# Contraction of Gorenstein polarized varieties with high nef value 

M. Andreatta

| Dipartimento di Matematica | Max-Planck-Institut fur Mathematik |
| :--- | :--- |
| Universitá di Trento | Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26 |
| 38050 Povo (TN) | D-5300 Bonn |
|  |  |
| Italy | Germany |

# Contraction of Gorenstein polarized varieties with high nef value 

M. Andreatta<br>Dipartimento di Matematica,Universitá di Trento,38050Povo (TN), Italia e-mail : Andreatta@itncisca.bitnet

MSC numbers: 14E30, 14J40, 14J45


#### Abstract

. Let $X$ be a normal complex projective variety with Gorenstein-terminal singularities; let $L$ be an ample line bundle over $X$ and let $K_{X}$ denote the canonical sheaf of $X$. Assuming that $K_{X}$ is not nef we study the contractions of extremal faces which are supported by divisors of the form $K_{X}+\tau L$ with $\tau \geq(n-1)$. In other words we classify the pair ( $X, L$ ) which has "ncf value" $=\tau(X, L) \geq(n-2)$ as well as the structure of their associate "nef value morphisms". In the case $\tau=(n-2)$ we assume also that $X$ is factorial. We study moreover the general case in which $\left(K_{X}+r L\right)$ is nef and big but not ample and the dimension of the fibers of the nef value morphism is less or equal then $r$.


## Introduction and statement of the theorems.

Let $X$ be a normal projective variety defined over the field of complex numbers and let $L$ be an ample line bundle over $X$. We assume that $X$ has at worst terminal singularities, i.e. the smallest class in which Mori's program can work; by $K_{X}$ let us denote the canonical sheaf of $X$.

Assume that $K_{X}$ is not nef; the nef value of the pair $(X, L)$ is a real number defined as follow

$$
\tau(X, L)=\min \left\{t \in \mathbf{R},\left(K_{X}+t L\right) \text { is nef }\right\}
$$

(see [B-S1]; (0.8) or [K-M-M]; (4.1)).
By the Kawamata rationality theorem $\tau$ is a rational number and by the Kawamata base point free theorem $K_{X}+\tau L$ is semiample; in particular there exists a projective surjective morphism $\phi: X \rightarrow W$ into a normal variety $W$ which is given by sections of a high multiple of $K_{X}+\tau L ; \phi$ is called the nef value morphism.

Applying Mori theory and adjunction theory one can classify the pairs $(X, L)$ with $\tau>(n-1)$; more precisely they are the projective space, the hyperquadric, $\mathbf{P}^{(n-1)}$-bundles over a smooth curve, generalized cones over either a Veronese curve or a Veronese surface. See for this the papers of M. Beltrametti-A.J. Sommese ([B-S1], section 2) and of T. Fujita ([F3], section 1).

If $\tau=(n-1)$ and if the morphism $\phi$ is of fiber type, that is $\operatorname{dim} W<\operatorname{dim} X$ or, equivalently, $K_{X}+(n-1) L$ is not big, then $X$ is either a singular Del Pezzo variety, or a quadric fibration over a smooth curve, or a $\mathbf{P}^{(n-2)}$-bundle over a normal surface (see again [B-S1] or [F3]; for the definitions see section 0 ).

In this paper we want to prove the following
Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a projective variety with terminal singularities and let $L$ be an ample line bundle on $X$. Assume also that $X$ has Gorenstein singularities. If the nef value of the pair $(X, L)$ is $\tau=(n-1)$ and the nef morphism $\phi$ is birational then $\phi: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ is the simultaneous contraction to distinct smooth points of disjoint divisors $E_{i} \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ such that $E_{i} \subset \operatorname{reg}(X), \mathcal{O}_{E_{i}}\left(E_{i}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}^{n-1}}(-1)$ and $L_{E_{\mathrm{i}}} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$. Furthermore $L^{\prime}:=(\phi L)^{* *}$ and $K_{X^{\prime}}+(n-1) L^{\prime}$ are ample and $K_{X}+(n-1) L \cong \phi^{*}\left(K_{X^{\prime}}+(n-1) L^{\prime}\right)$

The pair ( $X^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ ) is called the first reduction of the pair ( $X, L$ ), using the definition given by A.J.Sommese.

