

**ONE GROUP-THEORETIC PROPERTY
OF THE RAMIFICATION FILTRATION**

Victor A. Abrashkin

Max-Planck-Arbeitsgruppe
„Algebraische Geometrie und
Zahlentheorie“
Jägerstraße 10/11
10117 Berlin

Germany

Max-Planck-Institut
für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26
53225 Bonn

Germany

ONE GROUP-THEORETIC PROPERTY OF THE RAMIFICATION FILTRATION

VICTOR A. ABRASHKIN

ABSTRACT. Let $\Gamma(p)$ be the Galois group of a maximal p -extension of a complete discrete valuation field with perfect residue field of characteristic $p > 0$. If $v_0 > -1$ and $\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$ is the ramification subgroup of $\Gamma(p)$ in upper numbering, we prove that any closed but not open finitely generated subgroup of the quotient $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$ is a free pro- p -group. In particular, this quotient does not have non-trivial torsion and non-trivially commuting elements.

1. The statement of the main theorem.

Let K be a complete discrete valuation field with perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Choose a separable closure K_{sep} of K and denote by $K(p)$ the maximal p -extension of K in K_{sep} .

If $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(K_{\text{sep}}/K)$ and $\{\Gamma^{(v)}\}_{v \geq 0}$ is the ramification filtration of Γ in upper numbering, cf. [Se, Ch.III], we have the induced filtration $\{\Gamma(p)^{(v)}\}_{v \geq 0}$ of the group $\Gamma(p) = \text{Gal}(K(p)/K)$. We note that for $-1 < v \leq 1$, $\Gamma(p)^{(v)} = I(p)$ is the inertia subgroup of $\Gamma(p)$, i.e. $K(p)^{I(p)}$ is the maximal unramified extension $K(p)_{\text{ur}}$ of K in $K(p)$.

Consider a real number $v_0 > -1$ and a closed subgroup H of $\Gamma(p)$ such that $H \supset \Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$. If $\tilde{H} = H/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$, then \tilde{H} is a closed subgroup of $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$. We use the notation $d(\tilde{H}) = \text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}}(\tilde{H}/\tilde{H}^p[\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}])$ for the minimal number of topological generators of the pro- p -group \tilde{H} .

If $-1 < v_0 \leq 1$, then $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$ is a free pro- p -group, because it coincides with the Galois group of the maximal p -extension of the residue field k . So, in this case \tilde{H} is a free pro- p -group.

Suppose that $v_0 > 1$ and \tilde{H} is an open subgroup in $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$. Then H is an open subgroup in $\Gamma(p)$, $K_H := K(p)^H$ is a finite extension of K , $H = \Gamma_{K_H}(p)$ is the Galois group of the maximal p -extension $K(p)$ of K_H , and $\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)} = \Gamma_{K_H}(p)^{(v_{0H})}$ with $v_{0H} = \psi_{K_H/K}(v_0)$, where $\psi_{K_H/K}$ is the inverse to the Herbrandt's function of the extension K_H/K . So, in this case the study of the group \tilde{H} is equivalent to the study of the group $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$. This group is very far from to be a free pro- p -group: if k is finite then the number of its relations is infinite, cf. [Go] (but it has finitely many generators).

In this paper we consider almost the opposite situation. The main result can be stated as follows.

The author expresses his deep gratitude for the hospitality to the Arbeitsgruppe "Algebraische Geometrie und Zahlentheorie" (Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Berlin), where this paper was written.

Theorem. If $v_0 > -1$ and \tilde{H} is a closed but not open subgroup of the pro- p -group $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$, then \tilde{H} is a free pro- p -group.

We have noted already that for $v_0 \leq 1$ this theorem holds because in this case the group $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$ is itself a free pro- p -group. So, in the proof of the above theorem (cf. nn. 2 and 3 below) we can assume that $v_0 > 1$.

Corollary 1. a) If $v_0 > -1$ and k is infinite, then any finitely generated closed pro- p -subgroup \tilde{H} of $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$ is a free pro- p -group.

b) Any finitely generated closed pro- p -subgroup of $I(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$, where $v_0 > 1$, is a free pro- p -group.

Proof. The part a) follows from the above theorem, because here any open subgroup of $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$ has infinitely many generators and therefore, \tilde{H} is not open. The part b) is a special case of the part a), where K is replaced by the p -adic completion $\widehat{K(p)}_{\text{ur}}$ of its maximal unramified p -extension, because the residue field of $\widehat{K(p)}_{\text{ur}}$ is infinite.

Corollary 2. The group $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$ does not have non-trivial torsion and non-trivially commuting elements.

Proof. We can assume that $v_0 > 1$. Then for any open subgroup $\tilde{H} \subset \Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$ we have $d(\tilde{H}) \geq 2$. Therefore, if \tilde{H} is closed in $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$ and $d(\tilde{H}) = 1$, then \tilde{H} is pro- p -free. Clearly, this is equivalent to the absence of non-trivial torsion.

The existence of non-trivially commuting elements is equivalent to the existence of a closed commutative subgroup $\tilde{H} \subset \Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$ such that $d(\tilde{H}) = 2$. Our theorem implies that \tilde{H} is an open subgroup, so we can assume that $\tilde{H} = \Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$, where v_0 is still > 1 (cf. proposition 1 c) of n.3.1.1 below). Then $d(\tilde{H}) = 2$ if and only if $k \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$ and $v_0 \leq 2$. Consider the set $\tilde{H}^p = \{h^p \mid h \in \tilde{H}\}$. Then \tilde{H}^p is a commutative subgroup of \tilde{H} (because \tilde{H} is commutative), $(\tilde{H} : \tilde{H}^p) = p^2$ and $d(\tilde{H}^p) = 2$ (because \tilde{H} has no torsion). Therefore, $\tilde{H}^p = \Gamma_{K_1}(p)/\Gamma_{K_1}(p)^{(v_1)}$, where K_1 is an extension of K of degree p^2 and $v_1 = \psi_{K_1/K}(v_0) > 1$. It is easy to see that $[k_1 : \mathbb{F}_p] = p$, where k_1 is the residue field of K_1 . This gives the contradiction $2 = d(\tilde{H}^p) \geq 2p$. The corollary is proved.

The above corollary gives that: a) if $\tau \notin \Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau^{p^n} \notin \Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$; b) if $\tau_1, \tau_2 \notin \Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$, but the commutator $(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$, then for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have either $\tau_1 = \tau_2^a$, or $\tau_2 = \tau_1^a$. These properties mean that the ramification filtration does not have any relation to the p -central filtration of the group $\Gamma(p)$. One can find indication to such phenomena in the paper of E.Mauss [Ma]. In fact our theorem means that the group $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$ does not have "simple" relations, e.g. there is no relations which can be expressed in terms of any proper subset of some minimal set of generators of the group $\Gamma(p)/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$. In the characteristic p case these relations modulo the subgroup of commutators of order $\geq p$ were described in terms of generators of the group $\Gamma(p)$ in the papers [Ab1-3].

Let $I = \bigcup_{v>0} \Gamma^{(v)}$ be the higher ramification subgroup in Γ . The following analogue of the main theorem holds for the ramification filtration of the Galois group Γ .

Corollary 3. *If $v_0 > 0$ and a group $\tilde{H} \subset I/\Gamma^{(v_0)}$ is a finitely generated pro- p -group, then \tilde{H} is pro- p -free (in particular, $I/\Gamma^{(v_0)}$ does not have torsion and non-trivial commuting elements).*

Proof. Let K_{tr} be the maximal tamely ramified extension of K in K_{sep} . Then $K_{\text{tr}} = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} K_\alpha$, where $\{K_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is the set of all finite tamely ramified Galois extension of K in K_{sep} . We shall provide the above notation with a lower index α , if the notation is related to the field K_α . Clearly, the family of groups $\{I_\alpha(p) \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a projective system induced by the projective system of the Galois groups $\Gamma_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$I = \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} I_\alpha(p).$$

Using simplest functorial properties of the ramification filtration it is easy to see that we have a natural projective system $\{\Gamma_\alpha(p)^{(e_\alpha v_0)} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$, where $e_\alpha = e(K_\alpha/K)$ is the relative ramification index of the extension K_α/K , and we have

$$\Gamma^{(v_0)} = \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \Gamma_\alpha(p)^{(e_\alpha v_0)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{H} \subset \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} I_\alpha(p)/\Gamma_\alpha(p)^{(e_\alpha v_0)}.$$

If pr_α is projection of the above projective limit to its component with the index α , then $\tilde{H}_\alpha := \text{pr}_\alpha(\tilde{H})$ is a pro- p -free group by the above corollary 1 b). Clearly, there exists $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $d(\tilde{H}) = d(\tilde{H}_{\alpha_0})$ therefore, $\text{pr}_{\alpha_0} \big|_{\tilde{H}}$ is an isomorphism, and \tilde{H} is a pro- p -free group. The corollary is proved.

2. Proof of the theorem.

2.1. Let $\{K_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be the family of all finite Galois extensions of K in $K(p)$. \mathcal{A} is a filtered set (for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$ means that $K_{\alpha_1} \supset K_{\alpha_2}$), and $\Gamma(p) = \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \Gamma_\alpha$, where $\Gamma_\alpha = \text{Gal}(K_\alpha/K)$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Consider the fields tower $K \subset K_\alpha^H \subset K_\alpha^{(v_0)} \subset K_\alpha$, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $K_\alpha^{(v_0)}$ is the subfield of K_α fixed by $\Gamma^{(v_0)}$. Then $\tilde{H} = \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \tilde{H}_\alpha$, where $\tilde{H}_\alpha = \text{Gal}(K_\alpha^{(v_0)}/K_\alpha^H)$.

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, then the natural projection $\text{pr}_\alpha : \tilde{H} \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_\alpha$ is a group epimorphism. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$, then the connecting morphism

$$\text{pr}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} : \tilde{H}_{\alpha_1} \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{\alpha_2}$$

is uniquely defined by the relation $\text{pr}_{\alpha_2} = \text{pr}_{\alpha_1} \circ \text{pr}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$.

