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In these notes we describe the Deligne cohomology of a complex manifold as well as Beilinson's algebraic cohomology theory of a quasiprojective complex manifold and some of its properties. In fact, most of the content of our manuscript can be found (in a more compressed form) in the first paragraph of Beilinson's article [3]. We tried to include all details needed, and we hope that our presentation is sufficiently "down to earth" to serve as an introduction to this theory.

We like to emphasize that credit for the ideas presented here should be given to A. Beilinson, S. Bloch, P. Deligne and some other mathematicians, whereas any possible inaccuracies and errors are due to us (and to our efforts to be as explicit as possible).

In §1 we recall the definition of the (analytic) Deligne cohomology and-following [4]-we give $S$. Bloch's definition of the regulator map for curves, hoping that the concrete description in this case may help to understand the more formal calculations of the following chapters. In §2 we describe the Deligne-Beilinson ( $D-5$ ) complex on a good compactification of a quasiprojective (real or complex) manifold and the corresponding cohomology theory. The properties of the
$\mathrm{D}-\overline{\mathrm{b}}$-cohomology arising from abstract nonsense are discussed and some of the cohomology groups are determined. At the end of $\$ 2$ we explain to some extent the description of the $D-\bar{b}$-complex $\mathbb{R}(p)_{D}$ by using real. $C^{\infty}$ forms.
The formal definition of the $\overline{D-D}$-cohomology using relative cohomology is explained in §4. This might be a more conceptional approach. However, we have tried to avoid using the relative cohomology as far as possible, although it forces us to use a rather artificial way of defining the product on the $D-\bar{b}$-complex (3.3).
In $\S 3$ the definition and properties of the product are explained. We could not resist to include the calculations of all the compatibilities and homotonies needed.
Without giving all details, we sketch in $\S 5$ the usual extensions of

[^0]the definitions of the cohomology theory to simplicial schemes of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. At the end of this section one constructs a complex of sheaves in the Zarisky topology, which on open subvarieties describes the - D- $\bar{b}$ - cohomology.
In §6 we recall the definition and some properties of the cycle class in the De Rham cohomology (following [2],[9] and [1]). Especially we explain the behaviour of those classes with respect to the Hodge filtration. These constructions are needed in §7. There we first explain the relations between the Deligne cohomology of a projective manifold and the intermediate Jacobian of Griffiths. We reproduce Deligne's definition of the cycle class in the $D-\overline{-}$-cohomology ([10]) and we compare it to the Abel-Jacobi map. Our presentation is slightly different from the one given in [10]. Finally, in §8 we sketch the definition of Chern classes of vector bundles in the $D-\bar{\sigma}$-cohomology. We do not consider in this note Beilinson's description of the $D-\bar{b}$ cohomology as an extension of Hodge structures.

## Notations and conventions:

Throughout these notes $X$ is a complex analytic variety. Even if $X$ happens to be algebraic, it is considered as an analytic variety, except if the index "Zar" is added. Correspondingly $\Omega$ denotes the De Rham complex of holomorphic differential forms.
We use the notations of the derived category, whenever it is necessary of bounded complexes (even if it is sometimes not explicitlý mentioned). A nice introduction can be found in [6] or [14]. In particular we constantly use the notation of a cone of a map $f: A^{\cdot} \longrightarrow B^{\cdot}$ of complexes. If the map just exists in the derived category we always replace $B^{\circ}$ by an injective resolution.
$\mathbb{H}^{\cdot}$ is the hypercohomology functor from the derived category of $\mathbb{Z}$ sheaves to the derived category of abelian groups whereas $\mathbb{H}^{q}\left(A^{*}\right)$ is the $q$-th cohomology of the complex $\mathbb{H}^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)$. If $A$ is a subring of $\mathbb{R}$ we write

$$
A(p)=(2 i \pi)^{p} \cdot A \subseteq \mathbb{I} .
$$

Of course, for the purpose of this volume, one needs the cohomology theory for real algebraic varieties. However, as explained in (2.1,II), this theory is obtained from the one for complex varieties by a quite simple procedure, "compatible with all the statements made in these notes".

The dilogarithm function and the regulator map on a Riemann surface (after S. Bloch)
1.1. Following [5] we define the Deligne complex $\mathbb{Z}(p){ }_{D}$,an on a complex analytic manifold $X$ as

$$
0 \rightarrow z(p) \longrightarrow 0_{X} \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{p-1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

(where $\mathbb{Z}(p)$ is in degree zero) and the Deligne cohomology as

$$
H_{\bar{D}, a n}^{q}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)):=\mathbb{H}^{q}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p) \mathcal{D}, a n)
$$

For simplicity, in this paragraph, we drop the sub-script "an" and write $\mathbb{Z}(p)_{D}$ and $H_{\bar{D}}^{q}$.
1.2. We define a multiplication

$$
u: \mathbb{Z}(p)_{D} \otimes \mathbf{z}\left(p^{\prime}\right)_{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left(p+p^{\prime}\right)_{D}
$$

by $\quad x \cup y=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}x \cdot y & \text { if deg } x=0 \\ x \wedge d y & \text { if deg } x>0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$ and deg $y=p^{\prime}$

ن is a morphism of complexes. In fact, if we denote the differential in $\mathbf{Z}(p)_{D}$ by $d$ (where, of course, $d: \mathbf{z}(p) \rightarrow O_{X}$ is the inclusion) and $\mu=\operatorname{deg} x$ and $\mu^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg} y$, we have:

$$
d(x \cup y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x \cdot d y & \mu=0, \mu^{\prime}<p^{\prime} \\
x \cdot d y=d x \wedge d y & \mu=0, \mu^{\prime}=p^{\prime} \\
d x \wedge d y . & \mu>0, \mu^{\prime}=p^{\prime} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right\}=d x \cup y+(-1)^{\mu} x \cup d y .
$$

It is quite easy to show that $U$ is associative.
1.3. Using the ${ }_{v}$ usual arguments from homological algebra, or by calculating the Cech-cohomology on a suitable cover we obtain a ring structure on $p^{\oplus}, q^{H}{ }_{D}^{q}(X, \Psi(p))$. In fact, the product is anticommutative, i.e. for $\alpha \in H_{\mathcal{D}}^{( }(X, Z(p))$ and $\beta \in H_{D}^{\prime}\left(X, Z\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \alpha \cup \beta=(-1)^{q q^{\prime}} \beta \cup \alpha$. This will be shown in (1.6) for $p=p^{\prime}=q=q^{\prime}=1$ and in a more general
set up in §3. For the reader who wants to check the anticommutativity_ directly we just reveal that the homotopy between $x \cup y$ and $(-1)^{\mu \cdot \mu^{\prime}} \mathrm{y} \cup \mathrm{x}$ is given by:

$$
h(x \otimes y)= \begin{cases}0 & \mu=0 \\ \text { or } \mu^{\prime}=0 \\ (-1)^{\mu} x \wedge y & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

1.4. Examples for low values of $p$ and $q$ :
i) $(p=0)$ Obviously $\mathbb{Z}(0)_{D}=\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_{D}^{q}(X, \mathbb{Z}(0))$ is nothing but the singular cohomology $H^{q}(X, z)$.
ii) ( $p=1, q=1$ ) If $0 \underset{X}{*}$ denotes the sheaf of invertible holomorphic functions, $\mathbb{Z}(1)_{D}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $0_{X}^{\star}[-1]$ via $x \longrightarrow \exp (x)$. For a suitable open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $X$ an element of $H_{D}^{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ is represented by a Cech-cocycle

$$
\left(2 i \pi m_{\alpha \beta}, F_{\alpha}\right) \in c^{1}(\mathbb{Z}(1)) \times c^{0}(0)
$$

where the cocycle condition says

$$
\delta\left(F_{\alpha}\right):=F_{\alpha}-F_{\beta}=2 i \pi m_{\alpha \beta} .
$$

Hence $f_{\alpha}:=\exp \left(F_{\alpha}\right)$ is the restriction of $f \in H^{0}\left(X, 0_{X}^{*}\right)$ and the isomorphism

$$
H_{D}^{1}(X, z(1)) \longrightarrow H^{0}(X, 0 *)
$$

maps the cohomology class of the cocycle to $f$.
iii) $(p=2, q=2) \quad$ The exponential $x \longmapsto \exp \left(\frac{x}{2 i \pi}\right)$ defines $a$ quasi-isomorphism:

$$
\mathbb{Z}(2)_{D} \longrightarrow\left(0 \star \underset{X}{*} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~d} \log } \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}\right)[-1] .
$$

Hence $\rho \in H_{D}^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))$ can be described by a $\stackrel{\forall}{C e c h-c o c y c l e ~}$

$$
\left((2 i \pi)^{2} \cdot n_{\alpha \beta \gamma}, H_{\alpha \beta}, \Omega_{\alpha}\right) \in c^{2}(\mathbb{(}(2)) \times c^{1}(0) \times c^{0}\left(\Omega^{1}\right)
$$

with

$$
(2 i \pi)^{2} n_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=\delta H_{\alpha \beta}, \quad d H_{\alpha \beta}=\delta \Omega_{\alpha} .
$$

An element of $\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, 0_{\mathrm{X}}^{*} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\left(\xi_{\alpha \beta^{\prime}} \omega_{\alpha}\right) \in c^{1}(0 *) \times c^{0}\left(\Omega^{1}\right)
$$

with $\delta \xi_{\alpha \beta}=1, \quad \mathrm{~d} \log \xi_{\alpha \beta}=\delta \omega_{\alpha}$.
The image of $\rho$ under the isomorphism of the two cohomology groups is given by

$$
\xi_{\alpha \beta}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 i \pi} H_{\alpha \beta}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \omega_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \Omega_{\alpha} .
$$

iv) The multiplication

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u: H_{D}^{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \times H_{D}^{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \longrightarrow H_{D}^{2}(X, Z(2)) \\
& \left(2 i \pi m_{\alpha \beta}, F_{\alpha}\right),\left(2 i \pi \quad n_{\alpha \beta}, G_{\alpha}\right) \longmapsto\left((2 i \pi)^{2} m_{\alpha \beta} n_{\beta \gamma}, 2 i \pi m_{\alpha \beta} G_{\beta}, F_{\alpha} d G_{\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

can be written via the isomorphisms ii) and iii) as

$$
U: H^{0}\left(X, 0_{X}^{*}\right) \times H^{0}\left(X, 0_{X}^{*}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(X, 0_{X}^{\star} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\right)
$$

with $f \cup G=\left(g^{m_{\alpha \beta}}, \frac{1}{2 i \pi} F_{\alpha} \cdot \frac{d g}{g}\right)$. Hence, for a $\stackrel{\vee}{\text { Cech cover }}\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$. such that $\left.\log f\right|_{U}$ is defined (and denoted by $\log _{\alpha} f$ ) one can describe fug by the ${ }^{\alpha}$ ocylce $\left(\xi_{\alpha, \beta}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)$ with

$$
\xi_{\alpha \beta}=g^{\frac{1}{2 i \pi}\left(\log _{\alpha} f-\log _{\beta} f\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad \omega_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \log _{\alpha} f \frac{d g}{g} .
$$

1.5. P. Deligne (see [3], 1.3) interprets $\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, 0_{X}^{*} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}\right)$ as the group of rank one bundles $\xi$ with holomorphic connection $\nabla$ identifying $(\xi, \nabla)$ with the class of the Cech-cocycle $\left(\xi_{\alpha \beta}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)$, where $\left.\right|_{U_{\alpha}}=0_{U_{\alpha}} \cdot e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}=\xi_{\alpha \beta} \cdot e_{\beta}$ and $\nabla e_{\alpha}=\omega_{\alpha} \cdot e_{\alpha}$.

By definition $\delta \xi_{\alpha \beta}=1$ and the Leibniz rule

$$
\nabla e_{\alpha}=\omega_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha \beta} e_{\beta}=\xi_{\alpha \beta} \omega_{\beta} e_{\beta}+d \xi_{\alpha \beta} e_{\beta}
$$

implies $\delta \omega_{\alpha}=d \log \xi_{\alpha \beta}$. The group structure corresponds to the *-product of bundles with connection and $0_{X}$ equipped with the usual
differential $d$ is the unit. On the other hand each $\stackrel{\vee}{C e c h}$-cocycle comes from a pair $(\xi, \nabla)$. We have $(\xi, \nabla)=\left(O_{X}, d\right)$ if and only if $\xi$ has a non-trivial flat. section, locally described by $\lambda_{\alpha} \cdot e_{\alpha}$ with
$\lambda_{\alpha} \cdot \xi_{\alpha \beta}=\lambda_{\beta}$ and $0=\nabla \lambda_{\alpha} \cdot e_{\alpha}=\lambda_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}+d \lambda_{\alpha} \cdot e_{\alpha} \cdot$ Hence $(\xi, \nabla)=\left(0_{x}, d\right)$ if and only if $\xi_{\alpha \beta}=\lambda_{\beta} / \lambda_{\alpha}$ and $\omega_{\alpha}=-\alpha \log \lambda_{\alpha}$, that is, if $\left(\xi_{\alpha \beta}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)$ is a coboundary.

If one looks. at the exact sequence

$$
\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}}^{*} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\star}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}\right)
$$

one finds the well known fact that a rank one bundle with trivial first De Rham Chern class has a holomorphic connection.

From now on we will identify the cohomology classes in $\mathrm{Hf}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}\right.$ ) with the isomorphic-classes of bundles with connection. The product fug in (1.4, iv) defines ror two functions f,g $\in H^{0}(X, 0 *)$ a rank one bundle with connection, which we call $r(f, g)$.

Lemma 1.6. (see [4])
a) $r(f, g) \otimes r(g, f)=\left(O_{X}, d\right)$ for $f, g \in H^{0}(X, 0 *)$.
b) $\quad r(1-g, g)=\left(O_{X}, d\right) \quad$ if $g, 1-g \in H^{0}\left(X, O_{X}^{*}\right)$.

Proof. We choose a $\stackrel{\vee}{C}$ ech-cover such that $\log _{\alpha} G, \log _{\alpha} f$ (or $\log _{\alpha}(1-q)$ in part b)) are defined.
a) Then $r(f, g) \quad r(g, f)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{\alpha \beta}=g^{\frac{1}{2 i \pi}\left(\log _{\alpha} f-\log _{\beta} f\right)} \cdot f^{\frac{1}{2 i \pi}\left(\log _{\alpha} g-\log _{\beta} g\right)}, \\
& \omega_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2 i \pi}\left(\log _{\alpha} f \frac{d g}{g}+\log _{\alpha} g \frac{d f}{f}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A flat section is given by

$$
\lambda_{\alpha}=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \log _{\alpha} f \cdot \log _{\alpha} g\right)
$$

b) To obtain a flat section one has to find $\lambda_{\alpha}$ satisfying

$$
\lambda_{\beta} / \lambda_{\alpha}=g^{\frac{1}{2 \dot{i} \pi}\left(\log _{\alpha}(1-g)-\log _{\beta}(1-g)\right)}
$$

and $-\frac{d \lambda_{\alpha}}{\lambda_{\alpha}}=\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \log _{\alpha}(1-g) \frac{d g}{g} \quad$.
The second differential equation leads to the solution

$$
\lambda_{\alpha}=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \int \log _{\alpha}(1-g) \frac{d g}{g}\right)
$$

(S. Bloch's dilogarithm function).