The above theorem is well known in the smooth case, (see [F1] and [So]). In the singular case there are results when X is normal and factorial (see [B-S1], Theorem (3.1.4)) and when $X$ is Gorenstein and $L$ has a smooth surface section (see [An] and [So]). The proof contained in this paper follows strongly the line of [An] using recent results of [A-W].

We prove also this general theorem:
Theorem 2. Let $X$ be a projective variety and assume it has terminal, Q-factorial, Gorenstein singularities; let $L$ be an ample line bundle on $X$. Assume that the nef value of the pair $(X, L)$ is $\tau=r=\frac{u}{v}$, with $u, v$ coprime positive integers; assume also that $u \geq \operatorname{dim} F$ for every fiber $F$ of $\phi$ and that the nef morphism $\phi$ is birational. Then $\phi: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ is the simultaneous contraction of disjoint prime divisors $E_{i}$ to algebraic subset $B_{i} \subset X^{\prime}$
with $\operatorname{dim} B_{i}=n-u-1, X^{\prime}$ has terminal, $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial singularities and all fibers $F$ are isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{r}$. Moreover the general fibers $F^{\prime}$ are contained in the smooth set of $X$ and $N_{E / X \mid F^{\prime}} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)$.

This last theorem is proved in the smooth case in [B-S2] and in a stronger form, but always in the smooth case, in [A-W].

From now on we assume that $X$ is a projective variety with terminal and factorial singularities and that $L$ is a line bundle on it. The case in which $\tau(X, L)>(n-2)$ was studied in the sections 2 and 3 of $[B-S]$; in the section 2 of the present paper we consider the case $\tau(X, L)=(n-2)$. In the smooth case this was studied in [B-S], section 4, while in dimension 3 it was proved in [Mo], in the smooth case, and in [ Cu ] in the Gorenstein case (we apply some proofs contained in these last papers). More precisely we prove:
Theorem 3. Let $X$ be a projective variety and assume it has terminal and factorial singularities; let $L$ be a line bundle on $X$. Assume that the nef value $\tau(X, L)$ of the pair $(X, L)$ is $(n-2)$ and let $\phi: X \longrightarrow Y$ be the nef value morphism. Then either (for the definitions see the section 0)
(3.1) $K_{X} \approx-(n-2) L$, i.e. $(X, L)$ is a (singular) Mukai variety,
(3.2) $(X, L)$ is a Del Pezzo fibration over a smooth curve under $\phi$,
(3.3) $(X, L)$ is a quadric fibration over a normal surface under $\phi$; if moreover $\phi$ is an elementary contraction (i.e. the contraction of an extremal ray), then ( $X, L$ ) is quadric bundle over a smooth surface under $\phi$,
(3.4) ( $X, L$ ) is a scroll over a normal three dimensional variety with terminal singularities under $\phi$ (if $X$ is smooth then the image is also smooth),
(3.5) $\phi$ is a divisorial contraction and it is an isomorphism outside $\phi^{-1}(Z)$ where $Z \subset Y$ is an algebraic subset of $Y$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(Z) \leq 1$. Let $R$ be an extremal ray on $X$ such that $\left(K_{X}+(n-2) L\right) R=0$ and let $E$ be the exceptional locus of $R$. Then $\phi$ factors through $\rho=\rho_{R}: X \longrightarrow W$, the contraction morphism of $R$ and we have the followig possibilities for $\rho$ :
(i) $\rho(E)=C$ is 1 -dimensional, $Y$ is smooth near $C, C$ is a locally complete intersection and $\rho$ is the blown-up of the ideal sheaf $I_{C}$.
(ii) $\rho(E)=\{x\}$ is a 0 -dimensional and either
(a) $\left(E, L_{E}\right) \cong\left(\mathbf{P}^{(n-1)}, \mathcal{O}(1)\right)$, with $N_{E_{x}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{(n-2)}}(-2)$, or
(b) $\left(E, L_{E}\right) \cong\left(\mathbf{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}}(1)\right.$ with $N_{E_{\boldsymbol{X}}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}}(-1), \mathbf{Q}$ (possibly singular) hyperquadric in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$.
Also in these two last cases $\rho$ is the blown-up of the ideal sheaf $I_{p}$.
If $n>3$ and $\phi$ is birational then all the exceptional locus of the extremal rays contracted by $\phi$ are disjoint, therefore $\phi$ is the simultaneous contraction of all the above described exceptional sets (3.5).