Consider a free pro- p -group \mathcal{G} with an epimorphic map of pro- p -groups

$$j : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \tilde{H}$$

such that the induced morphism $\bar{j} : \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^p[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}] \longrightarrow \tilde{H}/\tilde{H}^p[\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}]$ is an isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \varprojlim_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{G}_\beta$, where $\{\mathcal{G}_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$ is a projective system of finite p -groups and all projections $\text{pr}_\beta : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\beta$ are group epimorphisms.

The morphism of pro- p -groups j can be given by the following data:

- (j1) a map $\iota : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\iota(\alpha_1) \geq \iota(\alpha_2)$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$;
- (j2) group epimorphisms $j_\alpha : \mathcal{G}_{\iota(\alpha)} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_\alpha$, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (j3) if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$, then the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_{\iota(\alpha_1)} & \xrightarrow{j_{\alpha_1}} & \tilde{H}_{\alpha_1} \\ \text{pr}_{\iota(\alpha_1)\iota(\alpha_2)} \downarrow & & \text{pr}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}_{\iota(\alpha_2)} & \xrightarrow{j_{\alpha_2}} & \tilde{H}_{\alpha_2} \end{array}$$

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ is such that $\beta \geq \iota(\alpha)$, define $j_{\beta\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}_\beta, \tilde{H}_\alpha)$ as the composition $j_{\beta\alpha} = \text{pr}_{\beta\iota(\alpha)} \circ j_\alpha$. Then the property (j3) can be stated in the following form:

- (j3') if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ are such that $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$, $\beta_1 \geq \iota(\alpha_1)$, $\beta_2 \geq \iota(\alpha_2)$ and $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2$, then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_{\beta_1} & \xrightarrow{j_{\beta_1\alpha_1}} & \tilde{H}_{\alpha_1} \\ \text{pr}_{\beta_1\beta_2} \downarrow & & \text{pr}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}_{\beta_2} & \xrightarrow{j_{\beta_2\alpha_2}} & \tilde{H}_{\alpha_2} \end{array}$$

2.2. Let \mathcal{A}_1 be the subset of \mathcal{A} consisting of $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}} \left(\tilde{H}_\alpha / \tilde{H}_\alpha^p[\tilde{H}_\alpha, \tilde{H}_\alpha] \right) = d(\tilde{H}),$$

i.e. the projection pr_α induces the isomorphism

$$\text{pr}_\alpha : \tilde{H} / \tilde{H}^p[\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}] \rightarrow \tilde{H}_\alpha / \tilde{H}_\alpha^p[\tilde{H}_\alpha, \tilde{H}_\alpha].$$

Clearly, \mathcal{A}_1 is a cofinal subset in \mathcal{A} .

For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$, consider the fields tower from n.2.1

$$K \subset K_\alpha^H \subset K_\alpha^{(v_0)} \subset K_\alpha.$$

The following lemma will be proved in n.3 below. We use all notation from n.2.1.

The main lemma.

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\beta \geq \iota(\alpha)$, then there exist finite extensions $E_{\beta\alpha}$ of K_α^H and $F_{\beta\alpha}$ of $E'_{\beta\alpha} := E_{\beta\alpha} K_\alpha^{(v_0)}$ such that

- (a) $E_{\beta\alpha} \subset K(p)^H$ and therefore, we have the natural group isomorphism

$$f_{\beta\alpha} : \tilde{H}_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Gal}(E'_{\beta\alpha} / E_{\beta\alpha});$$

(b) $F_{\beta\alpha}$ is a Galois extension over $E_{\beta\alpha}$ and there exists a group isomorphism $g_{\beta\alpha}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_\beta & \xrightarrow{g_{\beta\alpha}} & \text{Gal}(F_{\beta\alpha}/E_{\beta\alpha}) \\ j_{\beta\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{H}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_{\beta\alpha}} & \text{Gal}(E'_{\beta\alpha}/E_{\beta\alpha}) \end{array}$$

(here the right vertical arrow is the natural projection);

(c) $F_{\beta\alpha}$ is contained in the subfield $K(p)^{(v_0)}$ of $K(p)$ fixed by the group $\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$.

2.3. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\beta \geq \iota(\alpha)$, consider the fields $E_{\beta\alpha}$, $E'_{\beta\alpha}$ and $F_{\beta\alpha}$ from the above lemma. Denote by $D_{\beta\alpha}$ the normal closure of $F_{\beta\alpha}$ over K (in $K(p)$). Then there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $K_\gamma = D_{\beta\alpha}$, and we have the following commutative diagram in the category of finite extensions of the field K :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_\alpha^H & \subset & K_\alpha^{(v_0)} & \subset & K_\alpha \\ \cap & & \cap & & \\ E_{\beta\alpha} & \subset & E'_{\beta\alpha} & \subset & F_{\beta\alpha} \\ \cap & & \cap & & \\ K_\gamma^H & \subset & K_\gamma^{(v_0)} & = & K_\gamma^{(v_0)} = K_\gamma = D_{\beta\alpha} \end{array}$$

Note that $F_{\beta\alpha}$ is a Galois extension of $E_{\beta\alpha}$, $E_{\beta\alpha} \subset K(p)^H$, $F_{\beta\alpha} \subset K(p)^{(v_0)}$ and therefore, we have the natural group homomorphism

$$h_{\beta\alpha} : \tilde{H} \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(F_{\beta\alpha}/E_{\beta\alpha})$$

such that $h_{\beta\alpha} \circ g_{\beta\alpha}^{-1} \circ j_{\beta\alpha} = \text{pr}_\alpha$. Because $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\beta \geq \iota(\alpha)$, the minimal numbers of generators for the groups \tilde{H} , \tilde{H}_α and \mathcal{G}_β coincide. Therefore, $h_{\beta\alpha} \circ g_{\beta\alpha}^{-1}$ is epimorphic, and we obtain that

$$h_{\beta\alpha}(\tilde{H}) = g_{\beta\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_\beta) = \text{Gal}(F_{\beta\alpha}/E_{\beta\alpha}).$$

This gives $F_{\beta\alpha}^H = E_{\beta\alpha}$ and thus, the fields $F_{\beta\alpha}$ and K_γ^H are linearly disjoint over $E_{\beta\alpha}$ and we have the group epimorphism

$$i_{\gamma\beta\alpha} : \tilde{H}_\gamma \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(F_{\beta\alpha}/E_{\beta\alpha}) \xrightarrow{g_{\beta\alpha}^{-1}} \mathcal{G}_\beta,$$

such that $\text{pr}_{\gamma\alpha} = i_{\gamma\beta\alpha} \circ j_{\beta\alpha}$.

2.4. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(\beta, \alpha) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A}_1 \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1, \beta \geq \iota(\alpha)\}.$$

Clearly, \mathcal{C} is a filtered set.

If $(\beta, \alpha) \in \mathcal{C}$, consider the set

$$I_{(\beta, \alpha)} = \{i \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\tilde{H}, \mathcal{G}_\beta) \mid \text{pr}_\alpha = i \circ j_{\beta\alpha}\}.$$

This set is finite (because \tilde{H} is finitely generated) and non-empty (cf. n.2.3). The property (j3') of n.2.1 gives that $\{I_{(\beta, \alpha)}\}_{(\beta, \alpha) \in \mathcal{C}}$ is a projective system and therefore, its projective limit $I \neq \emptyset$.

Take $i \in I$.

For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$, the set

$$\mathcal{B}_\alpha = \{\beta \in \mathcal{B} \mid (\beta, \alpha) \in \mathcal{C}\} = \{\beta \in \mathcal{B} \mid \beta \geq \iota(\alpha)\}$$

is cofinal in \mathcal{B} . Therefore, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$, the collection

$$\{\text{pr}_{(\beta, \alpha)}(i) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha\}$$

gives a morphism of pro- p -groups $i_\alpha : \tilde{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $\text{pr}_\alpha = i_\alpha \circ j \circ \text{pr}_\alpha$.

* The property (j3') gives that i_α does not depend on $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$. So, $i = \varprojlim_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1} i_\alpha \in$

$\text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\tilde{H}, \mathcal{G})$ and satisfies the identity $i \circ j = \text{id}_{\tilde{H}}$.

Clearly, i is injective. But $\bar{i} = i \text{ mod } \tilde{H}^p[\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}] = \bar{j}^{-1}$ is an isomorphism. So, i is surjective and \tilde{H} is a pro- p -free group (isomorphic to \mathcal{G}).

The theorem is proved.

3. Proof of the main lemma.

As we have noted in n.1 we can assume that $v_0 > 1$.

3.1. Preliminaries.

3.1.1. *The largest ramification numbers.* Let L be a complete discrete valuation field with perfect residue field of characteristic $p > 0$. We recall some general facts from the higher ramification theory, cf. [Se, Ch.III].

If E/L is a finite Galois extension, $\Gamma_{E/L} = \text{Gal}(E/L)$, and O_E is the valuation ring of the field E then for any $x > -1$, we have the ramification subgroup

$$\Gamma_{E/L, x} = \{ \tau \in \Gamma_{E/L} \mid v_E(\tau a - a) \geq x + 1 \forall a \in O_E \},$$

where v_E is the valuation of E such that $v_E(E^*) = \mathbb{Z}$. This gives the ramification filtration $\{\Gamma_{E/L, x}\}_{x \geq 0}$ of the group $\Gamma_{E/L}$ in lower numbering. This filtration is a decreasing left-continuous filtration of normal subgroups; for $-1 < x \leq 0$, $\Gamma_{E/L, x}$ is the ramification subgroup; and for $0 < x \leq 1$, $\Gamma_{E/L, x}$ is the higher ramification subgroup of the group $\Gamma_{E/L}$.

The Herbrandt's function of the extension E/L is defined for all $x \geq 0$ by the expression

$$\varphi_{E/L}(x) = \int_0^x (\Gamma_{E/L, 0} : \Gamma_{E/L, t})^{-1} dt.$$

For $-1 < x < 0$, $\varphi_{E/L}(x) = x$ by definition. Then $\varphi_{E/L}(x)$ is an increasing continuous piece-linear function, $\varphi_{E/L}(0) = 0$, and for a sufficiently large x , one

has that $\varphi'(x) = e(E/L)^{-1}$, where $e(E/L)$ is the ramification index of the extension E/L .