Since $\log _{\alpha}(1-g)-\log _{\beta}(1-g)$ is constant on the components of $U_{\alpha \beta}$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\beta} / \lambda_{\alpha} & =\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \int\left(\log _{\alpha}(1-g)-\log _{\beta}(1-g)\right) \frac{d g}{g}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 i \pi}\left(\log _{\alpha}(1-g)-\log _{\beta}(1-g)\right) \log g\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.8. From now on, we consider a compact Riemann surface $Y$, a finite set of points $S$ and $j: X=Y-S \longrightarrow Y$. We define $O_{Y}^{*}(* S)$ to be the sheaf of meromorphic functions, holomorphic and invertible on $X$ and $\Omega_{Y}^{1}(\log S)$ to be the sheaf of meromorphic differential forms, holomorphic on $X$ and of logarithmic growth at $S$. If $f, g \in H^{0}\left(Y, 0 \star{ }_{Y}^{(* S)}\right)$ the cocycle of $r(f, g)$ is by (1.4, iv) in fact a cocycle in

$$
O_{\hat{Y}}^{*}(* S) \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y}^{1}(\log S) .
$$

For $x \in S$ let ord $X_{x}: O_{Y}^{*}(* S) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{x}$ denote the order of a zero or pole and let res ${ }_{x}: \Omega \mathcal{Y}^{1}(\log S) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{x}$ denote the Cauchy-poincare residue. We have. res ${ }_{x}{ }^{\text {d }} \log =$ ord $_{x}$,
kernel $\left(\frac{1}{x \in S}\right.$ ord $\left.X_{X}\right)=0_{Y}^{*}$ and kernel $\left(\underset{x \in S}{ }\right.$ res $\left._{X}\right)=\Omega_{Y}^{1}$.
Altogether we obtain a distinguished triangle (see [6] or (2.2) for this notation)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\star}  \tag{1.9}\\
& \xrightarrow{\text { g.i. }}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{*} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~d} \log } \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{1}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{*}(* . \mathrm{S}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d} \log } \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{~S})\right) \\
& {[1] } L \text { (ord,res) }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left(\frac{1}{x \in S} \mathbb{Z}_{x} \hookrightarrow \frac{1}{x \in S} \mathbb{C}_{x}\right) \frac{q \cdot i}{\exp (2 i \pi)} \frac{1}{x \in S} \mathbb{C}_{x}^{*}[-1]
$$

The components of the induced map

$$
\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(Y, O{\underset{Y}{*}}^{*}(\star) \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y}^{1}(\log S)\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{1}\left(Y, \frac{1}{X \in S} \mathbb{C}_{X}^{*}[-1]\right)=\frac{1}{X \in S} \mathbb{C}_{X}^{*}
$$

are denoted by $\partial_{x}$. If on a Cech cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $Y\left(\xi_{\alpha \beta}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)$ represents an element $\rho$ of the left hand side, then $\partial_{x}(\rho)=\exp \left(2 i \pi \cdot r e s_{x} \omega_{\alpha}\right)$ for any $\alpha$ with $x \in U_{\alpha}$.
Lemma 1.10.
a) The natural map

$$
\varphi:\left(0_{\mathrm{Y}}^{*}(* S) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{1}(\log \mathrm{~S})\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Rj}_{*}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{X}}^{*} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{1}\right)
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.
b) $\partial_{\mathrm{x}} \cdot \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}^{-1}$ where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is the "tame-symbol"

$$
T_{x}(f, g)=\left[(-1)^{\text {ord }_{x} f \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{\dot{x}} g} \cdot g^{\text {ord }_{x} f} \cdot f{ }^{-\operatorname{ord}_{x} g}\right](x)
$$

Proof.
a) $\varphi$ induces a morphism of the triangle (1.9) into the triangle

being an isomorphism at two corners.
b) $\partial_{x}{ }^{\circ} r$ and $T x$ are multiplicative in both arguments. As for $\partial_{x}{ }^{\circ} r$ one has $T_{x}(f, g) \cdot T_{x}(g, f)=1$. If both, $f$ and $g$ are units one has $\partial_{x} \cdot r(f, g)=1$ and $T_{x}(f, g)=1$. From the definition of $T_{x}$ one obtains $T_{x}(1-g, g)=1$. If $t$ is a local parameter at $x$ we can write $f=u \cdot t^{\nu}$ and $g=v \cdot t^{\mu}$ for local units $u$ and $v$. By multiplicativity and $(1.6, a)$ the proof of $b)$ is reduced to
a) $f$ a unit and $g=t$
B) $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{t}$,
where we may assume that all poles and zeroes of $t$ are in $S$. Since

$$
r(t, t) \otimes r\left(\frac{1}{t-1}, t\right)=r\left(\frac{t}{t-1}, t\right)=r\left(\frac{t-1}{t}, \frac{1}{t}\right)=\left(0_{x}, d\right)
$$

(by $(1.6, b))$ and since the same holds for $T_{x}$ we have $\partial_{x} r(t, t)=\partial_{x} r(t-1, t)$ and $T_{x}(t, t)=T_{x}(t-1, t)$. Hence case $\left.B\right)$ follows from $\alpha$ ). The explicit description of $r(f, g)$ in $1.4, i v)$
tells us that for a suitable cover of $Y-s \quad \omega_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \log _{\alpha} f \frac{d t}{t}$ and res $x_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \log _{\alpha} f(x)$. Therefore $\partial_{x} r(f, t)=f(x)=T_{x}^{-1}(f, t)$.
1.11. By Matsumoto's description of $K_{2}$ of a field one has

$$
K_{2}(\mathbb{C}(Y))=\mathbb{C}(Y)^{*} \otimes_{Z} \mathbb{C}(Y) * /\langle g \otimes(1-g), g \in \mathbb{C}(Y)-\{0,1\}\rangle .
$$

On the other hand, $r$ induces a map
 whose kernel contains all $g \otimes(1-g)(1.6, b)$. Therefore $r$ factors over

$$
K_{2}(\mathbb{C}(Y)) .
$$

From (1.10,a) we have a commutative diagram

where the first line is the exact sequence obtained from the GerstenQuillen resolution (we just need that this is a complex, which is easier to prove ) and the second line is the exact sequence of the triangle (1.9). Therefore we obtain

Theorem 1.12 (Bloch, [4]) $r$ induces a map

$$
r: K_{2}(Y) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(Y, \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right)=\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(Y, O_{Y}^{\star} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y}^{1}\right)
$$

(called the regulator map).

Remarks 1.13.
The description due to $S$. Bloch of the regulator map may serve as an introduction to the constructions of §2. There we will define complexes $F^{*}(p)$ such that on an open Riemann surface

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=Y-S \quad H^{1}(X, F \cdot(1))=H^{0}(Y, 0 \underset{X}{\star}(\pi S)) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that

$$
\mathbb{H}^{2}(X, F \cdot(2))=\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(X, \stackrel{0}{X}_{\star}^{X} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}^{1}\right)=\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(Y, 0{\underset{Y}{X}}_{(* S)} \rightarrow \Omega_{Y}^{1}(\log S)\right) .
$$

It will be even possible to realize $F^{\circ}(p)$ as a complex of sheaves in the Zariski-topology, whereas for any algebraic manifold and $q \geq 1$

$$
\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{Zar}}^{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathbb{Z}(\mathrm{p})_{D}\right)=\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{Zar}}^{\mathrm{q}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \Omega \cdot{ }_{\mathrm{X}}^{\circ \mathrm{p}}\right)
$$

The reason why this construction is not necessary in the case of a curve is just that the target. group of the regulator map is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}^{2}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~F}^{\cdot}(2)\right) \text { and that } 2>\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} Y \text {. } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## §2 The Deligne-Beilinson complex

In this section we want to generalize the definition of the Deligne cohomology in several respects. In particular we want to explain A. Beilinson's "theory with. logarithmic growth along the boundary" which - using GAGA - can be viewed as an algebraic version of the Deligne cohomology (see [3]).

For the applications to higher regulators described in this volume, A. Beilinson uses cohomology theories for real algebraic manifolds. The difference between the complex algebraic and the real algebraic theory only comes in when one calculates examples or when one tries to determine the image of the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{b}$-cohomology in the Hodge filtration of the De Rham cohomology. Hence, as long as it is not stated otherwise, the definitions and results hold in either of the following situations:
2.1. I. $X$ is an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$ considered with the classical topology and $O_{X}$ denotes the sheaf of holomorphic functions.
$\mathbb{H}^{\cdot}$ is the hypercohomology viewed as a functor from the derived category (of complexes) of $z$-sheaves on $X$ to the derived category of abelian groups and - for a complex $F^{\cdot}$ of sheaves $-\mathbb{H}^{q}(X, F)$ is the $\mathrm{V}^{\circ}$-th cohomology of the complex $\mathbb{H}^{*}\left(X, F^{\cdot}\right)$, as usual calculated by Cech-cohomology or using injective resolutions.
II) $X$ is an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{R}$. Then a sheaf (or a complex of sheaves) $F$ on $X$ is defined to be a pair ( $F, \sigma$ ) consisting of a sheaf (or a complex) $F$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$ and an involution $\sigma$ compatible with the complex conjugation $F_{\infty}$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$, i.e.: $\sigma: F \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{\infty}{ }_{\infty} F$. Of course, all morphisms and quasi-isomorphisms of complexes are supposed to be compatible with the involution chosen, < $<\boldsymbol{z}$ 玉 $/ 2$ operates on $\mathbb{H}^{q}\left(X(\mathbb{C}), F^{\cdot}\right)$ and on the complex $\mathbb{H}^{*}\left(X(\mathbb{C}), F^{*}\right)$ (in the derived category). If $H^{\circ}(\langle\sigma\rangle$, ) denotes the group cohomology functor on the derived category of abelian groups with $\sigma$-action, we define $\mathbb{H}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}^{\cdot}\right)=H^{\cdot}\left(\langle\sigma\rangle, \mathbb{H}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{X}(\mathbb{C}), F^{\cdot}\right)\right)$ and $\mathbb{H}^{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}^{\cdot}\right)$ as the $\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{th}$ cohomology of this complex. In down to earth terms $\mathbb{H}^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}^{\circ}\right)$ is the abutment of a spectral sequence $H^{P}\left(\langle\sigma\rangle \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(X(\mathbb{C}), F^{\cdot}\right)\right)$ and, if ${ }^{\prime} F$ is a complex of sheaves over $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(X, F^{\bullet}\right)$ are the invariants $\mathbb{H}^{q}\left(X(\mathbb{L}), F^{*}\right)^{\sigma}$.

## Examples:

On the constant sheaf $\mathbb{\mathbb { L }}$ on $\mathrm{X}(\mathbb{\mathbb { L }})$, there are two possible involutions: $\mathrm{F}_{\infty}: \mathbb{\mathbb { C }} \longrightarrow \mathrm{F}_{\infty} \star^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{\mathbb { C }}$ acting on $\mathbb{C}$ as identity and $\sigma: \mathbb{\mathbb { C }} \longrightarrow \mathrm{F}_{\infty}{ }^{\mathbb{C}}$ acting as complex conjugation. We always assume that the sheaf $\mathbb{I}$ on $X$ is the pair $(\mathbb{I}, \sigma)$. Correspondingly, if $S_{X}(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the complex of $\mathbb{R}$-valued $C^{\infty}$ forms the involution chosen on $A \dot{X}(\mathbb{C})=S_{X}(\mathbb{C}){ }^{\infty} \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{C}}$; is the one induced by $\sigma$ on the second factor. Restricting this. to the subcomplex $\Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X}}(\mathbb{C})$ of holomorphic forms we obtain the involution operating on the coefficients of a differential form by conjugation. On the algebraic differential forms this corresponds to the action of Gal $(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})$ induced by base change from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ on the algebraic Kähler differentials. Denoting all those involutions by $\sigma$ we remark that $\sigma$ respects the Hodge decompostion of $H^{k}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$ i.e.: $\sigma\left(H^{k-p, p}\right)=H^{k-p, p}$.
2.2. Let $u: A^{*} \longrightarrow B^{\circ}$ be a morphism of complexes of sheaves on $X$. The cone of $u$ is the complex

$$
\operatorname{Cone}\left(A^{\cdot} \xrightarrow{u} B^{\cdot}\right)=C_{U}^{\cdot}:=A^{\cdot}[1] \oplus B^{\cdot}
$$

with the differentials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{q+1} \oplus B^{q} \longrightarrow A^{q+2} \oplus B^{q+1} \\
& (a, b) \longmapsto(-d(a), u(a)+d(b))
\end{aligned}
$$

The natural inclusion $B^{q} \longrightarrow C_{u}^{q}$ and the projection $(-1)^{q} \cdot p r: C_{u}^{q} \longrightarrow A^{q+1}$ complete the triangle


An arbitrary triangle in the derived category is distinguished, if it is the image of one of those just constructed. If one applies a derived functor to a distinguished triangle one obtains a distinguished triangle, For example if

is distinguished, then

$\mathbb{H}^{*}\left(C^{*}\right)$
(where $\mathbb{H}^{*}$ denotes the hypercohomology functor in the derived category)
is distinguished and - regarding the cohomology of the complexes $\mathbb{H}^{*}\left(A^{*}\right), \mathbb{H}^{*}\left(B^{*}\right)$ and $\mathbb{H}^{*}\left(C^{*}\right)$ - one obtains the long exact sequence

$$
\ldots \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(A^{\bullet}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(B^{\bullet}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(C^{\bullet}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q+1}\left(A^{\bullet}\right) \longrightarrow \ldots
$$

(see [6] or [14] for a nice introduction).

Lemma 2.3. Let $u_{1}: A_{i} \longrightarrow B^{\circ}$ and $u_{2}^{\prime}: A_{2} \longrightarrow B^{\circ}$ be two morphisms of complexes and $C^{\cdot}=$ Cone $\left(A_{i} \oplus A_{2} \xrightarrow{u_{1}-u_{2}} E^{\cdot}\right)[-1]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{\cdot} & =\operatorname{Cone}\left(A_{i} \xrightarrow{u_{2}} \text { Cone }\left(A_{2} \xrightarrow{-u_{2}} B^{\cdot}\right)\right)[-1] \\
& =\operatorname{Cone}\left(A_{2} \xrightarrow{-u_{2}} \text { Cone }\left(A_{i} \xrightarrow{u_{1}} B^{\cdot}\right)\right)[-1] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. All three complexes are equal to $A_{i} \oplus A_{i} \oplus B \cdot[-1]$ with the differential

$$
\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, b\right) \longmapsto\left(-d\left(a_{1}\right),-d\left(a_{2}\right), \dot{u}_{1}\left(a_{1}\right)-u_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)+d(b)\right)
$$

Corollary 2.4. Using the notations from 2.3. we have three long exact sequences:
a) $\quad \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(C^{*}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(A_{2}^{\dot{ }}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(B^{*}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q+1}\left(C^{\circ}\right) \longrightarrow$
b) $\quad \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(C^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(\right.$ Cone $\left.\left(A_{2} \xrightarrow{-u_{2}} B^{*}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q+1}\left(C^{*}\right) \rightarrow$
c) $\quad \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(C^{\cdot}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(A_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(\operatorname{Cone}\left(A_{1} \xrightarrow{u_{1}} B^{\dot{i}}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^{q+1}\left(C^{*}\right) \rightarrow$.
2.5 Let $X$ be a $n$-dimensional algebraic manifold (over $\mathbb{C}$ over $\mathbb{R}$ ). A "good compactification" of $X$ is a proper algebraic manifold $\bar{X}$ with an embedding $j: X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that $D=\bar{X}-X$ is a normal crossing divisor (i.e.: locally in the analytic topology $D$ has smooth components intersecting transversally).

Let $\Omega \dot{\bar{X}}(\log D)$ be the De Rham complex of meromorphic forms on $\bar{X}$, holomorphic on $X$ and with at most logarithmic poles along $D$. We have a filtration of $\Omega \dot{\bar{X}}(\log D)$ ty subcomplexes

$$
F_{D}^{P}=\left(0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}^{P}(\log D) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X^{+1}}^{P}(\log D) \longrightarrow \quad \ldots \frac{n}{X}(\log D)\right) .
$$

The properties of logarithmic forms needed are (see [7]):
a) Since $j$ is affine $\operatorname{Rj}_{\star} \Omega_{X}{ }_{X}=j_{\star} \Omega_{X}^{\circ}$. There are quasi-isomorphisms:

$$
R j_{\star} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow R j_{*} \Omega \dot{X}=j_{*} \Omega \dot{X} \longleftarrow \Omega \frac{\dot{X}}{X}(\log D)
$$

and hence

$$
H^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbb{C})=\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{q}}(\overline{\mathrm{X}}, \Omega \dot{\bar{X}}(\log \mathrm{D}))
$$

b) The natural maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\tau} p: \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(\bar{X}, F_{D}^{p+1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(\bar{X}, F_{D}^{P}\right) \text { and } \\
& \tau: \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(\bar{X}, F_{D}^{P}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{q}(\bar{X}, \Omega \dot{\bar{X}}(\log \quad D)) \text { are injective. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$H^{q}(X, \mathbb{C})$ carries a mixed Hodge structure, and the Hodge filtration $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}}}{ }^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbb{C})$ is given by $\operatorname{Im}(\tau)$. Moreover the cokernel $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{\mathrm{Q}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbb{C})$ of $\tau$ is the same as $\mathbb{H}^{q}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{\leq p}{X}(\log D)\right)$ where $\Omega \frac{\leq p}{X}(\log D)$ denotes the complex

$$
0 \rightarrow 0_{\bar{X}} \rightarrow \Omega \frac{1}{X}(\log D) \longrightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \Omega \frac{p}{X}^{-1}(\log D) \rightarrow 0
$$

The cokernel of $\tau_{p}$ is $H^{q-p}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{p}{x}(\log D)\right)$.
c) By GAGA, $\mathbb{H}^{q}\left(\bar{X}, F_{D}^{p}\right)$ can be calculated using the corresponding complex of algebraic differential forms in the Zariski topology.
d) $\mathbb{H}^{q}\left(\bar{X}, F_{D}^{p}\right)$ is independent of the good compactification chosen.