I would like to thank J . Wiśniewski for some remarks on a first version of the paper and Andrew J. Sommese for useful conversations. I also like to thank the Max-PlanckInstitute für Mathematik in Bonn, where the last part of this work was done, for support and hospitality. I was also partially supported by MURST and GNSAGA.

## 0 . Notation and preliminaries.

(0.1). We use the standard notations from algebraic geometry. Our language is compatible with this of $[\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}]$ to which we refer for definitions of the following: $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor, $\mathbf{Q}$ Gorenstein, numerically effective, terminal or $\log$ terminal singularities, .... .

We just explain some special definition used in the statements. Let $X$ be a normal, $r$ Gorenstein variety of dimension $n$ and $L$ be an an ample line bundle on $X$. The pair ( $X, L$ ) is called a scroll (respectively a quadric fibration, respectively a Del Pezzo fibration) over a normal variety $Y$ of dimension $m$ if there exists a surjective morphism with connected fibers $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
r\left(K_{X}+(n-m+1) L \approx p^{*} \mathcal{L}\right.
$$

(respectively $r\left(K_{X}+(n-m) L \approx p^{*} \mathcal{L}\right.$; respectively $r\left(K_{X}+(n-m-1) L \approx p^{*} \mathcal{L}\right.$ ) for some ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $Y$. A projective $n$-dimensional normal variety $X$ is called a quadric bundle over a projective variety $Y$ of dimension $r$ if there exists a surjective morphism $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that every fiber is isomorphic to a quadric in $\mathbf{P}^{(n-r+1)}$ and if there exists a vector bundle $E$ of rank $(n-r+2)$ on $Y$ and an embedding of $X$ as a subvariety of $\mathbf{P}(E)$.
(0.2). Let $X$ be a projective normal variety of dimension $n$ defined over the field of complex numbers and let $L$ be an ample line bundle on $X$.

Assume in this section that $X$ has at most log-terminal singularities.
(0.3) Let $R$ be an extremal ray on X and let $\rho=\rho_{R}: X \longrightarrow W$ be the contraction morphism of $R$.
(0.3.1) Observe that if $\tau$ is the nef value of the pair ( $X, L$ ) and $R$ is an extremal ray such that $\left(K_{X}+\tau L\right) R=0$, then the nef value morphism of $(X, L)$ factors through $\rho_{R}$.

The following is one of the main result in the paper [A-W].
Theorem (0.4). (see [A-W], theorem (5.1) and lemma (5.3)) Let $\phi: X \rightarrow W$ be a nef value morphism for the pair $(X, L)$ with nef value $\tau=r$; assume also that $X$ has $\log$ terminal singularities. Let $F$ be a fiber of $\phi$. Assume moreover that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { either } & \operatorname{dim} F<r+1 \\
\text { or } & \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{dim} Z<r+1 \tag{5.1.1}
\end{array} \text { if } \phi \text { is birational. } .
$$

Then there exists a divisor $G$ from $|L|$ which does not contain any component of the fiber $F$ and which has at worst log terminal singularities on $F$. Moreover the evaluation morphism $\phi^{*} \phi_{*} L \rightarrow L$ is surjective at every point of $F$.

Corollary (0.5). In the hypothesis of the theorem (0.4) and in order to study the structure of the nef value morphism it is possible to assume that $L$ is base point free.

Proof. Observe first that we can change $L$ with $L+m\left(K_{X}+r L\right)$, where m is any positive rational number such that $m\left(K_{X}+r L\right)$ is Cartier. If $m \gg 0$ then $L+m\left(K_{X}+r L\right)$ is base point free; by abuse of notation this bundle will be called again $L$.