Set $\Gamma_{E/L}^{(v)} = \Gamma_{E/L, x}$, if $x > -1$ and $v = \varphi_{E/L}(x)$. This gives the ramification filtration of $\Gamma_{E/L}$ in upper numbering. If E_1 is a Galois extension of L and $E \subset E_1$ then the natural projection $\Gamma_{E_1/L} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{E/L}$ induces for any $v \geq 0$ the group epimorphism $\Gamma_{E_1/L}^{(v)} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{E/L}^{(v)}$. Taking projective limit with respect to these epimorphisms we obtain the ramification filtration $\{\Gamma_L^{(v)}\}_{v \geq 0}$ of the group $\Gamma_L = \text{Gal}(L_{\text{sep}}/L)$ in upper numbering.

The Herbrandt's function satisfies the composition property:

if E/L and E_1/L are finite Galois extensions such that $E \subset E_1$, then for any $x > -1$, one has

$$\varphi_{E_1/L}(x) = \varphi_{E/L}(\varphi_{E_1/E}(x)).$$

The definition of the Herbrandt's function $\varphi_{E/L}$ can be uniquely extended to the case of arbitrary finite separable extensions E/L under the requirement that the composition property should hold for arbitrary tower of finite extensions $L \subset E \subset E_1$, cf. [De].

Let $\psi_{E/L}$ be the inverse function for $\varphi_{E/L}$. This function is also an increasing piece-linear function satisfying the composition property:

if $L \subset E \subset E_1$ is a fields tower of finite extensions, then for any $x > -1$, one has

$$\psi_{E_1/L}(x) = \psi_{E_1/E}(\psi_{E/L}(x)).$$

If E/L is a finite extension such that $e(E/L) > 1$, then the set of edge points of the graph of the function $\varphi_{E/L}(x)$ is not empty and we denote by $(x(E/L), v(E/L))$ the coordinates of the last edge point. If $e(E/L) = 1$, we set $(x(E/L), v(E/L)) = (-1, -1)$. We have the following properties.

Proposition 1. *If $L \subset E \subset E_1$ is a tower of finite extensions, then:*

- a) *the group $\Gamma_L^{(v)}$, where $v > -1$, acts trivially on E , if and only if $v > v(E/L)$;*
- b) *$v(E_1/L) = \max \{v(E/L), \varphi_{E/L}(v(E_1/E))\}$;*
- c) *if $v \geq v(E/L)$, then $\Gamma_L^{(v)} = \Gamma_E^{(\psi_{E/L}(v))}$.*

The above property a) follows directly from definitions, the property b) follows from the composition property. To prove c) let us consider an arbitrary finite Galois extension E_2 of L such that $E_2 \supset E$. It is sufficient to verify that

$$\Gamma_{E_2/L}^{(v)} = \Gamma_{E_2/E}^{(\psi_{E/L}(v))}.$$

For any $x \geq 0$, the equality $\Gamma_{E_2/E} \cap \Gamma_{E_2/L, x} = \Gamma_{E_2/E, x}$ follows directly from the definition of the lower numbering of the ramification filtration. Take $x = \psi_{E_2/L}(v)$ then $\Gamma_{E_2/L, x} \subset \Gamma_{E_2/E}$ (cf. n. a)) and therefore, $\Gamma_{E_2/L, x} = \Gamma_{E_2/E, x}$. It remains only to note that by the composition property we have $\varphi_{E_2/E}(x) = \psi_{E/L}(v)$, i.e. $\Gamma_{E_2/E, x} = \Gamma_{E_2/E}^{(\psi_{E/L}(v))}$. The proposition is proved.

We note that, if E is contained in the maximal p -extension $L(p)$ of L , then either $v(E/L) \geq 1$, or E/L is an unramified extension. So, if $\Gamma_L(p) = \text{Gal}(L(p)/L)$, then for $-1 < v \leq 1$, $\Gamma_L(p)^{(v)} = \Gamma_L(p)^{(1)}$ is the ramification subgroup of $\Gamma_L(p)$.

Proposition 2. *Let E and L_1 be finite extensions of L in $L(p)$. Then*

$$\varphi_{E/L}(v(L_1E/E)) \leq v(L_1/L).$$

Proof. Let $[E : L] = p^{n_E}$ and $[L_1 : L] = p^{n_1}$, where $n_E, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

The cases $n_E = 0$ or $n_1 = 0$ can be easily considered, so we can assume that $n_E, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $v_E = v(E/L)$ and $v_1 = v(L_1/L)$.

Assume that $n_E = n_1 = 1$. Clearly, $v(L_1E/L) = \max\{v_E, v_1\}$.

If $v_1 \geq v_E$, we have by the proposition 1 b) that

$$v_1 = v(L_1E/L) = \max\{v_E, \varphi_{E/L}(v(L_1E/E))\}$$

therefore, $v_1 \geq \varphi_{E/L}(v(L_1E/E))$ and we obtain the formula of our proposition.

Consider the case $v_1 < v_E$. The equality $\varphi_{L_1E/L} = \varphi_{L_1E/E} \circ \varphi_{E/L}$ gives that the values of the function $\varphi_{L_1E/L}$ in its edge points equal $\varphi_{E/L}(v(L_1E/L))$ and v_E . The equality $\varphi_{L_1E/L} = \varphi_{L_1E/L_1} \circ \varphi_{L_1/L}$ gives that the values of this function in its edge points equal v_1 and $\varphi_{L_1/L}(v(L_1E/L_1))$. Now the inequality $v_1 < v_E$ implies that $v_1 = \varphi_{E/L}(v(L_1E/E))$. So, the case $n_E = n_1 = 1$ is completely considered.

Let $n_1 = 1$ and $n_E > 1$.

In this case there exists a field E' such that $L \subsetneq E' \subsetneq E$. By induction we can assume that our proposition is proved for the triples of fields (E', L_1, L) and (E, E', L_1E') . Then

$$\varphi_{E/L}(v(L_1E/E)) = \varphi_{E'/L}(\varphi_{E/E'}(v(L_1E/E))) \leq \varphi_{E'/L}(v(L_1E'/E')) \leq v(L_1/L)$$

and the case $n_1 = 1$ and $n_E > 1$ is considered.

Assume that $n_1 > 1$ and n_E is an arbitrary natural number.

Consider the field L_2 such that $L \subsetneq L_2 \subsetneq L_1$. By induction we can assume that our proposition is proved for the triples (E, L_2, L) and (E, L_1, L_2) . Applying also the composition property of the Herbrandt's function and the above proposition 1 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{E/L}(v(L_1E/E)) &= \max\{\varphi_{E/L}(v(L_2E/E)), \varphi_{L_2E/E}(v(L_1E/L_2E))\} \leq \\ &\max\{v(L_2/L), \varphi_{L_2/L}(v(L_1/L_2))\} = v(L_1/L). \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved.

3.1.2. A property of the field of norms functor.

We use basic properties of the field of norms functor, cf. [Wtb].

Let E be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and absolute ramification index $e(E)$. Choose an algebraic closure \bar{E} of E , a uniformizing element $\pi \in \bar{E}$, and a sequence $\{\pi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of elements of \bar{E} such that $\pi_0 = \pi$ and $\pi_{n+1}^p = \pi_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

If $E_n = E(\pi_n)$ for $n \geq 0$, and $\tilde{E} = \varinjlim E_n$, then \tilde{E} is an arithmetically profinite extension of E . Consider its field of norms $\mathcal{X}_E(\tilde{E}) = \mathcal{E}$. Then \mathcal{E} is a complete

discrete valuation field of characteristic p and its residue field can be canonically identified with k .

If \tilde{L} is a finite extension of \tilde{E} in \bar{E} , then \tilde{L} is an arithmetically profinite extension of E and its field of norms $\mathcal{X}_E(\tilde{L})$ is a separable finite extension of \mathcal{E} . The correspondence $\tilde{L} \mapsto \mathcal{X}_E(\tilde{L})$ induces an equivalence of the category of algebraic extensions of \tilde{E} and the category of separable extensions of its field of norms \mathcal{E} . Therefore, we can choose a separable closure of \mathcal{E} in the form $\mathcal{E}_{\text{sep}} = \mathcal{X}_E(\bar{E})$ and obtain the following identification:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}} := \text{Gal}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{sep}}/\mathcal{E}) = \text{Gal}(\bar{E}/\tilde{E}) \subset \Gamma_E = \text{Gal}(\bar{E}/E).$$

The (infinite) extension \tilde{E}/E has the Herbrandt's function

$$\varphi_{\tilde{E}/E} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_n \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1),$$

where

$$\varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq e^*(E_n) \\ e^*(E_n) + (x - e^*(E_n))/p, & \text{for } x \geq e^*(E_n), \end{cases}$$

and $e^*(E_n) = p^n e^*(E)$ with $e^*(E) = pe(E)/(p-1)$. The above identification $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset \Gamma_E$ is compatible with ramification filtrations: for any $v > -1$,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}}^{(v)} = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}} \cap \Gamma_E^{(\varphi_{\tilde{E}/E}^{(v)})}.$$

One can verify that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}} \Gamma_E^{(e^*(E))} = \Gamma_E$ and therefore, the embedding $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset \Gamma_E$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}}^{(e^*(E))} \simeq \Gamma_E/\Gamma_E^{(e^*(E))}.$$

This gives the following proposition.

Proposition. *If L is a finite extension of E and $\tilde{L} = L\tilde{E}$, then the correspondence*

$$L \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tilde{E}}(L) := \mathcal{X}_E(\tilde{L})$$

induces an equivalence of the category of algebraic extensions L/E such that $v(L/E) < e^(E)$ and the category of separable extensions \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{E} such that $v(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{E}) < e^*(E)$.*

Remark. If $[L : E] < \infty$, $v(L/E) < e^*(E)$ and $\mathcal{L} = \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tilde{E}}(L)$, then we have the equality of Herbrandt's functions $\varphi_{L/E} = \varphi_{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{E}}$.