Definition 2.6. Let $A$ be a subring of $\mathbb{R}$ and $A(p)=(2 i \pi)^{p} \cdot A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. The Deligne-Beilinson complex ( $D-\bar{B}-$ complex) of ( $\bar{X}, X$ ) is $A(p)_{D}=A(p)_{D, \bar{x}}=$ Cone $\left(R j_{*} A(p) \oplus F_{D}^{P} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon-1} R_{j_{*}} \Omega_{X}\right)[-1]$ where $\varepsilon$ and $l$ are the natural maps and where $\mathrm{R}_{j_{*} \Omega_{X}^{\circ}}$ is represented in such a way that both maps exist (for example by the direct image of an injective resolution of $\Omega_{\mathrm{X}}$ ).

If $f: Y \longrightarrow X$ is a morphism of algebraic manifolds we can choose good compactifications $\bar{Y}$ and $\bar{X}$ such that $f$ extends to $\overline{\mathrm{I}}: \overline{\mathrm{Y}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}$. Thereby we obtain a morphism $\overline{\mathrm{F}}^{*}: A(\mathrm{p})_{D, \bar{X}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{~F}}_{\star} A(\mathrm{p})_{D, \bar{Y}} \cdot$
2.7. Other descriptions: By (2.3) we may write as well:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(p)_{D}=\text { Cone }\left(F_{D}^{p} \longrightarrow R j_{*}\left(\text { Cone }\left(A(p) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}^{\dot{x}}\right)\right)\right)[-1] \text { or } \\
& A(p)_{D}=\text { Cone }\left(R j_{*} A(p) \longrightarrow \text { Cone }\left(F_{D}^{p} \longrightarrow R j_{\star} \Omega_{X}^{*}\right)\right)[-1] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the second description one sees immediately that $\mathbb{Z}(p)_{D \mid X}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the complex $\mathbb{Z}(p)_{D}$, an defined in (1.1). A quasi-isomorphism $\alpha: \mathbb{Z}(p)_{D, a n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p)_{D \mid X}$ is given by

for $\alpha(\omega)=(d \omega, \omega)$. The proof follows easily since $d_{p-1}(\varphi)=(0, d \varphi)$ $d_{p}(n, \psi)=(-d n ;-n+d \psi)$.

Lemma 2.8. $H^{q}(\bar{X}, A(p) \quad$ is independent of the good compactification chosen.

Proof. $H^{\cdot}\left(\bar{X}, A(p)_{D}\right)$ is one edge of a distinguished triangle whose other two edges,

$$
\mathbb{H}^{\bullet}\left(\bar{X}, R j_{*} A(p)\right) \oplus \mathbb{H}^{\cdot}\left(\bar{X}, F_{D}^{P}\right) \quad \text { and } \mathbb{H}^{\bullet}\left(\bar{X}, R j_{*} \Omega_{X}^{\circ}\right),
$$

remain quasi-isomorphic under $\tau^{*}$ for a morphism $\tau: \bar{X}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \bar{X}$ between good compactifications of $X$.

Since each manifold over $\mathbb{C}$ allows a good compactification we can define:

Definition 2.9. Let $X$ be an algebraic manifolc (over $\mathbb{T}$ or $\mathbb{F}$ ). Then the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology (or $D-\bar{b}$ cohomology) is defined as

$$
H_{D}^{q}(X, A(p))=\mathbb{H}^{q}\left(\bar{X}, A(p)_{D}\right) .
$$

Keeping in mind that Cone $\left(F_{D}^{P} \longrightarrow R j_{*} \Omega_{X} \dot{x}\right.$ ) is quasi-isomorphic to $\Omega \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{X}}(\log D)$ and that cone $\left(R j_{\star} A(p) \rightarrow R j_{*} \Omega_{X}^{\dot{X}}\right)=R j_{*} \mathbb{C} / A(p)$ we can rewrite (2.4) as:

Corollary 2.10. There are long exact sequences
a) $\longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{q}(X, A(p)) \longrightarrow H^{q}(X, A(p)) \oplus F^{p_{H}^{q}}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^{q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow$

$$
\rightarrow H_{D}^{q+1}(X, A(p)) \longrightarrow \ldots
$$

b) $\longrightarrow H_{D}^{q}(X, A(p)) \longrightarrow H^{q}(X, A(p)) \longrightarrow H^{q}(X, \mathbb{C}) / F^{p} \longrightarrow H_{D}^{q+1}(X, A(p)) \longrightarrow$
c) $\longrightarrow H_{D}^{q}(X, A(p)) \longrightarrow F_{H^{q}}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longmapsto H^{q}(X, \mathbb{C} / A(p)) \longrightarrow H_{D}^{q+1}(X, A(p)) \longrightarrow$

## Proposition 2.12.

i) $H_{D}^{q}(X, A(p))=0$ for $q \leq 0$ and $p \geq 1$
ii) $H_{D}^{1}(X, A(1))=\left\{£ \in H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, j_{*} O_{X} / A(1)\right) ; d f \in H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{1}{X}(\log D)\right)\right\}$
iii) Let $O(X)_{a}^{\star}$ lg denote the group of algebraic invertible functions on $X$. Then there is a natural map

$$
\rho: O(X)_{a l g}^{*} \longrightarrow H_{D}^{1}(X, A(1))
$$

For $A=\mathbb{Z}$ the map $\rho$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $H^{q}(X, \mathbb{C} / A(p))=0$ for $q<0$ and $F^{p} H^{0}(X, \mathbb{C})=0$ for $p \geq 1$ i) follows from ( $2 \cdot 10, c$ ).
ii) We have a morphism of complexes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\sim}{A(1)}:=\operatorname{Cone}\left(F_{D}^{1} \rightarrow j_{*} \operatorname{Cone}\left(A(1) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\dot{X}}^{\dot{*}}\right)\right)[-1] \\
& A(1)_{D}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(F_{D}^{1} \rightarrow R j_{*} \operatorname{Cone}\left(A(1) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}\right)\right)[-1]
\end{aligned}
$$

By $(2.4, c)$ we obtain

and - using the five - Lemma we find $\eta$ to be an isomorphism. $\widetilde{A(1)}$ is quasi isomorphic to

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \Omega \frac{1}{X}(\log D) \oplus j_{*} 0_{X} / A(1) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \Omega \frac{2}{X}(\log D) \oplus j_{*} \Omega_{X}^{1} \longrightarrow \ldots \\
(\omega, f) \longmapsto(-d \omega,-\omega+d f)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $H_{D}^{1}(X, A(1))$ is given by $H^{0}($ ker $\Delta)$.
iii) The inclusion $\mathbf{Z}(1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{A}(1)$ induces $H_{D}^{1}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Z}(1)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{1}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}(1))$ and we just have to consider $\mathbb{Z}=A$.
Since

and since $\varphi \in H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, j_{\star} 0 \stackrel{\star}{X}\right)$ is meromorphic along $D$ if and only if $d \log \varphi \in H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{1}{\mathrm{X}}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)$, we obtain from ii) that

$$
H_{D}^{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}(1))=\left\{\varphi \in H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, j_{*} O_{X}^{*}\right) ; \varphi \text { meromorphic along } D\right\}
$$

By GAGA, the meromorphic functions $\lim _{\vec{\nu}}^{\operatorname{iim}} H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, O_{X}(\nu \cdot D)\right)$ are the same as the algebraic functions.
2.13. Remark. As in (1.1) one defines.

$$
H_{D, a n}^{q}(X, A(p))=H^{q}\left(X, A(p) \longrightarrow 0_{X} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{p-1}\right)
$$

which - by (2.7) - is the same as $H^{q}\left(X, A(p) \|_{X}\right)$. One has the natural map

$$
\mathrm{H}_{D}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{p})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\tilde{D}, \mathrm{an}}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{p}))
$$

This map is - of course - an isomorphism if $X$ is compact, but also if $p>\operatorname{dim} X$, since in this case

$$
A(p)_{D}=\text { Cone }\left(R j_{\star} A(p) \rightarrow R j_{\star} \Omega_{X}\right)[-1]=R j *\left(A(p)_{D \mid X}\right)
$$

However, for example for $q=p=1$ and $A=\mathbb{Z}$, we have just seen that

$$
O(X)_{a l g}^{\star}=H_{D}^{1}(X, x(1)) \underset{\neq}{\leftrightarrows} H_{D, a n}^{1}(X, z(1))=H^{0}\left(X, O_{X}^{*}\right) .
$$

### 2.14. The "real" $D-\bar{b}-$ cohomology

Let $S_{X}$ be the complex of $\mathbb{R}$-valued $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ forms over $X(\mathbb{C})$ and $A_{X}$ be the complex of $\mathbb{C}$-valued $C^{\infty}$ forms. Since $\mathbb{C}=\mathbb{R}(p) \oplus \mathbb{R}(p-1)$ for all $p$, one has maps

$$
\pi_{p-1}: \Omega_{X} \dot{A_{X}}=_{\dot{X}_{X}^{*}}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}(p) \oplus \mathbb{R}(p-1)) \longrightarrow S_{X}^{\cdot}(p-1):=S_{X}^{\dot{0}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(p-1)
$$

In the derived category those are the same as the projections.
$\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}(p-1)$. Therefore we have quasi-isomorphisms

$$
\text { Cone }\left(\mathbb{R}(p) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X} \dot{X}\right) \longrightarrow S_{X}(p-1)
$$

We denote the induced maps $F_{D}^{p} \longrightarrow j_{*} \Omega_{X} \longrightarrow j_{*} S_{X}(p-1)$ also by ${ }^{\pi}{ }_{p-1}$. Since $R j_{*} S_{X}^{*}(p-1)=j_{\star} S_{X}^{\dot{X}}(p-1)$, (2.7) implies:

Lemma 2.15. Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}(p)}{ }_{D}:=$ Cone $\left(F_{D}^{p-\pi p-1} j_{\star} S_{X}(p-1)\right)[-1]$, and let $\rho_{p}: \mathbb{R}(p)_{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}(p)_{D}$ be the morphism given by $\rho_{p} \mid \dot{F},{ }_{p}=i d$, $\rho_{p \mid \mathbb{R}}(p)=0$ and $\rho_{p \mid R j_{*} \Omega_{X}}=\pi_{p-1}$. Then $\rho_{p}$ is aquasi-isomorphism.

Corollary 2. 16.
a) For $q \leq p H_{D}^{q}(X, \mathbb{R}(p))$ is the $q-t h$ cohomology of the complex $H^{0}\left(x, \widetilde{\mathbb{R}(p)}{ }_{D}\right)$.
b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{D}^{1}(X, \mathbb{R}(1)) & =\left\{\eta \in H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, j_{\star} S_{X}^{0}\right) ; \text { dn lies in } \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{1}{X}(\log D)\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{0}} H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, j_{*} S_{X}^{1}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\eta \in H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, j_{\star} S_{X}^{0}\right) ; \quad d_{z} \eta \in H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{1}{X}(\log D)\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

More precisely, if $d \eta=\pi_{0}(\varphi)$ then $d_{z} \eta=\frac{1}{2} \varphi$.
c) If $\operatorname{dim} X=1$ then

$$
H_{D}^{2}(X, \mathbb{R}(2))=H^{1}(X, \mathbb{R}(1))
$$

Proof. $\overparen{\mathbb{R}(\mathrm{p})_{D}}$ is the complex
$0 \rightarrow j_{*} S_{X}^{0}(p-1) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow j_{*} S_{X}^{p-2}(p-1) \rightarrow \Omega \frac{p}{X}(\log D) \oplus j_{*} S_{X}^{p-1}(p-1) \rightarrow \rho_{X}^{p+1}(\log D) \oplus j_{*} s_{X}^{p}(p-1) \rightarrow \ldots$ where $j_{*} S_{X}^{0}(p-1)$ is in degree one. Since all the $j_{*} S_{X}^{i}(p-1)$ are acyclic one obtains a).
For $p=q=1$ a) implies that $H_{D}^{1}(X, \mathbb{R}(1))$ is the kernel of

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{1}{X}(\log D)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, j_{\star} S^{0}\right) & \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{2}{X}(\log D)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, j_{\star} S_{X}^{1}\right) \\
(\varphi, \eta) & \longmapsto(d \varphi,-\pi \varphi+d \eta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $d n=\pi_{0} \varphi$ then $d_{z} n=\frac{1}{2} \varphi$ and $d \varphi=0$, and we obtain the two descriptions of $H_{D}^{1}(x, \mathbb{R}(1))$ given in $\left.b\right)$.
c) is obvious since on a curve $F_{D}^{2}=0$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}(2)_{D}}=j_{*} S_{X}(1)[-1]$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathrm{Rj}_{\star} \mathbb{R}(1)[-1]$.

### 2.17. Remarks.

i) The isomorphism between the two explicit descriptions of $H_{D}^{1}(X ; \mathbb{R}(1))$ obtained in $(2.12, i i)$ and $(2.16, b)$ is given by $f \longmapsto \pi_{0}(f)=\eta$ and $d f=2 d_{z}\left(\pi_{0}(f)\right)$.
ii) Using the language of currents ([11], Chap. 3.1), one can rewrite $(2.16, b)$ in a slightly different way. For example, if $X$ is a curve and $s=\bar{x}-x$, we write $a_{x}=\operatorname{Res}_{x}\left(2 d_{z} \eta\right)=\operatorname{Res}_{x}(d \varphi)$ for $x \in S$. Since $d_{z} \eta \in H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{1}{x}(\log D)\right), \eta$ has logarithmic poles and both, $n$ and $d_{z} \eta$, are integrable. If $T_{d_{z}}{ }^{\eta}$ denotes the current associated to $d_{z}{ }^{n}$ the
generalized Cauchy formula implies (loc. cit.)

$$
d-T_{z} d_{z}=2 i \pi \sum_{x \in S(\mathbb{C})} \operatorname{Res}_{x}\left(d_{z} \eta\right) \delta_{x}=i \pi \sum a_{x} \delta_{x}
$$

where $\delta_{x}$ is the Dirac distribution. On the other hand, this equality implies that $d_{z} \eta$ has at most logarithmic poles. Hence


## §3 Products

The aim of this section is to extend the definition of the product given in (1.2) on $\mathbb{Z}(p){ }_{D}$, an to the full $D-\bar{b}$ complex of a pair ( $\overline{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{X}$ ), where, as in § $2, \overline{\mathrm{X}}$ is a good compactification of X (See [3]).
3.1. Example: We define

$$
U_{0}: A(p) D \mid X^{\otimes A(q)_{D \mid X} \longrightarrow A(p+q)_{D \mid X},}
$$

by $\quad x U_{0} y=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}x \cdot y & \text { if } & x \in A(p), y \in A(q) \\ x \cdot y & \text { if } & x \in A(p), y \in \Omega X \\ x \wedge y & \text { if } \quad x \in F^{p}, y \in F q \\ x \wedge y & \text { if } x \in \Omega_{X} ; \quad y \in F^{q} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
where $x$ (and $y$ ) are supposed to be a local section of $A(p), F^{p}$ or $\Omega_{X}^{\circ}$. For $A=\mathbb{Z}$ this product is compatible under the quasi-isomorphism $\alpha$ described in (2.7) with the product defined in (1.1), i.e.

