

# **Extension Operators for Sobolev Spaces Commuting with a Given Transform**

**Viktor Burenkov<sup>1</sup>, B.-W. Schulze<sup>2</sup>  
and Nikolai N. Tarkhanov<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>

University of Wales Cardiff  
23 Senghennydd Road  
Cardiff CF2  
United Kingdom

Max-Planck-Institut  
für Mathematik  
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26  
53225 Bonn  
Germany

<sup>2</sup>

Max-Planck-Arbeitsgruppe  
"Partielle Differentialgleichungen und  
komplexe Analysis"  
Universität Potsdam  
Am Neuen Palais 10  
14469 Potsdam  
Germany

<sup>3</sup>

Institut für Mathematik  
Universität Potsdam  
Postfach 601553  
14415 Potsdam  
Germany



# Extension Operators for Sobolev Spaces Commuting with a Given Transform

Viktor Burenkov  
University of Wales Cardiff  
23 Senghennydd Road  
Cardiff CF2  
United Kingdom

Bert-Wolfgang Schulze  
Max-Planck AG "Analysis"  
Universität Potsdam  
Postfach 60 15 53  
14415 Potsdam  
Germany

Nikolai N. Tarkhanov\*  
Institut für Mathematik  
Universität Potsdam  
Postfach 60 15 53  
14415 Potsdam  
Germany

October 29, 1996

## Abstract

We consider a real-valued function  $r = M(t)$  on the real axis, such that  $M(t) < 0$  for  $t < 0$ . Under appropriate assumptions on  $M$ , the pull-back operator  $M^*$  gives rise to a transform of Sobolev spaces  $W^{s,p}(-\infty, 0)$  that restricts to a transform of  $W^{s,p}(-\infty, \infty)$ . We construct a bounded linear extension operator  $W^{s,p}(-\infty, 0) \rightarrow W^{s,p}(-\infty, \infty)$ , commuting with this transform.

*AMS subject classification:* 46E35.

*Key words and phrases:* Sobolev spaces, extension operator.

---

\*Supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

## 1 Motivation

As described in Schulze [S], Sobolev embedding theorems may be treated in the framework of pseudodifferential operators with operator-valued symbols whose definition is based on the “twisted” homogeneity.

In particular, consider the strongly continuous group action  $(\kappa_\lambda)_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)}$  on a space  $L = H^s(\mathbb{R}_-)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , given by  $\kappa_\lambda u(t) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u(\lambda t)$ . Obviously,  $\kappa_\lambda$  acts continuously also on  $V = H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} W^s(\mathbb{R}^q, H^s(\mathbb{R}_-)) &= H^s(\mathbb{R}_-^{q+1}), \\ W^s(\mathbb{R}^q, H^s(\mathbb{R})) &= H^s(\mathbb{R}^{q+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $W^s(\mathbb{R}^q, L)$  is defined to be the completion of  $C_{comp}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^q, L)$  with respect to the norm  $\|u\| = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^q} \langle \eta \rangle^{2s} \|\kappa_{(\eta)}^{-1} F_{y \rightarrow \eta} u\|_L^2 d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $F$  being the Fourier transform. Each continuous linear extension operator  $T : H^s(\mathbb{R}_-) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R})$  commuting with  $\kappa_\lambda$  gives rise to a constant operator-valued symbol  $a(y, \eta)$  in  $S_{cl}^0(T^*(\mathbb{R}^q), \mathcal{L}(L \rightarrow V))$  simply by  $a(y, \eta) = T$ . The symbol space in question is defined on the base of the group action  $\kappa_\lambda$ , so that  $a(y, \eta)$  satisfies

$$\|\kappa_{(\eta)}^{-1} D_y^\alpha D_\eta^\beta a(y, \eta) \kappa_{(\eta)}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L \rightarrow V)} \leq c \langle \eta \rangle^{-|\beta|}$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , uniformly in  $y$  on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^q$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^q$ . Then, the corresponding pseudodifferential operator  $\text{op}(a)u = F_{\eta \rightarrow y}^{-1} a(y, \eta) F_{y \rightarrow \eta} u$  extends to a continuous mapping of  $W^s(\mathbb{R}^q, L) \rightarrow W^s(\mathbb{R}^q, V)$ . Moreover, it is an extension operator of  $H^s(\mathbb{R}_-^{q+1}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}^{q+1})$ , for if  $R : H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}_-)$  is the restriction mapping, then  $\text{op}(R)$  is the restriction operator of  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^{q+1}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}_-^{q+1})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{op}(R) \text{op}(a) &= \text{op}(RT) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

on  $H^s(\mathbb{R}_-^{q+1})$ . This operator-valued boundary symbol is of particular interest in Boutet de Monvel’s algebra (cf. *ibid.*, Subsection 4.2.2).

With this as our starting point, we are looking in this paper for a bounded extension operator of  $H^s(\mathbb{R}_-) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R})$  commuting with a general transform of these spaces.