3.1.3. An application of the Artin-Schreier theory.

Let K_1 be a complete discrete valuation field with perfect residue field k_1 of characteristic $p > 0$. Choose a maximal p -extension $K_1(p)$ of K_1 and denote by $F(K_1)$ the category of separable extensions of the field K_1 in $K_1(p)$ (if $L_1, L_2 \in F(K_1)$ and $L_1 \subset L_2$, then $\text{Hom}_{F(K_1)}(L_1, L_2)$ contains only one element — the embedding of L_1 into L_2 ; if $L_1 \not\subset L_2$, then $\text{Hom}_{F(K_1)}(L_1, L_2) = \emptyset$).

We use the notation $e(K_1)$ for the absolute ramification index of the field K_1 if it has characteristic 0 and define $e(K_1) = \infty$ if $\text{char } K_1 = p$. In the both cases we set $e^*(K_1) = pe(K_1)/(p-1)$.

Let $v_1, v'_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $1 \leq v'_1 < v_1 \leq e^*(K_1)$. Consider the \mathbb{F}_p -linear space

$$V(k_1, v'_1, v_1) = \bigoplus_{a \in [v'_1, v_1]_p} (k_1)_a,$$

where $[v'_1, v_1]_p = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid v'_1 \leq n < v_1, (n, p) = 1\}$.

Denote by $S(k_1, v'_1, v_1)$ the category of finite dimensional linear subspaces of $V(k_1, v'_1, v_1)$ (here we have also for any 2 objects V_1 and V_2 of this category, that $\text{Hom}_{S(k_1, v'_1, v_1)}(V_1, V_2) = \emptyset$ if $V_1 \not\subset V_2$, otherwise the set $\text{Hom}_{S(k_1, v'_1, v_1)}(V_1, V_2)$ consists only of one element — the embedding $V_1 \subset V_2$).

Proposition 1. *There exists a fully faithful functor*



$$\mathcal{F} : S(k_1, v'_1, v_1) \longrightarrow F(K_1)$$

such that for any $L \in S(k_1, v'_1, v_1)$ one has

- a) $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is a finite Galois extension of K_1 and there exists a natural identification $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}(L)/K_1) = \widehat{L} := \text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{F}_p)$;
- b) If $L \neq 0$, then $v'_1 \leq v(\mathcal{F}(L)/K_1) < v_1$.

Proof. Consider first the case $\text{char } K_1 = p$.

If σ is Frobenius and $\Gamma_{K_1}(p) = \text{Gal}(K_1(p)/K_1)$, then one has the natural identification of the Artin-Schreier theory $K_1/(\sigma - \text{id})K_1 = \text{Hom}(\Gamma_{K_1}(p), \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Choose a uniformizer t_1 of K_1 and consider the identification of $V(k_1, v'_1, v_1)$ with a linear subspace of $K_1/(\sigma - \text{id})K_1$ induced by the correspondence

$$\{\alpha_a\}_{a \in [v'_1, v_1]_p} \mapsto \left(\sum_{a \in [v'_1, v_1]_p} \alpha_a t_1^{-a} \right) \text{mod}(\sigma - \text{id})K_1.$$

If $L \in S(k_1, v'_1, v_1)$ is an \mathbb{F}_p -linear subspace of $V(k_1, v'_1, v_1)$, then we set $\mathcal{F}(L) = K_1(p)^{H(L)}$, where

$$H(L) = \bigcap_{l \in L} \text{Ker } l \subset \Gamma_{K_1}(p),$$

and elements $l \in L$ are considered as elements of the group $\text{Hom}(\Gamma_{K_1}(p), \mathbb{F}_p)$ by the use of the above identifications

$$V(k_1, v'_1, v_1) \subset K_1/(\sigma - \text{id})K_1 = \text{Hom}(\Gamma_{K_1}(p), \mathbb{F}_p).$$

It is easy to see that the correspondence $L \mapsto \mathcal{F}(L)$ determines a functor which satisfies the properties of our proposition. We note that this functor depends only on the choice of a uniformizer t_1 in the field K_1 .

If $\text{char } K_1 = 0$, we choose a uniformizer $\pi_0 \in K_1$, a sequence $\pi_n \in K_{1 \text{ sep}} \supset K_1(p)$, such that $\pi_{n+1}^p = \pi_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and construct the functor $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\widetilde{K}_1}$ from n.3.1.2. If t_1 is the uniformizing element of the field $\mathcal{K}_1 = \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\widetilde{K}_1}(K_1)$, which corresponds to the sequence $\{\pi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, \mathcal{F}' is the above constructed functor for the

field K_1 and its uniformizer t_1 , and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tilde{K}_1}$ is the functor from n.3.1.2, then the functor $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}' \circ \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tilde{K}_1}^{-1}$ satisfies the properties of our proposition. The proposition is proved.

We shall apply the above proposition in the following situation.

Suppose that $1 < v_1 \leq e^*(K_1)$, H_1 is a closed subgroup of $\Gamma_{K_1}(p)$ containing the ramification subgroup $\Gamma_{K_1}(p)^{(v_1)}$, $\tilde{H}_1 = H_1/\Gamma_{K_1}(p)^{(v_1)}$ and $d(\tilde{H}_1)$ is the minimal number of topological generators of the group \tilde{H}_1 .

Proposition 2. *If $1 \leq v'_1 < v_1 (\leq e^*(K_1))$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V(k_1, v'_1, v_1) > d(\tilde{H}_1)$, then there exists an extension K_2 of K_1 of degree p such that $K_2 \subset K_1(p)^{H_1}$ and $v(K_2/K_1) \geq v'_1$.*

Proof. In the notation of the above proposition 1 consider the field $E_1 = \mathcal{F}(L)$, where $L \in S(k_1, v'_1, v_1)$ is such that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} L > d(\tilde{H}_1)$. Then $v'_1 \leq v(E_1/K_1) < v_1$. So, if

$$f : \Gamma_{K_1}(p) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(E_1/K_1) = \hat{L}$$

is the natural projection, then $\Gamma_{K_1}(p)^{(v_1)} \subset \text{Ker } f$ and $d(f(H_1)) \leq d(\tilde{H}_1)$. Therefore, $f(H_1)$ is a proper subgroup of \hat{L} and there exists a subextension K_2 of $E_1^{f(H_1)}$ over K_1 such that $[K_2 : K_1] = p$. The proposition is proved.

3.2. The field K_{α_1} .

As earlier, consider for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$, the fields tower

$$K \subset K_\alpha^H \subset K_\alpha^{(v_0)} \subset K_\alpha.$$

Denote by $C(\tilde{H})$ a positive real number such that for any $r > 0$ the interval $(r, r + C(\tilde{H}))$ contains at least $d(\tilde{H}) + 1$ prime to p integers.

Proposition. *There exists a finite extension K_{α_1} of K_α^H in $K(p)^H$ such that*

$$\min\{\psi_{K_{\alpha_1}/K}(v_0), e^*(K_{\alpha_1})\} > C(\tilde{H}) + 1.$$

Proof.

3.2.1. Prove first that there exists an infinite fields tower

$$K_\alpha^H = K_{\alpha_0,0} \subset K_{\alpha_0,1} \subset \cdots \subset K_{\alpha_0,n} \subset \cdots$$

such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $[K_{\alpha_0,n} : K_{\alpha_0,n-1}] = p$ and $K_{\alpha_0,n} \subset K(p)^H$.

Indeed, let $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and assume that we have constructed such fields $K_{\alpha_0,n}$ for $n \leq n_0$. Because $K_{\alpha_0,n_0} \subset K(p)^H$, we have $H \subset \Gamma_{n_0} = \text{Gal}(K(p)/K_{\alpha_0,n_0})$. Because $[K_{\alpha_0,n_0} : K] < \infty$ and H is not open subgroup of $\Gamma(p)$, we have $H \neq \Gamma_{n_0}$ and therefore, $H_{n_0} := H\Gamma_{n_0}^p[\Gamma_{n_0}, \Gamma_{n_0}] \subsetneq \Gamma_{n_0}$. Let $E_{n_0} = K(p)^{H_{n_0}}$. Then E_{n_0} is a non-trivial abelian extension of K_{α_0,n_0} in $K(p)^H$. Clearly, there exists the field K_{α_0,n_0+1} such that $K_{\alpha_0,n_0} \subset K_{\alpha_0,n_0+1} \subset E_{n_0}$ and $[K_{\alpha_0,n_0+1} : K_{\alpha_0,n_0}] = p$.

3.2.2. We want to prove here that the fields tower $K_{\alpha_0,n}$, $n \geq 0$, from n.3.2.1 can be chosen in such a way that for almost all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the field $K_{\alpha_0,n}$ is totally ramified over $K_{\alpha_0,n-1}$.

Denote by $K'_{\alpha 0, n}$, $n \geq 0$, the fields tower from n.3.2.1. If this tower does not satisfy the above condition, then there exists $n_0 \geq 0$ such that the residue field k_1 of $K'_{\alpha 0, n_0}$ contains more than $p^{d(\tilde{H})}$ elements (recall that $d(\tilde{H})$ is the minimal number of topological generators of the pro- p -group $\tilde{H} = H/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$).

For $0 \leq n \leq n_0$ set $K_{\alpha 0, n} = K'_{\alpha 0, n}$. Let $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be such that $n_1 \geq n_0$ and assume that we have constructed for $n_0 < n \leq n_1$, the fields $K_{\alpha 0, n}$ such that for $0 < n \leq n_1$ we have $[K_{\alpha 0, n} : K_{\alpha 0, n-1}] = p$, $K_{\alpha 0, n} \subset K(p)^H$ and for $n_0 < n \leq n_1$, $v(K_{\alpha 0, n}/K_{\alpha 0, n-1}) \geq 1$ (i.e. $K_{\alpha 0, n}$ is totally ramified over $K_{\alpha 0, n-1}$).