Definition 3.2. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we define a product

$$
U_{\alpha}: A(D)_{D} \otimes A(q)_{D} \longrightarrow A(p+q)_{D} \text { by the following table: }
$$

|  | $a_{q}$ | $f_{q}$ | $\omega_{q}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{p}$ | $a_{p} \cdot a_{q}$ | 0 | $(1-\alpha) a_{p} \cdot \omega_{q}$ |
| $f_{p}$ | 0 | $f_{p} \wedge f_{q}$ | $\left(-1, \operatorname{deg} f_{p} \cdot \alpha \cdot f_{p} \wedge \omega_{q}\right.$ |
| $\omega_{p}$ | $\alpha \cdot \omega_{p} \cdot a_{q}$ | $(1-\alpha) \omega_{p} \wedge f_{q}$ | 0 |

representing elements of

|  | $A(q)$ | $F_{D}^{q}$ | $\Omega_{X}^{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A(p)$ | $A(p+q)$ | 0 | $\Omega_{X}^{\cdot}$ |
| $F_{D}^{p}$ | 0 | $F_{D}^{p+q}$ | $\Omega_{X}$ |
| $\Omega_{X}$ | $\Omega_{X}$ | $\Omega_{X}$ | $0:$ |

concentrated in one degree.
3.3. To make sense out of this definition of a product one should interprete this table in the following way:
On $X$ we have the products

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(p) \otimes A(q) \longrightarrow A(p+q) \\
& A(p) \otimes \Omega_{X} \cdot \longrightarrow \Omega_{X} \\
& \Omega_{X} \cdot A(q) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X} \dot{X} \\
& j * F_{D}^{p} \otimes \Omega_{X} \cdot \longrightarrow \Omega_{X} \\
& \Omega_{X} \dot{X} \otimes F_{D}^{q} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

as described above. They fit together to define a product

$$
U_{\alpha}: \text { Cone }\left(A(p) \oplus j * F_{D}^{p} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{\dot{p}}\right)[-1] \otimes \operatorname{Cone}\left(A(q) \oplus j * F_{D}^{q} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X} \dot{X}\right)[-1] \rightarrow
$$

$$
\longrightarrow \text { Cone }\left(A(p+q) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X}^{\dot{p}}\right)[-1]
$$

In fact, if $\delta$ denotes the differential, one has to verify for elements $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ of degree $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ that

$$
\delta\left(\gamma u_{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\delta \gamma u_{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}+(-1)^{\mu} \psi_{\alpha} \delta \gamma^{\prime} .
$$

The left hand side is

whereas the right hand side is


Here the entries live in

|  | $A(q)$ | $\left(j * F_{D}^{q}\right) \mu^{\prime}$ | $\Omega_{X}^{\mu^{\prime}-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A(p)$ | 0 | 0 | $\Omega_{X}^{\mu^{\prime}}$ |
| $\left(j * F_{D}^{p}\right)^{\mu}$ | 0 |  | $\Omega_{X}^{\mu+\mu^{\prime}}$ |
| $\Omega_{X}^{\mu-1}$ | $\Omega_{X}^{\mu}$ | $\Omega_{X}^{\mu+\mu^{\prime}}$ | 0 |

Taking injective resolutions of $F_{D}, A(), \Omega_{X}$ we obtain a product
$A(p)_{D} \otimes A(q)_{D} \longrightarrow R j \star \operatorname{Cone}\left(A(p) \oplus j * F_{D}^{p} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X} \dot{X}[-1] \otimes R j * \operatorname{Cone}\left(A(q) \oplus j * F_{D}^{q} \longrightarrow\right.\right.$
$\longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{\dot{\circ}} \mathrm{H}^{[-1]} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Rj}_{\star} \operatorname{Cone}\left(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}) \longrightarrow \dot{\Omega}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X}^{[-1]}\right.$.

We complete this product to a product
$A(p)_{D} \otimes A(q)_{D} \longrightarrow A(p+q)_{D}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(F_{D}^{p+q} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Rj}{ }_{*} \operatorname{Cone}\left(A(p+q) \longrightarrow \Omega_{x}^{\cdot}\right)\right)[-1]$ by taking the usual wedge product $F_{D}^{p} \otimes F_{D}^{q} \longrightarrow F_{D}^{p+q}$. This is possible since - by the following computations - the wedge product commutes with the differentials in $A()_{D}$. One has

$$
\delta\left(f_{p} \wedge f_{q}\right)=\left[-d f_{p} \wedge f_{q}-(-1)^{\mu} \cdot f_{p} \wedge d f_{q},-f_{\underline{p}} \wedge f_{q}\right]
$$

in $F_{D}^{p+q} \oplus R j_{\star} \Omega_{X}$ whereas $\delta f_{p}=\left[-d f_{p},-f_{p}\right] \in F_{D}^{p} \oplus R j_{*} \Omega_{X}^{*}$,
$\delta f_{p} U_{\alpha} f_{q}=\left[-d f_{p} \wedge f_{q},-(1-\alpha) f_{p} \wedge f_{q}\right]$ and similarly

$$
(-1)^{\mu} f_{p} U_{\alpha} \delta f_{q}=\left[-(-1)^{\mu} f_{p} \wedge d f_{q},-(-1)^{2 \mu} f_{\alpha} f_{q} f_{q}\right]
$$

3.4 Remark. The quite complicated description of the product is necessary, since at this stage, we tried to avoid the more formal language of sheaves on pairs of topological spaces. Nevertheless, the reader should compare the defintion with the definition of the tensorproduct of those pairs, given in (4.5-4.8). From now on, we just work with the multiplication table (3.2) to verify the properties of the product, and we leave it to the reader to distinguish whether a given
expression lives on $X$ or on $\bar{X}$.

## Proposition 3.5 .

a) $U_{1 / 2}$ is anti-commutative. More generally, if $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are concentrated in degree $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ then

$$
\gamma U_{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}=(-1)^{\mu \cdot \mu^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime} U_{(1-\alpha)^{\gamma}} .
$$

b) $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$ are associative.
c) The element $\left(a_{0}=1, f_{0}=1\right)$ in $A(0)_{D}$ is a left-identify for $U_{0}$ and a right-identity for $U_{1}$.
d) For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ the products $U_{\alpha}$ and $U_{\beta}$ are homotopic.

## Proof:

We choose elements $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ living in $A(p)_{D}$ and $A(q)_{D}$ in degree $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$.
a) is obvious from the definition. For example if $\gamma=f_{p} \in\left(j * F_{D}^{p}\right)^{\mu}$ and $\gamma^{\prime}=\omega_{q} \in \Omega_{X}^{\mu}{ }^{\prime \prime-1}$, then
$\gamma U_{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}=(-1)^{\mu} \alpha f_{\underline{p}} \wedge \omega_{q}=(-1)^{\mu+\mu\left(\mu^{\prime}-1\right)} \alpha \omega_{q} \wedge f_{p}=(-1)^{\mu \cdot \mu^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime} U_{(1-\alpha)^{\gamma}}$.
b) Let $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ be an element of $A(r)_{D}$. Using a) it is enough to consider $U_{0}$. If $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ and $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ represent all the three elements of $A()$ or all the three elements of $\left.F_{D}^{( }\right)$the associativity is obvious. If two of the elements belong to $\Omega_{X}$, then $\left(\gamma U_{0} \gamma^{\prime}\right) U_{0} \gamma^{\prime \prime}=\gamma U_{0}\left(\gamma^{\prime} U_{0} \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$. The same holds if two of the elements are belonging to $A()$ and one to $\left.F_{D}^{( }\right)$or one to $A()$ and two to $F_{D}^{()}$. Since $\alpha=0$ both $\left.U_{0}!_{\Omega} \dot{X}^{\otimes A( }\right)$ and $\left.\left.U_{0}\right|_{F_{D}}\right)^{\prime} \Omega_{X_{0}}$ are zero. Hence the only cases left, where one $X_{o f}$ the two sides $D_{c a n} X_{b e}$ nonzero, are $\left(a_{p}, a_{q}, \omega_{r}\right),\left(a_{p}, \omega_{q}, f_{r}\right)$ and $\left(\omega_{p}, f_{q}, f_{r}\right)$ and both, $\left(\gamma U_{0} \gamma^{\prime}\right) U_{C} \gamma^{\prime \prime}$ and $\gamma U_{0}\left(\gamma^{\prime} U_{0} \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are $a_{p} \cdot a_{q} \cdot \omega_{r}, \quad a_{p} \cdot \omega_{q} \wedge f_{r}$ and $\omega_{p} \wedge f_{q} \wedge f_{r}$ respectively.
c) Again it is enough to consider $U_{0}$ and $(1,1) U_{0} \gamma^{\prime}$ is given by

|  | $a_{q}$ | $\stackrel{f}{q}$ | $\omega_{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \in A(0)$ | $1 \cdot a_{q}$ | 0 | $1 \cdot \omega_{q}$ |
| $1 \in\left(F_{D}^{0}\right)^{0}$ | 0 | $1 \wedge f_{q}=f_{q}$ | 0 |

d) The homotopy between $U_{\alpha}$ and $u_{\beta}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h:\left(A(p)_{D} \otimes A(q)_{D}\right) \longrightarrow\left(A(p+q)_{D}\right)^{k-1} \\
& h\left(\gamma \otimes \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(-1)^{\mu}(\alpha-\beta) \gamma \wedge \gamma^{\prime} \quad \text { if } \quad \gamma \in \Omega_{X}^{\mu-1} \text { and } \gamma^{\prime} \in \Omega_{X}^{\mu^{\prime}-1} \\
0 \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where - as usual - $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are elements of degree $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$, each in $\left.A(), F_{D}^{( }\right)$or $\Omega \dot{X}$. We have to show that
$\gamma U_{\alpha} \gamma-\gamma^{\prime} u_{\beta} \gamma^{\prime}=(h \delta+\delta h)\left(\gamma \otimes \gamma^{\prime}\right)=h\left(\delta \gamma \otimes \gamma^{\prime}\right)+(-1)^{\mu_{h}}\left(\gamma \otimes \delta \gamma^{\prime}\right)+\delta\left(h\left(\gamma \otimes \gamma^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

The left hand side is given by

| 0 | 0 | $(\beta-\alpha) a_{p} \cdot \omega_{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $(-1)^{\mu}(\alpha-\beta) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} \wedge \omega_{\mathrm{q}}$ |
| $(\alpha-\beta) \omega_{\mathrm{p}} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}$ | $(\beta-\alpha) \omega_{\mathrm{p}} \wedge \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ | 0 |

in the notation of (3.2).

For the right hand side we remark first that $h\left(\delta \gamma \gamma^{\prime}\right)=0$ if $\gamma^{\prime} \neq \omega_{q}, h\left(\gamma \otimes \delta \gamma^{\prime}\right)=0$ if $\gamma \neq \omega_{p}$ and $\delta\left(h\left(\gamma \otimes \gamma^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$ if $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \neq\left(\omega_{p}, \omega_{q}\right)$. We have
$(h \delta+\delta h)\left(\omega_{\mathrm{P}} \omega_{\mathrm{q}}\right)=(-1)^{\mu+1}(\alpha-\beta) d \omega_{\mathrm{F}} \wedge \omega_{\mathrm{q}}+(-1)^{2 \mu}(\alpha-\beta) \omega_{\mathrm{p}} \wedge d \omega_{\mathrm{q}}+\delta\left((-1)^{\mu}(\alpha-\beta) \omega_{\mathrm{p}} \wedge \omega_{\mathrm{G}}\right)=0$,
$(h \delta+\delta h)\left(a_{p} \delta \omega_{q}\right)=h\left(\delta a_{F} \otimes \omega_{q}\right)=(-1)(\alpha-\beta) a_{p} \wedge \omega_{q} \quad$,
$(h \delta+\delta h)\left(f_{p} \otimes \omega_{q}\right)=(-1)^{\mu+1}(\alpha-\beta)\left(-f_{p}\right) \wedge \omega_{q}$,
$(h \delta+\delta h)\left(\omega_{p} \otimes a_{p}\right)=(-1)^{\mu_{h}}\left(\omega_{p} \otimes \delta a_{q}\right)=(-1)^{2 \mu}(\alpha-\beta) \omega_{p} \cdot a_{p}$ and

$$
(h \delta+\delta h)\left(\omega_{p} \otimes f_{q}\right)=(-1)^{2 \mu}(\alpha-\beta) \omega_{p} \wedge\left(-f_{q}\right) .
$$

3.6. Let $\varepsilon_{A}: A(p)_{D} \longrightarrow R \cdot j * A(p)$ and


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{\Omega}: A(p)_{D} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{A}} R j * A(p) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} R_{i j} j_{\star} \dot{X} \text { and } \\
& \varepsilon_{\Omega}^{\prime}: A(p)_{D} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{F}} F_{D}^{D} \xrightarrow{i} R j_{\star} \Omega_{X} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition of $A(p) \quad(2.6) \quad \varepsilon_{\Omega}-\varepsilon_{\Omega}^{\prime}$ is the composition of two maps in a distinguished triangle and hence $\varepsilon_{\Omega}-\varepsilon_{\Omega}^{\prime}$ is homotopic to the zero map. We define products
$U_{A}: A(p)_{D} \otimes R j_{*} A(q) \xrightarrow{E_{A} \otimes i d} R j_{\star} A(p) \otimes R j_{*} A(q) \longrightarrow R j_{\star} A(p+q)$
$U_{F}: A(p) D_{D} \otimes F_{D}^{q} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{F}{ }^{\bullet i d}} F_{D}^{p} \otimes F_{D}^{q} \xrightarrow{\dot{~}} F_{D}^{p+q}$
and
$U_{\Omega}: A(p)_{D} \otimes R j_{*} \Omega \dot{X} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\Omega} i^{i d}} R j_{*}{ }^{\circ} \dot{X} \otimes R j_{*} \Omega \dot{X} \xrightarrow{\wedge} R j_{*}{ }^{\circ} \dot{X} \cdot$

Since $U_{\Omega}$ can - up to homotopy - also be defined as

the morphism

$$
R j_{\star} A(q) \oplus F^{q} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon-1} R_{\star} \Omega_{X}
$$

is compatible with $U_{A}, U_{F}$ and, up to homotopy, with $U_{\Omega}$. Moreover $\varepsilon_{A}\left(\gamma U_{0} \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\gamma U_{A} \varepsilon_{A} \gamma^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon_{F}\left(\gamma U_{0} \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\gamma U_{F} \varepsilon_{F} \gamma^{\prime}$ as one easily verifies using the multiplication table (3.2).

For the natural map $\eta: R j_{*} \Omega_{X} \rightarrow A(q)_{D}$ one has as well $\gamma t_{0} \eta\left(\omega_{q}\right)=\eta\left(\gamma u_{\Omega} \omega_{q}\right)$. Altogether we obtain:

Proposition 3.7. In the triangle
the operations oF $A(p)_{y}$, defined by $U_{A_{2}}, J_{F}, U_{\Omega}$ and $U_{0}$ are compatible with the morphisms.
3.8. Since $A(p)_{D}$ has a flat resolution (of finite length) over $\mathbb{Z}([6] V, 6)$ one has a map $A(p)_{D} \otimes^{L} A(q)_{D} \longrightarrow A(p)_{D} \otimes A(q)_{D}$. Therefore one has for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ a product

$$
U_{\alpha}: A(p)_{D} \otimes^{I} A(q)_{D} \longrightarrow A(p+q)_{D}
$$

and - by the usual constructions from homological algebra a product on the hypercohomology. By $(3.5, d)$ this product is independent of $\alpha$. (3.5) and (3.7) give immediately:

Theorem 3.9. $u_{\alpha}$ induces a product $u$, making $p_{i}^{\oplus} q_{q}^{H}(X, A(p))$ into a bigraded ring with unit. For $\gamma \in H_{D}^{q}(X, A(p))$ and $\gamma^{\prime} \in H_{D}^{\prime}\left(X, A\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)$ we have $\gamma \cup \gamma^{\prime}=(-1)^{q q^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime} \cup \gamma$. Moreover one has an operation of
 via $\varepsilon_{A}, \varepsilon_{F}$ and $\varepsilon_{\Omega}$ from the standard products. The exact sequence

$$
\rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{q}(X, A(p)) \rightarrow H^{q}(X, A(p)) \oplus F^{p_{H}^{q}}(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{q+1}(X, A(p))
$$

is compatible with the operations.
3.10. The product on the "real" D - 5 cohomology

We return to the notations introduced in (2.14). On
$\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}(p)_{D}=\operatorname{Cone}\left(F_{D}^{p} \xrightarrow{-\pi_{p-1}} j_{*} S_{X}(p-1)\right)[-1] \quad$ one defines a product

$$
\tilde{u}: \widetilde{\left.\mathbb{R}(p)_{0} \oplus \widetilde{\mathbb{R}(q)_{D}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{R}(p+q)_{D}},{ }_{D}\right)}
$$

given by

|  | $f_{q}$ | $s_{q}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $f_{p}$ | $f_{p} \wedge f_{q}$ | $(-1) \operatorname{deg} f_{p} \pi_{p} f_{p} \wedge s_{q}$ |
| $s_{p}$ | $s_{p} \wedge \pi_{q} f_{q}$ | 0 |

## Lemma 3.11.

a) $\tilde{U}$ is a morphism of complexes.
b) $\rho_{p+q} \circ U_{0}$ is homotopic to $\tilde{u} \circ\left(\rho_{p} \otimes \rho_{q}\right)$ (where $\rho_{p}: \mathbb{R}(p)_{D} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{R}(p)_{D}}$ is the quasi-isomorphism given in (2.15)).

