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## A explicit method of constructing pluriharmonic maps from compact complex manifold into complex Grassmann manifold

Seiichi UDAGAWA

## 0 . Introduction.

Let $\varphi: M \longrightarrow N$ be a smooth map from a complex manifold into a Riemannian manifold. Then, $\varphi$ is called pluriharmonic if ( 0,1 )-exterior covariant derivative $D^{\prime \prime} \partial \varphi$ of the ( 1,0 )-differential $\partial \varphi$ of $\varphi$ vanishes identically. Let $\nabla^{\varphi}$ be the pull-back connection on the pull-back bundle $\varphi^{-1} T N$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{\prime \prime} \partial \varphi\right)(\bar{X}, Y)=\nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\varphi} \partial \varphi(Y)-\partial \varphi\left(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{X}} Y\right), \quad X, Y \in C^{\infty}\left(T M^{1,0}\right) \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T M^{1,0}$ is the holomorphic tangent bundle of $M$. If $\varphi^{-1} T N^{C}$ has the KoszulMalgrange holomorphic structure, that is, ( 0,1 )-part of $\nabla^{\varphi}$ coincides with $\bar{\partial}$ operator, we may say that $\varphi$ is pluriharmonic if and only if $\varphi$ sends any holomorphic section of $T M^{1,0}$ to a holomorphic section of $\varphi^{-1} T N^{C}$. It is easily observed that if $\varphi$ is holomorphic and $N$ is a Kähler manifold then $\varphi^{-1} T N^{1,0}$ has the Koszul-Malgrange holomorphic structure, hence any holomorphic map is pluriharmonic. Note that anti-holomorphic map is also pluriharmonic if $N$ is a Kähler manifold. Conversely, the existence of the Koszul-Malgrange holomorphic structure on $\varphi^{-1} T N^{C}$ (resp. $\varphi^{-1} T N^{1,0}$ ) is ensured if $\varphi$ is pluriharmonic and $N$ has nonnegative or nonpositive curvature operator (resp. and $N$ is Kähler)(cf. [O-U2]). From the point of view of Riemannian geometry, the most important property of pluriharmonic map is that it is a harmonic map with respect to any Kähler metric on $M$. Therefore, the concept of pluriharmonic maps generalizes that of harmonic maps from Riemann surface. Moreover, when one restricts a pluriharmonic map from $M$ to any holomorphic curve $C$ of $M$, it induces a harmonic map from $C$ into $N$.

In [O-U1], the complex-analyticity, constancy and stability (as a harmonic map) of pluriharmonic maps from compact Kähler manifold were investigated in detail. As the consequences, there are so many non $\pm$-holomorphic examples of pluriharmonic maps, where a map is called $\pm$-holomorphic if it is either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic. As a special case, if the target is a complex Grassmann manifold $G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ of $k$-dimensional complex subspaces in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$, any pluriharmonic map $\varphi$ from a Kähler manifold $M$ is $\pm$-holomorphic provided Maxrank $_{\mathbf{R}} d \varphi \geq 2(n-k-1)(k-1)+3$. In case $M$ with $c_{1}(M)>0$ and $b_{2}(M)=1$, the rank condition of $\varphi$ may be replaced by $\operatorname{dim}_{C} M \geq(n-k-1)(k-1)+2$ and this dimension estimate is best possible. On the other hand, the recent works of Ramanathan[ Rm$]$, Chern-Wolfson[C-W],

Burstall-Wood[B-W], Burstall-Salamon[B-S], Wolfson[Wol] and Wood[Wd1] state that any harmonic map from Riemann sphere $S^{2}$ into $G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ may be constructed from a holomorphic map $S^{2} \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right)$ for some $1 \leq t \leq k$, which originate from the works of Burns[Bn], Din-Zakrewski[D-Z], Glaser-Stora[G-S], Eells-Wood[E-W] with a complex projective space as target. Given a map $\varphi: M \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, we may identify $\varphi$ with the pull-back of the universal bundle over $G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, denoted by $\underline{\varphi}$, which is a complex subbundle of the trivial bundle $M \times \mathrm{C}^{n}$. We have the sequence of the $\partial^{\prime}$-Gauss bundles by taking the image of the ( 1,0 ) -part of the second fundamental form of each subbundle. Wolfson proved that this sequence must terminate if $M=S^{2}$. In this sense, his method is explicit and the simplest in the form. In general, $\varphi$ has the intersection with certain $\partial^{\prime}$-Gauss bundle, say $(r+1)$-th $\partial^{\prime}$-Gauss bundle, and such least integer $r$ is called $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order of $\varphi$. A holomorphic map has infinite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order, hence one tries to increase the $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order of a given map by certain algebraic replacement. This is a method of Burstall-Wood, which is explicit and the most natural in the idea. From their works, one may expect to establish the explicit method, using the second fundamental forms, of constructing any pluriharmonic map from a compact complex manifold $M$ with $c_{1}(M)>0$ into $G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$. However, there are many difficulties. For example, $\partial^{\prime}$-Gauss bundle of $\varphi$ has non-removable singularities, and its rank may be greater than that of $\varphi$, which implies that it is impossible to generalize Wolfson's method to higher dimension. On the other hand, in [O-U2] Ohnita and the present author succeeded in generalizing the method of Burstall-Wood and proved that any pluriharmonic map $\varphi$ from $M \backslash S_{\varphi}$ with $M$ as above into $G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ with $k=2,3$ and $n \leq 12$ may be constructed, using the second fundamental forms, from a rational map $f: M \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right)$ for some $t$, where $S_{\varphi}$ is a certain singularity of codimension at least two (see Definition 2.1). The restriction on $k$ aries from the complicate of Salamon's diagram, which stands for the relations between $\underline{\varphi}$ and its $\partial^{\prime}$-Gauss bundles, and even for the case of harmonic maps from $S^{2}$ the method is not known for general $k$. In higer dimension, the most difficulty exists in that one can say nothing about the relation of the ranks between $\underline{\varphi}$ and its $\partial^{\prime}$-Gauss bundle, which is the reason for the restriction on $n$.

In this paper, the concepts of finite and infinite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order are important (see section 3). A pluriharmonic map with infinite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order is easily reduced to an anti-holomorphic map, hence we may treat only the case of finite $\partial^{\prime}$ isotropy order (see Proposition 7.3). In case the target is a complex projective space $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$, it turns out that any pluriharmonic map has infinite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order, so that reduced to an anti-holomorphic map (Theorem 3.1). Thus, any pluriharmonic $\operatorname{map} \varphi$ from $M \backslash S_{\varphi}$ with $M$ as above into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ may be constructed, in a unique way, from a rational map $f: M \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ (Theorem 7.1). This is not the case for the complex Grassmann manifold of higher rank as target. We can prove that any pluriharmonic map $\varphi$ with finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order from $M \backslash S_{\varphi}$ with $M$ as above into
$G_{2}\left(C^{n}\right)$ with $n$ arbitrary may be constructed, using the second fundamental forms, from a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ (Theorems 4.1, 7.2). This technique is partially applicable to the cases of rank 3 and 4 . We can prove that any pluriharmonic map $\varphi$ with finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order from $M \backslash S_{\varphi}$ with $M$ as above into $G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ with $k=3$ (resp. 4) and $n \leq 15$ (resp. 14) may be constructed, using the second fundamental forms, from a pluriharmonic map into $G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ for some $1 \leq t \leq k-1$ (Theorems 5.1, 6.1, 7.3). Although it is less explicit than those stated above, we can prove that any non-holomorphic pluriharmonic map $\varphi$ from $M \backslash S_{\varphi}$ with $M$ as above into $G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ with $k=3$ (resp. 4) and $n \leq 20$ (resp. 15) may be constructed, using the second fundamental forms, from a rational map $f: M \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ for some $t$ (Theorems 6.2, 7.4), which also improves the result in [O-U2] stated above.

Refer to [E-L] for the recent developments of harmonic map theory, to [B-B-B-$\mathrm{R}],[\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}],[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{U} 1,2],[\mathrm{Ud}]$ for the stability and complex-analyticity of pluriharmonic maps, and to [B-R], [Uh], [V], [Wd2] for the construction of harmonic maps from Riemann sphere to Lie group. Finally, we mention that Ohnita and Valli [O-V] generalized the results of [Uh], [V] to the class of meromorphically pluriharmonic maps.

## 1. Preliminaries.

Let $E$ be a unitary vector bundle over a complex manifold $M$, that is, $E$ is endowed with a Hermitian fibre metric $h$ and a connection $\nabla^{E}$ compatible with $h$. Let $F$ be a complex subbundle of $E$ and let $S$ be the Hermitian orthogonal complement of $F$ in $E$ with respect to $h$. Then, $F$ and $S$ also become the unitary vector bundles with respect to the induced Hermitian structures. Then, the second fundamental forms, $A^{S, F}$ and $A^{F, S}$, are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X}^{E} v=\nabla_{X}^{F} v+A_{X}^{F, S}(v), \quad \nabla_{X}^{E} w=\nabla_{X}^{S} w+A_{X}^{S, F}(w) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X \in C^{\infty}(T M), v \in C^{\infty}(F), w \in C^{\infty}(S)$, where $\nabla^{E}, \nabla^{F}$ and $\nabla^{S}$ are the Hermitian connections of $E, F$ and $S$, respectively, and $A^{F, S}$ (resp. $A^{S, F}$ ) is regarded as $\operatorname{Hom}(F, S)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Hom}(S, F)$ )-valued 1-form on $M$. We easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{F, S}=-\left(A^{S, F}\right)^{*} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( ) * denotes the adjoint of ( ) with respect to $h$. By the complex structure of $M$, we may decompose $A^{F, S}$ as $A^{F, S}=A_{(1,0)}^{F, S}+A_{(0,1)}^{F, S}$. Let $D$ be the exterior covariant differentiation defined by the induced connection on $\operatorname{Hom}(F, S)$, and $D^{\prime}$, $D^{\prime \prime}$ be the (1,0)-, $(0,1)$-part of $D$, that is, $D=D^{\prime}+D^{\prime \prime}$. The ( 0,1 )-exterior covariant derivative $D^{\prime \prime} A_{(1,0)}^{F, S}$ of $A_{(1,0)}^{F, S}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{\prime \prime} A_{(1,0)}^{F, S}\right)(\bar{Z}, W)=\nabla_{\bar{Z}}^{S} \circ A_{W}^{F, S}-A_{W}^{F, S} \circ \nabla_{\bar{Z}}^{F}-A_{\bar{\partial}_{Z} W}^{F, S} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z, W \in C^{\infty}\left(T M^{1,0}\right)$. Similarly, $D^{\prime} A_{(0,1)}^{F, S}$ is defined. Now, assume that $E$ has the Koszul-Malgrange holomorphic structure, that is, a holomorphic structure compatible with the Hermitian structure of $E$, and $F$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $E$. We may endow $S$ with a holomorphic vector bundle structure by the isomorphism $S \simeq E / F$, which is, in fact, nothing but the Koszul-Malgrange holomorphic structure (cf. [B-S]). Then, $\operatorname{Hom}(F, S)$ also has the Koszul-Malgrange holomorphic structure and a smooth section $A$ of $T^{*} M^{1,0} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(F, S)$ is called holomorphic if $D^{\prime \prime} A \equiv 0$.

Let $\varphi: M \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a smooth map from a complex manifold into a complex Grassmann manifold of $k$-dimensional complex subspaces in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. Then, we may identify $\varphi$ with a complex subbundle $\varphi$ of rank $k$ of the trivial bundle $\underline{\mathbf{C}}^{n}=M \times \mathbf{C}^{n}$, of which the fibre at $x \in M$ is given by $\underline{\varphi}_{x}=\varphi(x)$. Note that $\underline{\varphi}$ is the pull-back of the universal bundle $T$ over $G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ by $\varphi$. Frequently, we write $\varphi$ as $\varphi$ if there is no confusion.

Definition 1.1. Let $E$ be a complex subbundle of $\underline{C}^{n}$. We denote by $E^{\perp}$ the Hermitian orthogonal complement of $E$ in $\mathrm{C}^{n}$ with respect to the standard Hermitian fibre metric on $\underline{\mathbf{C}}^{n}$. If $F$ is a complex subbundle of $E$, the Hermitian orthogonal complement of $F$ in $E$ is denoted by $E \ominus F$.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\varphi}=A^{\varphi, \varphi^{\perp}}, \quad \quad A^{\varphi^{\perp}}=A^{\varphi^{\perp}, \underline{\varphi}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (1.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}=-\left(A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi^{\perp}}\right)^{*}, \quad A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi}=-\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}}\right)^{*} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The property of $\varphi$ may be interpreted by the property of $A^{\varphi}$. For example, we have Proposition 1.1. (I) The following statements are mutually equivalent
(1) $\varphi$ is holomorphic (resp. anti-holomorphic)
(2) $\underline{\varphi}$ is a holomorphic (resp. an anti-holomorphic) subbundle of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$,
(3) $A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi} \equiv 0\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi} \equiv 0\right)$.
(II) $\varphi$ is pluriharmonic if and only if $D^{\prime \prime} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi} \equiv 0$, equivalently $D^{\prime} A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi} \equiv 0$.
(III) $\varphi$ is pluriharmonic if and only if $\varphi^{\perp}$ is pluriharmonic.

In fact, we may say that if $\varphi$ is pluriharmonic then $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$ is a holomorphic section of $T^{*} M^{1,0} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}\left(\underline{\varphi}, \underline{\varphi}^{\perp}\right)$ by Proposition 1.1, (II) and the following

Proposition 1.2 ([O-U2]). If $\varphi$ is pluriharmonic, each of $\underline{\varphi}$ and $\varphi^{\perp}$ has the KoszulMalgrange holomorphic structure. In particular, any holomorphic subbundle of $\varphi$ or $\underline{\varphi}^{\perp}$, and its Hermitian orthogonal complement in $\underline{\varphi}$ or $\underline{\varphi}^{\perp}$ have the KoszulMalgrange holomorphic structures.

If $\varphi$ is pluriharmonic, by Propositions $1.1,1.2$, we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}}$ is also a holomorphic section of $T^{*} M^{1,0} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}\left(\underline{\varphi}^{\perp}, \underline{\varphi}\right)$.

## 2. A method of constructing pluriharmonic maps.

Let $\varphi: M \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map from a complex manifold. The following proposition gives a general method of constructing new pluriharmonic map from the old.
Proposition 2.1 ([O-U2]). Define $\widetilde{\varphi}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\widetilde{\varphi}}=(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha) \oplus \beta \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfy the following conditions: (2.2) $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are holomorphic subbundles of $\underline{\varphi}$ and $\underline{\varphi}^{\perp}$, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}(\alpha) \subset T^{*} M^{1,0} \otimes \beta, \quad A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}}(\beta) \subset T^{*} M^{1,0} \otimes \alpha \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is also a pluriharmonic map from $M$ into $G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ for some $t$.
Remark. If we reverse the orientation of $M$, we see that we may use $A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi}, A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi^{\perp}}$ in place of $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}, A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}}$, in this case, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are chosen to be anti-holomorphic subbundles of $\varphi$ and $\underline{\varphi}^{\perp}$, respectively.

To show the examples of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ which satisfy the conditions (2.2) and (2.3), we consider $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$ as a bundle homomorphism $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}: T M^{1,0} \otimes \underline{\varphi} \longrightarrow \underline{\varphi}^{\perp}$ and set

$$
\operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}=\cup_{x \in M} \operatorname{Im}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right)_{x}
$$

$\operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}^{\perp}$ over $M \backslash V$, where $V$ is an analytic subset of $M$. It can be observed that $\operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$ extends to a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}^{\perp}$ over $M \backslash W$, where $W$ is an analytic subset of codimension at least 2 , and denote it by $\operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\left(\mathrm{cf}\right.$ [O-U2]). Similarly, considering $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$ as an another homomorphism $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}: \underline{\varphi} \longrightarrow T^{*} M^{1,0} \otimes \underline{\varphi}^{\perp}$ we set

$$
\operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}=\cup_{x \in M} \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right)_{x}
$$

In the same way as above, $\operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$ extends to a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}$ over $M \backslash W^{\prime}$, which is denoted by $\underline{\operatorname{Ker}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$, where $W^{\prime}$ is an analytic subset of codimension at least 2 . When we construct the new pluriharmonic map from the old, we have the new singularity set, hence we give the following definition

Definition 2.1. Denote by $S_{\varphi}$ the singularity set of $M$ with $\operatorname{codim}_{C} S_{\varphi} \geq 2$ such that $\varphi$ is a pluriharmonic map from $M \backslash S_{\varphi}$ and $S_{\varphi}$ is of the form

$$
S_{\varphi}=\cup_{j=1}^{k} S_{j}
$$

for some positive integer $k$ and each $S_{i}(i=1, \cdots, k)$ is an analytic subset of $M \backslash \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} S_{j}$ with codim${ }_{C} S_{i} \geq 2$.