Let $K_1 = K_{\alpha 0, n_1}$, $v_1 = \min\{\psi_{K_1/K}(v_0), e^*(K_1)\}$ and $H_1 = H\Gamma_1^{(v_1)}$, where $\Gamma_1 = \text{Gal}(K(p)/K_1)$. We note that k_1 is the residue field of K_1 , $v_1 > 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V(k_1, 1, v_1) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_1 > d(\tilde{H})$ (cf. the notation of n.3.1.3). Because $K_1 \subset K(p)^H$ and $H \supset \Gamma(p)^{(v_0)}$, we have $v(K_1/K) < v_0$ and therefore, $\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)} = \Gamma_1^{(\psi_{K_1/K}(v_0))}$ (cf. proposition 1 of n.3.1.1). Because $v_1 \leq \psi_{K_1/K}(v_0)$, we have $\Gamma_1^{(\psi_{K_1/K}(v_0))} \subset \Gamma_1^{(v_1)}$ and therefore, there exists the natural group epimorphism

$$\tilde{H} = H/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)} \longrightarrow H/H \cap \Gamma_1^{(v_1)} = H_1/\Gamma_1^{(v_1)} := \tilde{H}_1.$$

This gives $d(\tilde{H}) \geq d(\tilde{H}_1)$. So, we can apply the proposition 2 of n.3.1.3 to obtain the field extension K_2/K_1 of relative degree p such that $K_2 \subset K(p)^{H_1}$ and $v(K_2/K_1) \geq 1$. Because $H_1 \supset H$ we can take $K_{\alpha 0, n_1+1} = K_2$.

3.2.3. By the above arguments, we can consider the fields tower $K_{\alpha 0, n}$, $n \geq 0$, from n.3.2.1 such that the set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid v(K_{\alpha 0, n+1}/K_{\alpha 0, n}) \geq 1\}$ is infinite. Clearly, the properties $\psi_{K_{\alpha 0, n}/K}(v_0) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $e^*(K_{\alpha 0, n}) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ will imply the statement of our proposition.

We note first that if $v_n^* := v(K_{\alpha 0, n+1}/K_{\alpha 0, n}) < 1$, then $v_n^* = -1$, i.e. $K_{\alpha 0, n+1}$ is unramified over $K_{\alpha 0, n}$. In this case we have $\psi_{K_{\alpha 0, n+1}/K} = \psi_{K_{\alpha 0, n}/K}$ and $e^*(K_{\alpha 0, n+1}) = e^*(K_{\alpha 0, n})$. If $v_n^* \geq 1$, then by the composition property of the Herbrandt function we have

$$\psi_{K_{\alpha 0, n+1}/K}(x) = \begin{cases} \psi_{K_{\alpha 0, n}/K}(x), & \text{for } \psi_{K_{\alpha 0, n}/K}(x) < v_n^* \\ v_n^* + p(\psi_{K_{\alpha 0, n}/K}(x) - v_n^*), & \text{for } \psi_{K_{\alpha 0, n}/K}(x) \geq v_n^*. \end{cases}$$

and $e^*(K_{\alpha 0, n+1}) = pe^*(K_{\alpha 0, n})$.

The property $e^*(K_{\alpha 0, n}) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$, is obvious.

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by proposition 1 b) of n.3.1.1, we have

$$\varphi_{K_{\alpha 0, n}/K}(v_n^*) \leq v(K_{\alpha 0, n+1}/K) < v_0.$$

Therefore, $v_n^* < \psi_{K_{\alpha 0, n}/K}(v_0)$. So, if we set $\psi_n = \psi_{K_{\alpha 0, n}/K}(v_0)$, then

$$\psi_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \psi_n, & \text{if } v_n^* = -1 \\ v_n^* + p(\psi_n - v_n^*), & \text{if } v_n^* \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that in the second case we have $v_n^* \in \mathbb{N}$, $v_n^* < \psi_n$ and $\psi_{n+1} > \psi_n$. Consider the strictly increasing sequence $\{\psi_m^*\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of all elements of the set $\{\psi_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$.

This sequence is infinite by the choice of the fields tower $K_{\alpha 0, n}$, $n \geq 0$ (cf. n.3.2.2), and it is sufficient to prove that $\psi_m^* \rightarrow +\infty$ if $m \rightarrow \infty$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, set $\{\{x\}\} = \min\{x - n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, n < x\}$.

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\psi_{m+1}^* - \psi_m^* = (p-1)(\psi_m^* - v_{n(m)}^*),$$

where $n(m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is such that $\psi_m^* = \psi_{n(m)}$ and $\psi_{m+1}^* = \psi_{n(m)+1}$. Therefore,

$$\psi_{m+1}^* - \psi_m^* \geq (p-1)\{\{\psi_m^*\}\}$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. So,

$$\psi_m^* \geq (p-1) \sum_{1 \leq n < m} \{\{\psi_n^*\}\} = (p-1) \sum_{1 \leq n < m} \{\{p^{n-1}\psi_1^*\}\} \rightarrow +\infty,$$

when $m \rightarrow \infty$. The proposition is proved.

3.3. The fields $K_{\alpha 1, n}$ and $L_{\alpha 1, n}$, $n \geq 0$.

3.3.1. Consider the field $K_{\alpha 1}$ given by proposition of n.3.2. Let

$$v'_0 = \varphi_{K_{\alpha 1}/K}(\psi_{K_{\alpha 1}/K}(v_0) - 1)$$

and let

$$v_0^* = \max \left\{ v'_0, v(K_{\alpha}^{(v_0)}/K), v(K_{\alpha 1}/K) \right\}.$$

We note that $v_0^* < v_0$ and $\psi_{K_{\alpha 1}/K}(v_0^*) > C(\tilde{H})$.

Proposition. *There exists a fields tower*

$$K_{\alpha 1, 0} := K_{\alpha 1} \subset K_{\alpha 1, 1} \subset \cdots \subset K_{\alpha 1, n} \subset \cdots,$$

where for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds

a) $[K_{\alpha 1, n} : K_{\alpha 1, n-1}] = p$ and $K_{\alpha 1, n} \subset K(p)^H$;

b) if $v^{(n)} = v(K_{\alpha 1, n}/K_{\alpha 1, n-1})$ and $A_n = \min \{ \psi_{K_{\alpha 1, n-1}/K}(v_0^*), e^*(K_{\alpha 1, n-1}) \}$,

then $v^{(n)} \in (A_n - C(\tilde{H}), A_n)$.

Proof. We use induction on n . Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that such fields are constructed for $0 \leq n < n_0$. We note that for all $0 < n \leq n_0$, we have $A_n \geq A_1 > C(\tilde{H})$ and therefore, the interval $(A_n - C(\tilde{H}), A_n)$ contains at least $d(\tilde{H}) + 1$ integers prime to p .

Set $K_1 = K_{\alpha 1, n_0-1}$ and $H_1 = H\Gamma_1^{(A_{n_0})}$, where $\Gamma_1 = \text{Gal}(K(p)/K_1)$. If k_1 is the residue field of K_1 , $v_1 = A_{n_0}$ and $v'_1 = [A_{n_0} - C(\tilde{H})] + 1$, then $v'_1 \geq 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V(k_1, v'_1, v_1) > d(\tilde{H})$. As in n.3.2.2 we obtain now that $v(K_1/K) < v_0$, $\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)} = \Gamma_1^{(\psi_{K_1/K}(v_0))} \subset \Gamma_1^{(A_{n_0})}$ (because $A_{n_0} \leq \psi_{K_{\alpha 1, n_0-1}/K}(v_0)$), we have the natural group epimorphism

$$\tilde{H} = H/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)} \longrightarrow H/H \cap \Gamma_1^{(A_{n_0})} = H_1/\Gamma_1^{(A_{n_0})} := \tilde{H}_1$$

and therefore, $d(\tilde{H}) \geq d(\tilde{H}_1)$.

So, the proposition 2 of n.3.1.3 gives the extension K_2/K_1 such that $[K_2 : K_1] = p$, $A_{n_0} > v(K_2/K_1) \geq v'_1 > A_{n_0} - C(\tilde{H})$ and $K_2 \subset K(p)^{H_1} \subset K(p)^H$.

Clearly, we can take $K_2 = K_{\alpha 1, n_0}$. The proposition is proved.

3.3.2. Consider the fields tower $K_{\alpha 1, n}$, $n \geq 0$, from the above proposition. Set $L_{\alpha 1, n} = K_{\alpha}^{(v_0)} K_{\alpha 1, n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Because $K(p)^H$ and $K_{\alpha}^{(v_0)}$ are linearly disjoint over K_{α}^H , we have for all $n \geq 0$ the natural isomorphism

$$\tilde{H}_{\alpha} = \text{Gal}(K_{\alpha}^{(v_0)}/K_{\alpha}^H) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_{\alpha 1, n}/K_{\alpha 1, n}).$$

Proposition. *If v_0^* is the real number from n.3.3.1, then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have $v(L_{\alpha 1, n}/K) \leq v_0^*$.*

Proof. Because

$$v(L_{\alpha 1, n}/K) = \max \left\{ v(K_{\alpha}^{(v_0)}/K), v(K_{\alpha 1, n}/K) \right\},$$

it is sufficient to prove that $v(K_{\alpha 1, n}/K) \leq v_0^*$.

We can assume by induction that $v(K_{\alpha 1, n-1}/K) \leq v_0^*$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$v(K_{\alpha 1, n}/K) = \max \left\{ v(K_{\alpha 1, n-1}/K), \varphi_{K_{\alpha 1, n-1}/K}(v^{(n)}) \right\},$$

where $v^{(n)} = v(K_{\alpha 1, n}/K_{\alpha 1, n-1}) < A_n \leq \psi_{K_{\alpha 1, n-1}/K}(v_0^*)$ and therefore,

$$\varphi_{K_{\alpha 1, n-1}/K}(v^{(n)}) < v_0^*.$$

The proposition is proved.

