \prime}}=\mathcal{S}_{d}$. By Theorem 2.1, we have $\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime \prime}=r\left(x_{\sigma}\right) \cdot h_{d, g}$, where $\sigma=\alpha\left(\bar{s}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{1,0} \ldots \sigma_{m, 0}\right)^{-1} \alpha(s)$ and $g=$ $\frac{k-\ln _{t}\left(x_{\sigma}\right)}{2}-d+1$.
Corollary 2.2. Let $s_{i}=x_{\sigma_{1, i}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{m, i}} \cdot \bar{s}_{i}, i=1,2$, be two elements of $\Sigma_{d}^{\mathcal{S}_{d}}$, where $\bar{s}_{i} \in S_{T_{d}}$ of length $\ln \left(\bar{s}_{1}\right)=\ln \left(\bar{s}_{2}\right)=k$. Assume also that $\alpha\left(s_{1}\right)=\alpha\left(s_{2}\right)$ and $\tau\left(s_{1}\right)=\tau\left(s_{2}\right)$. If $k \geqslant 3(d-1)$, then $s_{1}=s_{2}$.

Corollary 2.3. The Hurwitz element $h_{d,\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]}$ is a stabilizing element of $\Sigma_{d}$, that is, the semigroup $\Sigma_{d}$ is stable.
2.5. Factorizations in $\mathcal{S}_{3}$. Consider the semigroups $\Sigma_{3,1} \subset \Sigma_{3}$. The semigroup $\Sigma_{3}$ is generated by the elements $x_{(1,2)}, x_{(1,3)}, x_{(2,3)}, x_{(1,2,3)}$, and $x_{(1,3,2)}$ satisfying the following relations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3)}=x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}=x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)} ;  \tag{28}\\
x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}=x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3)}=x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)} ;  \tag{29}\\
x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}=x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}=x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)} ;  \tag{30}\\
x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}=x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}=x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3)} ;  \tag{31}\\
x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}=x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}=x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3)} ;  \tag{32}\\
x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3)}=x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}=x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)},  \tag{33}\\
x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}=x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} . \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

Denote by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=x_{(1,2)}^{2}, \quad s_{2}=x_{(2,3)}^{2}, \quad s_{3}=x_{(1,3)}^{2}, \quad s_{4}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}, \\
& s_{5}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}, \quad s_{6}=x_{(1,2,3)}^{3}, \quad s_{7}=x_{(1,3,2)}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{7} \in \Sigma_{3,1}$.

Theorem 2.4. The semigroup $\Sigma_{3,1}$ has the following presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{3, \mathbf{1}}=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{7} \mid\right. & s_{i} \cdot s_{j}=s_{j} \cdot s_{i} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 7 \\
& s_{i} \cdot s_{k}=s_{j} \cdot s_{k} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 3,4 \leqslant k \leqslant 7 \\
& s_{i} \cdot s_{6}=s_{i} \cdot s_{7} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3 \\
& s_{1} \cdot s_{2}=s_{1} \cdot s_{3}=s_{2} \cdot s_{3} \\
& s_{4}^{3}=s_{6} \cdot s_{7} ; \\
& s_{5}^{2}=s_{1}^{2} \cdot s_{4} \quad s_{5}^{3}=s_{1}^{3} \cdot s_{6} \\
& \left.s_{4} \cdot s_{5}=s_{1} \cdot s_{6}=s_{1} \cdot s_{7}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. First of all let us show that the elements $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{7}$ generate $\Sigma_{3,1}$. Indeed, assume that any $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}$ of length $\ln (s) \leqslant k$ can be written as a word in $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{7}$ and consider an element $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}$ of length $\ln (s)=k+1$. Moving the factors $x_{(1,2,3)}$ and $x_{(1,3,2)}$ to the left side, any element $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}$ can be written in the following form

$$
s=x_{(1,2,3)}^{a} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^{b} \cdot s^{\prime}
$$

where $a, b$ are non-negative integers and $s^{\prime}$ is a word in letters $x_{(1,2)}, x_{(1,3)}$, and $x_{(2,3)}$.
By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 , if $\ln \left(s^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 3$, then $s^{\prime}$ can be written in the form $s^{\prime}=$ $x_{(i, j)}^{2} \cdot s^{\prime \prime}$. Similarly, if either $a \geqslant 3$, or $b \geqslant 3$, or both $a$ and $b$ are positive, then $s=s_{i} \cdot \widetilde{s}$, where $i$ is either 6 , or 7 , or 4 and $\widetilde{s} \in \Sigma_{3,1}, \ln (\widetilde{s}) \leqslant k-1$. So we need to consider only the cases when $\ln \left(s^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 2$ and either $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 2, b=0$ or $a=0$, $0 \leqslant b \leqslant 2$. If $a=b=0$, then it is obvious that $s^{\prime}=s_{i}$ for some $i=1,2,3$, since $s=s^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{3,1}$.

Consider the case $a=1$ and $b=0$, that is, $s=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot s^{\prime}$. Since $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}$ and $\alpha\left(x_{(1,2,3)}\right)=(1,2,3)$, we have $\alpha\left(s^{\prime}\right)=(1,3,2)$. Therefore $s^{\prime}$ is equal to either $x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}$, or $x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}$, or $x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3)}$. But, by (29), the last three elements are equal to each other and in this case $s=s_{5}$.

Similarly, if $a=0, b=1$, that is, $s=x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot s^{\prime}$, then we obtain that $s^{\prime}$ is equal to either $x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}$, or $x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}$, or $x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3)}$, and, by (28), the last three elements are equal to each other. Therefore, by (31), we have

$$
s=x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}=x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}=s_{5}
$$

If $a=2, b=0$, that is, $s=x_{(1,2,3)}^{2} \cdot s^{\prime}$, then we obtain that $\alpha\left(s^{\prime}\right)=(1,2,3)$ and hence $s^{\prime}=x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}$. Therefore, by (30),
$s=x_{(1,2,3)}^{2} \cdot x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}=s_{4} \cdot s_{1}$.
Finally, if $a=0, b=2$, that is, $s=x_{(1,3,2)}^{2} \cdot s^{\prime}$, then we have $\alpha\left(s^{\prime}\right)=(1,3,2)$ and hence $s^{\prime}=x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}$. Therefore, by (31),
$s=x_{(1,3,2)}^{2} \cdot x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}=x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}=x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}=s_{4} \cdot s_{1}$ and as a result we obtain that $\Sigma_{3,1}$ is generated by $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{7}$.