Lemma (0.6). (see [F3], lemma 1.5) Let $\rho: X \longrightarrow W$ be the contraction morphism of an extremal ray $R$ as above. Suppose that $\rho$ is birational and that $\operatorname{dim} \rho^{-1}(x)=k>0$ for a point $x$ in $W$. Then

$$
\left(K_{X}+(k+1) A\right) R>0
$$

for any $\rho$-ample line bundle $A$. Moreover if $\left(K_{X}+k A\right) R \leq 0$ the normalization of any $k$-dimensional component of $\rho^{-1}(x)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ and the pull back of $A$ on it is $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

Lemma (0.7). (see [B-S1], Corollary 0.6.1 and [F3], Theorem 2.4) Let ( $X, L$ ) be as above and let $R_{1}, R_{2}$ be two distinct extremal rays of divisorial type on $X$. Let $E_{1}, E_{2}$ be the loci of $R_{1}, R_{2}$ respectively and assume that $E_{i}$ are $\mathbf{Q}$-Cartier. Assume also that $\left(K_{X}+t L\right) R_{i}=$ 0 for some rational number $t$, $i=1,2$. Let $\lceil t\rceil=r$ be the smallest integer $\geq t$. If $\lceil t\rceil \geq(n+1) / 2$ then $E_{1}, E_{2}$ are disjoint. Moreover, the same is true in the case $t=(n-2)$ and $n>3$ (i.e. for $n=4$ ).

Proof. The above result is proved in [B-S1] and [F3] with slightly different hypothesis. We will follow here the proof of [F3]. Let $S=E_{1} \cap E_{2}$; we have that $\operatorname{dim}(S)=(n-2)$ (since the $E_{i}$ are $\mathbf{Q}$-Cartier). Let then $Y$ be a fiber of the map $\rho_{2_{\mid S}}: S \rightarrow \rho_{2}\left(E_{2}\right)$. Since $\left(K_{X}+t L\right) R_{i}=0$ by the lemma (0.6) we have that $\operatorname{dim}\left(F_{i}\right) \geq r$ for all fiber $F_{i}$ of $\rho_{i}$; in particular this implies that $\operatorname{dim} \rho_{i}\left(E_{i}\right) \leq(n-r-1)$ and that $\operatorname{dim} Y \geq(r-1)$. By our hypothesis $\operatorname{dim} Y>\operatorname{dim} \rho_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)$; then there exists a curve in $Y$ contracted by $\rho_{1}$ (and of course by $\rho_{2}$ ): this will give a contradiction. The case in which $n=4$ and $t=(n-2)$ can be proved exactly as in the last part of [F3].

Proposition (0.8). (Bertini-Seidenberg) Assume that $X$ has at worst terminal (resp. canonical, resp. $\log$ terminal) singularities and that $L$ is base point free. Then the general element of $L$ is normal and has at worst terminal (resp. canonical, resp. log terminal) singularities.

Proof. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of the singularities of $X$. Since $f^{*} L$ is base point free we know by the usual Bertini theorem that a dense set of elements of $f^{*} L$ are smooth. Let $G$ be one of the dense set $U$ of elements of $L$ such that $\tilde{G}=f^{-1}(G)$ is smooth. It is easy to prove that G is normal (Seidenberg theorem), that $\operatorname{sing}(G) \subset \operatorname{sing}(X)$ and, by standard adjunction considerations, that G has at worst $\log$ terminal singularities (resp.can., term.).

## 1. Proof of the theorems 1 and 2.

(1.0) Assume from now on that $X$ has at most terminal singularities; in particular $X$ has rational singularities (see ( 0.2 .7 ) in $[\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}])$ and $\operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Sing}(X)) \geq 3$ (see (0.2.3) in [B-S1]).