We need the following lemma, which we frequently utilize
Lemma 2.1 ([O-U2]). Assume that $M$ is a compact complex manifold with the positive first Chern class, $c_{1}(M)>0$. Let $E$ be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over $M \backslash S$, where $S$ is as in Definition 2.1 without the assumption on $\varphi$, and let $A$ be a holomorphic multi-differential with values in $\operatorname{End}(E)$. Then, $A$ is nilpotent, that is, $A^{m} \equiv 0$ as a holomorphic multi-differential with values in $\operatorname{End}(E)$ for some positive integer $m \leq \operatorname{rank} E$.

For example, $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$ is a holomorphic quadratic differential with values in $\operatorname{End}(\underline{\varphi})$ over $M \backslash S_{\varphi}$, hence nilpotent by Lemma 2.1 if $M$ is compact and $c_{1}(M)>$ 0 . In particular, $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$ has the non-trivial kernel. In this case, any nonzero holomorphic subbundle $\alpha$ of $\underline{\varphi}$ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right)$ satisfies the
 holomorphicity of $\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right|_{\alpha}$ ). In summary, we state the following
Proposition 2.2. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map. Then, the following map $\tilde{\varphi}$ defines a pluriharmonic map : $M \backslash S_{\tilde{\varphi}} \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ for some $t$ :
(2.4) $\widetilde{\underline{\varphi}}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$ if $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi} \not \equiv 0$.
(2.5) $\widetilde{\tilde{\varphi}}=\underline{\varphi} \ominus \underline{\operatorname{Ker}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi} \quad$ if $\underline{\operatorname{Ker}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi} \neq \underline{0}$.
(2.6) $\tilde{\underline{\varphi}}=(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha) \oplus \underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right|_{\alpha}\right)$, where $\alpha$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}$ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right)$, if $\alpha \neq \underline{0}$, which is satisfied if $M$ is compact and $c_{1}(M)>0$.

However, (2.5) may be considered as a special case of (2.6) because Ker $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$ is contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right)$. Moreover, if $M$ is compact and $c_{1}(M)>0$ then (2.4) is also obtained by the successive procedure of type (2.6), which follows from the more general proposition below, Proposition 2.3. For the notational simplicity, we give

Definition 2.2. Set $G^{\prime}(\varphi)=G^{(1)}(\varphi)=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}$ and inductively define the $r$-th $\partial^{\prime}$-Gauss bundle of $\varphi, G^{(r)}(\varphi)$, by

$$
G^{(i+1)}(\varphi)=G^{\prime}\left(G^{(i)}(\varphi)\right) \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \cdots
$$

Similarly, define the $r$-th $\partial^{\prime \prime}$-Gauss bundle, $G^{(-r)}(\varphi)$, by

$$
G^{\prime \prime}(\varphi)=G^{(-1)}(\varphi)=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi}, \quad G^{(-i-1)}(\varphi)=G^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{(-i)}(\varphi)\right) \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \cdots
$$

In particular, set $G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right|_{\alpha}\right)$ and $G_{\varphi}^{\prime \prime}(\gamma)=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi}\right|_{\gamma}\right)$ for a holomorphic subbundle $\alpha$ of $\underline{\varphi}$ and an anti-holomorphic subbundle $\gamma$ of $\underline{\varphi}$, respectively.

We need the following
Lemma 2.2. Let $\tau$ and $\mu$ be the Hermitian vector bundles over $M$ with the KoszulMalgrange holomorphic structures and let $A$ be a holomorphic multi-differential with values in $\operatorname{Hom}(\tau, \mu)$. Then, the following statements are true
(1) If $\alpha$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\tau$, then $\left.A\right|_{\alpha}$ is holomorphic.
(2) If $\beta$ is an anti-holomorphic subbundle of $\mu$ and $\pi: \mu \longrightarrow \beta$ is a Hermitian orthogonal projection, then $\pi \circ A$ is holomorphic.
(3) If $\gamma$ is a subbundle of $\tau$ with $\tau \ominus \gamma \subset \operatorname{Ker} A$ and $\gamma$ has the Koszul-Malgrange holomorphic structure with respect to the connection induced from $\tau$, then $\left.A\right|_{\gamma}$ is a holomorphic multi-differential with values in $\operatorname{Hom}(\gamma, \mu)$.
(4) If $\delta$ is a subbundle of $\mu$ containing the image of $A$ and $\delta$ has the KoszulMalgrange holomorphic structure with respect to the connection induced from $\mu$, then $A$ is a holomorphic multi-differential with values in $\operatorname{Hom}(\tau, \delta)$.

Proof. Set $A=\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}} A_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} d z^{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes d z^{i_{k}}$. Then, $A$ is holomorphic if and only if, locally, $A_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}$ is holomorphic, that is,

$$
\nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\mu} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}=A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \circ \nabla_{\bar{X}}^{r} \quad \text { for any } X \in C^{\infty}\left(T M^{1,0}\right)
$$

(1) Set $\varepsilon=\tau \ominus \alpha$, then $A_{(0,1)}^{\alpha, \varepsilon} \equiv 0$. Therefore, we have

$$
\left.\nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\mu} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}\right|_{\alpha}=\left.A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \circ \nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\tau}\right|_{\alpha}=A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \circ\left(\nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\alpha}+A_{\tilde{X}}^{\alpha, \varepsilon}\right)=A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \circ \nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\alpha}
$$

(2) Set $\kappa=\mu \ominus \beta$, then $A_{(0,1)}^{\kappa, \beta} \equiv 0$. Denote by $\pi_{\beta}$ and $\pi_{\kappa}$ the projections $\pi_{\beta}: \mu \longrightarrow \beta$ and $\pi_{\kappa}: \mu \longrightarrow \kappa$, respectively. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\beta} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \circ \nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\tau} & =\pi_{\beta} \circ \nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\mu} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \\
& =\pi_{\beta} \circ\left(\nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\beta} \circ \pi_{\beta} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}+A_{\bar{X}}^{\kappa, \beta} \circ \pi_{\kappa} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}\right) \\
& =\nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\beta} \circ \pi_{\beta} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Set $\eta=\tau \ominus \gamma \subset \operatorname{Ker} A$, then $A(\eta) \equiv 0$. We have

$$
\left.\nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\mu} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}\right|_{\gamma}=\left.A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \circ \nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\tau}\right|_{\gamma}=A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \circ\left(\nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\gamma}+A_{\bar{X}}^{\gamma, \eta}\right)=A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \circ \nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\gamma}
$$

(4) Set $\nu=\mu \ominus \delta$, then, since $\operatorname{Im} A \subset \delta$ we obtain

$$
A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} \circ \nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\tau}=\nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\mu} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}=\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\delta} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}+A_{\tilde{X}}^{\delta, \nu} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}=\nabla_{\bar{X}}^{\delta} \circ A_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}} .
$$

q.e.d.

Now, we prove the following
Proposition 2.3. Assume that $M$ is compact and $c_{1}(M)>0$. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow$ $G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map and define $\widetilde{\varphi}$ by $\widetilde{\varphi}=(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha) \oplus \beta$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfy the conditions (2.2) and (2.3). Then, there is a finite sequence $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ of pluriharmonic maps with (1) $\varphi=\varphi_{0}$ (2) $\widetilde{\varphi}=\varphi_{N} \quad$ (3) for $i=0,1, \cdots, n-2$, each $\varphi_{i+1}$ is obtained from $\varphi_{i}$ by $\underline{\varphi}_{i+1}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{i} \ominus \alpha_{i}\right) \oplus G_{\varphi_{i}}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$, where $\alpha_{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}_{i}$ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}^{1}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}}\right)$ such that
(I) if $\beta=G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha), \varphi_{N}$ is also obtained from $\varphi_{N-1}$ by the above procedure (3) for $i=N-1$,
(II) if $\beta \neq G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha)$, there is a holomorphic subbundle $\beta_{N-1}$ of $\left(\underline{\varphi}_{N-1} \oplus G^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi_{N-1}\right)\right)^{\perp}$ so that $\varphi_{N}$ is obtained from $\varphi_{N-1}$ by $\underline{\varphi}_{N}=\underline{\varphi}_{N-1} \oplus \underline{\beta}_{N-1}$.

Proof. First, observe that $\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right|_{\alpha}$ is a holomorphic quadratic differential with values in $\operatorname{End}(\alpha)$ by Lemma 2.2. Then, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right|_{\alpha}\right)^{k} \equiv 0$ for some positive integer $k \leq \operatorname{rank} \alpha$. Set $L=\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right|_{\alpha}$, and define $\alpha_{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{k-1}$ and $\beta_{0}, \cdots, \beta_{k}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-1-i} \ominus \underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i} \quad \text { with } \alpha=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{0} \quad \text { for } i=0,1, \cdots, k-1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{i}=G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-1-i}\right) \ominus G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i}\right) \text { with } \beta=G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{-1}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=0,1, \cdots, k$. Define a sequence $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{k}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\varphi}_{i+1}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{i} \ominus \alpha_{i}\right) \oplus \beta_{i} \quad \text { with } \varphi_{0}=\varphi \text { for } i=0,1, \cdots, k-1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.7) and (2.8), we see that for any $i=0,1, \cdots, k-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigoplus_{j=0}^{i} \alpha_{j}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-1-i}, \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i} \beta_{j}=G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-1-i}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \cap\left(G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i}\right)\right)^{\perp}=\beta_{i} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We fix any integer $i$ with $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. We show that $G_{\varphi_{i}}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\beta_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}_{i}$ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}^{+}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}}\right)$. By (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\varphi}_{i}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i}\right) \oplus G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $L^{k-i}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi} \circ L^{k-i}$ are holomorphic, $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ are anti-holomorphic subbundles of $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-1-i}$ and $G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-1-i}\right)$, respectively, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{i}, \underline{I m} L^{k-i}} \equiv 0, \quad A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{i}, G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i}\right)} \equiv 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varphi_{i}}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=G_{\varphi_{i}}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \cap \underline{\varphi}^{\perp}=G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \cap\left(G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i}\right)\right)^{\perp}=\beta_{i} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-1-i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}, \alpha_{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi} \ominus \underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{m}} L^{k-i}\right), \varphi} & =G_{\varphi^{\perp}}^{\prime}\left(G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i}\right)\right) \\
& =\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k+1-i}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is orthogonal to $\varphi \ominus \underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i}$, hence $\varphi \ominus \underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}_{i}$ by (2.12). Thus, $\bar{\alpha}_{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}_{i}$. Finally, by (2.13) and (2.14) we have

$$
A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}^{\frac{1}{4}}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}^{1}}\left(\beta_{i}\right) \subset\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i} \oplus\left(\underline{\varphi}^{\perp} \ominus G_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} L^{k-i}\right)\right)\right)
$$

so that $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \equiv 0$. If $\beta=G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ then $\beta_{k}=\underline{0}$ and $\alpha=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{j}$, $\beta=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k-1} \beta_{j}$, hence we obtain (I). For (II), we only have to show that $\beta_{k}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\left(\underline{\varphi}_{k} \oplus G^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi_{k}\right)\right)^{\perp} . \underline{\varphi}_{k}$ is given by $\underline{\varphi}_{k}=(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \underline{\alpha}) \oplus G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ and $G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\beta$, hence $A_{(0,1)}^{G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha), \beta_{k}} \equiv 0$ by $\beta=G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha) \oplus \beta_{k}$. Moreover, by the condition (2.3) we have $A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{k}, \varphi \ominus \alpha}=A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}, \varphi \ominus \alpha}\left(\beta_{k}\right) \equiv 0$. Therefore, $\beta_{k} \perp G^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi_{k}\right)$ and $\beta_{k}$ is in $\left(\underline{\varphi}_{k} \oplus G^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi_{k}\right)\right)^{\perp}$. Since $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha, \beta_{k}}=A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi, \beta_{k}}(\alpha) \equiv 0$ and $\beta_{k}$
is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}^{\perp} \ominus G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha), \beta_{k}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}_{k}^{\perp}$, hence of $\left(\underline{\varphi}_{k} \oplus G^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi_{k}\right)\right)^{\perp}$.

We have given the self-contained but lengthy proof. It is easier to understand the reason that Proposition 2.3 holds, if we use the Salamon's diagram, which will be defined and used in the next section.

We call the procedure (2.6) the forward replacement of $\alpha$. When we use $A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi}$ and an anti-holomorphic subbundle $\gamma$ of $\varphi$, we call the corresponding procedure the backward replacement of $\gamma$.
3. Salamon's diagram and the isotropy order of pluriharmonic map.

Let $\mathbf{C}^{n}=M \times \mathbf{C}^{n}$ be the trivial bundle over a complex manifold $M$ with the standard Hermitian fibre metric $h_{0}$. Let $\tau_{1}, \cdots, \tau_{k}$ be a set of mutually orthogonal subbundles of $\underline{\boldsymbol{C}}^{n}$ with respect to $h_{0}$ such that each $\tau_{i}(i=1, \cdots, k)$ has the KoszulMalgrange holomorphic structure compatible with the Hermitian structure induced from $h_{0}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{C}}^{n}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} \tau_{j}$. Denote by $A_{(1,0)}^{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}}$ the (1,0)-second fundamental form of $\tau_{i}$ in $\tau_{i} \oplus \tau_{j}$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$ (cf. section 1). Following [B-W], we give

Definition 3.1. We mean by a diagram $\left\{\tau_{i}, A_{(1,0)}^{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}}\right\}$ the directed graph with vertices $\tau_{1}, \cdots, \tau_{k}$ and for each ordered pair $(i, j)$ an edge from $\tau_{i}$ to $\tau_{j}$ representing $A_{(1,0)}^{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}}$. The absence of a given edge in the graph indicates the vanishing of the corresponding ( 1,0 )-second fundamental form.

An important use of this diagram is to decide whether a given homomorphism, such as the composition of some (1,0)-second fundamental forms, is holomorphic or not. For this purpose, we need
Proposition 3.1. Given a diagram $\left\{\tau_{i}, A_{(1,0)}^{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}}\right\}, A_{(1,0)}^{\tau_{i}, \tau_{j}}: T M^{1,0} \otimes \tau_{i} \longrightarrow \tau_{j}$ is holomorphic if the diagram contains no configurations of the following forms :
(1)

(2)

(3)

where $1 \leq l \leq k$ with $l \neq i, j$.
The proof of Proposition 3.1 is just the same method as in [B-W] (cf. Lemma 2.2). The particularly important case is when Lemma 2.1 is utilized. For example, if
$A_{(1,0)}^{\tau_{i}, \tau_{i+1}}(1 \leq i \leq k-1)$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{\tau_{k}, \tau_{1}}$ are all holomorphic, we see that the composition $A_{(1,0)}^{\tau_{k}, \tau_{1}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\tau_{k-1}, \tau_{k}} \circ \cdots \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}}$ is a holomorphic section of $\otimes^{k} T^{*} M^{1,0} \otimes \operatorname{End}\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ by Leibniz' rule, hence nilpotent. We often refer to it as a holomorphic circuit and denote it by $\left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \cdots, \tau_{k}, \tau_{1}\right\}$ for notational simplicity.

Next, we introduce the concept of isotropy order of a given pluriharmonic map. Let $\varphi: M \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map from a complex manifold. We denote by $G^{(r)}(\varphi)$ the $r$-th $\partial^{\prime}$-Gauss bundle of $\varphi$ as in section 2 .

Definition 3.2. We say that $\varphi$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r$ if $\varphi$ is orthogonal to each $G^{(i)}(\varphi)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and not orthogonal to $G^{(r+1)}(\varphi)$ with respect to $h_{0}$. Moreover, we say that $\varphi$ has finite (resp. infinite) $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order if $r<\infty$ (resp. $r=\infty$ ). Similarly, the corresponding notion of $\partial^{\prime \prime}$-isotropy order for $\partial^{\prime \prime}$-Gauss bundles is defined.

Note that $\varphi \perp G^{\prime}(\varphi)$ always holds, so that any $\varphi$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq 1$.
Lemma 3.1 ([O-U2]). If $\varphi$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r$, then $G^{(i)}(\varphi) \perp G^{(j)}(\varphi)$ for any $i, j$ such that $0<|i-j| \leq r$.