Since the inspection, that the generators $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{7}$ of $\Sigma_{3,1}$ satisfy all relations mentioned in the statement of Theorem 2.4, is similar, we will check only one of them and the inspection of all other relations will be left to the reader.

Let us show, for example, that $s_{4} \cdot s_{5}=s_{6} \cdot s_{1}$. By (28) - (34), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{4} \cdot s_{5}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot\left(x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}\right) \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}= \\
& x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot\left(\overline{\left.x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}\right)} \cdot x_{(2,3)}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)} \cdot\left(\overline{\left.x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}\right)}=\right.\right. \\
& x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot \underline{x_{(1,2)} \cdot\left(\overline{x_{(1,3,2)}} \cdot x_{(1,2)}\right)}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot\left(x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,2)}\right) \cdot x_{(1,2)}=s_{6} \cdot s_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The statement that the relations, mentioned in Theorem 2.4, are defining follows from the next theorem.

Theorem 2.5. Each element $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}, s \neq \mathbf{1}$, has a normal form, that is, it is equal to one and the only one element of the following form

$$
s= \begin{cases}s_{i}^{n}, & i=1,2,3, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ s_{4}^{a} \cdot s_{6}^{m} \cdot s_{7}^{n}, & 0 \leqslant a \leqslant 2, m \geqslant 0, n \geqslant 0, a+m+n>0, \\ s_{1}^{n} \cdot s_{2}, & n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ s_{1}^{n} \cdot s_{6}^{m}, & m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ s_{1}^{n} \cdot s_{5} \cdot s_{6}^{m}, & m \geqslant 0, \quad n \geqslant 0, \\ s_{1}^{n} \cdot s_{4} \cdot s_{6}^{m}, & m \geqslant 0, \quad n \geqslant 0 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $s \notin \Sigma_{3,1}^{\mathcal{S}_{3}}$, then it is obvious that $s$ is equal either $s_{i}^{n}, i=1,2,3$, or $s_{4}^{a} \cdot s_{6}^{m} \cdot s_{7}^{n}$.
Let $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}^{\mathcal{S}_{3}}$. If $s \in S_{T_{3}, 1}$, then by Clebsch - Hurwitz Theorem $s=h_{3, g}$ for some $g$.
Let $s=s^{\prime} \cdot s^{\prime \prime}$, where $s^{\prime}=x_{(1,2,3)}^{k_{1}} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^{k_{2}}$ and $s^{\prime \prime} \in S_{T_{3}}$. Applying relations (30) - (33), we can assume that $s^{\prime}=x_{(1,2,3)}^{k}$ for $k=k_{1}+k_{2}$. If $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, then by relations in Theorem 2.4, we have $s=s_{1}^{n} \cdot s_{6}^{m}$. If $k \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, then $s^{\prime}=s_{6}^{m} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}$ and $x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot s^{\prime \prime} \in \Sigma_{3,1}$. By Theorem 2.4, $x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot s^{\prime \prime}=s_{5} \cdot s_{1}^{n}$ for some $n \geqslant 0$. Similarly, if $k \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, then $s^{\prime}=s_{6}^{m} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}^{2}$ and $x_{(1,2,3)}^{2} \cdot s^{\prime \prime} \in \Sigma_{3,1}$. Applying relations (30) - (33), we get $x_{(1,2,3)}^{2} \cdot s^{\prime \prime}=x_{(1,2,3)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)} \cdot s_{1}^{\prime \prime}=s_{4} \cdot s_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ for some $s_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in S_{T_{3}, \mathbf{1}}$, and by relations in Theorem 2.4, we obtain that $s=s_{1}^{n} \cdot s_{4} \cdot s_{6}^{m}$.

Theorem 2.6. Up to simultaneous conjugation, an element $\bar{s} \in \Sigma_{3}$ is equal either to $s$, where $s$ is an element of $\Sigma_{3,1}$ described in Theorem 2.5, or to
$\bar{s}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}x_{(1,2)}^{2 k+1}, \\ x_{(1,2,3)}^{n} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^{m}, \\ x_{(1,2)}^{n} \cdot x_{(2,3)}^{3 m} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^{a}, \\ x_{(1,2)}^{n} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}^{3 m},\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k \geqslant 0, \\
& n>m, n \text { or } m \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3), \\
& n \in \mathbb{N}, \\
& n \in \mathbb{N}, m \geqslant 0, a=0,1,2, \text { and } a \neq 0 \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. To prove Theorem 2.6, one must consider separately the following cases:

1) $\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right)_{s}=\mathcal{S}_{2}$;
2) $\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right)_{s}=A_{3}$, where $A_{3}$ is the alternating group;
3) $s \in S_{T_{3}},\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right)_{s}=\mathcal{S}_{3}$, and $\alpha(s)$ is either a transposition or a cyclic permutation of length 3 ;
4) $s \notin S_{T_{3}},\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right)_{s}=\mathcal{S}_{3}$, and $\alpha(s)$ is either a transposition or a cyclic permutation of length 3 .

It is easy to see that in the first three casees $s$ is equal (up to conjugation) respectively to 1) $x_{(1,2)}^{2 k+1}$; 2) $\left.x_{(1,2,3)}^{n} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^{m}, 3\right) x_{(1,2)}^{n} \cdot x_{(2,3)}$.

In case 4) we have $s=s_{1} \cdot s_{2}, s_{1} \in S_{T_{d}}$ and $s_{2}$ is represented as a word in letters $x_{(1,2,3)}$ and $x_{(1,3,2)}$. By (30) and (31), we can assume that $s_{1}=x_{(1,2)}^{n}$. Next, we have

$$
x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,2,3)}^{3}=x_{(1,3,2)}^{3} \cdot x_{(1,2)}=x_{(1,2)} \cdot x_{(1,3,2)}^{3} .
$$

Applying these relations and (34), we obtain that $s=x_{(1,2)}^{n} \cdot x_{\sigma}^{3 m} \cdot x_{\sigma^{-1}}^{a}$, where $\sigma=$ $(1,2,3)$ or $(1,3,2)$. To complete the proof, notice that $\lambda((1,2))\left(x_{\sigma}\right)=x_{\sigma^{-1}}$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $\left(\mathcal{S}_{3}\right)_{s}=\mathcal{S}_{2}$ or $\mathcal{S}_{3}$ for $s \in \Sigma_{3}$. Then $s$ is uniquely defined up to simultaneous conjugation by its type $\tau(s)$ and the type $t(\alpha(s))$ of its image $\alpha(s) \in \mathcal{S}_{3}$.