## 2 Statement of the main result

For  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ , let  $W^{s,p}(a, b)$  stand for the Sobolev space of all functions  $f \in L^p(a, b)$  having weak derivatives  $f^{(s)}$  of order  $s$  on  $(a, b)$ , such that

$$\|f\|_{W^{s,p}(a,b)} = \|f\|_{L^p(a,b)} + \|f^{(s)}\|_{L^p(a,b)} < \infty.$$

It is well-known (see Nikol’skii [N1], Babich [B]) that there exists a bounded linear extension operator

$$T : W^{s,p}(-\infty, 0) \rightarrow W^{s,p}(-\infty, \infty) \quad (2.1)$$

(i.e.,  $(Tf)(t) = f(t)$  if  $t < 0$ ). It can be constructed in the following way: for  $t > 0$ ,

$$(Tf)(t) = \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j f(-\beta_j t), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\beta_j$  are arbitrary distinct positive numbers and  $\alpha_j$  are defined by

$$\sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j (-\beta_j)^i = 1, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, s-1.$$

(This construction was first used in Hestenes [H].)

Denote by  $\kappa$  a dilation transform of the type

$$(\kappa f)(t) = A f(\lambda t), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

where  $A$  and  $\lambda$  are positive numbers. Then the extension operator  $T$  defined by (2.2) commutes with  $\kappa$ :

$$T\kappa = \kappa T. \quad (2.3)$$

(Note that in the left side  $\kappa$  is considered as an operator acting from  $W^{s,p}(-\infty, 0)$  to  $W^{s,p}(-\infty, 0)$ , while in the right side it is considered as an operator acting from  $W^{s,p}(-\infty, \infty)$  to  $W^{s,p}(-\infty, \infty)$ .)

Below we consider a more general transform  $\kappa$  defined by

$$(\kappa f)(t) = A f(M(t)), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $A$  is a positive number and  $M$  a function satisfying appropriate conditions. We construct a bounded linear extension operator commuting with this transform.

**Theorem 2.1** *Suppose  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and  $\kappa$  is a transform defined by (2.4), where  $A > 0$  and  $M$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- 1)  $M \in C_{loc}^s(-\infty, \infty)$  and all derivatives  $M^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , are bounded;
- 2)  $M$  is odd;
- 3)  $M(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, \infty)$ ;
- 4) there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $M'(t) > c$  for  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ , moreover,  $M'(0) \neq 1$ ;
- 5)  $M''(0) = \dots = M^{(s-1)}(0) = 0$ .

*Then, there exists a bounded linear extension operator (2.1) satisfying (2.3).*

### 3 Proof

1° For  $f \in W^{s,p}(-\infty, 0)$ , we set  $f_-(t) = f(-t)$  and

$$(Tf)(t) = \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j (\kappa^j f_-)(t), \quad t > 0,$$

where  $\alpha_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , are defined by

$$\sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j A^j (M'(0))^{ij} = (-1)^i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, s-1. \quad (3.1)$$

We note that, since  $M'(0) \neq 1$ , the determinant of this system with respect to the variables  $\alpha_j A^j$ , being a *Van-der-Mond determinant*, is not equal to 0.

Put

$$M_j(t) = \underbrace{M(\cdots(M(t))\cdots)}_j.$$

Then

$$(Tf)(t) = \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j A^j f(-M_j(t)), \quad t > 0.$$

As by condition 3)  $M_j(t) > 0$  for  $t > 0$ , the value  $(Tf)(t)$  is well-defined.

2° Suppose  $f \in W^{s,p}(-\infty, 0)$ . In order to prove that  $Tf \in W^{s,p}(-\infty, \infty)$  it is enough to prove that  $Tf \in W^{s,p}(0, \infty)$  and

$$(Tf)^{(i)}(0+) = f^{(i)}(0-), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $f^{(i)}(0-)$  and  $(Tf)^{(i)}(0+)$  are boundary values of  $f^{(i)}$  and  $(Tf)^{(i)}$  respectively (see for instance Nikol'skii [N2], Triebel [T]).

3° Since  $f \in W^{s,p}(-\infty, 0)$ , it is equivalent to a function  $F$  defined on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , such that the ordinary derivatives  $F^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s-1$ , exist on  $(-\infty, 0]$  and  $F^{(s-1)}$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, 0]$  for each  $a < 0$ . Moreover,  $f^{(i)}(0-) = F^{(i)}(0)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s-1$ . We note also that the ordinary derivative  $F^{(s)}$  exists almost everywhere on  $(-\infty, 0)$  and is equivalent to the weak derivative  $f^{(s)}$ . (See for example Nikol'skii [N2].)