If $\varphi$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r$, then by Lemma 3.1 we may set $R=\varphi^{\perp} \cdot \theta$ $\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} G^{(j)}(\varphi)\right)$. It follows from Proposition 1.2 and Lemma 2.2, (3) that all $\underline{\varphi}$, $G^{(i)}(\varphi)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and $R$ have the Koszul-Malgrange holomorphic structures compatible with the Hermitian structures induced from $h_{0}$, and all $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi, G^{\prime}(\varphi)}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(i)}(\varphi), G^{(i+1)}(\varphi)}(1 \leq i \leq r-1)$ are holomorphic. We often use this fact, without any comment, in the following. If $\varphi$ is a holomorphic map, $A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi}=-\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}}\right)^{*} \equiv 0$, so that $A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \equiv 0$ and $\underline{\varphi} \perp G^{(i)}(\varphi)$ for any $i \geq 1$. Therefore, a holomorphic map has infinite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order. However, since every $G^{(i)}(\varphi)$ is a subbundle of $\mathbf{C}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$, Lemma 3.1 implies that there exists a positive integer $s$ such that $G^{(s)}(\varphi)=\underline{0}$, that is, $\varphi$ is reduced to an anti-holomorphic map $f: M \backslash S_{f} \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right)$ for some $t$. In general, a given pluriharmonic map has finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order. A method for that is to increase the $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order of a given pluriharmonic map by the successive procedures of type (2.6), that is, the forward replacement, so that it is reduced to an anti-holomorphic map, in case $M$ is compact and $c_{1}(M)>0$. However, when the target is a complex projective space $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ with Fubini-Study metric, a given pluriharmonic map turns out to have infinite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order. In fact, we have
Theorem 3.1. Assume that $M$ is compact and $c_{1}(M)>0$. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow$ $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ be a pluriharmonic map. Then, $G^{(s)}(\varphi)=\underline{0}$ for some positive integer $s \leq n-1$. Moreover, if $\varphi$ is non-holomorphic, each $G^{(i)}(\varphi)(0 \leq i \leq s-1)$ defines a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ and $\varphi$ is reduced to an anti-holomorphic map $\varphi_{s-1}: M \backslash S_{\varphi_{-1}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$.

Theorem 3.1 is a reformulation of Theorem (7.30) in [O-U2]. This theorem plays an important role when we treat the complex Grassmann manifold of higher rank as target. We give here a proof of it using Salamon's diagram.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. If $\varphi$ is anti-holomorphic, we have nothing to prove, so that we may assume that $\varphi$ is non anti-holomorphic. Suppose that $\varphi$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r$. We have a diagram by Lemma 3.1

where $R=\underline{\varphi}^{\perp} \Theta\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} G^{(j)}(\varphi)\right)$. We show that $A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}$ is holomorphic. If $r=1$, $G^{(r)}(\varphi)$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}^{\perp}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}=\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}}\right|_{G^{(r)}(\varphi)}$ is holomorphic by Proposition 1.1 and Lemma 2.2. If $r \geq 2$, by Proposition 3.1, $A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}$ is holomorphic. Then, we have a holomorphic circuit $\left\{\underline{\varphi}, G^{\prime}(\varphi), \cdots, G^{(r)}(\varphi), \underline{\varphi}\right\}$, and by Lemma 2.1 and $\operatorname{rank} \varphi=1$ we have $A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi} \equiv 0$ because all the other edges in (3.1) are surjective by the definitions. Therefore, $\varphi \perp G^{(r+1)}(\varphi)$ and $\varphi$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$. Thus, $\varphi$ has infinite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order, so that $G^{(s)}(\varphi)=\underline{0}$ for some positive integer $s \leq n-1$. If $\varphi$ is non $\pm$-holomorphic, by Proposition 3.2 in [O-U1] we have $\operatorname{rank}_{C} \partial \varphi \leq 1$ on $M \backslash S_{\varphi}$, which implies $\operatorname{rank} G^{\prime}(\varphi)=1$ and $G^{\prime}(\varphi)$ defines a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$, so does $G^{(i)}(\varphi)$ while $G^{(i-1)}(\varphi)$ defines non $\pm$-holomorphic map. If $G^{(r)}(\varphi)$ defines a holomorphic map, then

$$
A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r-1)}(\varphi), G^{(r)}(\varphi)}=\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi)^{\perp}}\right|_{G^{(r-1)}(\varphi)} \equiv 0
$$

hence $G^{(r-1)}(\varphi)$ already defines an anti-holomorphic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$. q.e.d.
When the target is a complex Grassmann manifold of higher rank, a generic pluriharmonic map surely has finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order. Therefore, in this case we can't expect the result like Theorem 3.1 because the situation of $\operatorname{rank} G^{(i+1)}(\varphi)>$ $\operatorname{rank} G^{(i)}(\varphi)$ may occur. We use the forward replacement, which is the most basic one by Proposition 2.3, to treat the case of higher rank. We may reverse the procedures in Theorem 3.1 so that any pluriharmonic map can be constructed, using the second fundamental forms, from a holomorphic map or a rational map, which is proved in section 7.

## 4. Pluriharmonic maps into $G_{2}\left(C^{n}\right)$.

In this section, we give an explicit method of constructing any pluriharmonic $\operatorname{map} \varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, where $M$ is a compact complex manifold with $c_{1}(M)>$ 0 . If $\varphi$ has infinite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order, then $G^{(s)}(\varphi)=\underline{0}$ for some positive integer $s$, hence $\varphi$ is reduced to an anti-holomorphic map $\varphi_{s-1}: M \backslash S_{\varphi,-1} \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ for some $t$. Thus, we may assume that $\varphi$ has finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order. We prove

Theorem 4.1. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map. Assume that $\varphi$ has finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order. Then, there is a sequence $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ of pluriharmonic maps such that
(1) $\varphi_{0}=\varphi, \quad$ (2) $\varphi_{N}: M \backslash S_{\varphi_{N}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$,
(3) for $i=0,1, \cdots, N-1$, each $\varphi_{i}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+i$, where $r$ is the $\partial^{\prime}$ isotropy order of $\varphi_{0}$, and $\varphi_{i+1}$ is obtained from $\varphi_{i}$ by the forward replacement of $\alpha^{i}$, where $\alpha^{i}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+i)}\left(\varphi_{i}\right), \varphi_{i}}$, which is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}_{i}$ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}^{\frac{1}{i}}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}}\right)$.

Proof. Let $r$ be the $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order of $\varphi$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}$ is holomorphic. Set $A_{r, \varphi}=A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r-1)}(\varphi), G^{(r)}(\varphi)} \circ$ $\cdots \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi, G^{\prime}(\varphi)}$. By Lemma 2.1, $A_{r, \varphi}^{2} \equiv 0$, so that $\alpha^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{r, \varphi} \subset$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rank} \alpha^{0}=1$. Set $\alpha_{0}^{0}=\alpha^{0}, \alpha_{i}^{0}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{0}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$, and set $\gamma_{0}^{0}=\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha_{0}^{0}, \gamma_{i}^{0}=G^{(i)}(\varphi) \ominus \alpha_{i}^{0}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$. We have a diagram

where $R=\underline{\varphi}^{\perp} \ominus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} G^{(j)}(\varphi)\right)$. By Proposition 3.1, $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{i}^{0}, \alpha_{i+1}^{0}}, A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{i}^{0}, \gamma_{i+1}^{0}}(0 \leq i \leq$ $r-1), A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{r}^{0}, \alpha_{0}^{0}}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r}^{0}, R}$ are all holomorphic. Further, set $\alpha_{r+1}^{0}=\operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r}^{0}, R}$ and $R_{0}^{\prime}=R \ominus \alpha_{r+1}^{0}$. Again, we have a diagram


By (4.2) and Proposition 3.1, we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}}$ is also holomorphic. We have a holomorphic circuit $\left\{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \alpha_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{r+1}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}, \gamma_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \gamma_{r}^{0}, \alpha_{0}^{0}\right\}$, which must vanish by Lemma 2.1. However, $A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{i}^{0}, \gamma_{i+1}^{0}}(0 \leq i \leq r-1)$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{r}^{0}, \alpha_{0}^{0}}$ are all surjective. Since $\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}^{0}=1$, we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}} \equiv 0$. Hereafter, we use the convention that if $\alpha_{i}^{0}=\underline{0}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ we understand that $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}} \equiv 0$ is trivially satisfied. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}^{0}$. If $\alpha_{1}^{0}=\underline{0}$, then $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}=1$ and $\varphi_{1}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} \bar{P}^{n-1}$. Hence, assume that $\alpha_{1}^{0} \neq \underline{0}$. Then, from (4.2) we have

$$
\underline{\varphi}_{1}=\gamma_{0}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{1}^{0}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)=\gamma_{i}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}^{0}(1 \leq i \leq r), \quad G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}
$$

so that $\varphi_{1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+1$. To continue this procedure, we investigate the properties of $\varphi_{1}$ further. Setting $R_{1}=\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)$, we have a diagram


By (4.3) and Proposition 3.1, we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$ is holomorphic. We have a holomorphic circuit $\left\{\underline{\varphi}_{1}, G^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \cdots, G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \underline{\varphi}_{1}\right\}$, and setting

$$
A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}=A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)} \circ \cdots \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{1}, G^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}
$$

we see that $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}$ is nilpotent. Set $\alpha_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$ then $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{1} \leq \operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-$ 1. Let $\widetilde{P}^{1}: G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{0}$ and $P_{1}: \alpha_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{0}$ be the Hermitian orthogonal projections. It follows from the surjectivity of $A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{r}^{0}, \alpha_{0}^{0}}$ and the fact $G^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)=$ $\gamma_{r}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{r+1}^{0}$ that $\widetilde{P}^{1}$ is surjective. Since $\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \perp \alpha_{1}^{0}, G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{R_{0}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{0}} \equiv 0$ by (4.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}(v)=A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \alpha_{1}^{0}}(v)=A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \alpha_{1}^{0}} \circ \widetilde{P}^{1}(v) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v \in C^{\infty}\left(G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right)$, which, together with the surjectivities of $\widetilde{P}^{1}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \alpha_{1}^{0}}$, implies that $P_{1}$ is surjective. Therefore, we have $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{1} \geq \operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{0}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$,
which, together with the above rank inequality, implies that rank $\alpha_{0}^{1}=\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{0}=$ $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$ and $P_{1}$ is an isomorphism, where we note that $P_{1}$ is holomorphic by Lemma 2.2. We show that $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \equiv 0$. Set $\alpha_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right)$, which is a holomorphic subbundle of $G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)$, for $i=1, \cdots, r+1$. If $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}: \alpha_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{0}$ is surjective, by (4.4) we see that $P_{1}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}\right)\right)=P_{1}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right)$, hence $\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}\right)=\alpha_{0}^{1}$, which contradicts the nilpotency of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}$. Therefore, $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}} \equiv 0$ by rank $\alpha_{0}^{0}=1$, and hence $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$ by (4.4) and the isomorphicity of $P_{1}$. Thus, we have proved that $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \equiv 0$. Moreover, we obtain $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset R_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\alpha_{r+2}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}\right) \subset R_{1} \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}$. Finally, set

$$
R_{1}^{\prime}=R_{1} \ominus \alpha_{r+2}^{1}=\left(\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right) \ominus \alpha_{r+2}^{1}
$$

then by (4.2) we see that $R_{1}^{\prime} \perp \alpha_{1}^{0}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{R_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{1}} \equiv 0$.
We claim that
Claim. For each $i=0,1, \cdots$, if, $\varphi_{i}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+i, A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+i)}\left(\varphi_{i}\right), \varphi_{i}}$ is holomorphic and $A_{r+i, \varphi_{i}}^{2} \equiv 0$, then define $\varphi_{i+1}$ by $\underline{\varphi}_{i+1}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{i} \ominus \alpha_{0}^{i}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}^{i}$, where $\alpha_{1}^{i}=G_{\varphi_{i}}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{0}^{i}\right), \alpha_{0}^{i}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+i)}\left(\varphi_{i}\right), \varphi_{i}} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{r+i, \varphi_{i}}$ and $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{i}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{i}-1$. Then, either $\varphi_{i+1}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$. or, $\varphi_{i+1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+i+1$ and has the following properties :
(1) $A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+i+1)}\left(\varphi_{i+1}\right), \varphi_{i+1}}$ is holomorphic and $A_{r+i+1, \varphi_{i+1}}^{2} \equiv 0$,
(2) set $\alpha_{0}^{i+1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+i+1)}\left(\varphi_{i+1}\right), \varphi_{i+1}} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{r+i+1, \varphi_{i+1}}$, then $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{i}=\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{i+1}=$ $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{i+1}-1$ and the Hermitian orthogonal projection $P_{i+1}: \alpha_{0}^{i+1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{i}$ is a holomorphic isomorphism,
(3) $G^{(r+s)}\left(\varphi_{i+1}\right) \subset R_{s-1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{s-1}(1 \leq s \leq i+1)$,
(4) set $\alpha_{j}^{i+1}=G_{\varphi_{i+1}}^{(j)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{i+1}\right)$ for $j=1, \cdots, r+i+2$, then $\alpha_{r+s}^{i+1} \subset R_{s-1}^{\prime}(1 \leq s \leq i+1)$ and $\alpha_{r+i+2}^{i+1} \subset R_{i}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{i}$,
(5) set $R_{i+1}^{\prime}=\left(\left(R_{i}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{i}\right) \ominus G^{(r+i+1)}\left(\varphi_{i+1}\right)\right) \ominus \alpha_{r+i+2}^{i+1}$, then $R_{i+1}^{\prime} \perp \alpha_{1}^{i}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{R_{i+1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{i+1}} \equiv 0$.

This Claim is already established for $i=0$. Assume that Claim is true for $0 \leq i \leq k$ and $\underline{\varphi}_{i+1}(0 \leq i \leq k)$ does not define a map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$, so that each $\varphi_{i+1}(0 \leq i \leq k)$ has the properties (1) $\sim$ (5). Then, we may define $\varphi_{k+2}$ by $\underline{\varphi}_{k+2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{k+1} \Theta \alpha_{0}^{k+1}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}^{k+1}$. If $\alpha_{1}^{k+1}=\underline{0}$, then $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{k+2}=1$ by (2) for $\varphi_{k+1}$, and $\varphi_{k+2}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$. Hence, assume that $\alpha_{1}^{k+1} \neq \underline{0}$. First, we draw the diagram for $\varphi_{i+1}(0 \leq i \leq k)$. Set $\gamma_{0}^{i+1}=\underline{\varphi}_{i+1} \ominus \alpha_{0}^{i+1}$ and
$\gamma_{j}^{i+1}=G^{(j)}\left(\varphi_{i+1}^{\prime}\right) \ominus \alpha_{j}^{i+1}$ for $j=1, \cdots, r+i+1$. By the properties (1) $\sim(5)$ for $\varphi_{i+1}$, we have a diagram


In particular, when $i=k$, we have a holomorphic circuit

$$
\left\{\alpha_{0}^{k+1}, \alpha_{1}^{k+1}, \cdots, \alpha_{r+k+2}^{k+1}, \gamma_{0}^{k+1}, \gamma_{1}^{k+1}, \cdots, \gamma_{r+k+1}^{k+1}, \alpha_{0}^{k+1}\right\}
$$

which is nilpotent. Since $\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}^{k+1}=1$ by (2) for $\varphi_{k+1}$, and $A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{j}^{k+1}, \gamma_{j+1}^{k+1}}(0 \leq j \leq$ $r+k$ ) and $A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{r+k+1}^{k+1}, \alpha_{0}^{k+1}}$ are all surjective, we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{+k+2}^{k+1}, \gamma_{0}^{k+1}} \equiv 0$. It follows from (4.5) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\underline{\varphi}_{k+2}=\gamma_{0}^{k+1} \oplus \alpha_{1}^{k+1}, \quad G^{(j)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right)=\gamma_{j}^{k+1} \oplus \alpha_{j+1}^{k+1}(1 \leq j \leq r+k+1)  \tag{4.6}\\
G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right) \\
\subset R_{k+1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{k+1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, $\varphi_{k+2}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+k+2$. By (3) and (4) for $\varphi_{k+1}$ and the definition of $R_{s}^{\prime}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{r+s}^{k+1} \subset G^{(r+s)}\left(\varphi_{k+1}\right) & \subset R_{s-1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{s-1}(1 \leq s \leq k+1), \\
\alpha_{r+s+1}^{k+1} \subset R_{s}^{\prime} & \subset R_{s-1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{s-1}(1 \leq s \leq k), \quad \alpha_{r+k+2}^{k+1} \subset R_{k}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (4.6), yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{(r+s)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right) \subset R_{s-1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{s-1} \quad(1 \leq s \leq k+2) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $R_{k+2}=\underline{\varphi}_{k+2}^{1} \ominus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r+k+2} G^{(j)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right)\right)$. We have a diagram