Up to simultaneous conjugation, there are exactly $\left[\frac{n}{6}\right]+1$ different elements $s \in \Sigma_{3,1}^{A_{3}}$ of $\ln (s)=n$, and if $\alpha(s) \neq \mathbf{1}$, then there are exactly $m=-\left[\frac{-n}{3}\right]$ different elements $s \in \Sigma_{3}^{A_{3}}$ of $\ln (s)=n$.
2.6. Cayley's imbeddings. As is well-known, any finite group $G$ can be embedded into some symmetric group. In particular, if $N=|G|$ is the order of a group $G$, then we can have Cayley's imbedding $c: G \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}(G) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{N}$ :

$$
\left(g_{1}\right) \sigma_{g}=g_{1} g \quad \text { for } g, g_{1} \in G, c(g)=\sigma_{g}
$$

that is, $G$ acts on itself by multiplication from the right side. Let us identify the group $G$ with its image $c(G)$ and denote by $N(G)$ and $C(G)$ the normalizer and centralizer of $G$ in $\mathcal{S}_{N}$, respectively. Since $N(G)$ acts on $G$ by conjugations, we have the natural homomorphism $a: N(G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(G)$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $c: G \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}(G) \simeq \mathcal{S}_{N}$ be the Cayley's imbedding of a finite group $G$. Then the natural homomorphism $a: N(G) \rightarrow$ Aut $(G)$ has the following properties:
(i) $a$ is an epimorphism,
(ii) $\operatorname{ker} a=C(G) \simeq G$,
(iii) the group generated by $G$ and $C(G)$ is isomorphic to the amalgamated direct product $G \times{ }_{C} G$, where $C$ is the center of $G$.

Proof. Consider an automorphism $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ as a permutation $\sigma_{f} \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$ of the elements of $G$ :

$$
(g) \sigma_{f}=f(g) \text { for } g \in G
$$

Let us show that $\sigma_{f} \in N(G)$. For all $g_{1} \in G$ we have

$$
\left(g_{1}\right) \sigma_{f}^{-1} \sigma_{g} \sigma_{f}=\left(f^{-1}\left(g_{1}\right)\right) \sigma_{g} \sigma_{f}=\left(f^{-1}\left(g_{1}\right) g\right) \sigma_{f}=f\left(f^{-1}\left(g_{1}\right) g\right)=g_{1} f(g)=\left(g_{1}\right) \sigma_{f(g)}
$$

that is, $\sigma_{f}^{-1} \sigma_{g} \sigma_{f}=\sigma_{f(g)} \in G$ for all $g \in G$. Hence $\sigma_{f} \in N(G)$ and, moreover, the conjugation of the elements of $G$ by $\sigma_{f}$ defines the automorphism $f$ of the group $G$. Therefore the homomorphism $a$ is an epimorphism.

It is obvious that $C(G)=\operatorname{ker} a$. Consider $\sigma \in C(G)$. We have $\sigma_{g} \sigma=\sigma \sigma_{g}$ for all $g \in G$. Therefore

$$
\left(g_{1}\right) \sigma_{g} \sigma=\left(g_{1} g\right) \sigma=\left(\left(g_{1}\right) \sigma\right) \cdot g
$$

for all $g_{1}, g \in G$. In particular, for $g_{1}=\mathbf{1}$ if we denote ( $\left.\mathbf{1}\right) \sigma$ by $g_{\sigma}$, then we have

$$
(\mathbf{1}) \sigma_{g} \sigma=(g) \sigma=g_{\sigma} g
$$

for all $g \in G$. The equality $(g) \sigma=g_{\sigma} g$ shows that $\sigma$ acts on $G$ as multiplication in $G$ from the left side by the element $g_{\sigma} \in G$. Obviously, the multiplications by elements of $G$ from the left side and from the right side commute. Therefore $C(G) \simeq G$.

Remind that, by definition, the group $G$ acts on itself by the multiplication from the right side. It is easy to see from this that the group generated by $G$ and $C(G)$ is isomorphic to the amalgamated direct product $G \times{ }_{C} G$, where $C$ is the center of $G$.

Any imbedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{d}$ defines an imbedding $S(G, O) \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{d}$. Let $c: S_{G}=$ $S(G, G) \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{d}$ be the imbedding of semigroups defined by Cayley's imbedding $c$ : $G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{N}$. Theorem 2.7 implies the following
Corollary 2.5. The orbits of conjugation action of $\mathcal{S}_{N}$ on $\Sigma_{N}$ intersecting $S(G, G)$ are in one to one correspondence with the orbits of the action Aut $(G)$ on $S(G, G)$.

## 3. Hurwitz spaces

3.1. Marked Riemannian surfaces. Let $f: C \rightarrow D_{R}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z \mid \leqslant R\}$ be a Riemannian surface, that is, $f$ is a finite proper continuous ramified covering of the disc $D_{R}=\{|z| \leqslant R\}$ (or $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ if $R=\infty$ ) of degree $d$ branched at finite number of points in $D_{R}^{0}=D_{R} \backslash \partial D_{R}=\{|z|<R\}$ (it is not assumed that $C$ is necessary to be connected). Two coverings $\left(C^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(C^{\prime \prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of $D_{R}$ are said to be isomorphic if there is a homeomorphism $h: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime}$ preserving the orientation and such that $f^{\prime}=h \circ f^{\prime \prime}$, and they are said to be equivalent if there are preserving orientations homeomorphisms $\psi: D_{R} \rightarrow D_{R}$ and $\varphi: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\psi$ leaves fixed the boundary $\partial D_{R}$ and $\psi \circ f^{\prime}=f^{\prime \prime} \circ \varphi$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}_{R, d}$ the set of equivalence classes of the coverings of $D_{R}$ of degree $d$ with respect to this equivalence.

Let $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b} \in D_{R}^{0}$ be the points over which $f$ is ramified. Let us fix the point $o=o_{R}=e^{\frac{3}{2} \pi i} R \in \partial D_{R}$ (if $R=\infty$, then, by definition, $o_{\infty}=\infty=\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash \mathbb{C}$ ) and number the points in $f^{-1}(o)$. A numbering of the points in $f^{-1}(o)$ defines an order on the points in $f^{-1}(o)$. Such coverings $(C, f)$ with fixed point $o \in D_{R}$ and fixed ordering of the points of $f^{-1}(o)$ will be called coverings with ordered set of sheets or a marked coverings. We say that marked coverings $\left(C^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)_{m}$ and $\left(C^{\prime \prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)_{m}$ are equivalent if there are homeomorphisms $\psi: D_{R} \rightarrow D_{R}$ and $\varphi: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime}$ preserving orientations and such that
(i) $\psi$ leaves fixed the boundary $\partial D_{R}$;
(ii) $\varphi\left(p_{i}^{\prime}\right)=p_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in f^{\prime \prime-1}(o)$ for each $p_{i}^{\prime} \in{f^{\prime-1}}^{\prime-}(o), i=1, \ldots, d$;
(iii) $\psi \circ f^{\prime}=f^{\prime \prime} \circ \varphi$.