3.3.3. In the above notation we have the following proposition.

Proposition. *If $v_n = v(L_{\alpha 1, n}/K_{\alpha 1, n})$ and $e_n = e(K_{\alpha 1, n}/K_{\alpha}^H)$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (v_n/e_n) = 0.$$

Proof. By the proposition 2 of n.3.1.1 we have

$$v(L_{\alpha 1, n}/K_{\alpha 1, n}) \leq \psi_{K_{\alpha 1, n}/K_{\alpha 1, 0}}(v(L_{\alpha 1, 0}/K_{\alpha 1, 0}))$$

and by proposition 1 b) of n. 3.1.1 it holds

$$\varphi_{K_{\alpha 1, 0}/K}(v(L_{\alpha 1, 0}/K_{\alpha 1, 0})) \leq v(L_{\alpha 1, 0}/K) \leq v_0^*.$$

Therefore, $v(L_{\alpha 1, n}/K_{\alpha 1, n}) \leq \psi_n := \psi_{K_{\alpha 1, n}/K}(v_0^*)$ and it is sufficient to prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\psi_n/e_n) = 0$.

Prove first that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\psi_{n_0} < e^*(K_{\alpha 1, n_0})$.

If such n_0 does not exist we have for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, that $\psi_n \geq e^*(K_{\alpha 1, n}) = p^n e^*(K_{\alpha 1, 0})$ and $A_{n+1} = e^*(K_{\alpha 1, n})$. Therefore,

$$\psi_{n+1} = \psi_{K_{\alpha 1, n+1}/K_{\alpha 1, n}}(\psi_n) = v^{(n+1)} + p(\psi_n - v^{(n+1)}),$$

because $\psi_n \geq e^*(K_{\alpha 1, n})$ and $v^{(n+1)} < A_{n+1}$. Using the inequalities $v^{(n+1)} > A_{n+1} - C(\tilde{H})$ we obtain for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n+1} &\leq p^{n+1}\psi_0 - (p^{n+1} - p^n)(e^*(K_{\alpha 1, 0}) - C(\tilde{H})) - \dots - (p-1)(e^*(K_{\alpha 1, n}) - C(\tilde{H})) = \\ &p^{n+1} \left(\psi_0 + C(\tilde{H})(1 - p^{-n-1}) - (n+1)e^*(K_{\alpha 1, 0})(1 - p^{-1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the contradiction, because the right-hand side of the above equality tends to $-\infty$, if $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be such that $\psi_{n_0} < e^*(K_{\alpha 1, n_0})$. Then for any $n \geq n_0$, we have also $\psi_n < e^*(K_{\alpha 1, n})$, because for any n , it holds

$$\psi_{n+1} = \max\{\psi_n, v^{(n+1)} + p(\psi_n - v^{(n+1)})\} \leq p\psi_n.$$

Prove that for any $n \geq n_0$,

$$(1) \quad \psi_{n+1} - \psi_n < (p-1)C(\tilde{H}).$$

Indeed, if $\psi_n \leq v^{(n+1)}$, then

$$\psi_{n+1} = \psi_{K_{\alpha 1, n+1}/K_{\alpha 1, n}}(\psi_n) = \psi_n$$

and the inequality (1) holds. If $\psi_n > v^{(n+1)}$, then

$$\psi_{n+1} = v^{(n+1)} + p(\psi_n - v^{(n+1)}).$$

But $\psi_n = A_{n+1}$, $v^{(n+1)} > A_{n+1} - C(\tilde{H})$ and

$$\psi_{n+1} - \psi_n = (p-1) \left(\psi_n - v^{(n+1)} \right) < (p-1)C(\tilde{H}).$$

The inequality (1) is proved.

Therefore, for any $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\psi_{n_0} \leq \psi_n < (p-1)C(\tilde{H})(n - n_0) + \psi_{n_0},$$

and because $e_n = p^{n-n_0}e_{n_0}$, this implies obviously that $\psi_n/e_n \rightarrow 0$, if $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proposition is proved.

3.4. *The fields $K_{\alpha 2, n}$ and $L_{\alpha 2, n}$, $n \geq 0$.*

Proposition 1. *There exists a tower of finite extensions of the field K_α^H in $K(p)^H$ of relative degree p*

$$K_{\alpha 2,0} \subset K_{\alpha 2,1} \subset \cdots \subset K_{\alpha 2,n} \subset \cdots$$

such that if for $n \geq 0$ we set $L_{\alpha 2,n} = K_{\alpha 2,n}K_\alpha^{(v_0)}$, $v_{\alpha 2,n} = v(L_{\alpha 2,n}/K)$, $v_{\alpha,n} = v(L_{\alpha 2,n}/K_{\alpha 2,n})$, $v^{(n+1)} = v(K_{\alpha 2,n+1}/K_{\alpha 2,n})$, and $e_{\alpha 2,n} = e(K_{\alpha 2,n}/K)$, then

$$(1) \quad v_{\alpha 2,n} + \frac{p}{(p-1)e_{\alpha 2,n}} \left(\frac{v_{\alpha,n}}{p-1} + C(\tilde{H}) + 1 \right) < v_0;$$

$$(2) \quad \frac{v_{\alpha,n}}{e_{\alpha 2,0}} + \frac{(p-1)(C(\tilde{H}) + 1)}{pe_{\alpha 2,0}} \leq 1;$$

$$(3) \quad v^{(n+1)} > pv_{\alpha,n}/(p-1).$$

Proof. Consider the fields tower $K_{\alpha 1,n}$, $n \geq 0$, from n.3.3.1. The propositions of nn. 3.3.2 and 3.3.3 imply the existence of a sufficiently large $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $K_{\alpha 2,0} := K_{\alpha 1,N_1}$ then the properties (1) and (2) hold for $n = 0$.

We use induction on $n \geq 0$. Assume that the fields $K_{\alpha 2,n}$ are constructed for all $n \leq N$, where $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $N \geq 0$.

Lemma.

$$\varphi_{K_{\alpha 2,N}/K} \left(\frac{pv_{\alpha,N}}{p-1} + C(\tilde{H}) + 1 \right) + \frac{1}{(p-1)e_{\alpha 2,N}} \left(\frac{v_{\alpha,N}}{p-1} + C(\tilde{H}) + 1 \right) < v_0.$$

Proof. Let $x = \max\{x(K_{\alpha 2,N}/K), v_{\alpha,N}\}$, then $\varphi_{K_{\alpha 2,N}/K}(x) = v_{\alpha 2,N}$, cf. proposition 1 b) of n.3.1.1.

If $pv_{\alpha,N}/(p-1) + C(\tilde{H}) + 1 \leq x$, then

$$\varphi_{K_{\alpha 2,N}/K} \left(pv_{\alpha,N}/(p-1) + C(\tilde{H}) + 1 \right) \leq \varphi_{K_{\alpha 2,N}/K}(x) = v_{\alpha 2,N}.$$

If $pv_{\alpha,N}/(p-1) + C(\tilde{H}) + 1 > x$, then

$$\varphi_{K_{\alpha 2,N}/K} \left(\frac{pv_{\alpha,N}}{p-1} + C(\tilde{H}) + 1 \right) = \varphi_{K_{\alpha 2,N}/K}(x) + \frac{pv_{\alpha,N}/(p-1) + C(\tilde{H}) + 1 - x}{e_{\alpha 2,N}}$$

(we use that if $x > x(K_{\alpha 2,N}/K)$, then $\varphi'_{K_{\alpha 2,N}/K}(x) = e_{\alpha 2,N}^{-1}$)

$$\leq v_{\alpha 2,N} + \frac{1}{e_{\alpha 2,N}} \left(\frac{v_{\alpha,N}}{p-1} + C(\tilde{H}) + 1 \right)$$

(this follows from the inequality $x \geq v_{\alpha,N}$).

In the both cases the inequality of our lemma is implied now by the property (1) for $n = N$.

The lemma is proved.

Set $K_{\alpha 2, N} = K_1$, $\Gamma_1 = \text{Gal}(K(p)/K_1)$ and $v_1 = pv_{\alpha, N}/(p-1) + C(\tilde{H}) + 1$.

We note that the property (2) for $n = N$ gives that $v_1 \leq e^*(K_{\alpha 2, 0}) \leq e^*(K_{\alpha 2, N})$. Because by the property (1) it holds $v(K_1/K) = v_{\alpha 2, N} < v_0$, we can apply the proposition 1 c) of n. 3.1.1 and the inequality $\varphi_{K_1/K}(v_1) < v_0$ (cf. the above lemma) to obtain

$$\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)} = \Gamma_1^{(\psi_{K_1/K}(v_0))} \subset \Gamma_1^{(v_1)}.$$

Therefore, if $H_1 = H\Gamma_1^{(v_1)}$, then we have the natural group epimorphism

$$\tilde{H} = H/\Gamma(p)^{(v_0)} \longrightarrow H/H \cap \Gamma_1^{(v_1)} = H_1/\Gamma_1^{(v_1)} := \tilde{H}_1,$$

and therefore, $d(\tilde{H}_1) \leq d(\tilde{H})$.

Now we can apply the proposition 2 of n.3.1.3 with the above chosen K_1 , v_1 , \tilde{H}_1 and $v'_1 = pv_{\alpha, N}/(p-1) + 1$ to obtain the extension K_2 of degree p over K_1 such that $K_2 \subset K(p)^{H_1} \subset K(p)^H$ and $v(K_2/K_1) \geq pv_{\alpha, N}/(p-1) + 1$. If we set $K_2 = K_{\alpha 2, N+1}$, then the property (3) is satisfied for $n = N$.

By the proposition 2 of n.3.1.1 we have the inequality $v_{\alpha, N+1} \leq \psi_{K_2/K_1}(v_{\alpha, N})$. But $\psi_{K_2/K_1}(v_{\alpha, N}) = v_{\alpha, N}$, because $v_{\alpha, N} < pv_{\alpha, N}/(p-1) + 1 \leq v(K_2/K_1)$. Therefore, $v_{\alpha, N+1} \leq v_{\alpha, N}$ and the property (2) holds for $n = N + 1$.