Let $u, v$ coprime positive integers as in the theorem 2 . Then, if $a, b$ are positive integers such that $a v-b u=1$, we have that the line bundle $\tilde{L}=b K_{X}+a L$ is ample and that $u$ is the nef value of the pair $(X, \tilde{L})$; this is noticed and proved in [B-S2], lemma (1.2). We will from now on consider the line bundle $\tilde{L}$ instead of $L$ and, by abuse, we will call it again $L$; we then consider the pair ( $X, L$ ) with nef value $r=u$.
(1.1) Let $\phi: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ be the nef value morphism, which we assume to be birational, $R$. be an extremal ray on $X$ such that $\left(K_{X}+r L\right) R=0$ and $\rho: X \longrightarrow Y$ the contraction of $R$. Then $\phi$ factors through $\rho$.

We want first to understand the structure of the map $\rho$; let $F$ be a fiber and $E$ be the exceptional locus of $\rho$. Note that, by (0.6), we have that $\operatorname{dimF} \geq r$; on the other hand, since $\phi$ is birational, we have that $\operatorname{dim} F=(n-1)$ in the first theorem. For the second we have the hypothesis that $\operatorname{dim} F \leq r$ and therefore $\operatorname{dim} F=r$. Applying again the lemma (0.6) we get that the normalization of $F$ is $\mathbf{P}^{r}$ and that the pull back of $L$ on this normalization is $\mathcal{O}(1)$. But, by the theorem ( 0.4 ), $L$ is base point free on $F$ and therefore $h^{0}\left(L_{\mid F}\right) \geq n$. Now it is obvious, computing for instance the delta genus of the pair $(X, L)$ (see $[\mathrm{F} 0])$, that $(F, L)=\left(\mathbf{P}^{r}, \mathcal{O}(1)\right)$.

Take now $n-1-r$ general very ample divisors on $Z$, call them $H_{i}$, and consider the intersection of their pull-back to $X$. The resulting variety, $X^{\prime \prime}$, has again terminal singularities by the Bertini theorem; call again, by abuse of notation, $L=L_{X^{\prime \prime}}$ and let $n^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{dim} X^{\prime \prime}=r+1$. The restriction of $\rho$ to $X^{\prime \prime}$ is given by a high multiple of $K_{X^{\prime \prime}}+r L$ and contracts a general fiber $F$, being now a divisor in $X^{\prime \prime}$, to a point. (Note that this step is empty for the theorem 1)

By the theorem (0.4) there exist (an open subset of) sections of $L$ not containing the fiber $F$ and with at worst terminal singularities.

We then take $(r+1-2)$ general sections of $L$ not containing $F$ and intersecting scheme theoretically with $X^{\prime \prime}$ in a surface with terminal singularities. Since terminal singularities in dimension two are smooth, this surface is smooth. Being $L$ an ample Cartier divisor this implies in particular that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Sing} X^{\prime \prime} \cap F\right)<n^{\prime \prime}-2$.

Assume that $X^{\prime \prime}$ has hypersurface singularities; we can now apply the main theorem of [L-S], namely the theorem (2.1), to our map $\rho_{\mid X^{\prime \prime}}$ : this says that either $F \cap \operatorname{Sing}\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is empty or of pure dimension $n^{\prime \prime}-2$. Therefore, for what above, $F$ is contained in the smooth locus of $X^{\prime \prime}$ and $\rho_{\mid X^{\prime \prime}}$ is the blow-down of $F \cong \mathbf{P}^{r}$ to a smooth point on $Y$ and $N_{F / X^{\prime \prime}} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)$. Since $X^{\prime \prime}$ is the intersection of Cartier divisors, then $X$ itself is smooth in a neighborhood of $F$. We can therefore apply the theorem (4.1.iii) of $[A-W]$ and conclude in particular that $\operatorname{dim} E=(n-1)$. Therefore $\rho$ is a contraction of divisorial type, $E$ is a prime divisor on $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ has terminal, $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial singularities (see $[\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}]$, proposition (5.1.6)).

We will prove now that if $X$ is Gorenstein then every singular point $x$ is locally a hypersurface (that is if $R$ is the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ of $x$ on $X$, then $R$ is isomorphic to $\frac{S}{f S}$, where $S$ is a regular local ring of dimension ( $\mathrm{n}+1$ )). Note first that if $X$ is Gorenstein the same is for $X^{\prime \prime}$.