Denote by $\mathcal{R}_{R, d}^{m}$ the set of equivalence classes of the marked coverings of $D_{R}$ of degree $d$ with respect to this equivalence. Renumberings of sheets define an action of the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ on $\mathcal{R}_{R, d}^{m}$ and it is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}_{R, d}=\mathcal{R}_{R, d}^{m} / \mathcal{S}_{d}$.

If $R_{1}<R_{2}<\infty$, then any ramified covering $f: C \rightarrow D_{R_{1}}$ can be extended to a ramified covering $\tilde{f}: \tilde{C} \rightarrow D_{R_{2}}$ non-ramified over $D_{R_{2}} \backslash D_{R_{1}}$. The lift of the path

$$
l(t)=e^{\frac{3}{2} \pi i}\left(R_{2} t+(1-t) R_{1}\right) \subset D_{R_{2}} \backslash D_{R_{1}}^{0}, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

to $\tilde{C}$ defines $d$ paths $\tilde{f}^{-1}(l(t))$ connecting the points of $f^{-1}\left(o_{R_{1}}\right)$ and $f^{-1}\left(o_{R_{2}}\right)$. If $(C, f)_{m}$ is a marked covering, then these paths transfer the order from the set $f^{-1}\left(o_{R_{1}}\right)$ to the set $f^{-1}\left(o_{R_{2}}\right)$. As a result, we obtain an isomorphism $i_{R_{1}, R_{2}}: \mathcal{R}_{R_{1}, d}^{m} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R_{2}, d}^{m}$.

Similarly, for any marked covering $(C, f)_{m}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and for any $R>0$ there is an equivalent covering $(\bar{C}, \bar{f})_{m}$ those branch points belong to $D_{R}^{0}$. Consider the restriction $\tilde{f}$ of $\bar{f}$ to $\tilde{C}=\bar{f}^{-1}\left(D_{R}\right)$. If we lift the path

$$
l(t)=e^{\frac{3}{2} \pi i} R / t \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash D_{R}^{0}, \quad t \in[0,1],
$$

to $\bar{C}$, then we obtain $d$ paths $\bar{f}^{-1}(l(t))$ connecting the points of $f^{-1}\left(o_{\infty}\right)$ and $f^{-1}\left(o_{R}\right)$ which transfer the order from $\bar{f}^{-1}\left(o_{\infty}\right)$ to the set $\tilde{f}^{-1}\left(o_{R}\right)$. Obviously, the equivalence class of obtained marked covering $(\tilde{C}, \tilde{f})_{m}$ does not depends on the choice of a representative $(\bar{C}, \bar{f})_{m}$. Therefore we obtain an imbedding of $i_{\infty, R}: \mathcal{R}_{\infty, d}^{m} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{R, d}^{m}$. It is easy to see that $i_{\infty, R_{2}}=i_{R_{1}, R_{2}} \circ i_{\infty, R_{1}}$ for any $R_{2} \geqslant R_{1}>0$.
3.2. Semigroups of marked coverings. A closed loop $\gamma \subset D_{R} \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}$ starting and ending at $o=o_{R}$ can be lifted to $C$ by means of $f$ and we get $d$ paths staring and ending at the points in $f^{-1}(o)$. Such lift of the loops defines a homomorphism (the monodromy of marked covering) $\mu: \pi_{1}\left(D_{R} \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}, o\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{d}$ to the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ (the monodromy sends starting points of the lifted paths to the ends of the corresponding paths). Conversely, if a homomorphism $\mu: \pi_{1}\left(D_{R} \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}, o\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ is given, then it defines a marked covering $f: C \rightarrow D$ whose monodromy is $\mu$.

The fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(D_{R} \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}, o\right)$ is generated by loops $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{b}$ of the following form. Each loop $\gamma_{i}$ consists of a path $l_{i}$ starting at $o$ and ending at a point $q_{i}^{\prime}$ close to $q_{i}$, followed by a circuit in positive direction (with respect to the complex orientation on $\mathbb{C}$ ) around a circle $\Gamma_{i}$ of small radius with the center at $q_{i}, q_{i}^{\prime} \in \Gamma$, followed by the return to $q_{0}$ along the path $l_{i}$ in the opposite direction; for $i \neq j$ the loops $\gamma_{i}$ and $\gamma_{j}$ have the only one common point, namely, $o$; and the product $\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{b}=\partial D_{R}$ in $\pi_{1}\left(D_{R} \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}, o\right)$. Such collection of generators is called a good geometric base of the group $\pi_{1}\left(D_{R} \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}, o\right)$. It is well known that if $R<\infty$, then $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{b}$ are free generators of $\pi_{1}\left(D_{R} \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}, o\right)$, that is, $\pi_{1}\left(D_{R} \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}, o\right)=\left\langle\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{b}\right\rangle$; and if $R=\infty$, then $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{b}$ generate the group $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}, o\right)$ being subject to the relation $\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{b}=\mathbf{1}$.

If we choose a good geometric base $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{b}$, then the monodromy $\mu$ is defined by a collection of elements $\sigma_{1}=\mu\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma_{n}=\mu\left(\gamma_{b}\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ called local monodromies
and the product $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{b}=\mu(\partial D)$ is called the global monodromy of $f$. It is easy to see that if $R=\infty$, then the global monodromy is equal to 1 .

The collection $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{b}\right)$ depends on the choice of a good geometric base $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{b}$. Any good geometric base can be obtained from $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{b}$ by means of a finite sequence of Hurwitz moves. In the other words, the braid group $\mathrm{Br}_{b}$ naturally acts on the set of good geometric bases of $\pi_{1}\left(D_{R} \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}, o\right)$ as the Hurwitz moves ([7]). Therefore if $\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{b}^{\prime}\right)$ is a collection corresponding to some other good geometric base $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \gamma_{b}^{\prime}$, then the collection $\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{b}^{\prime}\right)$ can be obtained from $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{b}\right)$ by means of a finite sequence of Hurwitz moves (see subsection 1.3).