Proof: a) For $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ of degree $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$

$$
\delta\left(\gamma \otimes Y^{\prime}\right) \in\left(F_{D}^{p+q}\right)^{\mu+\mu^{\prime}+1} \oplus j^{\prime} * S_{X}^{\mu+\mu^{\prime}}(p+q-1)
$$

is

whereas
$\delta \gamma U Y^{\prime}$ is

| $\left[-d f_{p} \wedge f_{q},-\pi_{p-1} f_{p} \wedge \pi_{q^{f}} q^{]}\right.$ | $\left[0,-(-1)^{\mu+1} \pi_{p} d f_{p} \wedge s_{q}\right]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\left[0, d s_{p} \wedge \pi_{q^{f}} q\right]$ | 0 |

and $(-1)^{\mu}{ }^{\mu} \cup \delta \gamma^{\prime}$ is

| $\left[-(-1)^{\mu} f_{f} \wedge d f_{q},-(-1)^{\left.2 \mu_{\pi_{p}} f_{p} \wedge \pi_{q-1} f_{q}\right]}\right.$ | $\left[0,(-1)^{2 \mu} \pi_{p} f_{p} \wedge d s_{q}\right]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\left[0,(-1)^{\left.\mu_{s_{p}} \wedge\left(-\pi_{q} d f_{q}\right)\right]}\right.$ | 0 |

Since
$\pi_{p+q-1}\left(f_{p} \wedge f_{q}\right)=\pi_{p+q-1}\left(\left(\pi_{p-1} f_{p}+\pi_{p} f_{p}\right) \wedge\left(\pi_{q} f_{q}+\pi_{q-1} f_{q}\right)\right)=\pi_{p-1} f_{p} \wedge \pi_{q} f_{q}+\pi_{p} f_{p} \wedge \pi_{q-1} f_{q}$ we obtain a).
b) The homotopy is

$$
h\left(\gamma \otimes \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(-1)^{\mu} \pi_{p} \gamma \wedge \pi_{q-1} \gamma^{\prime} \text { if } \gamma \in \Omega_{X}^{\mu-1} \text { and } \gamma^{\prime} \in \Omega_{X}^{\mu}{ }^{\prime}-1 \\
0 \quad \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have to verify that
$\rho_{p} \gamma \tilde{u} \rho_{q} \gamma^{\prime}-\rho_{p+q}\left(\gamma u_{0} \gamma^{\prime}\right)=h\left(\delta \gamma \otimes \gamma^{\prime}\right)+(-1)^{\mu} h\left(\gamma \otimes \delta \gamma^{\prime}\right)+\delta\left(h\left(\gamma \otimes \gamma^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
The left hand side is (see (3.2))

| 0 | 0 | $-a_{p} \cdot \pi_{q-1} \omega_{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $(-1)^{\mu^{\prime} \pi_{p} f_{p} \wedge \pi_{q-1} \omega_{q}}$ |
| 0 | $\pi_{p-1} \omega_{p} \wedge \pi_{q} f_{q}-$ <br> $-\pi_{p+q-1}\left(\omega_{p} \wedge f_{q}\right)$ | 0 |

As in the proof of (3.5d) all the terms occuring on the right hand side are evidently zero except
$(h \delta+\delta h)\left(\omega_{p} \omega_{q}\right)=(-1)^{\mu+1}\left(\pi_{p} \partial_{p} \omega_{p} \pi_{q-1} \omega_{q}\right)+(-1)^{2 \mu}\left(\pi_{p} \omega_{p} \wedge \pi_{q-1} \partial_{q}\right)+(-1)^{\mu}\left(d\left(\pi_{p} \omega_{p} \wedge \pi q_{q}-1 \omega_{q}\right)\right)=0$,
$(h \delta+\delta h)\left(a_{p} \otimes \omega_{\mathrm{q}}\right)=h\left(\delta a_{p} \otimes \omega_{\mathrm{q}}\right)=(-1) \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}} \cdot \pi_{\mathrm{q}-1} \omega_{\mathrm{q}}$
$(h \delta+\delta h)\left(f_{p} \otimes \omega_{q}\right)=(-1)^{\mu+1} \pi_{p}\left(-f_{p}\right) \wedge \pi_{q-1} \omega_{q}$

$(h \delta+\delta h)\left(\omega_{p} \otimes f_{q}\right)=(-1)^{2 \mu_{1}} \mu_{p} \omega_{p} \wedge \pi_{q-1}\left(-f_{q}\right)=-\pi_{p+q-1}\left(\omega_{p} \wedge f_{q}\right)+\pi_{p-1} \omega_{p} \wedge \pi_{q} f_{q}$.
Example 3.12.
Let $[\varphi, \pi]$ and $\left[\varphi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right]$ represent two elements of $\mathbb{H}^{1}\left(\bar{X}, \widetilde{R}(1){ }_{D}\right)$. Then $[\varphi, \eta] \widetilde{U}\left[\varphi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right]=\left[\varphi \wedge \varphi^{\prime}, \eta \wedge \pi_{1} \varphi^{\prime}-\pi_{1} \varphi \wedge \eta^{\prime}\right]$.

$$
H_{D}^{1}(X, \mathbb{R}(1))=\left\{\eta \in H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, j_{\star} s_{X}^{0}\right) ; d_{z} \eta \in H^{0}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{1}{X}(\log D)\right)\right\}
$$

where $\varphi$ corresponds to $2 d_{z} \eta$. Hence the product of two elements $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\left[4 \cdot d_{z} \eta \wedge d_{z} \eta^{\prime}, 2 \cdot\left(\eta \cdot \pi_{1} d_{z} \eta^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime} \cdot \pi_{1} d_{z} \eta\right)\right]
$$

in $\left.H^{2}(\bar{X}, \overparen{\mathbb{R}}(2))_{D}\right)=H_{D}^{2}(X, \mathbb{R}(2))$.
In particular, if $\operatorname{dim} X=1$ and therefore $F_{D}^{2}=0, \eta \cup \eta$, is represented by $2 \cdot \eta^{\cdot} \pi_{1} d_{z} \eta^{\prime}-2 \cdot \eta^{\prime} \cdot \pi_{1} d_{z} \eta$ in $H^{0}\left(X, S_{X}^{1}(1)\right) / d H^{0}\left(X, S_{X}^{0}(1)\right)=H^{1}(X, \mathbb{R}(1))$.

## § 4 Relative cohomology

In [3] the $D-\bar{b}$ - cohomology is defined using relative cohomology. This approach, giving $\mathbb{H}^{*}\left(\bar{X}, A(p)_{D}\right)$ as a derived functor on the category of sheaves on pairs of topological spaces, applied to ( $F_{D}^{p}, R j_{*} \operatorname{Cone}\left(A(p) \rightarrow \Omega_{X}^{\circ}\right)$ ) will be needed in § 5 to define $a \operatorname{D}-\bar{b}$ - complex on $X$ in the Zariski-topology. One also defines a tensor product on this derived category, to obtain the product for the D - $\overline{-}$ - complexes in the Zariski-topology. In fact, using this tensor product one can simplify the definition (3.2) and clarify the constructions described in (3.3).
4.1. Let $j: T \longrightarrow \bar{T}$ be a continuous morphism of topological spaces. A sheaf on $(\bar{T}, T)$ is a triple $F_{\bar{T}, T}:=(\bar{F}, F, \varphi)$ where $\bar{F}$ is a sheaf on $\bar{T}, F$ is a sheaf on $T$ and $\varphi: \bar{F} \longrightarrow j_{*} F$ a morphism of sheaves. Correspondingly a morphism $\alpha: F_{\bar{T}, T} \longrightarrow F_{\bar{T}, T}$ is a pair of morphisms $\bar{\alpha}: \bar{F} \longrightarrow \bar{F}^{\prime}, \alpha: F \longrightarrow F^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha \varphi=\varphi^{\prime} \bar{\alpha}$.
4.2. Let $S h(\bar{T}, T)$ denote the category of sheaves on ( $\bar{T}, T$ ). It is easy to see that $S h(\bar{T}, T)$ has enough injectives. For example: if $\bar{l}$ and $I$ are injective sheaves on $\bar{T}$ and $T$ respectively, the triple $J_{\bar{T}, T}=\left(J=\bar{T} \oplus j_{*} I, J=I, p r_{2}\right)$ is injective in $\operatorname{Sh}(\bar{T}, T)$. If $F_{\bar{T}, T}$ is any sheaf we can find $\bar{l}, I$ such that $\tau: \bar{F} \subset \bar{I}$ and $\rho: F \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then $(\tau \oplus \rho \circ \varphi, \rho)$ defines an inclusion $F_{\bar{T}, T} \longrightarrow J_{\bar{T}, T}$. Therefore each sheaf has a resolution by those "special injective sheaves".
4.3. Consider the functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma^{0}: \operatorname{Sh}(\bar{T}, T) \longrightarrow A b \quad \text { defined as } \\
& \Gamma^{0}\left(F_{\bar{T}, T}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(H^{0}(\bar{T}, \bar{F}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H^{0}(T, F)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously $\Gamma^{0}$ is left exact. If $D^{+}(\bar{T}, T)$ is the derived category of complexes of sheaves in $S h(\bar{T}, T)$, bounded below, we define

$$
R \Gamma^{\cdot}: \mathrm{D}^{+}(\overline{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{~T}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{+}(\mathrm{Ab})
$$

to be the derived functor of $\Gamma^{0}$.

## Proposition 4.4.

a) If $F \dot{\bar{T}, T}=\left(\bar{F}^{\cdot}, F^{*}, \varphi^{*}\right)$. is a complex of sheaves on ( $\bar{T}, T$ ) then

$$
R \Gamma^{*}\left(F_{\bar{T}, T}\right)=\operatorname{Cone}\left(\mathbb{H}^{*}\left(\bar{T}, F^{\cdot}\right) \xrightarrow{R \varphi_{\star}^{*}} \mathbb{H}^{\cdot}\left(T, F^{*}\right)\right)[-1]
$$

b) If $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ is a good compactification of the algebraic manifold X and
 $(\bar{X}, X)$ then $H_{D}^{q}(X, A(p))$ is the $q-t h$ cohomology of

$$
R \Gamma^{\cdot}\left(A(p){ }_{D, \bar{x}, x}\right)
$$

Proof. It is enough to verify a) for the special injective sheaf $J_{\bar{T}, T}$ defined in (3.2). On the right hand side of the equality we have the cone of

$$
\mathbb{H}^{\cdot}(\overline{\mathrm{T}}, \overline{\mathrm{~J}})=\mathrm{H}^{0}(\overline{\mathrm{~T}}, \overline{\mathrm{I}}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{I}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{2}} \mathbb{H}^{\cdot}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~J})=\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{~T}, I),
$$

which is quasi-isomorphic to $H^{0}(\bar{T}, \bar{I})$; on the other hand $R \Gamma \cdot\left(J_{\bar{T}, T}\right)=R \Gamma^{0}\left(J_{\bar{T}, T}\right)=$ $H^{0}(\bar{T}, \bar{I})$ as well.
b) By (2.7) $H_{D}^{q}(X, A(p))$ is the $q$-th cohomology of
$H^{\cdot}\left(\bar{X}\right.$, Cone $\left.\left(F_{D}^{p} \xrightarrow{-1} \mathrm{Rj}_{*} \operatorname{Cone}\left(\mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{p}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{\cdot}\right)\right)[-1]\right)=$ Cone $\left(\mathbb{H} \cdot\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{D}}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{*}\left(\mathrm{X}\right.\right.$, cone $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{p}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{\cdot}\right)\right)\right][-1]$.
4.5. For two complexes of sheaves

$$
\underset{\bar{T}, T}{F^{*}}=\left(\bar{F}^{\cdot}, F^{*}, \varphi^{\bullet}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad G \dot{\bar{T}, T}=\left(\bar{G}^{\bullet}, G^{\bullet}, \psi^{\bullet}\right)
$$

we define the tensor product $F_{\dot{\bar{T}}, T} \otimes G \dot{\bar{T}}, \mathrm{~T}$ to be the complex $\left(\vec{E}^{\bullet}, E^{\bullet}, \eta^{\bullet}\right)$ with
$\bar{E}^{\cdot}=\bar{F}^{\cdot} \otimes \bar{G}^{\cdot}$ and (for $\rho^{\cdot}=\varphi^{\bullet} \otimes$ id - id $\otimes \psi^{*}$ )
$E^{\cdot}=\operatorname{cone}\left(\left(j^{*} \bar{F}^{\cdot} \otimes G^{\cdot}\right) \oplus\left(F^{\cdot} \otimes j * \bar{G}^{\cdot}\right) \xrightarrow{\rho^{\cdot}} F^{\cdot} \otimes G^{\cdot}\right)[-1]$.

The connecting morphism $n^{\circ}$ is - on the level of sheaves - defined by
$\eta^{i}=(-1)^{i} \cdot\left[\left(i d \otimes \psi^{i}\right) \oplus\left(\varphi^{i} \otimes i d\right) \oplus 0\right]: E^{i} \rightarrow j_{*}\left(j * \vec{F}^{i} \otimes G^{i}\right) \oplus j_{*}\left(F^{j} \otimes j^{*} \bar{G}^{i}\right) \oplus\left(j_{*}{ }^{i-1} \otimes j_{*} G^{i-1}\right)$. Since . $\rho^{i}$. $\eta^{i}$ is the zero map $\eta^{i}$ commutes with the differentials and $\eta^{\circ}$ is a morphism of complexes.
4.6. If $C(\bar{T}, T)$ denotes the category of complexes of sheaves on ( $\bar{T}, T$ ) and $K(\bar{T}, T)$ the corresponding homotopy category we have thereby constructed a bifunctor . $C(\bar{T}, T) \times C(\bar{T}, T) \longrightarrow C(\bar{T}, T)$. Since the product respects homotopies it also defines the bifunctor $\otimes: K(\bar{T}, T) \times K(\bar{T}, T) \longrightarrow K(\bar{T}, T)$. For a fixed complex $F_{\bar{T}, T}$, $F_{\bar{T}, T} \otimes$ respects triangles and if both $\bar{F}$ and $F$ are flat $F_{\bar{T}, T^{\otimes}}$ maps exact complexes to exact ones. Hence $\bar{F}_{\overline{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{T}} \otimes$ respects quasi-isomorphisms in this case. Sh( $\bar{T}, T$ ) has enough flat sheaves (for example, if $\bar{p}$ on $\bar{T}$ and $P$ on $T$ are flat and $\bar{P} \xrightarrow{P} \bar{F}$ and $P \xrightarrow{\rho} F$ both surjective, $(\bar{P}, j * \bar{P} \oplus P, i d \oplus 0)$ maps surjectively to $(\bar{F}, F, \varphi)$ via $(\bar{\rho}, \varphi \circ \bar{\rho}+\rho))$.

The standard machinery of derived categories and derived functors shows the existence of a left derived functor

$$
\otimes^{L}: D^{-}(\overline{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{~T}) \times \mathrm{D}^{-}(\overline{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{~T}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{-}(\overline{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{~T}) .
$$

(see [14], for example).
From now on we assume that $T$ and $\bar{T}$ have finite cohomological dimension. Then both $R \Gamma^{\cdot}$ and $\Phi^{L}$ are defined on the derived category of bounded complexes.
4.7. If $H_{\dot{\Psi}, T}^{\dot{( }, T}=\left(\bar{H}^{\cdot}, H^{\cdot} ; \gamma^{\bullet}\right)$ is a third complex of sheaves, a pairing $U: F_{\bar{T}}^{\dot{T}}, T \otimes G \overline{\bar{T}}, T \longrightarrow H_{\overline{\bar{T}}, T} \quad$ (and - using flat resolutions as in 3.8 $\mathrm{F}_{\dot{\bar{T}}, \mathrm{~T}}{ }^{\dot{L}} \mathrm{G}_{\dot{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{T}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\dot{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{T}}$ ) is given by a pair

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{\bar{X}}: \bar{F}^{\cdot} \otimes \bar{G}^{\cdot} \longrightarrow \bar{H}^{\cdot} \text { and } \\
& U_{X}: \operatorname{Cone}\left(\left(j * \bar{F} \cdot \otimes G^{\cdot}\right) \oplus\left(F^{\cdot} \otimes j^{*} \bar{G}^{\cdot}\right) \xrightarrow{\rho^{\cdot}} F^{\cdot} \otimes G^{\cdot}\right)[-1] \longrightarrow H^{\cdot}
\end{aligned}
$$

compatible with $\eta^{*}$ and $\gamma^{*}$. Taking the special injective resolutions described in (4.2) one obtains from $u$ a pairing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U: R \Gamma^{*}(F \dot{\bar{T}}, T) \otimes R \Gamma^{*}(G \dot{\bar{T}}, T) \longrightarrow R \Gamma^{\bullet}(H \dot{\bar{T}}, T) \text {, and }
\end{aligned}
$$

4.8. If - as in $(4.4, b)$ - we consider on $(\bar{X}, X)$ the complexes
$F_{\bar{X}, X}=A(p)_{D, \bar{X}, X}, G \dot{\bar{X}}_{X} X=A(q)_{D, \bar{X}, X}$ and $H_{\dot{\bar{X}}, X}=A(p+q)_{D, \bar{X}, X}$,
the multiplication table (3.2) defines pairings

$$
A(p)_{D, \bar{x}, x} \otimes^{L} A(q) D, \bar{x}, x \longrightarrow A(p+q)_{D, \bar{x}, x}
$$

In fact, the first calculation made in (3.3) shows that $U_{\alpha, x}$ is well defined and the second part of (3.3) shows at the same time that $u_{\alpha}, \bar{x}$ is a morphism of complexes and that $u_{\alpha}=\left(u_{\alpha}, \bar{x}, u_{\alpha, x}\right)$ is compatible with the morphisms $\eta^{*}$ from (4.5) and $\gamma^{*}=-1$. Hence (3.2) defines a product

$$
R \Gamma^{\cdot}\left(A(p)_{D, \bar{x}, X^{\prime}} \otimes^{L} R \Gamma^{\cdot}\left(A(q)_{D}, \bar{x}, x\right) \longrightarrow R \Gamma^{\cdot}\left(A(p+q)_{D, \bar{x}, X}\right)\right.
$$

which - on the cohomology of the complexes - coincides with (3.9) and is independent of. $\alpha$.