Claim (1.2). If $X^{\prime \prime}$ is Gorenstein then every singular point $x$ is locally a hypersurface.
Remark (1.2.1). If the dimension of $X^{\prime \prime}$ is three the claim is proved in [L-S]; the following is the proof of [L-S] adapted in higher dimension. It is on the other hand well known that a rational Gorenstein 3 -fold singularity is terminal iff it is cDV (compound Du Val; see Corollary 3.12 in [Re]) and therefore, in particular, it is locally a hypersurface.

Proof. Since $L$ is base point free and ample for every point $x \in X^{\prime \prime}$ we have that the linear system $|L-x|$ has finite base point. In particular there exists a general divisor, $D$, of $L$ passing through $x$ and with singularities in codimension two. Since $X^{\prime \prime}$ is Gorenstein the same is for $D$ which, by Serre criterion, is therefore also normal. By induction we have ( $n-2$ )-divisors in the linear system $|L-x|$ which intersect scheme theoretically in a Gorenstein surface, S , containing $x$. It is easy to see, using the adjunction formula, that $F \cap S$ is a rational curve $P$, that $\rho_{S}$ contracts $P$ to a point and that $K_{S} P=-1$.

We use now the theorem ( 0.1 ) in [L-S]: we have that $x$ is an $A_{n}$-type rational singularity for some $n \geq 1$ on $S$ and therefore it is a hypersurface singularity on $S$. Since the divisors in $L$ are locally principal and $S$ is a surface section of $L$, we have that $X^{\prime \prime}$ is a hypersurface at $x$ (and therefore also $X$ ).
(1.3) Let us go back to the birational nef value morphism $\phi: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ and let $R_{i}$ for $i$ in a finite set of indexes be extremal rays on $X$ such that $\left(K_{X}+(n-1) L\right) R_{i}=0$. Let $E_{i}$ be the loci of the $R_{i}$. By the theorem (0.7) and what we have proved above we have that the $E_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint. The structure of each $\rho_{R_{i}}: X \longrightarrow Y$, the contraction of $R_{i}$, is given above. Therefore $\phi$ is the simultaneous contraction of all the $E_{i}$, and the theorems are proved (see for instance the last part of the proof of the theorem (3.1) in [B-S1]).

## 2. Proof of the theorem 3.

(2.1) Let $\tau=(n-2)$ be the nef value of the pair $(X, L)$ and let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the nef value morphism.
(2.2) If $\operatorname{dim} Y<\operatorname{dim} X$ then for every fiber $F$ we have $\operatorname{dim}(F) \geq(n-3)$ (see for instance the remark (3.1.2) ini [A-W]); then it follows easily, by definition, that we are in one of the cases (3.1)-(3.4). It remains to prove the second part of the point (3.3): assume therefore that $\phi$ is an elementary contraction and that $\operatorname{dim}(Y)=2$; in particular $\phi$ is equidimensional. Take now an arbitrary point $p \in Y$ and we will show that $Y$ is smooth at $p$. By the corollary ( 0.5 ) we can take ( $n-2$ ) general sections of $L$ intersecting transversally in a smooth surface $S$ and intersecting $\phi^{-1}(p)$ in a finite numbers of points. Replacing $Y$ with an affine neighborhood of $p$, we can assume that $S$ and $Y$ are affine and that $S \rightarrow Y$ is a finite, generically 2-1 map. The proof of the smoothness of $p$ is now exactly as in $[\mathrm{Cu}], \mathrm{p}$. 524 , lines 9-17. The rest of the statement follows similarly to [ Cu ], p. 524, using Grauert criterion (see also [A-B-W]).
(2.3) Assume then that $\operatorname{dim} Y=\operatorname{dim} X$, i.e. $\phi$ is birational. Let $R$ be an extremal ray on $X$ such that $\left(K_{X}+(n-2) L\right) R=0$ and $\rho: X \longrightarrow Y$ the contraction of $R$. We want to understand the structure of the map $\rho$; let $F$ be a fiber and $E$ be the exceptional locus of $\rho$. Note that, by ( 0.6 ), we have $\operatorname{dim} F \geq(n-2)$.