Let $R<\infty$. One can define a structure of semigroup on the set $\mathcal{R}_{R, d}^{m}$ as follows. Let $\left(C_{1}, f_{1}\right)_{m}$ and $\left(C_{2}, f_{2}\right)_{m}$ be two marked coverings of degree $d$. Let us choose two continuous preserving the orientations imbeddings $\varphi_{j}: D_{R} \rightarrow D_{R}, j=1,2$, of the disc $D_{R}$ to itself leaving fixed the point $o$ and such that
(i) the image $\varphi_{1}\left(D_{R}\right)=\left\{u \in D_{R} \mid \operatorname{Re} u \geqslant 0\right\}$ is the right halfdisc and $\varphi_{1}\left(\left\{u \in \partial D_{R} \mid \operatorname{Re} u \leqslant 0\right\}\right)=\left\{u \in D_{R} \mid \operatorname{Re} u=0\right\}$ is the vertical diameter;
(ii) $\varphi_{2}\left(D_{R}\right)=\left\{u \in D_{R} \mid \operatorname{Re} u \leqslant 0\right\}$ is the left halfdisc and $\varphi_{2}\left(\left\{u \in \partial D_{R} \mid \operatorname{Re} u \geqslant 0\right\}\right)=\left\{u \in D_{R} \mid \operatorname{Re} u=0\right\}$.
Let us identify the points belonging to the sets $f_{1}^{-1}(o)$ and $f_{2}^{-1}(o)$ by means of the orders on the sets of these points, and after that let us identify, by continuity, the points belonging to the $d$ paths $f_{1}^{-1}\left(\left\{u \in \partial D_{R} \mid \operatorname{Re} u \leqslant 0\right\}\right)$ in $C_{1}$ with the points belonging to the $d$ paths $f_{2}^{-1}\left(\left\{u \in \partial D_{R} \mid \operatorname{Re} u \geqslant 0\right\}\right)$ in $C_{2}$ so that the images under the mappings $\varphi_{1} \circ f_{1} \varphi_{2} \circ f_{2}$ of the all identified points should be coincided. By means of this identification, we can glue the surfaces $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ along these $d$ paths and, as a result we obtain a marked covering $(C, f)_{m}$, where $f(q)=\varphi_{1}\left(f_{1}(q)\right)$ if $q \in C_{1}$ and $f(q)=\varphi_{2}\left(f_{2}(q)\right)$ if $q \in C_{2}$. We call the obtained covering $(C, f)_{m}$ the product of marked coverings $\left(C_{1}, f_{1}\right)_{m}$ and $\left(C_{2}, f_{2}\right)_{m}$ (notation: $(C, f)_{m}=\left(C_{1}, f_{1}\right)_{m}$. $\left.\left(C_{2}, f_{2}\right)_{m}\right)$. It is easy to see that the product introduced above defines a structure of non-commutative semigroup on $\mathcal{R}_{R, d}^{m}$ such that the maps $i_{R_{1}, R_{2}}$ are isomorphisms of semigroups for all $R_{1} \geqslant R_{2}>0$.

It is obvious that the semigroup $\mathcal{R}_{d}^{m}=\mathcal{R}_{R, d}^{m}$ is generated by the marked coverings $(C, f)_{m}$ which are coverings of the disc $D=D_{R}$ with a single branch point $q_{1}$. Such coverings are defined uniquely (up to equivalence) by their global monodromy $\sigma_{f}=\mu(\partial D) \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ where $\mu=\mu_{f}$ is the monodromy of the marked covering $(C, f)_{m}$. Therefore the number of generators is equal to $d$ !. Denote by $x_{\sigma_{f}}$ the generator of the semigroup $\mathcal{R}_{d}$ corresponding to a covering $(C, f)_{m}$ with single branch point. A simple inspection shows that in the semigroup $\mathcal{R}_{d}^{m}$ the generators $x_{\sigma}$ satisfy the following defining relations:

$$
x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot x_{\sigma_{2}}=x_{\sigma_{2}} \cdot x_{\left(\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right)}, \quad x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot x_{\sigma_{2}}=x_{\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}^{-1}\right)} \cdot x_{\sigma_{1}},
$$

and $x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot x_{\mathbf{1}}=x_{\sigma_{1}}, x_{\mathbf{1}} \cdot x_{\sigma_{2}}=x_{\sigma_{2}}$ for all $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$.
It is easy to check that if a marked covering $(C, f)_{m}$ is equal to $x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{\sigma_{n}}$ in $\mathcal{R}_{d}^{m}$, then its global monodromy $\sigma_{f}=\mu(\partial D)$ is equal to the product $\sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{n}$ and it
is obvious that the comparison to each marked covering its global monodromy defines a homomorphism from $\mathcal{R}_{d}^{m}$ to the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_{d}$. Denote this homomorphism by $\alpha: \mathcal{R}_{d}^{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{d}$.

Renumberings of the sheets of the marked coverings define an action of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ on $\mathcal{R}_{d}^{m}$. Namely, an element $\sigma_{0} \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ acts on the generators $x_{\sigma}$ by the following rule: $x_{\sigma} \mapsto x_{\left(\sigma_{0}^{-1} \sigma \sigma_{0}\right)}$. This action defines a homomorphism $\lambda: \mathcal{S}_{d} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{R}_{d}^{m}\right)$. Therefore we obtain the following

Proposition 3.1. The semigroup $\mathcal{R}_{d}^{m}$ as a semigroup over $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\Sigma_{d}$.

According to Proposition 3.1, we call the elements of $\Sigma_{d}$ monodromy factorizations of the coverings of degree $d$.

It is easy to see that the kernel $\operatorname{ker} \alpha=\mathcal{R}_{d, \mathbf{1}}^{m}=\left\{(C, f)_{m} \in \mathcal{R}_{d}^{m} \mid \sigma_{f}=\mathbf{1}\right\}$ is a subsemigroup in $\mathcal{R}_{d}^{m}$ isomorphic to $\Sigma_{d, 1}$ and if the disc $D$ is embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, then the elements of $\mathcal{R}_{d, 1}^{m}$ are the marked coverings $f: C \rightarrow D$ for which there are extensions to marked coverings $\widetilde{f}: \widetilde{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ non-ramified over $\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash D$. Note that the extension $\widetilde{f}: \widetilde{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ of a marked covering $f: C \rightarrow D$ with the global monodromy $\mu_{f}(\partial D)=\mathbf{1}$ is defined uniquely up to equivalence.