Because $v(K_2/K_1) = v^{(N+1)} \geq pv_{\alpha, N}/(p-1) + 1 \geq 1$, we have $e_{\alpha 2, N+1} = pe_{\alpha 2, N}$. By the above construction of the field $K_{\alpha 2, N+1}$ and the property 1 b) of n.3.1.1, we have

$$v_{\alpha 2, N+1} = \max\{v_{\alpha 2, N}, \varphi_{K_{\alpha 2, N}/K}(v^{(N+1)})\}.$$

Therefore, the property (1) for $n = N + 1$ follows from the inequality of the above lemma.

The proposition is proved.

We use the above construction to obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2. For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$, there exists a commutative diagram in the category of finite extensions of the field K :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_{\alpha}^H & \subset & K_{\alpha 2, 0} & \subset & \dots & \subset & K_{\alpha 2, n} & \subset & \dots \\ \cap & & \cap & & & & \cap & & \\ K_{\alpha}^{(v_0)} & \subset & L_{\alpha 2, 0} & \subset & \dots & \subset & L_{\alpha 2, n} & \subset & \dots \end{array}$$

such that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ it holds:

a) $K_{\alpha 2, n} \subset K(p)^H$, $L_{\alpha 2, n} = K_{\alpha}^{(v_0)} K_{\alpha 2, n}$ and the natural map

$$\text{Gal}(L_{\alpha 2, n}/K_{\alpha 2, n}) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{\alpha} = \text{Gal}(K_{\alpha}^{(v_0)}/K_{\alpha}^H)$$

is an isomorphism;

b) $[K_{\alpha 2, n+1} : K_{\alpha 2, n}] = p$ and $v^{(n+1)} := v(K_{\alpha 2, n+1}/K_{\alpha 2, n}) > pv_{\alpha, n}/(p-1)$, where $v_{\alpha, n} = v(L_{\alpha 2, n}/K_{\alpha 2, n})$;

c) $v(L_{\alpha 2, n}/K_{\alpha 2, 0}) < e^*(K_{\alpha 2, 0})$;

d) $v^{(n+1)} \leq v^{(N^*)}$ for some $N^* \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\varphi_{K_{\alpha 2, N^*-1}/K}(v^{(N^*)}) < v_0$.

Proof. Consider the fields tower $K_{\alpha 2, n}$, $n \geq 0$, from the above proposition 1. Obviously, the statements a) and b) hold for this tower.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$v^{(n)} = v(K_{\alpha 2, n}/K_{\alpha 2, n-1}) < pv_{\alpha, n}/(p-1) + C(\tilde{H}) + 1 \leq pe_{\alpha 2, 0}/(p-1) \leq e^*(K_{\alpha 2, 0}).$$

Applying the proposition 1 b) of n.3.1.1 we obtain that

$$v(K_{\alpha 2, n}/K_{\alpha 2, 0}) \leq \max \{v^{(m)} \mid 1 \leq m \leq n\} < e^*(K_{\alpha 2, 0}).$$

Because $v(L_{\alpha 2, 0}/K_{\alpha 2, 0}) = v_{\alpha, 0} < pv_{\alpha, 0}/(p-1) + C(\tilde{H}) + 1 < e^*(K_{\alpha 2, 0})$ and $L_{\alpha 2, n} = L_{\alpha 2, 0}K_{\alpha 2, n}$ we obtain the statement c).

Because all $v^{(n)}$ belong to \mathbb{N} and are less than $pe_{\alpha 2, 0}/(p-1)$, the set $\{v^{(n)} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has the maximal element $v^{(N^*)}$, where $N^* \in \mathbb{N}$. By the proposition 1 b) of n.3.1.1 we have also

$$\varphi_{K_{\alpha 2, N^*-1}/K}(v^{(N^*)}) \leq v(K_{\alpha 2, N^*}/K) \leq v_{\alpha 2, N^*} < v_0.$$

The proposition is proved.

3.5. In this section we prove an auxiliary proposition in the case $\text{char } K = p$.

As earlier, $K \subset K_1 \subset L_1$ is a tower of finite extensions in $K(p)$ and $v_1 = v(L_1/K_1) \geq 1$. Consider an extension K_2 of degree p over K_1 in $K(p)$ such that $v^* = v(K_2/K_1) > pv_1/(p-1)$.

Obviously, K_2 and L_1 are linearly disjoint over K_1 . Therefore, if $L_2 = L_1K_2$ then $[L_2 : K_2] = [L_1 : K_1]$. In fact, we have the following more strong statement:

Proposition. *With the above notation and assumptions there exist field isomorphisms $f : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ and $g : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ such that $g|_{K_1} = f$.*

Proof. If E is one of the fields K_1, K_2, L_1, L_2 , denote by O_E its valuation ring.

Lemma 1. *There exist uniformizing elements t_1 in K_1 and t_2 in K_2 such that*

$$t_1 \equiv t_2^p \pmod{t_2^{p+v^*(p-1)}O_{K_2}}.$$

Proof of lemma. If k_1 is the residue field of K_1 and t is its uniformiser, then $K_1 = k_1((t))$. By the Artin-Schreier theory, $K_2 = K_1(T)$, where $T^p - T = a \in K_1$ and $a = \alpha t^{-v^*} + (\text{higher terms})$, $\alpha \in k_1^*$.

Set $\alpha_1 = \sigma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1})$ (where σ is Frobenius) and $\alpha_1 T = T_1$, then

$$(*) \quad T_1^p(1 - \alpha_1^{\sigma^{-1}} T_1^{1-p}) = t^{-v^*} \varepsilon,$$

where $\varepsilon \in k_1[[t]]$ is a principal unit.

Clearly, there exists a uniformizer t_0 of K_1 such that $t^{-v^*} \varepsilon = t_0^{-v^*}$. Now the relation (*) implies that $T_1 = t_2^{-v^*}$, where t_2 is a uniformizer of K_2 , and can be rewritten in the following form

$$t_2^p \left(1 - \alpha_1^{\sigma^{-1}} t_2^{v^*(p-1)}\right)^{-1/v^*} = \beta t_0,$$

where $\beta \in k_1$ is such that $\beta^{v^*} = 1$. This gives $t_2^p \equiv t_1 \pmod{t_2^{p+v^*(p-1)}}$, where $t_1 = \beta t_0$ is a uniformizer of K_1 . The lemma is proved.

Let

$$P = P(X) = X^r + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} a_i X^{r-i} \in O_{K_1}[X]$$

be the characteristic polynomial of some generator of the valuation ring O_{L_1} of the field L_1 over the valuation ring O_{K_1} of the field K_1 .

The proof of the following statement can be found in [De].

Lemma 2. *If $y \in K_{\text{sep}}$ is such that $v_{K_1}(P(y)) > 1 + v_1$, then there exists $\theta \in K_{\text{sep}}$ such that $P(\theta) = 0$ and $v_{K_1}(y - \theta) > v_{K_1}(y - \theta')$, where $\theta' \in K_{\text{sep}}, \theta' \neq \theta$, and $P(\theta') = 0$.*

Remark. If $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K_{\text{sep}}/K_1) \subset \Gamma$ and $\tau y = y$, then $\tau \theta = \theta$. Therefore, $\theta \in K_1(y)$. We use uniformizing elements t_1 and t_2 from the lemma 1 for identifications $K_1 = k_1((t_1))$ and $K_2 = k_1((t_2))$ and define the isomorphism $f : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ by the following conditions: $f(t_1) = t_2$ and $f|_{k_1} = \sigma^{-1}$, where σ is Frobenius.

† Consider the extension \tilde{L}_2 of K_2 in $K(p)$ generated by some root θ_2 of the polynomial

$$f_*P = X^r + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} f(a_i) X^{r-i} \in O_{K_2}[X].$$

If θ_2 is a root of f_*P in $K(p)$, then

$$P(\theta_2^p) = P(\theta_2^p) - \sigma((f_*P)(\theta_2)) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (a_i(t_1) - a_i(t_2^p)) \theta_2^{p(r-i)}$$

and by lemma 1

$$v_{K_1}(P(\theta_2^p)) \geq \frac{1}{p}(p + v^*(p-1)) > 1 + v_1.$$

Now lemma 2 gives the existence of $\theta \in K_1(\theta_2^p) \subset \tilde{L}_2$ such that $P(\theta) = 0$. Therefore, $L_1 \subset \tilde{L}_2$, $L_1 K_2 = \tilde{L}_2$ and the correspondence $\theta \mapsto \theta_2$ gives the extension of f to the isomorphism $g : L_1 \rightarrow \tilde{L}_2 = L_2$.

The proposition is proved.

3.6. The fields $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha n}$, $n \geq 0$.

Assume first that $\text{char } K = 0$.

In this case one can apply considerations of n.3.3 to construct for the field $K_{\alpha 2,0}$ its infinite extension $\tilde{K}_{\alpha 2,0}$ in K_{sep} , consider the complete discrete valuation field $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0} = \mathcal{X}_{K_{\alpha 2,0}}(\tilde{K}_{\alpha 2,0})$ of characteristic p , and the equivalence $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0 := \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\tilde{K}_{\alpha 2,0}}$ of the category of algebraic extensions $L/K_{\alpha 2,0}$ such that $v(L/K_{\alpha 2,0}) < e^*(K_{\alpha 2,0})$ and the category of separable extensions $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 2,0}$ such that $v(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 2,0}) < e^*(K_{\alpha 2,0})$.

If $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0(K_{\alpha 2,n}) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0(L_{\alpha 2,n}) = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha n}$ for $n \geq 0$, then we obtain the following commutative diagram of complete discrete valuation fields of characteristic p and their embeddings:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0} & \subset & \dots & \subset & \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n} & \subset & \dots \\ \cap & & & & \cap & & \\ \mathcal{L}_{\alpha 0} & \subset & \dots & \subset & \mathcal{L}_{\alpha n} & \subset & \dots \end{array}$$

such that for any $n \geq 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha n} = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha 0} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$. Note that the functor $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0$ induces the identifications

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}) = \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\alpha 2,n}/K_{\alpha 2,n}).$$

Because the equivalence $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0$ is compatible with ramification filtrations we have also for $n \geq 1$, that

$$v(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,n-1}) = v^{(n)} > pv_{\alpha,n-1}/(p-1),$$

where $v_{\alpha,n-1} = v(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha,n-1}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,n-1})$.