By (4.8) and Proposition 3.1, $A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right), \varphi_{k+2}}$ is holomorphic. Set $\alpha_{0}^{k+2}=$ $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right), \varphi_{k+2}}$ and $\alpha_{j}^{k+2}=G_{\varphi_{k+2}}^{(j)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{k+2}\right)$ for $j=1, \cdots, r+k+2$. Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{r+k+2, \varphi_{k+2}}=A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right), \varphi_{k+2}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+k+1)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right), G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right)} \circ \cdots \\
\cdots \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{k+2}, G^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

which is nilpotent. Hence, $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{k+2} \leq \operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{k+2}-1$. Let $\widetilde{P}^{k+2}: G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right)$ $\longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{k+1}$ and $P_{k+2}: \alpha_{0}^{k+2} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{k+1}$ be the Hermitian orthogonal projections. It follows from the surjectivity of $A_{(1,0)}^{\substack{\gamma_{+k+1}^{k+1}, \alpha_{0}^{k+1}}}$ and the fact $G^{(r+k+1)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right)=\gamma_{r+k+1}^{k+1} \oplus$ $\alpha_{r+k+2}^{k+1}$ (see (4.5) and (4.6)) that $\widetilde{P}^{k+2}$ is surjective. Since $\left(R_{k+1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{k+1}\right) \perp \alpha_{1}^{k+1}$, $G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right) \subset R_{k+1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{k+1}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{R_{k+1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{k+1}} \equiv 0$ by (4.5) for $i=k$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{k+2} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right), \varphi_{k+2}}(v)  \tag{4.9}\\
= & A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right), \alpha_{1}^{k+1}}(v)=A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{k+1}, \alpha_{1}^{k+1}} \circ \widetilde{P}^{k+2}(v),
\end{align*}
$$

where $v \in C^{\infty}\left(G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right)\right)$, which, together with the surjectivities of $\widetilde{P}^{k+2}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{k+1}, \alpha_{1}^{k+1}}$, implies that $P_{k+2}$ is surjective. Therefore, we have rank $\alpha_{0}^{k+2} \geq$ $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{k+1}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{k+2}-\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}^{k+1}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{k+2}-1$, where the last equality follows from (2) for $\varphi_{k+1}$. Consequently, we see that $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{k+2}=\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{k+1}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{k+2}-1$ and $P_{k+2}$ is an isomorphism. We show that $A_{r+k+2, \varphi_{k+2}}^{2} \equiv 0$. By (4.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{r+s}^{k+2} \subset G^{(r+s)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right) \subset R_{s-1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{s-1}(1 \leq s \leq k+2) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we must show that $\alpha_{r+s}^{k+2} \subset R_{s-1}^{\prime}(1 \leq s \leq k+2)$. Let $p^{s}: \alpha_{r+s}^{k+2} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{s-1}$, $q^{s}: \alpha_{r+s}^{k+2} \longrightarrow R_{s-1}^{\prime}(1 \leq s \leq k+2)$ and $\tau^{s}: \alpha_{r+s+1}^{k+2} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{s-1}(1 \leq s \leq k+1)$ be the Hermitian orthogonal projections. Take any $v \in C^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{r+s}^{k+2}\right)$. By (4.10), we may set $v=p^{s}(v)+q^{s}(v)$, and for $1 \leq s \leq k+1$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau^{s} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+\infty}^{k+2}, \alpha_{r+\infty}^{k+2}}(v)  \tag{4.11}\\
& =A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{*-1}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime-1}}\left(p^{s}(v)\right)+A_{(1,0)}^{R_{t, 1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{-1}}\left(q^{s}(v)\right)=A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{s-1}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime-1}} \circ p^{s}(v)
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the facts $\left(R_{s-1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{s-1}\right) \perp \alpha_{1}^{s-1}$ (see (4.5)) and (5) for $\varphi_{s-1}$. If $p^{s}$ is surjective, then, since $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{s^{-1}, \alpha_{1}^{s-1}}}$ is surjective, (4.11) implies that $\tau^{s}$ is
surjective, where we note that $\alpha_{0}^{s-1} \neq \underline{0}$ and $\alpha_{1}^{s-1} \neq \underline{0}(1 \leq s \leq k+1)$ because neither $\varphi_{s-1}$ nor $\varphi_{s}$ defines a map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ by the assumption. Since $R_{s}^{\prime} \perp \alpha_{1}^{s-1}, \operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{s}=\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{s-1}$ and $P_{s}: \alpha_{0}^{s} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{s-1}$ is an isomorphism by (2), (5) for $\varphi_{s}$, the surjectivity of $\tau^{s}$ implies that $p^{s+1}: \alpha_{r+s+1}^{k+2} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{s}$ is also surjective. Now, suppose that $p^{1}$ is surjective. Then, it follows that each $p^{s}$ $(1 \leq s \leq k+2)$ is surjective. In particular, $p^{k+2}: \alpha_{r+k+2}^{k+2} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{k+1}$ is surjective. Note that $p^{k+2}=\left.\widetilde{P}^{k+2}\right|_{\alpha_{r+k+2}^{k+2}}$. Then, it follows from the surjectivity of $p^{k+2}$ and (4.9) that $P_{k+2}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right), \varphi_{k+2}}\right|_{\alpha_{r+k+2}^{k+2}}\right)\right)=P_{k+2}\left(\alpha_{0}^{k+2}\right)$ and hence $\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right), \varphi_{k+2}}\right|_{\alpha_{r+k+2}^{k+2}}\right)=\alpha_{0}^{k+2}$, which contradicts the nilpotency of $A_{r+k+2, \varphi_{k+2}}$. Therefore, we have proved that $p^{1}$ is not surjective and $p^{1} \equiv 0$ by $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{0}=1$. For any fixed $s(1 \leq s \leq k+1)$, if $p^{s} \equiv 0$, then by (4.11) and the surjectivity of $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+\infty}^{k+2}, \alpha_{r+1}^{k+2}}$ for $1 \leq s \leq k+1$ we see that $\tau^{s} \equiv 0$, where we note that if $\alpha_{r+s+1}^{k+2}=\underline{0}$ then $\tau^{s} \equiv 0$ is trivially satisfied. Since $P_{s}$ is an isomorphism, it follows from $\tau^{s} \equiv 0$ that $p^{s+1} \equiv 0$. Thus, we have proved that $p^{s} \equiv 0(1 \leq s \leq k+2)$, which, together with (4.10), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{r+s}^{k+2} \subset R_{s-1}^{\prime}(1 \leq s \leq k+2) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the fact $p^{k+2} \equiv 0$, the isomorphicity of $P_{k+2}$ and (4.9) imply that $\alpha_{r+k+2}^{k+2} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right), \varphi_{k+2}}$, so that $A_{r+k+2, \varphi_{k+2}}^{2} \equiv 0$. Set

$$
\alpha_{r+k+3}^{k+2}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right)}\right|_{\alpha_{r+k+2}^{k+2}}\right) \subset R_{k+2} \subset R_{k+1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{k+1}
$$

and set

$$
R_{k+2}^{\prime}=R_{k+2} \ominus \alpha_{r+k+3}^{k+2}=\left(\left(R_{k+1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{k+1}\right) \ominus G^{(r+k+2)}\left(\varphi_{k+2}\right)\right) \ominus \alpha_{r+k+3}^{k+2}
$$

By (4.5) for $i=k$, we see that $R_{k+2}^{\prime} \perp \alpha_{1}^{k+1}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{R_{k+2}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{k+2}} \equiv 0$. In this way, Claim is established.

Now, let $N$ be any positive integer and suppose that each $\varphi_{i}(0 \leq i \leq N)$ does not define a map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$. Then, by Claim we see that $\varphi_{N}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+N$. However, this is impossible because the $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+N$ must be less than $n$. Therefore, there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $\varphi_{N}$ is a pluriharmonic map from $M \backslash S_{\varphi_{N}}$ into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$, which, together with Claim, yields the statements (1) $\sim(3)$ of Theorem 4.1.
q.e.d.

The inverse procedures of Theorem 4.1 is also proved in section 7.

## 5. Pluriharmonic maps into $G_{3}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$.

Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{3}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map, where $M$ is a compact complex manifold with $c_{1}(M)>0$. As in the case of $G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, we may assume that $\varphi$ has finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order. Define $A_{r, \varphi}$ as in section 4 , where $r$ is the $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order of $\varphi$, then $A_{r, \varphi}$ is nilpotent. There are two possibilities :
(I) $A_{r, \varphi}^{3} \equiv 0$ and $A_{r, \varphi}^{2} \not \equiv 0, \quad$ (II) $A_{r, \varphi}^{2} \equiv 0$.

We treat these two cases separately. Although we don't get the result such as Theorem 4.1 because of the complicate of the sequence of pluriharmonic maps into $G_{3}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, we may increase the $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order by two, so that we can construct any pluriharmonic map into $G_{3}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ under the restriction on $n$. Set $\varphi_{0}=\varphi$.
(I) Set $R_{0}=\underline{\varphi}^{\perp} \ominus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} G^{(r)}(\varphi)\right)$, and set $\tau_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}, \tau_{i}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$ and $\gamma_{0}^{0}=\underline{\varphi} \ominus \tau_{0}, \gamma_{i}^{0}=G^{(i)}(\varphi) \ominus \tau_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$. Since $A_{r, \varphi}^{2} \not \equiv 0$, set $\alpha_{0}^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}\right|_{\tau_{r}}\right)$ and $\alpha_{i}^{0}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{0}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$. Then, we see that $\operatorname{rank} \tau_{0}=2$ and rank $\alpha_{0}^{0}=1$. Moreover, set $\beta_{0}^{0}=\tau_{0} \ominus \alpha_{0}^{0}, \beta_{i}^{0}=\tau_{i} \ominus \alpha_{i}^{0}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$, $\alpha_{r+1}^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi)}\right|_{\alpha_{r}^{0}}\right) \subset R_{0}$ and $R_{0}^{\prime}=R_{0} \ominus \alpha_{r+1}^{0}$. Then, we have a diagram


By (5.1), we have a holomorphic circuit

$$
\left\{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \alpha_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{r+1}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}, \gamma_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \gamma_{r}^{0}, \beta_{0}^{0}, \beta_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \beta_{r}^{0}, \alpha_{0}^{0}\right\}
$$

which must vanish. Since $A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{i}^{0}, \gamma_{i+1}^{0}}, A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{i}^{0}, \beta_{i+1}^{0}}(0 \leq i \leq r-1), A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{r}^{0}, \beta_{0}^{0}}$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r}^{0}, \alpha_{0}^{0}}$ are all surjective, we have $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{0}^{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{0} \ominus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}^{0}$ then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{0}^{1}=\gamma_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{1}^{0}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{0}^{1}\right) & =\gamma_{i}^{0} \oplus \beta_{i}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}^{0}(1 \leq i \leq r),  \tag{5.2}\\
G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{0}^{1}\right) & \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0},
\end{align*}
$$

so that $\beta_{0}^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{0}^{1}\right), \varphi_{0}^{1}}, \beta_{i}^{0}=G_{\varphi_{0}^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\beta_{0}^{0}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{0}^{1}\right)}\right|_{\beta_{r}^{0}}\right) \subset$ $R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}$. Note that if $\alpha_{1}^{0}=\underline{0}$ then $\varphi_{0}^{1}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, so that we may assume that $\alpha_{1}^{0} \neq \underline{0}$. Set $\left.\beta_{r+1}^{0}=\left.\underline{\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}}\left(\varphi_{0}^{1}\right)\right.}\right|_{\beta_{r}^{0}}\right)$ and $R_{01}=\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus \beta_{r+1}^{0}$ then we have a diagram

where we have put $\hat{\alpha}_{i}^{0}=\gamma_{i}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}^{0}(0 \leq i \leq r)$. By (5.3), we easily see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}^{0}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{0}}: \beta_{r+1}^{0} \longrightarrow \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{0}$ can not be surjective and $\operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}^{0}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{0}}$ is contained in the kernel of $A_{(1,0)}^{\hat{\alpha}_{r}^{0}, \beta_{0}^{0}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\hat{\alpha}_{r-1}^{0}, \hat{\alpha}_{r}^{0}} \circ \cdots \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\hat{\alpha}_{0}^{0}, \hat{\alpha}_{1}^{0}}$, so that $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}^{0}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{0}} \leq \operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{0}-1$. Set $\delta_{0}^{0}=\operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}^{0}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{0}}$. Denote by $P_{0}: \delta_{0}^{0} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{0}$ and $P^{0}: \beta_{r+1}^{0} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{0}$ the Hermitian orthogonal projections. In the same way as (4.4), by (5.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}^{0}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{0}}(v)=A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \alpha_{1}^{0}} \circ P^{0}(v), \quad . v \in C^{\infty}\left(\beta_{r+1}^{0}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{n}^{0}, \alpha_{0}^{0}}$ is surjective, $P^{0}$ is surjective, which, together with (5.4) and the surjectivity of $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \alpha_{1}^{0}}$, implies that $P_{0}$ is surjective, hence $\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{0} \geq \operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{0}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{0}-1$. Thus, we see that $\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{0}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{0}-1$ and $P_{0}$ is an isomorphism. Set $\delta_{i}^{0}=$ $G_{\varphi_{0}^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\delta_{0}^{0}\right) \cap \hat{\alpha}_{i}^{0}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$, and set $\hat{\gamma}_{0}^{0}=\hat{\alpha}_{0}^{0} \ominus \delta_{0}^{0}, \hat{\gamma}_{i}^{0}=\hat{\alpha}_{i}^{0} \ominus \delta_{i}^{0}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$. Since $\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{0}^{1}\right)}\right|_{\left(\beta_{r}^{0} \oplus \delta_{r}^{0}\right)}\right) \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}$, set $\delta_{r+1}^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{0}^{1}\right)}\right|_{\left(\beta_{r}^{0} \oplus \delta_{r}^{0}\right)}\right) \ominus \beta_{r+1}^{0} \subset R_{01}$ and $R_{01}^{\prime}=R_{01} \ominus \delta_{r+1}^{0}$. We have a diagram


By (5.5) we have a holomorphic circuit

$$
\left\{\beta_{0}^{0}, \beta_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \beta_{r+1}^{0}, \delta_{0}^{0}, \delta_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \delta_{r+1}^{0}, \hat{\gamma}_{0}^{0}, \hat{\gamma}_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \hat{\gamma}_{r}^{0}, \beta_{0}^{0}\right\}
$$

which must vanish. Hence, we get $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{+1}^{0}, \hat{\gamma}_{0}^{0}} \equiv 0$ because rank $\hat{\gamma}_{0}^{0}=1$, where, as in section 4, we understand that this equation is trivially satisfied if $\delta_{i}^{0}=\underline{0}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r+1$. Therefore, set $\underline{\varphi}_{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{0}^{1} \ominus\left(\delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\underline{\varphi}_{1}=\hat{\gamma}_{0}^{0} \oplus \delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)=\hat{\gamma}_{i}^{0} \oplus \delta_{i+1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{i+1}^{0}(1 \leq i \leq r)  \tag{5.6}\\
G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \subset R_{01}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

so that $\varphi_{1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$. We remark that $\delta_{0}^{0} \neq \underline{0}$ if $\alpha_{1}^{0} \neq \underline{0}$. First, we show that $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{3} \equiv 0$. Set $\mu_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}, \mu_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\mu_{0}^{1}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r+1$. Denote by $P_{1}^{0}: \mu_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0}$ and $\widetilde{P}^{1}: G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}$ the Hermitian orthogonal projections, which are holomorphic. By (5.5), we see that $\widetilde{P}^{1}$ is surjective. We have
(5.7) $P_{1}^{0} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}(v)=A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}, \delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0}} \circ \widetilde{P}^{1}(v), \quad v \in C^{\infty}\left(G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right)$.