The inverse statement is also true: the image of $\mathcal{R}_{\infty, d}^{m}$ under the imbedding $i_{\infty, R}$ coincides with $\mathcal{R}_{d, \mathbf{1}}^{m}$. In the sequel we will identify $\mathcal{R}_{\infty, d}^{m, d}$ with the semigroup $\mathcal{R}_{d, \mathbf{1}}^{m}$ by means of this isomorphism. As a result, we have the following

Proposition 3.2. On the set of equivalence classes of marked coverings of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of degree $d$ there is a natural semigroup structure isomorphic to $\Sigma_{d, 1}$.
3.3. Hurwitz spaces of marked Riemannian surfaces. In this subsection we describe the Hurwitz spaces $\operatorname{HUR}_{d}^{m}(D)$ of marked ramified degree $d$ coverings of $D=D_{R}$ considered up to isomorphisms. The space $\operatorname{HUR}_{d}^{m}(D)=\bigsqcup_{b=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}(D)$ is the disjoint union of the spaces of coverings branched at $b$ points, $b \in \mathbb{N}$.

As in [3], let us consider the symmetric product $D^{(b)}$ of $b$ copied of $D^{0}=D \backslash \partial D$. It is a complex manifold of dimension $b$ obtained as the quotient of the cartesian product $D^{b}=D^{0} \times \cdots \times D^{0}$ (with $b$ factors) under the action of $\mathcal{S}_{b}$ which permutes the factors. The points of $D^{(b)}$ will be identified with the sets of unordered $b$-tuples of points of $D^{0}$. Those $b$-tuples which contain fewer than $b$ distinct points form the discriminant locus $\Delta$ of $D^{(b)}$.

For a point $B_{0}=\left\{q_{1,0}, \ldots, q_{b, 0}\right\} \in D^{(b)} \backslash \Delta$ let us fix the ordered subset $B_{0}=$ $\left\{q_{1,0}, \ldots, q_{b, 0}\right\} \subset D$ and choose a good geometric base $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{b}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(D \backslash B_{0}, o\right)$. Then any word $w$ of the set of words $W_{b}$ of length $b$ in the letters $x_{\sigma}, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$, defines a marked covering $f=f_{w}: C \rightarrow D$ branched over $B_{0}$ and whose monodromy is $\mu$ such that $\mu\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i}$, where $x_{\sigma_{i}}$ is a letter in $w$ standing at the $i$-th place.

The choice of a good geometric base allow us to choose the standard generators $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{b-1}$ in $\pi_{1}\left(D^{(b)} \backslash \Delta, B_{0}\right) \simeq \mathrm{Br}_{b}$ so that this choice defines an action of $\mathrm{Br}_{b}$ on
the set of words $W_{b}$ (see subsection 1.3). In the other words, this choice defines a homomorphism $\theta_{d, b, R}: \pi_{1}\left(D^{(b)} \backslash \Delta, B_{0}\right) \simeq \mathrm{Br}_{b} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{N}$, where $N=(d!)^{b}$.

The homomorphism $\theta_{d, b, R}$ allows us to define the space $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}(D)$ as an unramified covering $h_{d, b, R}: \operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}(D) \rightarrow D^{(b)} \backslash \Delta$ associated with $\theta_{d, b, R}$. Indeed, if we fix a marked covering $f: C \rightarrow D$ with monodromy $\mu$ such that $\mu\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i}$, then any path $\delta(t), 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$, in $D^{(b)}$ starting at $B_{0}$ can be lifted to $D$ and we obtain $b$ paths $\delta_{i}(t)$ in $D$ starting at the points $q_{1,0}, \ldots, q_{b, 0}$. These paths define (up to isotopy) a continuous family of homeomorphisms $\bar{\delta}_{t}: D \backslash B_{0} \rightarrow D \backslash\left\{\delta_{1}(t), \ldots, \delta_{b}(t)\right\}$ leaving fixed the boundary $\partial D$ such that $\bar{\delta}_{0}=I d$ and we can consider a continuous family of marked coverings $f_{t}: C_{t} \rightarrow D$ branched at $\delta_{1}(t), \ldots, \delta_{b}(t)$ and given by monodromy $\mu_{t}$ such that $\mu_{t}\left(\bar{\delta}_{t *}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)\right)=\sigma_{i}$. It is obvious that if $\delta(t)$ is a loop, then the collection $\left(\mu_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \ldots, \mu_{1}\left(\gamma_{b}\right)\right)$ is Hurwitz equivalent to $\left(\mu_{0}\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \ldots, \mu_{0}\left(\gamma_{b}\right)\right)$. Therefore the points of the covering space $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}(D)$ of the covering $h_{d, b, R}: \operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}(D) \rightarrow D^{(b)} \backslash \Delta$ naturally parametrize all the marked coverings of $D$ of degree $d$ branched at $b$ points. The degree of the covering $h_{d, b, R}$ is equal to $(d!)^{b}$. As a result, we obtain the following

Proposition 3.3. The irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}(D)$ are in one to one correspondence with the elements $s$ of the semigroup $\Sigma_{d}$ of length $\ln (s)=b$.

There is a natural structure of a semigroup on the set of irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d}^{m}(D)$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_{d} \simeq \Sigma_{d}$.

For $R_{2} \geqslant R_{1}>0$ we have the imbedding $D_{R_{1}}^{(b)} \hookrightarrow D_{R_{2}}^{(b)}$ and it is easy to see that the restriction of $h_{d, b, R_{2}}$ to $h_{d, b, R_{2}}^{-1}\left(D_{R_{1}}^{(b)} \backslash \Delta\right)$ can be identified with $h_{d, b, R_{1}}: \operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}\left(D_{R_{1}}\right) \rightarrow$ $D_{R_{1}}^{(b)} \backslash \Delta$ by means of $i_{R_{1}, R_{2}}$.

According to Proposition 3.3, we will denote by $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, s}^{m}(D)$ the irreducible component of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, l n(s)}^{m}(D)$ corresponding to an element $s \in \Sigma_{d}$. In particular, the global monodromy $\sigma_{f}=\mu(\partial D)=\alpha(s) \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ is an invariant of the irreducible component $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, s}^{m}(D)$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{HUR}_{d, b, \sigma}^{m}(D)=\quad \bigcup \quad \operatorname{HUR}_{d, s}^{m}(D) . \\
& \alpha(s)=\sigma \\
& \ln (s)=b
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from consideration above that

$$
\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)=\bigcup_{R>0} \operatorname{HUR}_{d, b, \mathbf{1}}^{m}\left(D_{R}\right) .
$$

For a fixed type $t$ of elements $s \in \Sigma_{d}$ let us denote also by

$$
\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t}^{m}(D)=\bigcup_{\tau(s)=t} \operatorname{HUR}_{d, s}^{m}(D)
$$

and put

$$
\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t, \sigma}^{m}(D)=\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t}^{m}(D) \cap \operatorname{HUR}_{d, \sigma}^{m}(D) .
$$

As it was mentioned above, a marked covering $f: C \rightarrow D$ of degree $d$ branched at the points $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}$ defines (and is defined) by monodromy $\mu: \pi_{1}\left(D \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{S}_{d}$. The image $\mu\left(\pi_{1}\left(D \backslash\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{b}\right\}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Gal}(f) \subset \mathcal{S}_{d}$ is called the Galois group of the covering $f$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Gal}(f)=\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{s}$ if the covering $f$ belongs to $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, s}^{m}(D)$. It is not hard to show that the covering space $C$ of a marked covering $(C, f)_{m}$ is connected if and only if the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$ acts transitively on the set $I_{d}=[1, d]$.