It follows that  $Tf$ , defined on  $(0, \infty)$ , is equivalent to  $TF$ , defined on  $[0, \infty)$ , the ordinary derivatives  $(TF)^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s-1$ , exist on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $(TF)^{(s-1)}$  is absolutely continuous on  $[0, b]$  for each  $b > 0$ . The latter is due to the fact that the functions  $M_j$  are absolutely continuous and monotonic. Consequently, the ordinary derivative  $(TF)^{(s)}$  exists almost everywhere on  $(0, \infty)$ , is equivalent to the weak derivative  $(Tf)^{(s)}$  and

$$\|Tf\|_{W^{s,p}(0,\infty)} = \|TF\|_{L^p(0,\infty)} + \|(TF)^{(s)}\|_{L^p(0,\infty)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, condition (3.2) is equivalent to

$$(TF)^{(i)}(0) = F^{(i)}(0), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1. \quad (3.4)$$

4° Our next observation is that, for  $i = 1, \dots, s$  and  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (F(-M_j(t)))^{(i)} &= (-1)^i F^{(i)}(-M_j(t)) (M'(M_{j-1}(t))M'(M_{j-2}(t))\cdots M'(t))^i \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} F^{(k)}(-M_j(t)) A_{i,k}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{i,k}$  are linear combinations of products of some natural powers of derivatives  $M^{(l)}(M_m(t))$ , where  $0 \leq m \leq j-1$  and  $1 \leq l \leq i-k+1$ . This equality is valid everywhere on  $[0, \infty)$ , if  $i < s$ , and almost everywhere, if  $i = s$ .

It is worth pointing out that every summand in  $A_{i,k}$  contains as a factor at least one derivative of  $M$  of order greater than 1. Consequently, we can assert, by conditions 2) and 5), that

$$(F(-M_j(t)))^{(i)}|_{t=0} = (-1)^i (M'(0))^{ij} F^{(i)}(0)$$

for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$ . Hence it follows that

$$(TF)^{(i)}(0) = (-1)^i \left( \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j A^j (M'(0))^{ij} \right) F^{(i)}(0), \quad (3.5)$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$ .

Moreover, since the derivatives  $M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(s)}$  are bounded, there exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$|(F(-M_j(t)))^{(i)}| \leq c_1 \sum_{k=1}^i |F^{(k)}(-M_j(t))|, \quad t \geq 0,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Thus,

$$|(TF)(t)| \leq c_2 \sum_{j=1}^s |F(-M_j(t))|, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and

$$|(TF)^{(i)}(t)| \leq c_2 \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^i |F^{(k)}(-M_j(t))|, \quad t \geq 0,$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , the constant  $c_2$  being independent of  $F$ .

5° By condition 4), there is a constant  $c_3 > 0$  with the property that

$$M'_j(t) \geq c_3, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, s$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|TF\|_{L^p(0,\infty)} &\leq c_2 \sum_{j=1}^s \|F(-M_j(t))\|_{L^p(0,\infty)} \\ &= c_2 \sum_{j=1}^s \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 |F(r)|^p \frac{dr}{M'_j(M_j^{-1}(r))} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq c_2 c_3^{-\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{j=1}^s \|F\|_{L^p(-\infty,0)} \\ &= c_4 \|F\|_{L^p(-\infty,0)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $c_4 = c_2 c_3^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ . Similarly,

$$\|(TF)^{(s)}\|_{L^p(0,\infty)} \leq c_5 \sum_{k=1}^s \|F^{(k)}\|_{L^p(-\infty,0)} \quad (3.7)$$

with  $c_5$  a constant independent of  $F$ .

Now we invoke a well-known result that

$$\|F^{(k)}\|_{L^p(-\infty,0)} \leq c_6 \left( \|F\|_{L^p(-\infty,0)} + \|F^{(s)}\|_{L^p(-\infty,0)} \right) \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $k \leq s$ , where the constant  $c_6$  depends only on  $s$  (cf. Nikol'skii [N2]). Thus, combining (3.3), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) yields

$$\|Tf\|_{W^{s,p}(0,\infty)} \leq c_7 \|f\|_{W^{s,p}(-\infty,0)}, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $c_7$  is independent of  $f$ .

6° According to (3.5) condition (3.4) and, hence, (3.2) is equivalent to (3.1). Thus, from what has been said in item 2° it follows that  $Tf \in W^{s,p}(-\infty, \infty)$ . The estimate (3.9) now shows that the operator  $T$  is bounded.

7° Finally, equality (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j \kappa^j (\kappa f)_- = \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j \kappa^{j+1} f_-$$

on  $(0, \infty)$ . The latter equality is valid for, by condition 2),

$$\begin{aligned} (\kappa f)_-(t) &= (A f(M(t)))_- \\ &= A f(M(-t)) \\ &= A f(-M(t)) \\ &= (\kappa f_-)(t), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

## References

- [B] V. M. BABICH, On the extension of functions. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **8** (2 (54)) (1953), 11-113.
- [H] M. R. HESTENES, Extensions of the range of a differentiable function, *Duke Math. J.* **8** (1941), 183-192.
- [N1] S. M. NIKOL'SKII, On the solution of the polyharmonic equation by a variational method. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **88** (1953), 409-411 (Russian).
- [N2] S. M. NIKOL'SKII, *Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and Embedding Theorems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974.
- [S] B.-W. SCHULZE, *Boundary Value Problems and Singular Pseudo-Differential Operators*, J. Wiley, Chichester, 1997 (To appear).
- [T] H. TRIEBEL, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser, Basel et al., 1983.