It follows from (5.7) that $P_{1}^{0}$ is surjective, which, together with the nilpotency of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}$, implies that $\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}^{1}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$ and $P_{1}^{0}$ is an isomorphism. Hence, $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}: \mu_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}$ can not be surjective, and set $\hat{\mu}_{r+1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}\right)$, $\hat{\nu}_{r+1}=\left(\delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus \hat{\mu}_{r+1}$. Since $P_{1}^{0}$ is surjective, the Hermitian orthogonal projection $P_{1}^{r}: \mu_{r}^{1} \longrightarrow \delta_{r+1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{r+1}^{0}$ is also surjective, and denoting by $\widetilde{q}^{1}: G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \delta_{0}^{0}$ the Hermitian orthogonal projection we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{q}^{1} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}(w)=A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{r+1}^{0}, \varepsilon_{0}^{0}} \circ P_{1}^{r}(w), \quad w \in C^{\infty}\left(\mu_{r}^{1}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition, $A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}^{0}, \delta_{0}^{0}}$ is surjective, hence, by (5.8) we see that $\left.\widetilde{q}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}$ : $\mu_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \delta_{0}^{0}$ is surjective, which implies that $\operatorname{rank} \hat{\mu}_{r+1}=\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{0}$ and $\operatorname{rank} \hat{\nu}_{r+1}=1$. Set $\alpha_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}\right), \alpha_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r+1$. Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{r}^{1} \subset G^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \subset \gamma_{r}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{r+1}^{0} \oplus R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0} \\
\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \subset R_{01}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{0}^{0} \subset \gamma_{0}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{1}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $\widetilde{r}^{1}: G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{0}$ and $r_{1}: \alpha_{r}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{0}$ the Hermitian orthogonal projections. Then, by (5.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{r}^{1} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}(w)=A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \alpha_{1}^{0}} \circ r_{1}(w), \quad w \in C^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{r}^{1}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $r_{1}$ is surjective. Then, it follows from (5.9) that $\left.\widetilde{r}^{1}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}: \alpha_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow$ $\alpha_{1}^{0}$ is surjective, which, together with the isomorphicity of $P_{0}: \delta_{0}^{0} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{0}$ and the fact $\left(R_{01}^{\prime} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}\right) \perp \alpha_{1}^{0}$, implies that $\left.\tilde{q}^{1}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}: \alpha_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \delta_{0}^{0}$ is surjective, hence $\operatorname{rank} \widetilde{P}^{1}\left(\alpha_{r+1}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\mu}_{r+1}$ and $\widetilde{P}^{1}\left(\alpha_{r+1}^{1}\right)=\hat{\mu}_{r+1}$. Then, it follows from (5.7) that $P_{1}^{0}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right)=P_{1}^{0}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}\right)\right)$, hence $\alpha_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}\right)$ because $P_{1}^{0}$ is an isomorphism. However, this contradicts the nilpotency of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}$. Therefore, we have proved that $r_{1}$ is not surjective, hence $r_{1} \equiv 0$ by rank $\alpha_{0}^{0}=1$. By (5.9), we obtain $\left.\widetilde{r}^{1}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}} \equiv 0$, which, together with the facts that $P_{0}: \delta_{0}^{0} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{0}$ is an isomorphism and $\left(R_{01}^{\prime} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}\right) \perp \alpha_{1}^{0}$, yields $\left.\widetilde{q}^{1}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}} \equiv 0$, hence $\widetilde{P}^{1}\left(\alpha_{r+1}^{1}\right) \subset \beta_{0}^{0}$. However, since $\widetilde{P}^{1}\left(\alpha_{r+1}^{1}\right) \subset \hat{\mu}_{r+1}$, and $\hat{\mu}_{r+1}$ does not have $\beta_{0}^{0}$ as a proper subbundle by the facts that $\operatorname{rank} \beta_{0}^{0}=1$, $\operatorname{rank} \hat{\mu}_{r+1}=\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{0}$ and $\left.\widetilde{q}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}$ is surjective, we see that $\widetilde{P}^{1}\left(\alpha_{r+1}^{1}\right) \equiv 0$. Therefore, it follows from (5.7) and the isomorphicity of $P_{1}^{0}$ that $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$, so that $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{3} \equiv 0$. We treat two possibilities of $\varphi_{1}$ separately.
(1) The case of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \equiv 0$. If $\mu_{0}^{1}=0$, by the isomorphicity of $P_{1}^{0}$, we have $\delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0}=\underline{0}$, hence $\varphi_{1}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$. Hence, we may assume that $\mu_{0}^{1} \neq \underline{0}$. Since $\mu_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$, set $\mu_{r+2}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}\right)$ then $\mu_{r+2}^{1} \subset\left(R_{01}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)$. Set $R_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\left(R_{01}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right) \ominus \mu_{r+2}^{1}$. We have a diagram

where $\gamma_{i}^{1}=G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \ominus \mu_{i}^{1}(0 \leq i \leq r+1)$. Recall that rank $\gamma_{0}^{1}=1$. Hence, by (5.10) we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r+2}^{1}, \gamma_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1} \ominus \mu_{0}^{1}\right) \oplus \mu_{1}^{1}$ then

$$
\underline{\varphi}_{2}=\gamma_{0}^{1} \oplus \mu_{1}^{1}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)=\gamma_{i}^{1} \oplus \mu_{i+1}^{1}(1 \leq i \leq r+1), \quad G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \subset R_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \mu_{0}^{1}
$$

thus, $\varphi_{2}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+2$. Note that $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right), \varphi_{2}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{2}-1$.
(2) The case of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \not \equiv 0$. Set $\gamma_{i}^{1}=G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \ominus \mu_{i}^{1}$ and $\beta_{i}^{1}=\mu_{i}^{1} \ominus \alpha_{i}^{1}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, r+1$, where $G^{(0)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)=\underline{\varphi}_{1}$. Since $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$, set $\alpha_{r+2}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}\right)$ then $\alpha_{r+2}^{1} \subset\left(R_{01}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)$. Set $R_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\left(R_{01}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right) \ominus \alpha_{r+2}^{1}$. We have the same diagram as (5.1), where we must replace the upper index 0 by $1, r$ by $r+1$ and $R_{0}^{\prime}$ by $R_{1}^{\prime}$, and we denote by $(5.1)_{1}$ the new diagram. Since rank $\gamma_{0}^{1}=1$, we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r}^{1}, \gamma_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{1}^{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1} \ominus \alpha_{0}^{1}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}^{1}$, then by (5.1) $)_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\underline{\varphi}_{1}^{1}=\gamma_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{1}^{1}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right)=\gamma_{i}^{1} \oplus \beta_{i}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}^{1}(1 \leq i \leq r+1)  \tag{5.11}\\
G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right) \subset R_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right), \varphi_{1}^{1}}, \quad \beta_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\beta_{0}^{1}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r+1) \\
& \text { and } \quad \underline{\operatorname{Im}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right)}\right|_{\beta_{r+1}^{1}}\right) \subset R_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

 same diagram as (5.3), where we must replace the upper index 0 by $1, r$ by $r+1$ and $R_{01}$ by $R_{11}$, and we denote by (5.3) $)_{1}$ the new diagram. By $(5.3)_{1}$, we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+2}^{1}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}}: \beta_{r+2}^{1} \rightarrow \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}$ can not be surjective, hence $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+2}^{1}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}} \leq \operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}-1$. Set $\delta_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+2}^{1}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}}$ and $\delta_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\delta_{0}^{1}\right) \cap \hat{\alpha}_{i}^{1}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r+1$. Denote by $P_{1}: \delta_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{1}$ and $P^{1}: \beta_{r+2}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{1}$ the Hermitian orthogonal projections. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+2}^{1}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}}(v)=A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{1}, \alpha_{1}^{1}} \circ P^{1}(v), \quad . v \in C^{\infty}\left(\beta_{r+2}^{1}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}^{1}, \alpha_{0}^{1}}$ is surjective, it follows that $P^{1}$ is surjective, which, together with (5.12) and the surjectivity of $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{1}, \alpha_{1}^{1}}$, implies that $P_{1}$ is surjective, hence rank $\delta_{0}^{1} \geq$
$\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{1}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}-1$. Thus, we have proved that $\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{1}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}-1$ and $P_{1}$ is an isomorphism. By (5.6), we see that

$$
\delta_{r+1}^{1} \subset \gamma_{r+1}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{r+2}^{1} \subset R_{01}^{1} \oplus \delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}
$$

Recall that $\delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}=\hat{\mu}_{r+1} \oplus \hat{\nu}_{r+1}, \operatorname{rank} \hat{\nu}_{r+1}=1, \mu_{0}^{1}=\alpha_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1}$ and $P_{1}^{0}: \mu_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow$ $\delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0}$ is a holomorphic isomorphism. Note that $\hat{\mu}_{r+1}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}$. By (5.7), we have $P_{1}^{0}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right)=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}, \delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0}}\right|_{\hat{\mu}_{r+1}}\right)$, which is a holomorphic subbundle of $\delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0}$. Set $\hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}=\left(\delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0}\right) \ominus P_{1}^{0}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right)$, and denote by $\hat{P}_{1}^{0}: \beta_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}$ the composition of $\left.P_{1}^{0}\right|_{\beta_{0}^{1}}: \beta_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0}$ and the Hermitian orthogonal projection $: \delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0} \longrightarrow \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}$. Then, $\hat{P}_{1}^{0}$ is a holomorphic isomorphism. Moreover, we see that $\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}, \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}}\right|_{\dot{\nu}_{r+1}}: \hat{\nu}_{r+1} \longrightarrow \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}$ is holomorphic and surjective. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P}_{1}^{0} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}}(v)=A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}, \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}} \circ \widetilde{P}_{\hat{\nu}}^{1}(v), \quad v \in C^{\infty}\left(\delta_{r+1}^{1}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{P}_{\hat{\nu}}^{1}: \delta_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \hat{\nu}_{r+1}$ is the Hermitian orthogonal projection. Now, suppose that $\widetilde{P}_{\hat{\nu}}^{1}$ is surjective. Then, (5.13), together with the isomorphicity of $\hat{P}_{1}^{0}$ and the surjectivity of $\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}, \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}}\right|_{\hat{\nu}_{r+1}}$, implies that $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}}: \delta_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \beta_{0}^{1}$ is surjective. However, by $(5.3)_{1}$ we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}}$ can not be surjective, hence a contradiction. Therefore, we have $\hat{P}_{\hat{\nu}}^{1} \equiv 0$, and by (5.13) we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Hence, set $\delta_{r+2}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right)}\right|_{\beta_{r+1}^{1} \oplus \delta_{r+1}^{1}}\right) \ominus \beta_{r+2}^{1} \subset R_{11}$ and $R_{11}^{\prime}=R_{11} \Theta \delta_{r+2}^{1}$. Then, we have the same diagram as (5.5), where we must replace the upper index 0 by $1, r$ by $r+1$ and $R_{01}^{\prime}$ by $R_{11}^{\prime}$, and we denote by $(5.5)_{1}$ the new diagram. Since $\operatorname{rank} \hat{\gamma}^{1}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}-\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{1}=1$, it follows from $(5.5)_{1}$ that $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+2}^{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1}^{1} \ominus\left(\delta_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\delta_{1}^{1} \oplus \beta_{1}^{1}\right)$, then by $(5.5)_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{2}=\hat{\gamma}_{0}^{1} \oplus \delta_{1}^{1} \oplus \beta_{1}^{1}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) & =\hat{\gamma}_{i}^{1} \oplus \delta_{i+1}^{1} \oplus \beta_{i+1}^{1}(1 \leq i \leq r+1) \\
G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) & \subset R_{11}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\varphi_{2}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+2$. Note that $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right), \varphi_{2}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{2}-$ 1.

Next, we treat the second possibility (II).
(II) In this case, we apply the same methods as in section 4 and (I), (2). We frequently utilize them without details. Since $A_{r, \varphi}^{2} \equiv 0$, setting $\alpha_{0}^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}$,
$\alpha_{i}^{0}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{0}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r+1, \gamma_{0}^{0}=\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha_{0}^{0}, \gamma_{i}^{0}=G^{(i)}(\varphi) \ominus \alpha_{i}^{0}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$ and $R_{0}^{\prime}=\left(\underline{\varphi}^{\perp} \ominus\left(\oplus_{j=1}^{r} G^{(j)}(\varphi)\right)\right) \ominus \alpha_{r+1}^{0}$, we obtain the diagram (4.2). There are already three possibilities: (II-1) $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{0}=2$, (II-2) $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{0}=1$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{(+1, ~}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}} \equiv 0$, (II-3) $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{0}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{+1}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}}=1$.
(II-1) Since rank $\gamma_{0}^{0}=1$, we have $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{+1}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}^{0}$, then

$$
\underline{\varphi}_{1}=\gamma_{0}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{1}^{0}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)=\gamma_{i}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}^{0}(1 \leq i \leq r), \quad G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0},
$$

hence $\varphi_{1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$. We show that $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{3} \equiv 0$. Set $\mu_{0}^{1}=$ $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}, \mu_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\mu_{0}^{1}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r+1$. Denote by $P_{1}^{0}: \mu_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{0}$ and $\widetilde{P}^{1}: G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{0}$ the Hermitian orthogonal projections. We may use (4.4), where we must replace $P_{1}$ by $P_{1}^{0}$. (4.4) and the nilpotency of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}$ imply that $\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}^{1}=\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{0}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$ and $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}: \mu_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{0}$ can not be surjective.
 $\alpha_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r+1$. If $\widetilde{P}^{1}\left(\alpha_{r+1}^{1}\right)=\hat{\mu}_{r+1}$, by (4.4) we have $P_{1}^{0}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right)=$ $P_{1}^{0}\left(\underline{\operatorname{mm}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}\right)\right)$, which, together with the isomorphicity of $P_{1}^{0}$, yields $\alpha_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}\right)$, which contradicts the nilpotency of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}$. Thus, we obtain $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}} \equiv 0$, hence by (4.4) we see that $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$, that is, $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{3} \equiv 0$. Again, we have two possibilities.
(1) The case of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \equiv 0$. If $\mu_{0}^{1}=\underline{0}$, then $\alpha_{1}^{0}=\underline{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$. Hence, we may assume that $\mu_{0}^{1} \neq \underline{0}$. Since $\mu_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{r+2}^{1} & =\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}\right) \subset\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \\
R_{1}^{\prime} & =\left(\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right) \ominus \mu_{r+2}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have the diagram (5.10), where rank $\gamma_{0}^{1}=1$. By (5.10), we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{+2}^{1}, \gamma_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1} \ominus \mu_{0}^{1}\right) \oplus \mu_{1}^{1}$ then
$\underline{\varphi}_{2}=\gamma_{0}^{1} \oplus \mu_{1}^{1}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)=\gamma_{i}^{1} \oplus \mu_{i+1}^{1}(1 \leq i \leq r+1), \quad G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \subset R_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \mu_{0}^{1}$, hence $\varphi_{2}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+2$. Note that $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G_{(r+2)}^{(r)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right), \varphi_{2}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{2}-1$.
(2) The case of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \not \equiv 0$. Recall that $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}} \equiv 0$ and $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$, so that $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset R_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\alpha_{r+2}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}\right) \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}$. Set $\gamma_{i}^{1}=G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \ominus$ $\mu_{i}^{1}, \beta_{i}^{1}=\mu_{i}^{1} \ominus \alpha_{i}^{1}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, r+1$ and $R_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right) \ominus \alpha_{r+2}^{1}$, where $G^{(0)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)=\underline{\varphi}_{1}$. Then, we have the diagram (5.1) $)_{1}$. Recall that rank $\gamma_{0}^{1}=$ $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}^{1}=1$. Hence, by $(5.1)_{1}$ we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{++2}^{1}, \gamma_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{1}^{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1} \Theta\right.$ $\left.\alpha_{0}^{1}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}^{1}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}^{1}=\gamma_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{1}^{1}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right) & =\gamma_{i}^{1} \oplus \beta_{i}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}^{1}(1 \leq i \leq r+1) \\
G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right) & \subset R_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\beta_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right), \varphi_{1}^{1}}, \beta_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\beta_{0}^{1}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r+1)$ and $\beta_{r+2}^{1}=$ $\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right)}\right|_{\beta_{r+1}^{1}}\right) \subset R_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{1}$. Moreover, set $R_{11}=\left(R_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{1}\right) \ominus \beta_{r+2}^{1}$. Then, we have the diagram $(5.3)_{1}$. Set $\delta_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+2}^{1}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}}$, then $\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{1} \leq \operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}-1$. Since $P^{1}: \beta_{r+2}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{1}$ is surjective, it follows that $\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{1}=\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{1}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}-1$ and $P_{1}: \delta_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{1}$ is an isomorphism. Set $\delta_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\delta_{0}^{1}\right) \cap \hat{\alpha}_{i}^{1}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{i}^{1}=\hat{\alpha}_{i}^{1} \ominus \delta_{i}^{1}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, r+1$. We show that $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. We may verify that

$$
\delta_{r+1}^{1} \subset \gamma_{r+1}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{r+2}^{1} \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}
$$

Set $\alpha_{0}^{0}=\hat{\mu}_{r+1} \oplus \hat{\nu}_{r+1}$, where $\hat{\mu}_{r+1}=\widetilde{P}^{1}\left(\mu_{r+1}^{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rank} \hat{\mu}_{r+1}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\nu}_{r+1}=1$ in this case. We have $P_{1}^{0}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right)=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \alpha_{1}^{0}}\right|_{\hat{\mu}_{r+1}}\right)$ which is a holomorphic subbundle of $\alpha_{1}^{0}$. Set $\hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}=\alpha_{1}^{0} \ominus P_{1}^{0}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right)$, and denote by $\hat{P}_{1}^{0}: \beta_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}$ the composition of $\left.P_{1}^{0}\right|_{\beta_{0}^{1}}: \beta_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{0}$ and the Hermitian orthogonal projection $: \alpha_{1}^{0} \longrightarrow \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}$. Then, $\hat{P}_{1}^{0}$ is a holomorphic isomorphism. Moreover, we see that $\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}}\right|_{\hat{\nu}_{r+1}}: \hat{\nu}_{r+1} \longrightarrow \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}$ is holomorphic and surjective. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P}_{1}^{0} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}}(v)=A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}} \circ \widetilde{P}_{\tilde{\nu}}^{1}(v), \quad v \in C^{\infty}\left(\delta_{r+1}^{1}\right) \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{P}_{\hat{\nu}}^{1}: \delta_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \hat{\nu}_{r+1}$ is the Hermitian orthogonal projection. Now, suppose that $\widetilde{P}_{\bar{\nu}}^{1}$ is surjective. Then, (5.14), together with the isomorphicity of $\hat{P}_{1}^{0}$ and the surjectivity of $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \hat{\beta}_{0}^{1}}$, implies that $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}}: \delta_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \beta_{0}^{1}$ is surjective. However, by
$(5.3)_{1}$ we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}}$ can not be surjective, hence a contradiction. Thus, we have proved that $\widetilde{P}_{\hat{\nu}}^{1} \equiv 0$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Therefore, set

$$
\delta_{r+2}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right)}\right|_{\beta_{r+1}^{1} \oplus \delta_{r+1}^{1}}\right) \ominus \beta_{r+2}^{1} \subset R_{11}, \quad R_{11}^{\prime}=R_{11} \ominus \delta_{r+2}^{1}
$$