Denote by $\operatorname{HUR}_{d}^{m, G}(D)$ the union of irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d}^{m}(D)$ consisting of the coverings with the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(f)=G \subset \mathcal{S}_{d}$ and put $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t}^{m, G}(D)=$ $\operatorname{HUR}_{d}^{m, G}(D) \cap \operatorname{HUR}_{d, t}^{m}(D)$ and $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t, \sigma}^{m, G}(D)=\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t}^{m, G}(D) \cap \operatorname{HUR}_{d, t, \sigma}^{m}(D)$.

By Corollary 2.2, we have
Theorem 3.1. Let the type $t$ of monodromy factorization contains $k$ transpositions. If $k \geqslant 3(d-1)$ then each irreducible component of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t}^{m, \mathcal{S}_{d}}(D)$ is uniquely defined by the global monodromy $\sigma_{f}=\mu(\partial D) \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ of $(C, f)_{m}$ belonging to this irreducible component.
3.4. Hurwitz spaces of (non-marked) coverings of the disc. To obtain Hurwitz space $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}(D)$ of degree $d$ coverings of a disc $D=D_{R}$ branched over $b$ points lying in $D^{0}$, we must identify all marked coverings of $D$ differ only in numberings of sheets. The renumberings of sheets induces the action of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ on the marked fibres. Remind that the actions of $\mathrm{Br}_{b}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ on $W_{b}$ commute. Therefore this action of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ induces an action on $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}(D)$ and we obtain that the space $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}(D)$ is the quotient space: $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}(D)=\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}(D) / \mathcal{S}_{d}$. From this it follows

Proposition 3.4. The irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}(D)$ are in one to one correspondence with the orbits of the action of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ by simultaneous conjugation on $\Sigma_{d, b}=$ $\left\{s \in \Sigma_{d} \mid \ln (s)=b\right\}$.

If $f: C \rightarrow D$ is a non-marked covering, then we can also define the Galois group as $\operatorname{Gal}(f)=\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{s}$. But in this case the subgroup $\operatorname{Gal}(f) \subset \mathcal{S}_{d}$ is defined uniquely only up to inner automorphisms of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$.

In the sequel we denote by HUR.,.,( $D$ ) (resp., $\operatorname{HUR}_{r, \cdot,( }^{G}(D)$ ) the image of introduced above subspaces $\operatorname{HUR}_{r, \cdot,}^{m}(D)$ (resp., $\operatorname{HUR}_{r, \cdot,}^{m, G}(D)$ ) of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}(D)$ under the canonical map

$$
\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}(D) \rightarrow \operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}(D)=\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}^{m}(D) / \mathcal{S}_{d} .
$$

In particular, we have $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, s_{1}}(D)=\operatorname{HUR}_{d, s_{2}}(D)$ if and only if there is $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ such that $\lambda(\sigma)\left(s_{1}\right)=s_{2}$.

Corollary 2.4 gives us a complete description of irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}(D)$ in the case $d=3$.

Corollary 3.1. The irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}_{3, b}^{G}(D)$ are uniquely defined by the monodromy factorization type and the type of global monodromy if $G \simeq \mathcal{S}_{2}$ or $\mathcal{S}_{3}$.

The space $\operatorname{HUR}_{3, b}^{A_{3}}(D)$ consists of $m=\left[\frac{b}{6}\right]+1$ irreducible components if the global monodromy is equal to $\mathbf{1}$ and it consists of $m=-\left[\frac{-b}{3}\right]$ irreducible components if the global monodromy is not equal to $\mathbf{1}$.
3.5. Hurwitz spaces of (non-marked) coverings of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. In [3], Hurwitz spaces $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ of coverings of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ of degree $d$, branched over $b$ points, were described as non-ramified coverings of the complement of the discriminant locus $\Delta$ in the symmetric product $\mathbb{P}^{(b)}$ of $b$ copies of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. The choice of a point $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and the identification $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{\infty\}$ defines an imbedding of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}\left(D_{\infty}\right)$ into $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ as an everywhere dense open subset. So we get the following
Proposition 3.5. The irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ are in one to one correspondence with the orbits of the action of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ by simultaneous conjugation on $\Sigma_{d, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{b}}=$ $\left\{s \in \Sigma_{d, \mathbf{1}} \mid \ln (s)=b\right\}$.

As in subsection 3.4, we can introduced the unions HUR.,., $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.\operatorname{HUR}_{\cdot, \cdot,}^{G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)\right)$ of irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, b}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ for fixed elements of $\Sigma_{b, 1}$, for fixed types of monodromy factorizations, fixed Galois groups, and so on.

As a consequence of Proposition 1.1 we have
Theorem 3.2. There is a natural structure of the semigroup $\Sigma_{d, 1}^{\mathcal{S}_{d}}=\left\{s \in \Sigma_{d, \mathbf{1}} \mid\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}\right)_{s}=\mathcal{S}_{d}\right\}$ on the set of irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}_{d}^{\mathcal{S}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$.

Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 give us the following two theorems.
Theorem 3.3. The space $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t}^{\mathcal{S}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ is irreducible if the monodromy factorization type $t$ contains more than $3(d-1)$ transpositions.

Theorem 3.4. The irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}_{3, b}^{G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ are uniquely defined by the monodromy factorization type if $G \simeq \mathcal{S}_{2}$ or $\mathcal{S}_{3}$.

The space $\operatorname{HUR}_{3, b}^{A_{3}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ consists of $m=\left[\frac{b}{6}\right]+1$ irreducible components.
According to Theorems 3.3, 3.4, and Clebsch - Hurwitz Theorem, one can hope that the space $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t}^{\mathcal{S}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ is irreducible always for a fixed monodromy factorization type $t$. The following theorem also confirms this conjecture.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ be a transposition and $\sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{S}_{d}$ be a cycle of length $d$. Then the space $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ is irreducible for fixed type $t$ of the form $\left([2], t\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{-1}\right),[d]\right)$.