If the case $\mathrm{char} K = p$ we have the same result by setting $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n} = K_{\alpha 2,n}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha n} = L_{\alpha 2,n}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

With the above notation we obtain from the above proposition of n.3.5 the following proposition.

Proposition. *For $n \geq 0$ there exist field isomorphisms $i_n : \mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$ and $j_n : \mathcal{L}_{\alpha 0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\alpha n}$ such that $j_n|_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0}} = i_n$, i.e. j_n is a prolongation of i_n .*

3.7. Because the Galois group of a maximal p -extension of the field $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0}$ is p -free and $d(\mathcal{G}_\beta) = d(\tilde{H}_\alpha)$ (indeed, $d(\tilde{H}) = d(\mathcal{G}) \geq d(\mathcal{G}_\beta) \geq d(\tilde{H}_\alpha) = d(\tilde{H})$), there exists a Galois extension $\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha 0}$ of $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha 0} \supset \mathcal{L}_{\alpha 0}$ and there exists a group isomorphism $\tilde{g}_{\beta\alpha 0}$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$(*)_0 \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_\beta & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}_{\beta\alpha 0}} & \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha 0}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0}) \\ j_{\beta\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{H}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{\alpha 0}} & \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha 0}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0}) \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{f}_{\alpha 0}$ is induced by identifications

$$\tilde{H}_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\alpha 2,0}/K_{\alpha 2,0}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha 0}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0})$$

and the right vertical arrow is the natural projection.

If $n \geq 0$, consider a prolongation of the isomorphism $j_n : \mathcal{L}_{\alpha 0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\alpha n}$ from n.3.6 to an isomorphism of separable closures

$$\bar{j}_n : \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0(\bar{K}) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0, \mathrm{sep}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n, \mathrm{sep}} = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0, \mathrm{sep}},$$

and let $\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha n} = \bar{j}_n(\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha 0})$.

Then $\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha n} \supset \mathcal{L}_{\alpha n} \supset \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$ and $v(\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}) = v(\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha 0}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0}) = v_{\beta\alpha 0}$ does not depend on n .

By the use of the above prolongations \bar{j}_n , $n \geq 0$, we obtain the following commutative diagrams:

$$(*)_n \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_\beta & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}_{\beta\alpha n}} & \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}) \\ j_{\beta\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{H}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{\alpha n}} & \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}) \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is the natural projection, $\tilde{g}_{\beta\alpha n}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\alpha n}$ are group isomorphisms.

Lemma. *There exists $N_1 \geq 0$ such that for $n \geq N_1$, we have*

$$v(\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0}) < e^*(K_{\alpha 2,0}).$$

Proof. If $n \geq 0$, then

$$v(\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0}) = \max \{v(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0}), \varphi_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0}}(v_{\beta\alpha 0})\}.$$

Clearly (cf. proposition 2 of n.3.4),

$$v(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha 0}) = v(K_{\alpha 2,n}/K_{\alpha 2,0}) \leq v(L_{\alpha 2,n}/K_{\alpha 2,0}) < e^*(K_{\alpha 2,0}).$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the natural number $N^* \in \mathbb{N}$ from n.3.4 and any $x \geq 0$, we have

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, n-1}}(x) \leq \varphi^*(x),$$

where

$$\varphi^*(x) = \varphi_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, N^*}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, N^*-1}}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq v^{(N^*)} \\ v^{(N^*)} + (x - v^{(N^*)})/p, & \text{for } x > v^{(N^*)}. \end{cases}$$

By the composition property, we have $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, 0}}(v_{\beta\alpha 0}) \leq \varphi^{*(n)}(v_{\beta\alpha 0})$, where $\varphi^{*(n)}$ is the n -th iteration of the function φ^* . It is easy to see that:

- 1) if $v_{\beta\alpha 0} \leq v^{(N^*)}$, then $\varphi^{*(n)}(v_{\beta\alpha 0}) \leq v^{(N^*)}$;
- 2) if $v_{\beta\alpha 0} > v^{(N^*)}$, then $\varphi^{*(n)}(v_{\beta\alpha 0}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} v^{(N^*)}$.

Because $v^{(N^*)} < e^*(K_{\alpha 2,0})$ (cf. the beginning of the proof of proposition 2 of n.3.4), the above properties 1) and 2) imply the existence of $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\varphi^{*(n)}(v_{\beta\alpha 0}) < e^*(K_{\alpha 2,0})$$

for all $n \geq N_1$. Clearly, this gives the statement of our lemma.

3.8. If $\text{char } K = 0$, then by the lemma of n.3.7, we can apply the inverse equivalence $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0^{-1}$ to obtain the following commutative diagrams for all $n \geq N_1$ from the above diagrams $(*_n)$ of n.3.7:

$$(**_n) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_\beta & \xrightarrow{g_{\beta\alpha n}} & \text{Gal}(F_{\beta\alpha n}/K_{\alpha 2,n}) \\ j_{\beta\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{H}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_{\alpha n}} & \text{Gal}(L_{\alpha 2,n}/K_{\alpha 2,n}), \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0(F_{\beta\alpha n}) = \mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha n}$, $f_{\alpha n}$ is the natural identification, $g_{\beta\alpha n}$ is a group isomorphism, and the vertical arrow is the natural projection.

The same result holds also in the characteristic p case, if we take identical functor instead of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_0^{-1}$.

Lemma. There exists $N_2 \geq N_1$ such that for all $n \geq N_2$, we have

$$v(F_{\beta\alpha n}/K) < v_0.$$

Proof. Let $N_1^* = \max\{N_1, N^* - 1\}$.

If $n \geq N_1^*$, then

$$v(F_{\beta\alpha n}/K) = \max \left\{ v(K_{\alpha 2, N_1^*}/K), \varphi_{K_{\alpha 2, N_1^*}/K} \left(v(F_{\beta\alpha n}/K_{\alpha 2, N_1^*}) \right) \right\}.$$

We know that $v(K_{\alpha 2, N_1^*}/K) < v_0$.

By proposition 1 b) of n.3.1.1, we have

$$v(F_{\beta\alpha n}/K_{\alpha 2, N_1^*}) = v(\mathcal{F}_{\beta\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha N_1^*}) = \max \left\{ v(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha N_1^*}), \varphi_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha N_1^*}}(v_{\beta\alpha 0}) \right\}.$$

Clearly, for all $n \geq N_1^*$, we have $v(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha N_1^*}) \leq v^{(N^*)}$. As in n.3.7, we obtain either

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha N_1^*}}(v_{\beta\alpha 0}) \leq v^{(N^*)}$$

for all $n \geq N_1^*$, or

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha N_1^*}}(v_{\beta\alpha 0}) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} v^{(N^*)}.$$

By the proposition 2 d) of n.3.4, we have $v^{(N^*)} < \psi_{K_{\alpha 2, N^*-1}/K}(v_0)$ therefore, there exists $N_2 \geq N_1^*$ such that for any $n \geq N_2$ one has

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}/\mathcal{K}_{\alpha N_1^*}}(v_{\beta\alpha 0}) < \psi_{K_{\alpha 2, N^*-1}/K}(v_0).$$

Therefore, for $n \geq N_2$ it holds

$$v(F_{\beta\alpha n}/K_{\alpha 2, N_1^*}) < \psi_{K_{\alpha 2, N^*-1}/K}(v_0)$$

and

$$\varphi_{K_{\alpha 2, N_1^*}/K}(v(F_{\beta\alpha n}/K_{\alpha 2, N_1^*})) < \varphi_{K_{\alpha 2, N_1^*}/K} \left(\psi_{K_{\alpha 2, N^*-1}/K}(v_0) \right) \leq$$

$$\varphi_{K_{\alpha 2, N^*-1}/K} \left(\varphi_{K_{\alpha 2, N_1^*}/K_{\alpha 2, N^*-1}} \left(\psi_{K_{\alpha 2, N^*-1}/K}(v_0) \right) \right) \leq$$

$$\varphi_{K_{\alpha 2, N^*-1}/K} \left(\psi_{K_{\alpha 2, N^*-1}/K}(v_0) \right) = v_0.$$

The lemma is proved.

3.9. Finally, we note that the statement of the main lemma is satisfied with $E_{\beta\alpha} = K_{\alpha 2, N_2}$, $E'_{\beta\alpha} = L_{\alpha 2, N_2}$, $F_{\beta\alpha} = F_{\beta\alpha N_2}$ and the diagram (** N_2) of n.3.8. The main lemma is completely proved.

REFERENCES

- [Ab1] V.A.Abrashkin, *Ramification filtration of the Galois group of a local field*, Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Mathematical Society III. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, Vol. 166, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [Ab2] V.A. Abrashkin, *Ramification filtration of the Galois group of a local field. II*, Proceeding of Steklov Math. Inst. **208** (1995).
- [Ab3] V.A.Abrashkin, *Ramification filtration of the Galois group of a local field. III*, Preprint MPI 96-103.
- [De] P.Deligne, *Les corps locaux de caracterisque p, limites de corps locaux de caracterisque 0.*, Representations des groupes reductifs sur un corps local. Travaux en Cours., Paris:Hermann. 1984, p. 120-157.
- [Go] N.L.Gordeev, *Infinity of the number of relations in the Galois group of the maximal p-extension of a local field with bounded ramification*, Izvestia AN SSSR, Ser. Matem. **45** (1981), 592-607.
- [Ma] E.Maus, *Relationen in Verzweigungsgruppen*, J. reine ang. Math. **258** (1973), 23-50.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [Wtb] J.-P. Wintenberger, *Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies des corps locaux; application*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super., IV. Ser **16** (1983), 59-89.

STEKLOV MATH. INST., VAVILOVA 42, MOSCOW 117 966, RUSSIA
E-mail address: victor@abrvic.mian.su

ARBEITSGRUPPE "ALGEBRAISCHE GEOMETRIE UND ZAHLENTHEORIE", JAGERSTR. 10/11,
BERLIN 10 117, GERMANY
E-mail address: abrvic@zahlen.ag-berlin.mpg.de