## \$5 Extensions and complements

5.1. The definitions and properties of the D - 5 - cohomology given in $\S 2$ and $\S 3$ carry over to the case of smooth simplicial schemes X . of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ :
As in [8] we compactify $X$. by a smooth simplicial scheme $\bar{X}$. where each $X_{i}$ is proper and where $D .=\bar{X}$. $-X$. has normal crossings. We define $H_{D}^{q}(X ., A(p))$ as the hypercohomology (in the sense of cohomology
 As in (2.8) one obtains the independence of $H_{D}^{q}(X ., A(p))$ of the compactification.
5.2. If 2 . is an arbitrary simplicial scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$, we can find a proper hypercovering $p: x . \longrightarrow 2$. with $X$. smooth (see [8]). A hypercovering satisfies (by definition) cohomological descent. Hence, if $\tau: X .{ }^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$. is a morphism of hypercoverings and if we choose the compactifications such that $\tau$ extends to $\bar{\tau}: \overline{\mathrm{X}} . \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{X}}$. the induced maps $\tau^{*}$ are isomorphisms on the cohomology with values in $A(p), \mathbb{C}$ and (see [8]) $\bar{\tau}^{*}$ is an isomorphism on the F-filtration on the De Rham cohomology. By $(2.10, a) \quad \tau^{*}: H_{D}^{q}(X ., A(p)) \longrightarrow H_{D}^{q}(X, A(p))$ is an isomorphism as well. Therefore we define:

Definition 5.3. The $D-\bar{b}$ - cohomology of $Z$. is

$$
H_{D}^{q}(Z, A(p)):=H_{D}^{q}(X, A(p)) .
$$

Remarks 5.4. If $f: Y . \longrightarrow Z$. is a morphism of simplicial schemes one has - choosing the smooth hypercoverings and compactifications in the right way - the obvious map

$$
\mathrm{f}^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{\tilde{D}}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{p})) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\tilde{D}}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{Y},, \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{p}))
$$

The exact sequences (2.10) exist as well for simplicial schemes, the definition and the properties of the product remain unchanged. As in (2.1,II) the D - $\overline{-}$ - cohomology exists as well for simplicial schemes over $\mathbb{R}$.

### 5.5. Sheafification of the Zariski topology

Theorem. Let X be a smooth algebraic manifold.
a) There exists a complex $A(p)$ D, zar of sheaves in the Zariski topology on $X$ such that for all open subvarieties $X^{\prime} \subset X$ one has

$$
H_{\tilde{D}}^{q}\left(X^{\prime}, A(p)\right)=H^{q}\left(X_{\text {Zar }}^{\prime}, A(p)_{D, Z a r}\right)
$$

b) We have natural morphisms

$$
c_{0}: A \longrightarrow A(0)_{D, Z a r} \text { and } c_{1}: 0_{X}^{\star}, \operatorname{Zar}^{[-1]} \longrightarrow A(1)_{D, Z a r} .
$$

$\left(c_{1}\right.$ induces on $X^{\prime} \subset X$ the morphism. $p$ described in (2. 12, iii) ).
c) In the derived category of sheaves in the Zariski-topology we have a product

$$
A(p)_{D, \operatorname{zar}} \otimes^{L} A(q)_{D, \operatorname{zar}} \longrightarrow A(p+q)_{D, \operatorname{Zar}}
$$

inducing on $x^{\prime} \subset x$ the product defined in (3.9).

Proof. Let $V$ be the category of complex algebraic manifolds (or real ones - in case $2.1, I I)$. We denote by $\pi$ the category of pairs $(\bar{V}, V)$, where $\bar{V}$ is a proper complex (or real) algebraic manifold and $\mathrm{V} \subset \overline{\mathrm{V}}$ the complement of a normal crossing divisor.

We define a sheaf $F_{* \prime *}$ on $\Pi$ to be a collection of sheaves $F_{\bar{V}, V}=\left(\bar{F}_{\overline{\mathrm{V}}}, \dot{F}_{\mathrm{V}}, \varphi_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ on ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{V}}, \mathrm{V}\right)$ (as in 4.1), together with a morphism $\mathrm{f}^{*}:\left(F_{\bar{V}}, F_{V}, \varphi_{V}\right) \longrightarrow\left(f_{\star} \bar{F}_{\bar{U}}, f_{\star} \bar{F}_{U}, f_{\star} \varphi_{U}\right)$. for each morphism
$\mathrm{f}:(\overline{\mathrm{U}}, \mathrm{U}) \longrightarrow(\overline{\mathrm{V}}, \mathrm{V})$, satisfying (f.g)*=g*of* and id* = id. One denotes by $S h(\pi)$ the category of sheaves on $M$. As in (4.2) one finds that $S h(\pi)$ has enough injectives. If $\sigma: \Pi \longrightarrow V$ is the "forget-functor" $\sigma((\bar{V}, V))=V$, one defines for $F_{\star^{\prime} * *} \in \operatorname{Sh}(\Pi)$ the direct image $\sigma_{*} F_{\star \prime *}$ to be the Zariski sheaf on $V$ associated to the presheaf

$$
x \longmapsto{\underset{(\bar{x} ; x) \in \sigma^{-1}}{ }(\mathrm{x})}_{\Gamma^{0}\left(F_{\bar{x}, x}\right),}
$$

where $\Gamma^{0}$ is the functor described in (4.3), and where the limit is taken over the direct family $\sigma^{-1}(X)$ of all good compactifications of $X$. $\sigma_{*}: \operatorname{Sh}(\Pi) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sh}(V)$ is left exact. Let $R \sigma_{*}: D^{+}(\Pi) \longrightarrow D^{+}(V)$ be the derived functor. Since

$$
H^{0}\left(X, \sigma_{\star}\left(F_{\bar{X}, X}\right)\right)=\frac{\lim }{\sigma^{-1}(X)} \Gamma^{0}\left(F_{\bar{X}, X}\right)
$$

one has for a complex $F_{*^{\prime} *}$ of sheaves on $\Pi$ :

$$
\mathbb{H}^{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{R} \sigma_{\star} F_{\star \prime \star}\right)=\underset{\sigma^{-1}(\mathrm{X})}{\lim } R \Gamma^{\mathrm{q}}\left(F_{\bar{X}, \mathrm{X}}\right)
$$

Let $A(p)_{D, *, *}$ be the complex of sheaves introduced in $(4.4, b)$. Then we define $A(p)_{D, z a r}:=R \sigma_{\star} A(p)_{D, *, *}$. From (4.4) and (2.9) one obtains
$\mathbb{H}^{q}\left(X_{Z a r}, A(p)_{D, Z a r}\right)=\underset{\sigma^{-1}(X)}{\lim } R \Gamma^{q}\left(A(p)_{D, \bar{X}, X}\right)=\underset{\sigma^{-1}(X)}{\lim } \mathbb{H}^{q}\left(X, A(p)_{D}\right)=F_{D}^{q}(X, A(p))$.
b) Since $A(0)_{D}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the constant sheaf $A$
$H_{D}^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, A(0)\right)=A$ for each connected open subvariety $X^{\prime}$ of $X$ and we obtain $c_{0}$. Similarly, by $(2.12, i)$ we can describe $A(p) D_{D}$ zar for $\mathrm{p}>0$ by a complex starting in degree 1 and (2.12,iii) gives on each open subvariety $X^{\prime} \subset X$ the morphism


$$
\left.H^{0}\left(X_{Z a r}^{\prime},\left(A(1)_{D, Z a r}\right)^{2}\right)\right)
$$

c) By (4.8) the products $u_{\alpha}$ from (3.2) define products on the complexes $A()_{D, \bar{X}, X}$ for all $(\bar{X}, X) \in I$. The product

$$
A(p)_{D_{1 * \prime *}} \otimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{q})_{D_{1 * \prime *}} \longrightarrow A(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q})_{D, *, *}
$$

in the derived category gives


## § 6 The cycle map in the De Rham cohomology

In [10] one finds the definition (due to $P$. Deligne) of the class of a cycle in the Deligne cohomology. Before describing this construction in a slightly modified way (§ 7) we recall some of the pronerties of the cycle class in the De Rham cohomology. Especially we will need that those cycle classes behave well with respect to the F-filtration (6.10). Since we do not know any reference we sketch a proof. We thank F. El Zein and J.L. Verdier for useful conversations on those topics.
6.1. Let $Y$ be an algebraic manifold over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\eta \in Y$ be an irreducible subvariety of codimension $p$. We will frequently use some properties of the local cohomology with support in $\eta$ (see for example [14]):
a) If $F^{\prime}$ is a complex of sheaves and $Y^{\prime} \subseteq Y$ an open subvariety one has an exact sequence
$\ldots \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\eta-Y^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(Y, F^{\cdot}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\eta}^{P}\left(Y, F^{\cdot}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\eta \cap Y^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(Y^{\prime},\left.F^{\cdot}\right|_{Y^{\prime}}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\eta-Y^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{P}+1}\left(Y, F^{\cdot}\right) \rightarrow \ldots$
b) If $F$ is a locally free $O_{X}$ sheaf and $j<p$ one has $H_{\eta}^{j}(Y, F)=0$.
c) Assume that $\eta-Y^{\prime} \neq \eta$. Then b) applied to the cycle $\eta-Y^{\prime}$ implies that

$$
H_{n}^{P}\left(Y, F^{\bullet}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\eta \cap Y}^{p}\left(Y^{\prime},\left.F_{Y}\right|^{\prime}\right)
$$

d) Let $F^{\cdot}$ be a complex of locally free $O_{X}$ sheaves with $F^{i}=0$ for $i<p$. Then $H_{\eta}^{j}\left(X, F^{\cdot}\right)=0$ for $j<2 p$ and

$$
\mathbb{H}_{n}^{2 p}\left(X, F^{\cdot}\right) \subset H_{\eta}^{p}\left(X, F^{p}\right)
$$

In fact, one has the spectral sequence associated to the "filtration bete"

$$
E_{1}^{i j}=H_{\eta}^{j}\left(X, F^{i}\right) \Rightarrow H_{\eta}^{i+j}\left(X, F^{i}\right)
$$

By b) $H_{n}^{j}\left(X, F^{i}\right)=0$ for $j<p$ and - of course - for $i<p$. Hence $E \sum_{j}^{i j}=0$ for all $i+j<2 p$. For $i+j=2 p$ one obtains that $E_{\infty}^{p p}=H_{n}^{2 p}(X, F)$ is embedded in $E_{1}^{p D}=H_{\eta}^{p}\left(X, F^{p}\right)$.

The example we have in mind is: If $F^{P}$ denotes the $F$-filtration of $\Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X}}$ (see 2.5) then one has an inclusion

$$
\mathbb{H}_{\eta}^{2 p}\left(x, F^{p}\right) \longleftrightarrow H_{\eta}^{p}\left(x, \Omega{ }_{x}^{p}\right)
$$

6.2. Since $\eta$ is smooth at the general point one can find divisors $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{p}$ on $Y$ and an open affine subvariety $Y$, of $Y$ such that $D_{i}^{\prime}=D_{i} \cap Y^{\prime}$ are non singular divisors intersecting transversally and such that

$$
\eta^{\prime}=\eta \cap Y^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} D_{i}^{\prime} .
$$

$\left\{U_{i}^{\prime}=Y^{\prime}-D_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i=1} \ldots p$ is a covering of $Y^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}$. Let $c\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)$ be the element of ${ }^{1} P^{1}\left(Y^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}, \Omega_{Y^{\prime}}^{p}-\eta^{\prime}\right)$ given by the Cech-cocycle

$$
\frac{d t_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d t_{p}}{t_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot t_{p}} \text { on } U_{1, \ldots, p}^{\prime}=Y^{\prime}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} D_{i}^{\prime}
$$

where $t_{i}$ is the defining equation of $D_{i}^{\prime}$. By $(6.1, a)$ we have a map

$$
H^{P-1}\left(Y^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}, \Omega_{Y^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{P}}\right) \longrightarrow H_{\eta^{p}}^{\mathrm{P}} ;\left(Y^{\prime}, \Omega_{Y^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{P}}\right),
$$

surjective since $Y^{\prime}$ is affine. We denote the image of $c\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)$ by $c_{\Omega}\left(Y^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, by $(6.1, c)$ we have an inclusion

$$
1: H_{\eta}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{P}}\right) \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\eta}^{\mathrm{P}},\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}, \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{P}},\right)
$$

## Theorem 6.3. ([2] and [9])

There exists a cycleclass $c_{\Omega}(\eta)=c_{\Omega}(Y, \eta)$ of $\eta$ on $Y$, lying in $H_{\eta}^{p}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ such that

$$
i\left(c_{\Omega}(Y, \eta)\right)=c_{\Omega}\left(Y^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)
$$

Remark 6.4. a) F. El Zein [9] shows in addition that $c_{\Omega}(\eta)$ can be defined by a cocycle in the closed differential forms $\left(\Omega_{Y}^{p}\right)$ cl. Therefore $c_{\Omega}(\eta)$ is the image of a class $c_{F}(\eta)$ in $\mathbb{H}_{\eta}^{2 p}(Y, F)$, uniquely determined by ( $6.1, \mathrm{~d}$ ).
b) The image of $c_{F}(\eta)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{|n|}^{2 p}\left(Y, \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \cong \mathrm{K}_{|n|}^{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbb{C})$ is denoted by $c_{\mathbb{L}}(\eta)$. Of course, one can also consider the fundamental class of $\eta$ in $H_{i n}^{2 p}(Y, Z)$ or - after multiplication with $(2 i \pi)^{p}-$ in $H_{|n|}^{2 p}(Y, Z(\underline{p}))$. We denote it by $c_{\mathbf{Z}}(\eta)$. The image of $c_{\mathbb{Z}}(\eta)$ is again $c_{\mathbb{C}}(\eta)$. In fact, by the description of (6.2) and (6.3) it is enough to consider the case $p=1$. For divisors the equality of the two classes easily follows from the definition of $c_{z}(\eta)$ (see [7]).

Remark 6.5. Let $D$ be a normal crossing divisor on $Y$, containing $\eta$. Then the image of $c_{\Omega}(\eta)$ in $H_{\eta}^{p}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{P}(\log D)\right)$ is zero.

Proof. Keeping the notations from (6.2) it is enough to show that the image of $C\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)$ in $H^{P^{-1}}\left(Y^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}, \Omega_{Y^{\prime}-\eta^{\prime}}^{p}\left(\log \left(Y^{\prime} \cap^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)$ is zero. We may choose the divisors $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{p}$ such that $D={ }_{i} \stackrel{r}{V}{ }_{1} D_{i}$ for some $r$. Then the cocycle

$$
\frac{d t_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d t_{p}}{t_{1} \cdot \cdots \cdot t_{p}}
$$

in $C^{p-1}\left(\Omega_{Y}^{P},\left(\log \left(Y^{\prime} \cap D\right)\right)\right)$ extends to $U_{Y^{\prime}+1, \ldots, p}^{\prime}=Y^{\prime}-\sum_{i=r+1}^{p} D_{i}^{\prime}$ and $c\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)=0$.
6.6. Let $f: \bar{X} \longrightarrow Y$ be a birational morphism, isomorphic over $X=Y-\eta$, such that $D=f^{-1}(\eta)$ is a normal crossing divisor. One has natural maps
$H_{\eta}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{P}}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{F}^{*}} H_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}, \Omega \frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{X}}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}, \Omega \frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{X}}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)$.
Proposition. The image of $c_{\Omega}(\eta)$ in $H_{D}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}, \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{P}}(\log \mathrm{D})\right)$ is zero.