Lemma (2.3.1). The dimension of the exceptional locus, $E$, is bigger or equal then ( $n-1$ ), that is $\rho$ is not a small contraction (see [ $K-M-M]$ ).

Proof. Assume for absurd that $\operatorname{dim}(E)=\operatorname{dim}(F)=(n-2)$. Then we can take (n-3) general sections of $L$ whose intersection is a 3 -dimensional, normal, Gorenstein variety with terminal singularities, $X^{\prime}$, such that $\rho_{\mid X^{\prime}}$ is a small contraction. This is in contradiction with the theorem 0 of $[\mathrm{Be}]$.
(2.3.2) Assume that $\operatorname{dim}(F)=(n-2)$; then we are in the situation of the theorem 2, $\rho(E)$ is an irreducible curve $C$ and all the fiber of $\rho$ have the same dimension. Since we are assuming that $X$ is factorial then $Y$ is $k$-factorial with $k=E \cdot C, C$ an extremal rational curve such that $[C]=R$ (see $[B-S],(0.4 .4 .2)$ ). In our case is immediate to see that $k=1$, therefore $Y$ is factorial. Take now a point $q \in C$ and $(n-2)$ general sections of $L, \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{n-2}$, intersecting transversally in a smooth surface $S$ and intersecting the fiber $\rho^{-1}$ in a finite number of points. Replacing $Y$ with an affine neighborhood of $q$, we can assume to be in the "affine set-up" described in the section 2 of (A-W]. In particular by the Lemma (2.6.3) in [A-W] we have that the map $\rho_{\mid S}$ has connected fibers, therefore it is an isomorphim with its image $S^{\prime}=\rho(S)$. Therefore $S^{\prime} \subset Y$ is smooth; since $S^{\prime}$ is an irreducible component of $\rho\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}\right) \cap \ldots \cap \rho\left(\mathcal{D}_{n-2}\right)$ and $Y$ is factorial, $Y$ is smooth in a neighborhood of $C$. Moreover $C$ is a local complete intersection since it is a curve lying on a smooth surface. $X$ is clearly the blown up of $I_{C}=\rho_{*} \mathcal{O}(-E)$, since $\mathcal{O}(-n E)$ is $\rho$ very ample for $n \gg 0$ and $\rho_{*} \mathcal{O}(-n E)=I_{C}^{n}$, since $C$ is a complete intersection.
(2.3.3). Finally we assume that $\operatorname{dim}(F)=\operatorname{dim}(E)=(n-1)$; we want in this case to compute the Hilbert polynomial of the polarized pair ( $E, L_{\mid E}$ (we refer to [F0] for more
details). We can take $(n-3)$ general sections of $L$ and reduce to the case in which $X$ has dimension 3 in order to compute the invariants: $\chi_{n}\left(E, L_{\mid E}\right)=d\left(E, L_{\mid E}\right)$ and $g\left(E, L_{\mid E}\right)=$ $1-\chi_{n-1}\left(E, L_{\mid E}\right)$; in this case is easy to prove that $d\left(E, L_{\mid E}\right)=1$ or 2 and that $g\left(E, L_{\mid E}\right)=0$ (see for instance the first part of the proof of the theorem 5. in $[\mathrm{Cu}]$ ). Then, since $H^{i}\left(E, t L_{\mid E}\right)=0$ for $t \geq-(n-3)$, we easily compute the remaining coefficients of the Hilbert polynomial. Using [F0] we conclude then that ( $E, L_{\mid E}$ ) is as described in (3.5.ii).

To prove that $\rho$ is the blown -up of the ideal sheaf $I_{p}$ in $Y$ one proceed as in $[\mathrm{Mo}]$ in the case in which $E$ is a smooth quadric or the projective space (since in this case, being $X$ factorial, $E \subset \operatorname{reg}(X)$ ). If $E$ is a singular quadric then one conclude exactly as done in [ Cu ] for the 3 -dimensional case (last part of the proof of Theorem 5 in $[\mathrm{Cu}]$ ).
(2.4) To conclude we apply the lemma (0.7) as in (1.3).
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