There are exactly $\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$ different types of such form.
Proof. If the type of $s \in \Sigma_{d}$ is $\left([2], t\left(\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}\right),[d]\right)$, then $\ln (s)=3$ and hence $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ is unramified covering of $\mathbb{P}^{(3)} \backslash \Delta$.

By Theorem 3.4, we can assume that $d \geqslant 4$.

Let us show that there are at least $\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$ different elements $s \in \Sigma_{d}$ of the form $s=x_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot x_{\sigma_{2}} \cdot x_{\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}}$. For this it suffices to show that there are $\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$ different types for the elements of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ of the form $\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}$. Indeed, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\sigma_{2}^{-1}=(1,2)(2,3) \ldots(d-1, d)$ and $\sigma_{1}=(i, d)$. Then the type of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}= & (1,2)(2,3) \ldots(d-1, d)(i, d)= \\
& (1,2) \ldots(d-2, d-1)(i, d-1)(d-1, d)=\cdots= \\
& (1,2) \ldots(i-1, i)(i+1, i+2) \ldots(d-1, d),
\end{aligned}
$$

is $[i, d-i]$ for $i=2, \ldots,\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$ and $[d-1]$ for $i=1$. In particular, the element $\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}$ is not conjugated with $\sigma_{1}$ nor with $\sigma_{2}$ if $d \geqslant 4$.

Consider the set $U$ of words $w \in W$ consisting of three letters $x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}$, where $x_{i}=x_{\sigma_{1}}, x_{j}=x_{\sigma_{2}}$, and $x_{k}=x_{\eta}$, where $\eta$ is equal to either $\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}$ or $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{-1}$ (depending on the position of the letter $x_{k}$ in the word $w$ so to have $\alpha(w)=\mathbf{1}$ ). Since the number of different transpositions is equal to $\frac{d(d-1)}{2}$, the number of different cycles $\sigma_{2}$ of length $d$ is equal to $(d-1)$ !, and the element $x_{k}$ is uniquely defined by the positions of the letters $x_{i}, x_{j}$, and $x_{k}$ in the word $w$ and by $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp U=6 \frac{d(d-1)}{2}(d-1)!=3 d!(d-1) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider two words $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ of $U$ consisting, respectively, of letters $x_{i_{1}}=x_{\sigma_{1}}$, $x_{j_{1}}=x_{\sigma_{2}}, x_{k_{1}}=x_{\eta}$ and $x_{i_{2}}=x_{\hat{\sigma}_{1}}, x_{j_{2}}=x_{\hat{\sigma}_{2}}, x_{k_{2}}=x_{\hat{\eta}}$. It is easy to see that the words $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ do not belong to the same orbit of the action of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ by simultaneous conjugation if $t(\eta) \neq t(\hat{\eta})$. Therefore in $U$ there exist at least $\left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]$ different orbits of this action. Let us fix a word $w \in U$ and count the number of elements belonging to the orbit of $w$. It is easy to see that the stabilizer of the letter $x_{\sigma_{2}}$ is the cyclic subgroup $Z_{\sigma_{2}}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ generated by $\sigma_{2}$. The transposition $\sigma_{1}$ is fixed under the conjugation by $\sigma_{2}^{n}$ for $n \in[1, d-1]$ only if $d=2 n$ and in this case the order of the stabilizer of $w$ is less or equal 2. Like in the computation of the number of different types of permutations of the form $\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}$, one can show that if $d=2 n$ and $\sigma_{2}^{-n} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}^{n}=\sigma_{1}$, then $t(\eta)=[n, n]$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp U \geqslant 6\left[\frac{d}{2}\right] d!=3 d!(d-1) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $d$ is odd and if $d=2 n$ is even, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp U \geqslant 6\left(\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]-1\right) d!+6 \frac{d!}{2}=3 d!((2 n-1)=3 d!(d-1) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (35) - (37) that the orbit under the simultaneous conjugation of an element $s$ of type $\tau(s)=\left([2], t\left(\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}\right),[d]\right\}$ is uniquely defined by its type. Therefore the space $\operatorname{HUR}_{d, t}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ is irreducible for fixed type $t=\left([2], t\left(\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}\right),[d]\right)$ and the number of such components is equal to $\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$.
3.6. Hurwitz spaces of Galois coverings. Let $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a Galois covering with Galois group $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(C / \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$, that is, $G$ is the deck transformation group of the covering $f$ and the quotient space $C / G=\mathbb{P}^{1}$. In this case we have $\operatorname{deg} f=|G|$ and if we fix a point $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ over which $f$ is not ramified and fix a point $e \in f^{-1}(\infty)$, then the action of $G$ on $f^{-1}(\infty)$ defines a numbering of the points in $f^{-1}(\infty)$ by the elements of $G$. If we choose also a numbering of the points in $f^{-1}(\infty)$ by the numbers belonging to the segment $I_{|G|}=[1,|G|]$, then these numberings define an embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{|G|}$. It is easy to see that this is Cayley's embedding. Therefore the Hurwitz space $\operatorname{HUR}^{G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ of Galois coverings with the Galois group $G$ can be identified with $H U R_{|G|, \mathbf{1}}^{G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ and, in particular, the natural map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{HUR}_{|G|, \mathbf{1}}^{m, G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{HUR}_{|G|, \mathbf{1}}^{G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{HUR}^{G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

is surjective unramified morphism.
Theorem 3.6. The irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}^{G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ are in one to one correspondence with the orbits of the elements $s \in S_{G}^{G} \subset S(G, G)$ under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ on $S(G, G)$.

If $\operatorname{Aut}(G)=G$, then there is a natural structure of the semigroup $S_{G, 1}^{G}$ on the set of irreducible components of $\operatorname{HUR}^{G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$.

Proof. The first part of the theorem follows from Corollary 2.5.
To prove the second part, note that the equality $\operatorname{Aut}(G)=G$ means that any automorphism of $G$ is inner. By Proposition 1.1, the elements of $S_{G, 1}^{G}$ are fixed under the action of $G$ by simultaneous conjugation. Therefore, by Corollary 2.5, natural map (38) is an isomorphism which gives the desired structure of semigroup on $\operatorname{HUR}^{G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$.

In particular, Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 2.4 imply
Theorem 3.7. The irreducible components of the Hurwitz space $\operatorname{HUR}^{\mathcal{S}_{3}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ of Galois coverings with Galois group $G=\mathcal{S}_{3}$ are defined uniquely by the monodromy factorization type of coverings belonging to them.
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