Proof. One would like to say that $f{ }^{*} C_{\Omega}(\eta)$ is the sum of cycle classes of codimension $p$ cycles and that (6.5) implies (6.6). However to get hold of $f^{*} C_{\Omega}(\eta)$ we have to use the description of cycle classes given by B. Angéniol and M. Lejeune-Jalabert [1]:

Let $M^{\cdot}$ be a perfect complex of $O_{X}$ sheaves on $Y$. The first Atiyah class $\lambda_{M}^{1} \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(M^{*}, \Omega_{Y}^{1} \otimes^{L} M^{*}\right)$ is the obstruction for $M^{\cdot}$ to have a holomorphic connection. One defines the p-th Atiyah class $\lambda_{M}^{D}$. as the p -th exterior power of $\lambda_{M^{\prime}}^{1}$. in $\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}, \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{P}} \otimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{M}^{\cdot}\right)$. If $M^{\cdot}$ is acyclic outside of a subvariety $Z \subseteq Y$ one uses the isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{P}\left(M^{\bullet}, \Omega_{Y}^{p} \otimes^{L} M^{*}\right) \simeq \underset{m}{\operatorname{ljm}} \operatorname{Ext}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(0_{Z_{m}} \otimes^{L} M^{*}, \Omega_{Y}^{D} \otimes^{L} M^{*}\right)
$$

and the trace

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(0_{Z_{m}} \otimes^{\mathrm{L}} M^{\cdot}, \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{p}} \otimes^{\mathrm{L}} M^{\cdot}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(0_{Z_{m}}, \Omega_{Y}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)
$$

 (see [1], § II).

As shown in the proof of II, 2.5.3 (loc. cit.) $c_{\Omega}(n)$ is - up to a constant - the same as the $p-t h$ Newton class $\eta_{O_{n}}^{p}$. By II, 4.2.1
 with pullbacks ([13],V, 3.9.3) and one obtains $f * A_{\nu} V_{\eta}^{p}=D_{L f * O_{\eta}}^{p}$. Therefore (6.6) follows from:

Lemma 6.7. Let $M^{\cdot}$ be a perfect complex of sheaves on $\vec{X}$, exact outside of $D$. Then $\alpha\left({ }_{\nu_{M}}^{P}.\right)=0$ for

$$
\alpha: H_{D}^{p}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{p}{X}\right) \longrightarrow H_{D}^{P}\left(\bar{X}, \Omega \frac{p}{X}(\log D)\right)
$$

Proof. We denote by $\alpha$ as well the morphism

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(M^{\cdot}, M^{\cdot} \otimes \Omega \frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{X}}\right)-\operatorname{Ext}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(M^{\cdot}, M^{\cdot} \otimes \Omega \frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{X}}(\log D)\right)
$$

and we call $\alpha\left(\lambda{ }_{M}^{p}\right.$.) the logarithmic Atiyah class of $M^{\circ}$.

Case I: Assume that $M^{*}$ is quasi-isomorphic to a locally free sheaf on a smooth divisor $D^{\prime}$ ㄷ D. We may write $M^{*}=\left(M^{-1} \subset \varphi M^{0}\right)$ for locally free $O_{X}$ - modules $M^{-1}$ and $M^{0}$. On a suitable Cech cover $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ we have isomorphisms $M^{r}{U_{i}}_{U_{i}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}^{\oplus V}$ and, if $f_{i}$ is an equation for $D^{\prime} \cap U_{i}, \varphi_{i}=\varphi \mid U_{i}$ can be given by a diagonal matrix with 1 and $f_{i}$ in the diagonal. As in [1], II, 1.5 the logarithmic Atiyah class is represented by a Cech-cocycle of morphisms

$$
\delta_{r}^{p}\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{k}\right):\left.M^{r}\right|_{U_{i_{0}}}, \ldots,\left.i_{k} \longrightarrow M^{r+p-k} \otimes \Omega_{X}^{p}(\log D)\right|_{U_{i_{0}}} \ldots, i_{k}
$$

In our situation only $r=0, k=p$ and $r=-1, p \geq k \geq p-1$ may occur. We claim, that $\alpha\left(\lambda_{M}^{p}\right)$ can be represented by a cocycle $\delta_{r}^{p}\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{p}\right)$. Since $\alpha\left(\lambda_{M}^{p}\right.$ ) is obtained from $\alpha\left(\lambda_{M}^{1}\right.$.) by exterior product (II, 1.4 loc.cit), it is enough to verify this for $p=1$. Since $\left.M \cdot\right|_{U_{i}}$ has a logarithmic connection for all i, $\alpha\left(\lambda_{M}^{\dagger} \cdot \|_{U_{i}}\right)$ is zero. This means in particular that $\delta_{-1}^{1}(i)$ is for all $i$ on $U_{i}$ a coboundary in the corresponding complex. Hence we can change the whole cocycle to obtain the representation wanted.
(Explicitly, if we use the notations from II, 1.5 (loc. cit) $\delta_{-1}^{1}\left(i_{0}\right)=d\left(\varphi_{i_{0}} \|_{U_{i O}}\right)=B \cdot d f$ where $B$ is a diagonal matrix having only 1 or 0 in the diagonal. We have a morphism

$$
B \frac{d f}{f}:\left.\left.M^{-1}\right|_{U_{i_{0}}} \longrightarrow M^{-1} \otimes \Omega \frac{1}{X}(\log D)\right|_{U_{i_{0}}}
$$

and $\delta_{-1}^{1}\left(i_{0}\right)=\varphi_{i_{0}} \cdot B \frac{d f}{f}$. If $d^{\prime}$ denotes the differential in the Cech complex we have to change the Cech cocycle $\delta_{r}^{p}(\underline{i})$ by $d^{\prime}\left(B \frac{d f}{f}\right)$ to obtain the representation wanted.)

Since $M^{\cdot}$ is acyclic outside of $D$ we may pass to the limit and obtain $\alpha\left(\lambda_{M}^{P}\right.$ ) as a Cech cocycle in
$\operatorname{Hom}\left(M \cdot i_{U_{i_{0}} \ldots i_{p}}, M \cdot \Omega \frac{p}{X}(\log D) U_{U_{i_{0}} \ldots i_{p}}\right)=\lim _{\underset{m}{i m}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\left.O_{D_{m}} \otimes M \cdot\right|_{U_{i_{0}} \ldots i_{p}}, N \cdot \otimes \operatorname{si} \frac{p}{X}(\log D) i_{U_{i_{0}} \ldots i_{p}}\right.$.
By definition of the trace map in [13], $V, 3.7$, the trace map can be calculated on a Cech-covering. Hence $\alpha\left({ }_{\nu} \nu_{M}^{p}.\right)$ is represented by a collection of elements of

$$
\lim _{\underline{m} m} \operatorname{Hom}\left(O_{D_{m}} i_{U_{i_{0}} \ldots i_{p}},\left.\Omega \frac{p}{X}(\log D)\right|_{U_{i_{0}} \ldots i_{p}}\right) .
$$

Those groups however are zero.

To reduce the general case to case $I$, we need that the logarithmic Newton classes $\alpha\left({ }_{\nu} V_{M}^{p}\right.$.) with support in $D$ are additive for exact sequences of perfect complexes, acyclic outside of D. In fact, the proof in [1], II, 4.3 uses just the additivity of the trace ([13],V, 3.7.7) and carries over to logarithmic Newton classes with support.

Case II: If $D^{\prime} \subseteq D$ is smooth and $M^{\cdot}$ quasi-isomorphic to a $O_{D}$-module, we can take an $O_{D}$, locally free resolution $N$. Since $N$ is bounded
and

$$
\left(0 \rightarrow N^{-r+1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow N^{-s} \rightarrow 0\right) \rightarrow\left(0 \rightarrow N^{-r} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow N^{-s} \rightarrow 0\right) \rightarrow\left(0 \rightarrow N^{-r} \rightarrow 0\right)
$$

is exact, case II follows from case I.

Case III: If $M^{*}$ is quasi-isomorphic to any $O_{\bar{X}}$ - coherent sheaf $F$ with support in $D$, we can filter $F$ by $F_{m}=F \otimes O_{\bar{X}}\left(-\sum_{i=1} m_{i} D_{i}\right)$. For $\underline{m}^{\prime}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{i}+1, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \quad F_{\underline{m}} / F_{m^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is an $0_{D_{i}}^{m}$-sheaf and $\dot{i}^{=}=1$ are in case II.

Case IV: If $M^{\circ}$ is any perfect complex, acyclic outside of $D$, we use the surjection

$$
\left(0 \rightarrow M^{-1}+\ldots \rightarrow M^{-s-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{-s}} M^{-s}+0\right) \rightarrow\left(0+M^{-s} / I m \delta_{-s}+0\right)
$$

with kernel
$\left(0 \rightarrow M^{-r} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow M^{-s-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \delta_{-s} \rightarrow 0\right) \stackrel{\sim}{\sim}\left(0 \rightarrow M^{-r} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow M^{-s-2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}_{-s} \rightarrow 0\right)$
to reduce the proof of (6.7) to case III.
6.8. The definitions of the cycle classes with values in $\Omega^{P}, F^{P}, \mathbb{C}$ and $z$ are - as usual - extended to the group $Z^{P}(Y)$ of codimension p-cycles. For example, for $\eta=\Sigma v_{i} \eta_{i} \in Z^{P}(Y)$ one defines

$$
c_{\Omega}(\eta)=\Sigma v_{i} \cdot \operatorname{mult}\left(n_{i}\right) \cdot c_{\Omega}\left(\left(\eta_{i}\right) r_{r e d}\right)
$$

in $H_{|\eta|}^{P}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{P}\right)$, where $|n|$ is the support of $\eta$. If, keeping the notations from (6.6), $f: \bar{X} \longrightarrow Y$ is a birational morphism, isomorphic over $X=Y-|n|$ and such that $f^{-1}(|n|)=D$ is a normal crossing crossing divisor one obtains as well that $\alpha f^{*}\left(c_{\Omega}(\eta)\right)=0$.

Remark 6.9. One can consider the statement corresponding to (6.6) for $C_{F}$ instead of $C_{\Omega}$ : If $F_{D}$ denotes the $F-f i l t r a t i o n ~ o f ~ \Omega \dot{\bar{X}}(\log D)$ it would be nice to know that $c_{F}(\eta)$ is mapped to zero under

$$
\mathbb{H}_{|n|}^{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{p}}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{p}}\right) .
$$

Without this we still obtain:

Proposition 6.10. If $Y$ is a complete algebraic manifold and if $c_{F}(\eta)$ lies in the kernel of $\mathbb{H}_{|\eta|}^{2 p}\left(Y, F^{p}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{2 p}\left(Y, F^{p}\right)$, then $c_{F}(\eta)$ lies in the image of the composed map

$$
\tau: \mathbb{H}^{2 p-1}\left(\bar{X}, F_{D}^{p}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{2 p-1}\left(X, F^{p}\right) \rightarrow H_{|\eta|}^{2 p}\left(Y, F^{p}\right)
$$

Proof. Under the assumption $c_{\Omega}(n)$ lies in the kernel of $H_{i \cap \mid}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{P}}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ and by $(6.1, \mathrm{a})$ in the image of $H^{\mathrm{p}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$. (6.6) and the commutative diagram
with exact first row implies that $c_{\Omega}(\eta)$ lies in the image of $10 \gamma$. One has a commutative diagram

$\beta^{\prime}$ is injective $(6.2, d)$ and, since $\bar{X}$ is compact, $B$ is surjective (2.5).

## § 7 The cycle map in the Deligne cohomology

7.1. Let $Y$ be a complete algebraic manifold, $\eta$ a codimension $p$ cycle and $X=Y-|\eta|$. We define $H_{|\eta|}^{i}\left(Y, \mathbb{Z}(p)_{D}\right)$ as the hypercohomology group $\mathbb{H}_{|\eta|}^{i}\left(Y, \mathbb{Z}(p)_{D}\right)$ : BY definition of $\mathbb{Z}(p)_{D}$ as a cone (2.6) we have an exact sequence (2.2)
 Since $2 p-1$ is smaller than the real codimension $H_{|n|}^{2 p-1}(Y, \mathbb{C})=0$. Moreover, since $\theta$ is the difference of the two natural maps $\varepsilon$ and $\left.1, \theta \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\eta), c_{F}(\eta)\right)$ is zero (see 6.4). Therefore we may regard
$\left(c_{\mathbf{Z}}(\eta), c_{F}(\eta)\right)$ as an element of $\mathbb{H}_{|\eta|}^{2 p}\left(Y, \mathbb{Z}(p) D_{D}\right)$, and we call it $c_{0}(\eta)$. By the forget morphism

$$
\mathbb{H}_{|n|}^{2 p}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{p})_{D}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{D}^{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{p}))
$$

we obtain the cycle class of $\eta$ without support, called $\psi(\eta)$ in the sequel.

Remark 7.2. If $Y$ is non compact and $\mathbb{Z}(\underline{D})_{D}$ is the $D-\bar{D}$ - complex on a good compactification $\bar{Y}$, the same construction works with ${ }^{H}{ }^{2}\left|\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\eta}\right|\left(\overline{\mathrm{Y}}, \mathrm{F}_{(\overline{\mathrm{Y}}-\mathrm{Y})}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ instead of $\mathbb{H}_{|\eta|}^{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{D}}\right)$. However, since the class $c_{F}(\bar{Y}, \bar{\eta})$ of the closure $\bar{\eta}$ of $\eta$ is aiready defined as an element of $H^{2} \mathrm{p}\left(\bar{Y}, F^{P}\right)$ we can as well compactify first and use at the very end the map $|\bar{n}|$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{V}^{2 \mathrm{p}}(\overline{\mathrm{Y}}, \mathbb{Z}(\mathrm{p})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{D}^{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbb{Z}(\mathrm{p}))
$$

to get classes in the $D-\bar{b}-$ cohomology of $Y$.
7.3. Let $\eta$ be a codimension p-cycle and $\eta^{\prime}$ a codimension q-cycle. If both intersect properly $\eta \cdot \eta^{\prime}$ is a codimension $p+q$ cycle. The product $U: \mathbb{Z}(p)_{D} \Phi^{L} \mathbb{Z}(q)_{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p+q)_{D}$ defined in (1.1) (see also §3) gives
$u: \mathbb{H}_{|\eta|}^{2 p}\left(Y, Z(p)_{D}\right) \otimes \mathbb{H}_{\eta^{\prime} \mid}^{2 q}\left(Y, \mathbb{Z}(q)_{D}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\left|\eta^{2} \cdot \eta^{1}\right|}^{2(p+q)}\left(Y, Z(p+q)_{D}\right)$.

Proposition 7.4. If. $n$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ intersect properly
$c_{D}(\eta) \cup C_{D}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)=C_{D}\left(\eta \cdot \eta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\psi(\eta) \cup \psi\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(\eta^{\cdot} \eta^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. The second equality follows from the first one. By (3.7) the cup product is compatible with the usual products on $H_{\mid}^{2 \cdot}(Y, Z()$.$) and$ $H_{1}^{2 .}\left(Y, F^{\circ}\right)$. Since $C_{D}$ is uniquely determined by $C_{Z}$ and $C_{F}$, the first equality follows from the corresponding ones for $C_{Z}$ and $C_{F}$ (see [9], for example).

## The same argument proves:

Proposition 7.5. If $g: Y^{\prime} \longrightarrow Y$ is a morphism and $\eta$ a codimension p cycle such that $g * \eta$ is of codimension $p$ as well, then $g^{*} c_{V}(\eta)=C_{D}\left(g^{*} \eta\right)$ in $\left.H_{|g * \eta|}^{2 p}\left(Y^{\prime}, \mathbb{Z}(p)\right)_{D}\right)$ and $g^{*}(\psi(\eta))=\psi\left(g^{*} \eta\right)$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{p})\right)$.

Proposition 7.6. Let $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ be two rationally equivalent codimension $p$ cycles on $Y$. Then $\psi\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\psi\left(\eta_{2}\right)$.