We have the diagram $(5.5)_{1}$. By $(5.5)_{1}$, we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+2}^{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$, because rank $\hat{\gamma}_{0}^{1}=$ $\operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}-\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{1}=1$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1}^{1} \ominus\left(\delta_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\delta_{1}^{1} \oplus \beta_{1}^{1}\right)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{2}=\hat{\gamma}_{0}^{1} \oplus \delta_{1}^{1} \oplus \beta_{1}^{1}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)=\hat{\gamma}_{i}^{1} \oplus \delta_{i+1}^{1} \oplus \beta_{i+1}^{1}(1 \leq i \leq r+1), \\
& G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \subset R_{11}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1},
\end{aligned}
$$


(II-2) Set $\underline{\varphi}_{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}^{0}$ then

$$
\underline{\varphi}_{1}=\gamma_{0}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{1}^{0}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)=\gamma_{i}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}^{0}(1 \leq i \leq r), \quad G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}
$$

hence $\varphi_{1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$. Set $\mu_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}, \mu_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\mu_{0}^{1}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r+1$. The nilpotency of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}$ yields $\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}^{1} \leq \operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$. We may use (4.4). It follows from (4.4) that $P_{1}^{0}: \mu_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{0}$ is surjective, hence rank $\mu_{0}^{1} \geq$ $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{0}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-2$. If $\mu_{0}^{1}=\underline{0}$ then $\varphi_{1}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ or $G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, hence we may assume that $\mu_{0}^{1} \neq \underline{0}$. Thus, we have rank $\mu_{0}^{1}=m-1, m-2$, where $m=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}$. We show that $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{3} \equiv 0$. Set

$$
\alpha_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}\right), \quad \alpha_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \cdots, r+1
$$

First, assume that rank $\mu_{0}^{1}=m-1$. If $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}: \mu_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{0}$ is surjective, then by (4.4) we see that $\left.P_{1}^{0}\right|_{\alpha_{0}^{1}}$ is surjective, which implies that $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{1}=m-2$ and $\left.P_{1}^{0}\right|_{\alpha_{0}^{1}}$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, if $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}: \alpha_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{0}$ is also surjective, by (4.4) we have $P_{1}^{0}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}\right)\right)=P_{1}^{0}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}} \equiv 0$ by rank $\alpha_{0}^{0}=1$, and $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$ by (4.4), so that $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{3} \equiv 0$. If $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}} \equiv 0$, by (4.4) we get $\left.P_{1}^{0}\right|_{\alpha_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Since $\mu_{0}^{1}$ does not have $\gamma_{0}^{0}$ as a proper subbundle, we conclude that $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{1} \leq \operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}^{0}-1=1$. Hence,
we must have $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$, that is, $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{3} \equiv 0$. Next, assume that $\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}^{1}=m-2$. In this case, obviously, $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}$ can not be surjective, hence $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}} \equiv 0$, which yields $\left.P_{1}^{0}\right|_{\alpha_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. However, since $\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}^{1}=\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{0}$, it follows that $P_{1}^{0}$ is an isomorphism, so that $\alpha_{0}^{1}=\mathbf{0}$. In particular, we have proved that if $\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}^{1}=m-2$ then $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \equiv 0$. We treat these possibilities separately.
(1) The case of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \equiv 0$. Since $\mu_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{r+2}^{1} & =\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}\right) \subset\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \\
\text { and } \quad R_{1}^{\prime} & =\left(\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right) \ominus \mu_{r+2}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have the diagram (5.10), where $\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}^{1}=1,2$. First, assume that $\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}^{1}=1$. This is just the same situation as (II-1), (1). Therefore, set $\underline{\varphi}_{2}=$ $\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1} \ominus \mu_{0}^{1}\right) \oplus \mu_{1}^{1}$, then $\varphi_{2}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+2$. Next, assume that rank $\gamma_{0}^{1}=2$. Recall that $P_{1}^{0}: \mu_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{0}$ is an isomorphism. Set $\delta_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r+2}^{1}, \gamma_{0}^{1}}$ then rank $\delta_{0}^{1} \leq 1$. Set $\delta_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\delta_{0}^{1}\right) \cap \gamma_{i}^{1}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r+1$. If $\delta_{0}^{1}=\underline{0}$, we only set $\underline{\varphi}_{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1} \ominus \mu_{0}^{1}\right) \oplus \mu_{1}^{1}$, thus we may assume that $\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{1}=1$. We verify that.

$$
\delta_{r+1}^{1} \subset G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}
$$

We may use (4.4). Suppose that $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\delta_{r+1}^{1}}: \delta_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{0}$ is surjective. By (4.4), we see that $\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}\right|_{\delta_{r+1}^{1}}\right)=\mu_{0}^{1}$, which is a contradiction because $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \mu_{0}^{1}}$ can not be surjective by (5.10). Therefore, we have proved that $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\delta_{r+1}^{1}} \equiv 0$ and $\delta_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$. Set $\delta_{r+2}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1} \oplus \delta_{r+1}^{1}}\right) \ominus \mu_{r+2}^{1} \subset R_{1}^{\prime}$ and $R_{1}^{\prime \prime}=R_{1}^{\prime} \Theta \delta_{r+2}^{1}$. Then, we have a diagram

where $\hat{\gamma}_{i}^{1}=\gamma_{i}^{1} \ominus \delta_{i}^{1}(0 \leq i \leq r+1)$. Since rank $\hat{\gamma}_{0}^{1}=1$, we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+2}^{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1} \ominus\left(\delta_{0}^{1} \oplus \mu_{0}^{1}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\delta_{1}^{1} \oplus \mu_{1}^{1}\right)$ then $\varphi_{2}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+2$. We may regard the case of $\delta_{0}^{1}=\underline{0}$ as a special case of this procedure. Note that $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right), \varphi_{2}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{2}-m$, where $m=1,2$.
(2) The case of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \not \equiv 0$. Since $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{r+2}^{1} & =\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)}\right|_{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}}\right) \subset\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \\
\text { and } \quad R_{1}^{\prime} & =\left(\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}\right) \ominus G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right) \ominus \alpha_{r+2}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, set $\gamma_{i}^{1}=G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \ominus \mu_{i}^{1}$ and $\beta_{i}^{1}=\mu_{i}^{1} \ominus \alpha_{i}^{1}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, r+1$. Then, we have the diagram (5.1) . We already know that rank $\gamma_{0}^{1}=1$, and that either $\operatorname{rank} \beta_{0}^{1}=1$ or $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}^{1}=1$ holds according as $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{0}$ is surjective or not. It follows from $(5.1)_{1}$ and the fact $\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}^{1}=1$ that $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+2}^{1}, \gamma_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{1}^{1}=$ $\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1} \ominus \alpha_{0}^{1}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}^{1}$ then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\underline{\varphi}_{1}^{1}=\gamma_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{1}^{1}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right)=\gamma_{i}^{1} \oplus \beta_{i}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}^{1}(1 \leq i \leq r+1) \\
G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right)
\end{array}\right) R_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{1},
$$

hence $\beta_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right), \varphi_{1}^{1}}, \beta_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\beta_{0}^{1}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r+1)$, and set $\beta_{r+2}^{1}=$ $\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right)}\right|_{\beta_{r+1}^{1}}\right) \subset R_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{1}, R_{11}=\left(R_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{1}\right) \dot{\theta} \beta_{r+2}^{1}$. We have the diagram (5.3) $)_{1}$. Set $\delta_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+2}^{1}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}}, \delta_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi_{1}^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\delta_{0}^{1}\right) \cap \hat{\alpha}_{i}^{1}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r+1$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{1} & =\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{1}^{1}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}-1 \\
\text { and } & \delta_{r+1}^{1} & \subset \gamma_{r+1}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{r+2}^{1} \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $\widetilde{P}_{1}^{1}: \delta_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{0}$ the Hermitian orthogonal projection. We show that $\delta_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right), \varphi_{1}^{1}}$. First, assume that $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}$ is surjective, so that rank $\beta_{0}^{1}=$ 1. In this case, obviously, $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Next, assume that $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}}$ is not surjective, so that $\left.\widetilde{P}^{1}\right|_{\mu_{r+1}^{1}} \equiv 0,\left.P_{1}^{0}\right|_{\alpha_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0, \alpha_{0}^{1} \subset \gamma_{0}^{0}$ and rank $\alpha_{0}^{1}=1$. Hence, $\left.P_{1}^{0}\right|_{\beta_{0}^{1}}: \beta_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{0}$ is an isomorphism. Suppose that $\widetilde{P}_{1}^{1}$ is surjective. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}^{0} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}}(v)=A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{0}^{0}, \alpha_{1}^{0}} \circ \widetilde{P}_{1}^{1}(v), \quad v \in C^{\infty}\left(\delta_{r+1}^{1}\right) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{(+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}}: \delta_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \beta_{0}^{1}$ is surjective. However, this is a contradiction by $(5.3)_{1}$, hence, we see that $\widetilde{P}_{1}^{1} \equiv 0$, and $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$ by (5.16). Therefore,
 $R_{11}^{\prime}=R_{11} \ominus \delta_{r+2}^{1}$. Then, we have the diagram (5.5) 1 , where rank $\hat{\gamma}_{0}^{1}=1$. By (5.5) , we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+2}^{1}, \dot{\gamma}_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1}^{1} \ominus\left(\delta_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\delta_{1}^{1} \oplus \beta_{1}^{1}\right)$ then $\varphi_{2}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+2$. Note that $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right), \varphi_{2}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{2}-1$.

Finally, we treat (II-3).
(II-3) This case has the same type as that of $\varphi_{0}^{1}$ in (I). To compare this case with $\varphi_{0}^{1}$ in (I), reset $\alpha_{i}^{0}$ by $\beta_{i}^{0}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, r+1$. Set $\delta_{0}^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}}, \delta_{i}^{0}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\delta_{0}^{0}\right) \cap \gamma_{i}^{0}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$, and set $\hat{\gamma}_{i}^{0}=\gamma_{i}^{0} \Theta \delta_{i}^{0}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, r+1$. Now, we have

$$
\operatorname{rank} \beta_{0}^{0}=\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{0}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\gamma}_{0}^{0}=1
$$

Hence, $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r}^{0}, \beta_{0}^{0}} \equiv 0$, and hence set $\delta_{r+1}^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi)}\right|_{\beta_{r}^{0} \oplus \delta_{r}^{0}}\right) \ominus \beta_{r+1}^{0} \subset R_{0}^{\prime}$ and $R_{01}^{\prime}=R_{0}^{\prime} \ominus \delta_{r+1}^{0}$. Then, we have the diagram (5.5). One will find that the treatment of this case is rather easier than those of $\varphi_{0}^{1}$ in (I). We state only the essential parts. We use the same notation as in (I). Since rank $\hat{\gamma}_{0}^{0}=1$, we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{0}, \hat{\gamma}_{0}^{0}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \Theta\left(\delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\delta_{1}^{0} \oplus \beta_{1}^{0}\right)$ then $\varphi_{1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$. It follows that rank $\hat{\mu}_{r+1}=1$, so that $\widetilde{P}^{1}\left(\alpha_{r+1}^{1}\right) \equiv 0$. (5.7) and the isomorphicity of $P_{1}^{0}$ imply that $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$, so that $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{3} \equiv 0$.
(1) The case of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \equiv 0$. If $\mu_{0}^{1}=\underline{0}$, then $\varphi_{1}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$, hence we may assume that $\mu_{0}^{1} \neq \underline{Q}$. We see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r+2}^{1}, \gamma_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1} \ominus \mu_{0}^{1}\right) \oplus \mu_{1}^{1}$ then $\varphi_{2}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+2$. Note that $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right), \varphi_{2}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{2}-1$.
(2) The case of $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \not \equiv 0$. Since rank $\gamma_{0}^{1}=1$, we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+2}^{1}, \gamma_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{1}^{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1} \ominus \alpha_{0}^{1}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}^{1}$ then we have (5.11) and (5.3) . Since $P^{1}: \delta_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{0}^{1}$ is surjective, it follows from (5.12) that $P_{1}: \delta_{0}^{1} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}^{1}$ is surjective, hence $\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{1}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\alpha}_{0}^{1}-1$ and $P_{1}$ is an isomorphism. By (5.6), we see that $\delta_{r+1}^{1} \subset \gamma_{r+1}^{1} \oplus \alpha_{r+2}^{1} \subset R_{01}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}$,
where $\alpha_{r+2}^{1} \subset R_{01}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}$ because $\alpha_{r+1}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}$. Set $\delta_{0}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}^{0}=$ $\hat{\mu}_{r+1} \oplus \hat{\nu}_{r+1}$, where rank $\hat{\mu}_{r+1}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\nu}_{r+1}=1$. Suppose that $\widetilde{P}_{\hat{\nu}}^{1}: \delta_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \hat{\nu}_{r+1}$ is surjective. Then, by (5.13) we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+1}^{1}, \beta_{0}^{1}}: \delta_{r+1}^{1} \longrightarrow \beta_{0}^{1}$ is surjective because $\hat{P}_{1}^{0}$ is an isomorphism. However, this contradicts the diagram (5.3). Since $\operatorname{rank} \hat{\gamma}_{0}^{1}=1$, we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r+2}^{1}, \hat{\gamma}_{0}^{1}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1}^{1} \ominus\left(\delta_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}^{1}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\delta_{1}^{1} \oplus \beta_{1}^{1}\right)$, then $\varphi_{2}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+2$. Note that $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right), \varphi_{2}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{2}-1$.

In summary, we have
Proposition 5.1. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{3}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map. Assume that $\varphi$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r$. Then, $A_{r, \varphi}^{3} \equiv 0$.
(I) If $A_{r, \varphi}^{2} \not \equiv 0$, set $\alpha^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r, \varphi}^{2}$. Then, $\alpha^{0} \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right)$, and define $\varphi^{1}$ from $\varphi$ by the forward replacement of $\alpha^{0}$. Then, $\varphi^{1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r$ and satisfies $A_{r, \varphi^{1}}^{2} \equiv 0$. Set $\beta^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r, \varphi^{1}}$ and $\delta^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G_{\left(\varphi^{1}\right)}^{(r+1)}\left(\beta^{0}\right), \varphi^{1} \ominus \beta^{0}}$, then $\beta^{0} \oplus \delta^{0} \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi^{1}\right)^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{1}}\right)$. Define $\varphi_{1}$ from $\varphi^{1}$ by the forward replacement of $\beta^{0} \oplus \delta^{0}$, then $\varphi_{1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{3} \equiv 0$.
(II) If $A_{r, \varphi}^{2} \equiv 0$, set $\alpha^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r, \varphi}$ and $\delta^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G_{\varphi}^{(r+1)}\left(\alpha^{0}\right), \varphi \ominus \alpha^{0}}$. Then, $\alpha^{0}, \delta^{0} \subset$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right), \operatorname{rank} \alpha^{0}=1,2$, and $\operatorname{rank} \delta^{0}=0,1$.
(II-1) If $\operatorname{rank} \alpha^{0}=2$, then $\delta^{0}=\underline{0}$ and define $\varphi_{1}$ from $\varphi$ by the forward replacement of $\alpha^{0}$,
(II-2) if rank $\alpha^{0}=1$ and $\delta^{0}=\underline{0}$, then define also $\varphi_{1}$ from $\varphi$ by the forward replacement of $\alpha^{0}$,
(II-3) if $\operatorname{rank} \alpha^{0}=\operatorname{rank} \delta^{0}=1$, then define $\varphi_{1}$ from $\varphi$ by the forward replacement of $\alpha^{0} \oplus \delta^{0}$.
Then, $\varphi_{1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{3} \equiv 0$.
Moreover, for each $\varphi_{1}$ in (I), (II), the following are true :
(0) If $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}} \equiv 0, \varphi_{1}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ or $G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ (the latter case occurs only for (II-2)).
(1) If $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \equiv 0$ and $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}} \not \equiv 0$, set

$$
\mu^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G_{\varphi_{1}}^{(r+2)}\left(\mu^{1}\right), \varphi_{1} \Theta \mu^{1}}
$$

Then, $\mu^{1}, \delta^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{1}^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{1}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rank} \delta^{1}=0,1$ (the latter case occurs only for (II-2)). Define $\varphi_{2}$ from $\varphi_{1}$ by the forward replacement of $\mu^{1} \oplus \delta^{1}$, then $\varphi_{2}$ has
$\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+2$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right), \varphi_{2}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\underline{\varphi}}_{2}-m$, where $m=1,2$ (the latter case occurs only for (II-2)).
(2) If $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2} \not \equiv 0$, set $\alpha^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}}^{2}$. Then, $\alpha^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{1}^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{1}}\right)$, and define $\varphi_{1}^{1}$ from $\varphi_{1}$ by the forward replacement of $\alpha^{1}$. Then, $\varphi_{1}^{1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+1$ $G_{\varphi_{1}^{1}}^{(r+2)}\left(\beta^{1}\right), \varphi_{1}^{1} \ominus \beta^{1}$
and satisfies $A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}^{1}}^{2} \equiv 0$. Set $\beta^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r+1, \varphi_{1}^{1}}$ and $\delta^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G_{1}^{1}\left(\beta^{1}\right), \varphi_{1}^{1} \ominus \beta^{1}}$, then $\beta^{1}, \delta^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi_{1}^{1}\right)^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{1}^{1}}\right)$. Define $\varphi_{2}$ from $\varphi_{1}^{1}$ by the forward replacement of $\beta^{1} \oplus \delta^{1}$, then $\varphi_{2}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+2$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+2)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right), \varphi_{2}}=$ $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{2}-1$.