Proof. By definition of rational equivalence there is a codimension $p$ cycle $\xi$ on $Y \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $\eta_{k}=\imath_{k}^{*}(\xi)$ for ${ }^{l_{k}}: Y \cong Y \times\left\{X_{k}\right\} \hookrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. If $\tau$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with $\tau\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{2}, L_{1}^{*} \cdot(i d \times \tau) *(\xi)=\eta_{2} . \tau^{*}$ acts on $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ as identity. Hence (id $\times \tau$ )* is the identity on $H^{*}\left(X \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and therefore on $\mathbb{F}^{\cdot}\left(\mathrm{Y} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ as well. By $(2.10, \mathrm{a})(\mathrm{id} \times \tau) *$ is the identity on $H_{D}^{2 p}\left(Y \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{Z}(\mathrm{p})\right)$ and

$$
\psi\left(\eta_{2}\right)=i_{1}^{*} \cdot(i d \times \tau) *(\psi(\xi))=\imath_{1}^{*}(\psi(\xi))=\psi\left(\eta_{1}\right) .
$$

Corollary 7.7. Let $C H^{\cdot}(Y)=p_{p}^{\nrightarrow 0} C H^{p}(Y)$ be the Chowring of $Y$, i.e.: $\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{P}}=Z^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{Y}) /$ rat.eq. and $\mathrm{H}_{\dot{D}}(\mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{p}_{0}^{\oplus} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{p}))$. Then $\psi$ defines a ring-homomorphism

$$
\psi: \mathrm{CH}^{\cdot}(\mathrm{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{Y}) .
$$

Moreover, $\psi$ is compatible with $g^{*}: \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}{ }^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}\right)$ for $g^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \longrightarrow Y$.

Proof. By (7.6) $\psi$ factors over $\mathrm{CH}^{*}(\mathrm{Y})$. Using the moving Lemma it is enough to verify the compatibility of $\psi$ with the product for cycles intersecting properly, and to verify the compatibility of $\psi$ with $g^{*}$ for cycles $\eta$ with $\operatorname{codim}(\eta)=\operatorname{codim}(g * \eta)$. This has been done in (7.4) and (7.5).

### 7.8. Griffith's intermediate Jacobian

Recall that $Y$ is a complete algebraic manifold. By (2.5) the subgroup $F^{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{q}}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbb{C})$ of $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $H^{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ and the quotient group $H^{q}(Y, \mathbb{L}) / F^{P}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^{q}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{<\mathrm{P}}\right)$. Since

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2 \mathrm{p}-1}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbb{C}) \cap \overline{\mathrm{FP}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2 \mathrm{P}-1}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbb{C})}=0
$$

the image of $H^{2 P^{-1}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbb{Z}(\mathrm{p}))$ in $H^{2 \mathrm{P}}{ }^{-1}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{P}}$ is a lattice and

$$
J^{P}(Y)=H^{2 p-1}(Y, \mathbb{C}) / H^{2 p-1}(Y, Z(p))+F^{p_{H}}{ }^{2 p-1}(Y, \mathbb{C})=\mathbb{H}^{2 p-1}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{<p}\right) / H^{2 p-1}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(p))
$$

is a complex torus, called the p-th intermediate Jacobian of $Y$. We denote by $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{Y})$ the Hodge cycles of Y , i.e.

$$
\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{Y})=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbb{Z}(\mathrm{p})) \oplus H^{2 \mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{P}}\right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon-\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{H}^{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathbb{C})\right) .
$$

This coincides with the usual definition, since $F^{p+1} H^{2 p}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \cap F^{p+1} H^{2 p}(Y, \mathbb{C})=0$ and therefore
$\operatorname{Ker}(\varepsilon-i)=\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\mathcal{H}^{p}, \mathrm{P}\right) \cap \mathrm{H}^{2 \mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{p}))$. The exact sequence $(2,10, a)$ implies:
(7.9) $0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}^{2 \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{p})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{Y}) \longrightarrow 0$
is exact.
By (3.7) the cup product respects the exact sequence (7.9). Hence $J^{\cdot}(Y)={ }_{p}^{\oplus}{ }_{2} J^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{Y})$ is an ideal of the commutative ring $H_{D}(Y)={\underset{p}{p} \sum_{0} H_{D}^{2 p}(Y, Z(p)) .}^{Z}$

## Proposition 7.10.

$J^{\circ}(\mathrm{Y})$ is an ideal of square zero.

Proof. An element of $J^{p}(X)$ is represented by an element of $\mathbb{H}^{2 \mathrm{p}-1}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{<\mathrm{p}}\right)$ or, by Hodge theory, of $\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{l}_{\ell<\mathrm{e}_{2 p-1}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \Omega_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\ell}\right)$. The differential d is zero on $H^{k}\left(Y, \Omega_{Y}^{\ell}\right)$ and (7.10) follows from the definition of $U$ given in (1.2).

Let us return to the cycle map

$$
\psi: z^{p}(\mathrm{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{D}^{2 p}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{p}))
$$

By construction $\varepsilon \circ \psi: Z^{p}(Y) \longrightarrow H^{2 p}(Y, Z(p))$ and factors through $\mathfrak{l} \circ \psi: Z^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2 \mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ are the usual cycle maps. Hence, if $H^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{Y})_{\mathrm{h}}$ denotes the subgroup of cycles homologous to zero, $\varepsilon \circ \psi$ and $i \circ \psi$ are zero on $Z^{p}(Y)_{h}$. By (7.9) we obtain a lifting of $\psi i_{Z P}(Y)_{h}$ to $\psi_{0}: Z^{P}(Y){ }_{h} \longrightarrow J^{P}(Y)$. In fact, by (7.7) $\psi_{0}$ factors through

$$
\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{Y})_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{Y})_{\mathrm{h}} / \text { rat.eq. }
$$

Theorem 7.11. $\psi_{0}$ is the Abel Jacobi map.
Before proving (7.11) we have to recall:
7.12. Deligne's description of Griffith's Abel-Jacobi map.

Let $\eta$ be a codimension $p$ cycle on $Y$. One has the exact sequence
$0 \longrightarrow H^{2 p-1}(Y, Z(p)) \xrightarrow{B} H^{2 p-1}(X, Z(p)) \longrightarrow H_{|\eta|}^{2 p}(Y, Z(p)) \longrightarrow H^{2 p}(Y, Z(p)) \rightarrow \ldots$
where $X=Y-|\eta|$. All the cohomology groups carry mixed Hodge structures and the morphisms in the exact sequence respect them.
Since $H_{|n|}^{2 p}(Y, X(p))$ is generated by the cycle classes of the components of $\eta$, and since those cycle classes are by construction (6.4) of type ( $p, p$ ), the cokernel of $\beta$ is of type ( $p, p$ ) and $\beta$ induces isomorphisms

$$
H^{2 p-1}(Y, \mathbb{C}) / F_{H}^{p_{H}^{2 p-1}}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\propto} H^{2 p-1}(X, \mathbb{C}) / F^{p_{H}}{ }^{2 p-1}(X, \mathbb{C})
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{p}(Y) \sim H^{2 p-1}(X, \mathbb{C}) / H^{2 p-1}(Y, Z(p))+F^{p_{H}^{2}}{ }^{2 p-1}(X, \mathbb{C}) \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regarding the exact sequence one finds that for $\eta \in Z^{p}(Y){ }_{h}$ the fundamental class $c_{\mathbb{Z}}(\eta)$ is the image of a class $\widetilde{c}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\eta) \in H^{2 p-1}(X ; \mathbb{Z}(p))$ uniquely determined up to $\operatorname{Im}(\beta)$. We denote by $\widetilde{C_{Z}}(\eta)$ as well the image in $H^{2 p-1}(X, \mathbb{C})$. By (7.13) $\widetilde{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\eta)$ defines an element $\psi_{0}^{\prime}(\eta) \in J^{p}(Y)$.

Definition 7.14. (Deligne)
$\psi_{0}^{\prime}: Z^{P}(Y)_{h} \longrightarrow J^{P}(Y)$ is called the Abel Jacobi map $\cdot$

Proof of 7.11. Consider the commutative diagram of exact sequences:


For $\eta \in Z^{P}(Y)_{h}$ we have $\rho\left(c_{\mathbb{Z}}(\eta), c_{F}(\eta)\right)=0$. Therefore $\left(c_{Z}(\eta), c_{F}(\eta)\right)=\rho^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{c_{Z}}(\eta), \widetilde{c_{F}}(\eta)\right)$ for some
$\left(\widetilde{c_{Z}}(n), \widetilde{c_{F^{\prime}}(n)}\right) \in H^{2 p-1}(x, \mathbb{Z}(p)) \oplus H^{2 p-1}\left(x, F^{p}\right)$.

Since $\theta\left(c_{\mathbb{Z}}(\eta), c_{F}(\eta)\right)=0, \theta^{\prime}(\overbrace{\mathbb{C}}(\eta), \overbrace{F}(\eta))$ lies in $\beta\left(H^{2 p-1}(Y, \mathbb{C})\right)$. By the snake-lemma one finds $\psi_{0}(\eta)$ to be the image of $\beta^{-1} \theta^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{C_{\mathbb{Z}}}(n), \widetilde{C}_{F}(\eta)\right)$ in $J^{P}(Y)$. BY (6.10) $\widetilde{G_{F}}(\eta)$ lies in the image of

$$
\mathbb{H}^{2 \mathrm{p}-1}\left(\overline{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{p}}\right)=\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{P}_{H^{2}}^{2 p-1}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathbb{\mathbb { C }}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{2 \mathrm{p}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{P}}\right)
$$

Therefore $\theta^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{C_{Z}}(\eta), \widetilde{c}_{F}(\eta)\right)$ and $\theta^{\prime}\left(c_{\mathbb{Z}}(\eta), 0\right)$ define the same element in

$$
H^{2 p-1}(X, \mathbb{C}) / F^{P_{H}}{ }^{2 p-1}(X, \mathbb{C})+H^{2 p-1}(Y, Z(p))
$$

and by $(7.13) \quad \psi_{0}(\eta)=\psi_{0}^{\prime}(\eta)$.

Remarks. The construction of the Abel-Jacobi map and of the cycle map in the Deligne cohomology has been done in [10] and [3] in a slightly different way. At first glance it seems surprising that the proof of (7.11) in [10] or [3] does not need the statement like (6.10).

However, the proof given there uses a description of the Abel-Jacobi map by currents, which is different from the one given in (7.12). If one assumies that both coincide, it proves (6.10) directly, without studying the pullback of cycles. On the other hand one can use (6.10) to show that $\psi_{0}^{\prime}$ is the same as the Abel-Jacobi map defined by currentis.

## § 8 Chern classes in the Deligne-Beilinson cohomology

8.1. Let $X$ be an algebraic manifold or - using $\S 5$ - any simplicial scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. In this section we sketch two methods to define Chern classes

$$
c_{p}(E) \in H_{D}^{2 p}(X, z(p))
$$

for locally free $O_{X}$-sheaves $E$ (called bundles in the sequel) of rank $r$ on $X$. They should depend just on the isomorphism class and satisfy
A) (Functionality) For any morphism

$$
f: Y \longrightarrow X \text { one has } f * c_{p}(E)=c_{p}(f * E)
$$

B) (Compatibility with the Chern-classes in $H^{*}(, \mathbb{Z})$ )
$c_{p}(E)$ is mapped under $\varepsilon: H_{D}^{2 p}(X, Z(p)) \rightarrow H^{2 p}(X, Z(p))$ to the usual Chern classes of $E$.

Of course we can as well consider chern classes in $H_{D}^{2 p}(X, A(p))$ for any subring $A$ of $\mathbb{R}$. However those are just the image of the classes in $H_{D}^{2 p}(X, Z(p))$.

Proposition 8.2. The Chern classes are uniquely determined by conditions $A$ and $B$.

Proof. The classifying space $B G=B G L_{r}(\mathbb{C})$ is a simplicial scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ and, as proved in [8] there are elements $C_{p}$ of pure weight $(p, p)$, such that

$$
H^{*}(B G, \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right] .
$$

Therefore $H^{2 p-1}(B G, \mathbb{C})=0$ and $1: H^{2 p}\left(B G, F^{p}\right) \rightarrow H^{2 p}(B G, \mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism. By $(2.10, a)$ or $(2.10, b)$

$$
\varepsilon: H_{D}^{2 p}(B G, Z(p)) \longrightarrow H^{2 p}(B G, Z(p))
$$

is an isomorphism, and the Chern classes of the universal bundle $E_{r}^{u n}$ are uniquely determined by $B$. If $E$ on $X$ is any bundle of rank $r$ one can take a hypercovering $\rho: Z_{0} \longrightarrow X$ such that $\rho * E$ is trivial on each $Z_{\nu}$. Then there is a morphism $f: Z . \longrightarrow B G$ such that $f * E_{r}^{u n}=\rho * E$. By $A$ the Chern classes of $\rho * E$ are uniquely determined. Since the $D-5$ cohomology of $Z$. and $X$ are isomorphic (5.2) one obtains (8.2).
8.3. For a non-singular variety A.Grothendieck defines in [12] Chern classes $\tilde{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{E})$ of vector bundles E in the Chow group $\mathrm{CH}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{X})$. Those are functional and, under the cycle map $c_{\mathbb{Z}}$, compatible with the Chern classes in $H^{2 p}(x, z(p))$. Therefore $c_{p}(E)=\psi\left(\hat{C}_{p}^{\prime}(E)\right)$ defines chern classes for vector bundles on $X$, satisfying $A$ and $B$ by (7.7) and (6.4). Of course, one has to use (5.1-3) to extend this definition to arbitrary simplicial schemes of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.
8.4. A second construction of Chern classes is based on (2.12,iii) and the splitting principle:
Recall that for an algebraic manifold $X$ we constructed an isomorphism

$$
\rho: O(X)_{\mathrm{alg}}^{\star} \longrightarrow H_{D}^{1}(x, \mathbb{Z}(1))
$$

By (5.5,b) $\rho$ induces a morphism (in the derived category) of complexes
of sheaves in the Zarisky topology

$$
c_{1}: 0_{X}^{*} \longrightarrow Z^{(1)^{*}}{ }_{D, \text { Zar. }^{[1]} .}
$$

Taking hypercohomology of sheaves in the Zarisky topology this gives a map

$$
c_{1}: H^{1}(X, 0 \stackrel{*}{X}) \rightarrow H_{D}^{2}(X, Z(1))
$$

Since invertible sheaves correspond to elements of $H^{1}(X, O *)$ we can use $c_{1}$ to define the first Chern class of an invertible sheaf. The induced morphism

$$
0_{\mathrm{X}}^{\star} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(1)} D_{, \mathrm{Zar}^{[1]}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(1)[1]
$$

is in the derived category the "edge" morphism of the exponential sequence. This shows. that $\varepsilon\left(C_{1}(L)\right)$ is the first Chern class of $L$ in $H^{2}(X, Z(1))$.

Proposition 8.5. Let $E$ be a vector bundle of rank $r$ on $X$, $\pi: \mathbb{P}=\mathbb{P}(E) \longrightarrow X$ the corresponding projective bundle and ${ }^{{ }_{\mathbb{P}}}(1)$ the tautological invertible sheaf on $\mathbb{P}$. Then for all $q, q{ }^{\prime}$

$$
H_{\bar{D}}^{q}\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Z}\left(q^{\prime}\right)\right) \underset{p^{\oplus}}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{-1} \pi H_{D}^{q-2 p}\left(X, z\left(q^{\prime}-p\right)\right) \cup c_{1}\left(0_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\right)^{p}
$$

Proof. As is well known, the same maps are isomorphisms for $H^{*}(, \mathbb{Z}()$.$) ,$ $H^{\bullet}(, \mathbb{C})$ and by [8] for $\mathbb{H}^{\bullet}\left(, F^{\bullet}\right)$. By (3.9) the cup product is compatible with the exact sequence (2.10,a) and therefore (8.5) holds.
8.6. Now one can define Chern classes of rank $r$ vector bundles in the way of Hirzebruch and Grothendieck:

$$
\operatorname{In} H_{D}^{2 r}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Z}(r))=\underset{p=1}{\underset{\sim}{m}} \pi * H_{D}^{2 p}(X, \mathbf{Z}(p)) \cup c_{1}\left(O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\right)^{r-p}
$$

we have a relation

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{r}(-1)^{p} \cdot \pi^{* \gamma_{p}} \cup c_{1}(0 \underset{\mathbb{P}}{ }(1))^{r-p}=0
$$

with $\quad Y_{p} \in H_{D}^{2 p}(X, Z(p))$ and $\gamma_{0}=1$. We define $c_{p}(E)=Y_{p}$.

As in [12] one shows that the Chern classes obtained are functorial and additive. Since the usual Chern classes can be defined by the splitting principle as well, one obtains (8.1,B).
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