Using Proposition 5.1, we may prove the following
Theorem 5.1. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{3}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map. Assume that $\varphi$ has finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order and $n \leq 15$. Then, there is a sequence $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ of pluriharmonic maps such that
(1) $\varphi_{0}=\varphi$,
(2) $\varphi_{N}: M \backslash S_{\varphi_{N}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ or $G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$,
(3) for $i=0,1, \cdots, N-1$, each $\varphi_{i}$ has finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order, and $\varphi_{i+1}$ is obtained from $\varphi_{i}$ by the forward replacement of $\alpha^{i}$, where $\alpha^{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}_{i}$ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}^{+}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}}\right)$.

Proof. Construct $\varphi_{2}$ from $\varphi$, using Proposition 5.1. Let $r$ be the $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order of $\varphi_{2}$. Then, $r \geq 3$. Set $\alpha_{0}=\operatorname{Im} A_{r, \varphi_{2}}, \alpha_{i}=G_{\varphi_{2}}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$ and $\gamma_{0}=\underline{\varphi}_{2} \ominus \alpha_{0}, \gamma_{i}=\overline{G^{(i)}}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \ominus \alpha_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$. By Proposition 5.1, we have $\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}=m$, and $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}=\operatorname{rank} \varphi_{2}-m$, where $m=1,2$. If $\alpha_{0}=\underline{0}$, then $\varphi_{2}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ or $G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, hence we may assume that $\alpha_{0} \neq \underline{0}$. Set $R=\underline{\varphi}_{2}^{\perp} \ominus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} G^{(j)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)\right)$. We have a diagram


We have two possibilities: (1) $\alpha_{i}=\underline{0}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$, (2) any $\alpha_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r)$ is non-zero.
(1) Set $\tilde{\underline{\varphi}}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{2} \ominus \alpha_{0}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}$. Then, by (5.17) we see that either, $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ or $G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, or $\tilde{\varphi}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+1$ and $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}(\tilde{\varphi}), \tilde{\varphi}}$
$=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\underline{\varphi}}-m$, where $m=1,2$.
(2) Since $n \leq 15$, one of $\underline{\varphi}_{2}, G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ has rank $\leq 3$ and $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r$. Hence, by Proposition 5.1, either, we have a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ or $G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, or we have a pluriharmonic map $\widetilde{\varphi}$ which has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+2$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+2)}(\tilde{\varphi}), \tilde{\varphi}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\tilde{\varphi}}-m$, where $m=1,2$.
Since the $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order can not be so large, repeating this procedure we see that $\varphi$ is reduced to a pluriharmonic map into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ or $G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, and each $\varphi_{i}$ in the sequence has the desired properties by Proposition 2.3.
q.e.d.

## 6. Pluriharmonic maps into $G_{4}\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right)$.

Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{4}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map, where $M$ is a compact complex manifold with $c_{1}(M)>0$. We also assume that $\varphi$ has finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order, say $r$. In this section, we present a method for increasing the $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order of $\varphi$ by only one. However, the result of this section, together with the results in sections $3 \sim 5$, yields the explicit construction of any pluriharmonic map into $G_{4}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ under the restriction on $n$.
Define $A_{r, \varphi}$ as in section 5 , then $A_{r, \varphi}$ is nilpotent. There are three possibilities : (I) $A_{r, \varphi}^{4} \equiv 0$ and $A_{r, \varphi}^{3} \not \equiv 0$, (II) $A_{r, \varphi}^{3} \equiv 0$ and $A_{r, \varphi}^{2} \not \equiv 0$, (III) $A_{r, \varphi}^{2} \equiv 0$.

As in section 5, we treat these three cases separately.
Firat of all, we prepare a proposition, which is used to avoid the repetition of argument and also useful for the future investigation.

Proposition 6.1 ([O-U2]). Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map. Assume that $\varphi$ has finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order, say $r$, and satisfies $A_{r, \varphi}^{2} \equiv 0$, $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r, \varphi}=1$. Then, there is a holomorphic subbundle $\tau$ of $\varphi$, which is contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right)$, such that $\widetilde{\varphi}$ defined from $\varphi$ by the forward replacement of $\tau$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$.

Proof. Set $\alpha_{0}^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r, \varphi}, \alpha_{i}^{0}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{0}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$. Since $\alpha_{r}^{0} \subset$
$\operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}$, set $\alpha_{r+1}^{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi)}\right|_{\alpha_{r}^{0}}\right) \subset R$, where $R=\underline{\varphi}^{\perp} \Theta\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} G^{(j)}(\varphi)\right)$. Set $\gamma_{i}^{0}=G^{(i)}(\varphi) \ominus \alpha_{i}^{0}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, r$ and $R_{0}^{\prime}=R \ominus \alpha_{r+1}^{0}$. Then, we have the diagram (4.2). Thus, $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}}$ is holomorphic, and hence set $\alpha_{0}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{++1}^{0}, \gamma_{0}^{0}}$. If $\alpha_{0}^{1} \neq \underline{0}$, set $\alpha_{i}^{1}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{1}\right) \cap \gamma_{i}^{0}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$. Then, we have $\alpha_{r}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}$ by rank $\alpha_{0}^{0}=1$. Moreover, if we set $\alpha_{r+1}^{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi)}\right|_{\alpha_{r}^{0} \oplus \alpha_{r}^{1}}\right) \ominus \alpha_{r+1}^{0} \subset R_{0}^{\prime}, R_{1}^{\prime}=}$ $R_{0}^{\prime} \ominus \alpha_{r+1}^{1}$, and $\gamma_{i}^{1}=\gamma_{i}^{0} \ominus \alpha_{i}^{1}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, r$, then we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{i}^{1}, \alpha_{i+1}^{1}}(0 \leq i \leq r)$
and $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}^{1}, \gamma_{0}^{1}}$ are all holomorphic. We claim that
 $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}^{j}, \gamma_{0}^{j}}, \alpha_{i}^{j+1}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}^{j+1}\right) \cap \gamma_{i}^{j}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$. Then, we have $\alpha_{r}^{j+1} \subset$ $\operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}$. Moreover, if we set $\alpha_{r+1}^{j+1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi)}\right|_{\left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{j+1} \alpha_{r}^{k}\right)}\right) \ominus\left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{j} \alpha_{r+1}^{k}\right) \subset ~}$ $R_{j}^{\prime}, R_{j+1}^{\prime}=R_{j}^{\prime} \ominus \alpha_{r+1}^{j+1}$ and $\gamma_{i}^{j+1}=\gamma_{i}^{j} \ominus \alpha_{i}^{j+1}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, r$, then we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{i}^{j+1}, \alpha_{i+1}^{j+1}}(0 \leq i \leq r)$ and $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}^{j+1}, \gamma_{0}^{j+1}}$ are all holomorphic.

This Claim follows from the induction on $j$ and the following diagram

where we omit the non-essential arrays (see Convention below). By (6.1) and Claim, we see that, for any $j=0,1, \cdots, A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}^{j}, \gamma_{0}^{j}}$ can not be surjective, hence there exists an nonnegative integer $s$ such that $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{0}^{\prime}} \equiv 0$. Set $\tau=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{s} \alpha_{0}^{j}$ and define $\tilde{\varphi}$ by $\underline{\underline{\varphi}}=(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \tau) \oplus G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\tau)$. Then, it follows from (6.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\varphi}=\gamma_{0}^{s} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{s} \alpha_{1}^{j}\right), \quad G^{(i)}(\widetilde{\varphi})=\gamma_{i}^{s} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{s} \alpha_{i+1}^{j}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r) \\
& G^{(r+1)}(\widetilde{\varphi}) \subset R_{s}^{\prime} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{s} \alpha_{0}^{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\widetilde{\varphi}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$.
q.e.d.

Hereafter, we use the following convention for simplicity
Convention. Given a diagram of type (6.1), we omit the non-essential arrays, where there are the arrays in the following cases :
(1) $\alpha_{i}^{k} \longrightarrow \alpha_{i}^{l}(0 \leq i \leq r+1 ; 0 \leq k<l \leq j)$,
(2) $\alpha_{i}^{m} \longrightarrow \gamma_{i}^{j}(0 \leq m \leq j ; 0 \leq i \leq r+1), \quad$ (3) $\alpha_{r+1}^{m} \longrightarrow R_{j}^{\prime}(0 \leq m \leq j)$,
(4) $\alpha_{i}^{l} \longrightarrow \alpha_{i+1}^{k}(0 \leq i \leq r+1 ; 0 \leq k<l \leq j)$ with $\alpha_{r+2}^{k}=\alpha_{0}^{k}$,
(5) $\gamma_{i}^{j} \longrightarrow \alpha_{i+1}^{m}(0 \leq i \leq r+1 ; 0 \leq m \leq j)$ with $\gamma_{r+1}^{j}=R_{j}^{\prime}, \alpha_{r+2}^{m}=\alpha_{0}^{m}$.

Now, we start from the case (I).
(I) Set $\kappa_{1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r, \varphi}, \kappa_{2}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{r, \varphi}\right|_{\kappa_{1}}\right)$ and $\alpha_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{r, \varphi}\right|_{\kappa_{2}}\right)$. Then, $\alpha_{0} \subset$ $\operatorname{Ker} A_{r, \varphi}$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{i}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r), \quad \beta_{0}=\kappa_{2} \ominus \alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{i}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\kappa_{2}\right) \ominus \alpha_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r) \\
& \gamma_{0}=\kappa_{1} \ominus \kappa_{2}, \quad \gamma_{i}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\kappa_{1}\right) \ominus G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\kappa_{2}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r) \\
& \delta_{0}=\underline{\varphi} \ominus \kappa_{1}, \quad \delta_{i}=G^{(i)}(\varphi) \ominus G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\kappa_{1}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r), \quad R=\varphi^{\perp} \ominus\left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{r} G^{(j)}(\varphi)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\alpha_{r} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}$, and hence set $\alpha_{r+1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi)}\right|_{\alpha_{r}}\right) \subset R$ and $R_{0}^{\prime}=$ $R \ominus \alpha_{r+1}$. Then, we have a diagram


By (6.2), and keeping Convention in mind, we have a holomorphic circuit

$$
\left\{\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{r+1}, \delta_{0}, \delta_{1}, \cdots, \delta_{r}, \gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{r}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{r}, \alpha_{0}\right\}
$$

which must vanish, where we note that $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}=\operatorname{rank} \beta_{0}=\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}=\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}=1$. Since each (1,0)-second fundamental form from $\delta_{0}$ to $\alpha_{0}$ is surjective, we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}, \delta_{0}} \equiv 0 . \operatorname{Set} \underline{\varphi}^{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha_{0}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{1}=\delta_{0} \oplus \gamma_{0} \oplus \beta_{0} \oplus \alpha_{1}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right) & =\delta_{i} \oplus \gamma_{i} \oplus \beta_{i} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq r) \\
G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right) & \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \gamma_{0} \oplus \beta_{0} \oplus \alpha_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\beta_{0} \oplus \gamma_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}}, \beta_{i} \oplus \gamma_{i}=G_{\varphi^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\beta_{0} \oplus \gamma_{0}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r), \beta_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r, \varphi^{1}}^{2}$, $\beta_{i}=G_{\varphi^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\beta_{0}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r), \beta_{r} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}}$. Set $\beta_{r+1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right)}\right|_{\beta_{r}}\right) \subset$ $R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}, R_{0}^{1}=\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}\right) \ominus \beta_{r+1}$ and $\hat{\delta}_{i}=\delta_{i} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, r$. We have the same type diagram as (5.1), and we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}, \hat{\delta}_{0}}$ is holomorphic, hence can not be surjective. Set $\tau_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}, \hat{\delta}_{0}}$ then $\operatorname{rank} \tau_{0} \leq \operatorname{rank} \hat{\delta}_{0}-1$ and, in fact, $\operatorname{rank} \tau_{0}=\operatorname{rank} \hat{\delta}_{0}-1$ by the surjectivity of the projection $\tau_{0} \longrightarrow \alpha_{1}$ and the fact $\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}=1$. Moreover, $\tau_{0}$ is contained in the kernel of $A_{(1,0)}^{\hat{\delta}_{r}, \gamma_{0}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\hat{\delta}_{r-1}, \hat{\delta}_{r}} \circ \cdots \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\hat{\delta}_{0}, \hat{\delta}_{1}}$. Set $\tau_{i}=G_{\varphi^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \cap \hat{\delta}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r), \delta_{i}^{\prime}=\hat{\delta}_{i} \ominus \tau_{i}(0 \leq i \leq r)$. We have a diagram


Note that $\operatorname{rank} \delta_{0}^{\prime}=1$, which is also true even if $\tau_{0}=\underline{0}$. Set $\underline{\varphi}^{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}^{1} \ominus \beta_{0}\right) \oplus \beta_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{2}=\delta_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \tau_{0} \oplus \gamma_{0} \oplus \beta_{1}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi^{2}\right) & =\delta_{i}^{\prime} \oplus \tau_{i} \oplus \gamma_{i} \oplus \beta_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq r) \\
G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi^{2}\right) & \subset R_{0}^{1} \oplus \tau_{0} \oplus \gamma_{0} \oplus \beta_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\tau_{0} \oplus \gamma_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{2}\right), \varphi^{2}}, \tau_{i} \oplus \gamma_{i}=G_{\varphi^{2}}^{(i)}\left(\tau_{0} \oplus \gamma_{0}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and $\tau_{r} \oplus \gamma_{r} \subset$ $\operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{2}\right), \varphi^{2}}$. Set $\mu_{i}=\tau_{i} \oplus \gamma_{i}(0 \leq i \leq r), \varepsilon_{i}=\delta_{i}^{\prime} \oplus \beta_{i+1}(0 \leq i \leq r)$ and set $\mu_{r+1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{2}\right)}\right|_{\mu_{r}}\right) \subset R_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}, R_{0}^{2}=\left(R_{0}^{1} \oplus \beta_{0}\right) \ominus \mu_{r+1}$. We have a diagram


We need the following
Lemma 6.1. Set $A=A_{(1,0)}^{\varepsilon_{r}, \mu_{0}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varepsilon_{r-1}, \varepsilon_{r}} \circ \cdots \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}}$ and $B=A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r+1}, \varepsilon_{0}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r}, \mu_{r}+1} \circ$
 $\operatorname{rank} \nu=\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}-1$ and $\nu \subset \operatorname{Ker} B$.

Proof. By (6.4), $A \circ B$ is holomorphic, hence nilpotent. Therefore, $A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r+1}, \varepsilon_{0}}$ can not be surjective, hence $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Im} B \leq \operatorname{rank} \varepsilon_{0}-1$. On the other hand, if we denote by $P_{1}: \varepsilon_{0} \longrightarrow \beta_{1}$ and $P^{0}: \mu_{r+1} \longrightarrow \beta_{0}$ the Hermitian orthogonal projections, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r}+1, \varepsilon_{0}}(v)=A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}} \circ P^{0}(v), \quad v \in C^{\infty}\left(\mu_{r+1}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P^{0}$ is surjective by (6.3) and $A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}}$ is surjective, it follows from (6.5) that
 fore, we have proved that $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im} B}=\operatorname{rank} \varepsilon_{0}-1$ and $\left.P_{1}\right|_{\underline{\mathrm{Im}} B}$ is an isomorphism. Thus, in case $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} B=0$, we have $\beta_{1}=Q$, which contradicts the diagram (6.2)
 $q_{r+1}: \varepsilon_{r} \longrightarrow \beta_{r+1}$ and $q^{0}: \mu_{0} \longrightarrow \tau_{0}$ the Hermitian orthogonal projections. Since $A_{(1,0)}^{\delta_{r}^{\prime}, \tau_{0}} \equiv 0$ by (6.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{0} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varepsilon_{r}, \mu_{0}}(w)=A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}, r_{0}} \circ q_{r+1}(w), \quad w \in C^{\infty}\left(\varepsilon_{r}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\eta_{r}=G_{\varphi^{2}}^{(r)}(\underline{\operatorname{Im}} B) \cap \varepsilon_{r}$. By (6.3), we see that $\left.q_{r+1}\right|_{\eta_{r}}: \eta_{r} \longrightarrow \beta_{r+1}$ is surjective, because $P_{1} \underline{\underline{\operatorname{Im} B} B}$ is an isomorphism and all $A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{i}, \beta_{i+1}}(1 \leq i \leq r)$ are surjective. Since $A_{(1,0)}^{\beta_{r+1}, \tau_{0}}$ is also surjective by the definition, it follows from (6.6) that $q^{0} \underline{\underline{\operatorname{Im}}(A \circ B)}$ : $\underline{\operatorname{Im}}(A \circ B) \longrightarrow \tau_{0}$ is surjective, hence $\operatorname{rank} \nu \geq \operatorname{rank} \tau_{0}=\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}-1$, where we have put $\nu=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}(A \circ B)$. On the other hand, since $A \circ B$ is nilpotent, we must have $\operatorname{rank} \nu \leq \operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}-1$, thus we obtain $\operatorname{rank} \nu=\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}-1$. We show that $\nu \subset \operatorname{Ker} B$. Set $\nu_{r+1}=G_{\varphi^{2}}^{(r+1)}(\nu) \subset \mu_{r+1}$. Suppose that $\left.P^{0}\right|_{\nu_{r+1}}: \nu_{r+1} \longrightarrow \beta_{0}$ is surjective. Then, by (6.5) we see that $P_{1}\left(\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.B\right|_{\nu}\right)\right)=P_{1}(\underline{\operatorname{Im} B} B$, which, together with the isomorphicity of $P_{1}$, implies that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left.B\right|_{\nu}\right)=\underline{\operatorname{Im} B}$. However, this contradicts the nilpotency of $A \circ B$. Therefore, we have proved that $\left.P^{0}\right|_{\nu_{r+1}}$ is not surjective, hence
$\left.P^{0}\right|_{\nu_{r+1}} \equiv 0$ by rank $\beta_{0}=1$. Then, again, by (6.5) we see that $\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r+1}, \varepsilon_{0}}\right|_{\nu_{r+1}} \equiv 0$, hence $\nu \subset \operatorname{Ker} B$.
q.e.d.

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{0}=\nu, \quad \nu_{i}=G_{\varphi^{2}}^{(i)}\left(\nu_{0}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r+1), \quad \hat{\mu}_{i}=\mu_{i} \ominus \nu_{i}(0 \leq i \leq r+1) \\
& \eta_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im} B} B, \quad \eta_{i}=G_{\varphi^{2}}^{(i)}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r), \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_{i}=\varepsilon_{i} \ominus \eta_{i}(0 \leq i \leq r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have a diagram


Set $\underline{\varphi}^{3}=\left(\underline{\varphi}^{2} \ominus \nu\right) \oplus G_{\varphi^{2}}^{\prime}(\nu)$, where $\nu$ is as in Lemme 6.1, then, by (6.7) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\varphi}^{3}=\hat{\varepsilon}_{0} \oplus \eta_{0} \oplus \hat{\mu}_{0} \oplus \nu_{1}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi^{3}\right) & =\hat{\varepsilon}_{i} \oplus \eta_{i} \oplus \hat{\mu}_{i} \oplus \nu_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq r), \\
G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi^{3}\right) & \subset R_{0}^{2} \oplus \hat{\mu}_{r+1} \oplus \hat{\mu}_{0} \oplus \nu_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\hat{\mu}_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{3}\right), \varphi^{3}}, \operatorname{rank} \hat{\mu}_{0}=1, \hat{\mu}_{r} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{3}\right), \varphi^{3}}$, hence $\varphi^{3}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 6.1. It follows from (6.1) and (6.7) that there is a holomorphic subbundle $\tau$ of $\underline{\varphi}^{3}$ with $\tau \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi^{3}\right)^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{3}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rank} \tau=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}^{3}-1$ such that $\varphi_{1}$ defined from $\varphi^{3}$ by the forward replacement of $\tau$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies rank $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$.
(II) Set $\kappa=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r, \varphi}$ and $\alpha_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{r, \varphi}\right|_{\kappa}\right)$. Then, $\alpha_{0} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{r, \varphi}$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{i}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r), \quad \beta_{0}=\kappa \ominus \alpha_{0}, \quad \beta_{i}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}(\kappa) \ominus \alpha_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r) \\
& \gamma_{0}=\underline{\varphi} \ominus \kappa, \quad \gamma_{i}=G^{(i)}(\varphi) \ominus G_{\varphi}^{(i)}(\kappa)(1 \leq i \leq r), \quad R=\underline{\varphi}^{\perp} \ominus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} G^{(j)}(\varphi)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\alpha_{r} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi), \varphi}$, and hence set $\alpha_{r+1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}(\varphi)}\right|_{\alpha_{r}}\right) \subset R$ and $R_{0}^{\prime}=$ $R \ominus \alpha_{r+1}$. Then, we have a diagram


There are three possibilities :
(II-1) $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}=\operatorname{rank} \beta_{0}=1, \operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}=2,(\mathrm{II}-2) \operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}=\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}=1, \operatorname{rank} \beta_{0}=2$,
(II-3) $\operatorname{rank} \beta_{0}=\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}=1, \operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}=2$.
 the kernel of $A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{r}, \beta_{0}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{r-1}, \gamma_{r}} \circ \cdots \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}}$. First, assume that $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}, \gamma_{0}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}^{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha_{0}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}$, then $\beta_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}}, \operatorname{rank} \beta_{0}=1$ and $\beta_{r} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}}$. Therefore, $\varphi^{1}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 6.1, and we see that there is a holomorphic subbundle $\tau$ of $\underline{\varphi}^{1}$ with $\tau \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi^{1}\right)^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{1}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rank} \tau=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}^{1}-$ $m$, where $m=1,2$, such that $\varphi_{1}$ defined from $\varphi^{1}$ by the forward replacement of $\tau$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-m$. Next, assume that rank $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}, \gamma_{0}}=1$. Set $\delta_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}, \gamma_{0}}, \delta_{i}=G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\delta_{0}\right) \cap \gamma_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{i}=\gamma_{i} \ominus \delta_{i}(0 \leq i \leq r)$. We have the same type diagram as (6.3). Set $\underline{\varphi}^{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha_{0}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\varphi}^{1}=\hat{\gamma}_{0} \oplus \delta_{0} \oplus \beta_{0} \oplus \alpha_{1}, \quad G^{(i)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right) & =\hat{\gamma}_{i} \oplus \delta_{i} \oplus \beta_{i} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq r) \\
G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right) & \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \delta_{0} \oplus \beta_{0} \oplus \alpha_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\delta_{0} \oplus \beta_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}}, \delta_{i} \oplus \beta_{i}=G_{\varphi^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\delta_{0} \oplus \beta_{0}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and $\delta_{r} \oplus \beta_{r} \subset$ $\operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}}$. Set $\mu_{i}=\delta_{i} \oplus \beta_{i}(0 \leq i \leq r), \varepsilon_{i}=\hat{\gamma}_{i} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}(0 \leq i \leq r)$, and set $\mu_{r+1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right)}\right|_{\mu_{r}}\right) \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}, R_{0}^{1}=\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}\right) \ominus \mu_{r+1}$. Then, we have the diagram (6.4), where we replace $R_{0}^{2}$ by $R_{0}^{1}$. Note that rank $\mu_{0}=2$. By the proof of Lemma 6.1, we obtain
Lemma 6.2. Let $A, B$ be as in Lemma 6.1. Set $\eta=\underline{\operatorname{Im} B}$ and $\nu=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}(A \circ B)$. Then, $\operatorname{rank} \eta=\operatorname{rank} \varepsilon_{0}-1, \operatorname{rank} \nu=1$ and $\nu \subset \operatorname{Ker} B$.

Set $\underline{\varphi}^{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}^{1} \ominus \nu\right) \oplus G_{\varphi^{1}}^{\prime}(\nu)$, where $\nu$ is as in Lemma 6.2, then by (6.7) we see that
$\varphi^{2}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 6.1. It follows that there is a holomorphic subbundle $\tau$ of $\underline{\varphi}^{2}$ with $\tau \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{2}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rank} \tau=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}^{2}-1$ such that $\varphi_{1}$ defined from $\varphi^{2}$ by the forward replacement of $\tau$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$.
(II-2) By (6.8), we have $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}, \gamma_{0}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}^{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha_{0}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}$ then $\beta_{0}=$ $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}}, \beta_{i}=G_{\varphi^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\beta_{0}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and $\beta_{r} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}}$. Reset $\mu_{i}=$ $\beta_{i}(0 \leq i \leq r)$, and set $\mu_{r+1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right)}\right|_{\mu_{r}}\right) \subset R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}, R_{0}^{1}=\left(R_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \alpha_{0}\right) \ominus \mu_{r+1}$ and $\varepsilon_{i}=\gamma_{i} \oplus \alpha_{i+1}(0 \leq i \leq r)$. Then, we have the diagram (6.4), where we replace $R_{0}^{2}$ by $R_{0}^{1}$.
Lemma 6.3. Let $A, B$ be as in Lemma 6.1. Set $\eta_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im} B}$. Then, $\operatorname{rank} \eta_{0}=$ $\operatorname{rank} \varepsilon_{0}-1$ and $(A \circ B)^{2} \equiv 0$. Moreover, the following hold:
(1) If $A \circ B \equiv 0$, set $\nu=\mu_{0} \oplus \eta_{0}$. Then, $\nu \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi^{1}\right)^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{1}}\right)$, $\operatorname{rank} \nu=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}^{1}-1$, and $\varphi_{1}$ defined from $\varphi^{1}$ by the forward replacement of $\nu$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$.

Proof. Since rank $\gamma_{0}=1$, by the proof of Lemma 6.1 we see that rank $\eta_{0}=$ $\operatorname{rank} \varepsilon_{0}-1$. Since $A \circ B$ is nilpotent and $\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}=2$, we have $(A \circ B)^{2} \equiv 0$.
(1) Set $\eta_{i}=G_{\varphi^{1}}^{(i)}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r)$. Since $\eta_{0} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A$, we have $\eta_{r} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}}$ and hence set $\eta_{r+1}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right)}\right|_{\mu_{r} \oplus \eta_{r}}\right) \ominus \mu_{r+1} \subset R_{0}^{1}, \hat{R}_{0}^{1}=R_{0}^{1} \ominus \eta_{r+1}$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}=\varepsilon_{i} \ominus \eta_{i}(0 \leq i \leq r)$. We have a diagram


Since $\operatorname{rank} \hat{\varepsilon}_{0}=1$, by (6.9) we see that $A_{(1,0)}^{\eta_{r+1}, \hat{\varepsilon}_{0}} \equiv 0$. Set $\nu=\mu_{0} \oplus \eta_{0}$ and $\underline{\varphi}_{1}=$ $\left(\underline{\varphi}^{1} \Theta \nu\right) \oplus G_{\varphi^{1}}^{\prime}(\nu)$. Then, $\nu$ and $\varphi_{1}$ have the desired properties.
 Since $\operatorname{rank} \alpha_{0}=\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}=1$, the proof for $\nu \subset \operatorname{Ker} B$ is quite similar to that of Lemma 6.1. q.e.d.

We may consider only the case (2) in Lemma 6.3. In this case, we also have the same type diagram as (6.7). Set $\underline{\varphi}^{2}=\left(\underline{\varphi}^{1} \ominus \nu\right) \oplus G_{\varphi^{1}}^{\prime}(\nu)$, then we see that $\varphi^{2}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 6.1. It follows that there is a holomorphic subbundle $\tau$ of $\underline{\varphi}^{2}$ with $\tau \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{1}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{2}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rank} \tau=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}^{2}-1$ such that $\varphi_{1}$ defined from $\varphi^{2}$ by the forward replacement of $\tau$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$.
(II-3) By (6.8), we obtain $A_{(1,0)}^{\alpha_{r+1}, \gamma_{0}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}^{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \alpha_{0}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}$ then $\beta_{0}=$ $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}}, \operatorname{rank} \beta_{0}=1$ and $\beta_{r}=G_{\varphi^{1}}^{(r)}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r)}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}}$, hence $\varphi^{1}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 6.1. It follows that there is a holomorphic subbundle $\tau$ of $\underline{\varphi}^{1}$ with $\tau \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi^{1}\right)^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{1}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rank} \tau=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}^{1}-1$ such that $\varphi_{1}$ defined from $\varphi^{1}$ by the forward replacement of $\tau$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$.
(III) Set $\mu_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r, \varphi}$, then $\mu_{0} \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{r, \varphi}$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{i} & =G_{\varphi}^{(i)}\left(\mu_{0}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r), \quad \varepsilon_{0}=\underline{\varphi} \ominus \mu_{0} \\
\varepsilon_{i} & =G^{(i)}(\varphi) \ominus \mu_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r), \quad R=\underline{\varphi}^{\perp} \ominus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} G^{(j)}(\varphi)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

 $R \ominus \mu_{r+1}$. We have a diagram


There are three possibilities:
(III-1) $\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}=1$, (III-2) $\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}=2$, (III-3) $\operatorname{rank} \mu_{0}=3$.
(III-1) In this case, $\varphi$ itself satisfies the conditions of Proposition 6.1. It follows that there is a holomorphic subbundle $\tau$ of $\varphi$ with $\tau \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rank} \tau=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}-m$, where $m=1,2,3$, such that $\varphi_{1}$ defined from $\varphi$ by the forward replacement of $\tau$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies rank $\operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}=$ $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-m$.
(III-2) By (6.10), we have rankIm $A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r+1}, \varepsilon_{0}} \leq 1$. First, assume that $A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r+1}, \varepsilon_{0}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \mu_{0}\right) \oplus \mu_{1}$. Then, by (6.10) we see that $\varphi_{1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-m$, where $m=1,2$. Next, assume that rank $\operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r+1}, \varepsilon_{0}}=1$. In the same way as Lemma 6.3, we have the following

Lemma 6.4. Let $A, B$ be as in Lemma 6.1. Set $\eta_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r+1}, \varepsilon_{0}}$. Then, rank $\eta_{0}=1$ and $(A \circ B)^{2} \equiv 0$. Moreover, the following hold :
(1) If $A \circ B \equiv 0$, set $\nu=\mu_{0} \oplus \eta_{0}$. Then, $\nu \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right)$, $\operatorname{rank} \nu=\operatorname{rank} \varphi-1$, and $\varphi_{1}$ defined from $\varphi$ by the forward replacement of $\nu$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$.
(2) If $A \circ B \not \equiv 0$, set $\nu=\underline{\mathrm{m}}(A \circ B)$. Then, $\operatorname{rank} \nu=1$ and $\nu \subset \operatorname{Ker} B$.

We only consider the case (2) in Lemma 6.4. Set $\underline{\varphi}^{1}=(\underline{\varphi} \varphi) \oplus G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\nu)$, then we see that $\varphi^{1}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition $\overline{6.1}$ (cf. (6.7)). Moreover, it follows that there is a holomorphic subbundle $\tau$ of $\underline{\varphi}^{1}$ with $\tau \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi^{1}\right)^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{1}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rank} \tau=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}^{1}-1$ such that $\varphi_{1}$ defined from $\varphi^{1}$ by the forward replacement of $\tau$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}=\operatorname{tank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$.

Remark. If we simply set $\underline{\varphi}^{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \mu_{0}\right) \oplus \mu_{1}$, then we see that $\varphi^{1}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 6.1. However, we can not get the information between $\operatorname{rank} \tau$ and $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}^{1}$.
(III-3) In this case, since $\operatorname{rank} \varepsilon_{0}=1$, it follows from (6.10) that $A_{(1,0)}^{\mu_{r+1}, \varepsilon_{0}} \equiv 0$. Set $\underline{\varphi}_{1}=\left(\underline{\varphi} \ominus \mu_{0}\right) \oplus \mu_{1}$, then we see that $\varphi_{1}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Im}_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}_{1}-1$.

In summary, we have the following
Proposition 6.2. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{4}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map. Assume that $\varphi$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r$. Then, there is a sequence $\left\{\varphi^{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ of pluriharmonic maps such that
(1) $\varphi^{0}=\varphi, \quad$ (2) $\varphi^{N}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq r+1$ and satisfies rank $\operatorname{Im} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}\left(\varphi^{N}\right), \varphi^{N}}$ $=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}^{N}-m$, where $m=1,2,3$,
(3) for $i=0,1, \cdots, N-1$, each $\varphi^{i}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r$, and $\varphi^{i+1}$ is obtained from $\varphi^{i}$ by the forward replacement of $\alpha^{i}$, where $\alpha^{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}^{i}$ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi^{i}\right)^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{i}}\right)$.

Using Proposition 6.2, we obtain the following

Theorem 6.1. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{4}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map. Assume that $\varphi$ has finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order and $n \leq 14$. Then, there is a sequence $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ of pluriharmonic maps such that
(1) $\varphi_{0}=\varphi$, (2) $\varphi_{N}: M \backslash S_{\varphi_{N}} \longrightarrow G_{m}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right), m=1,2,3$,
(3) for $i=0,1, \cdots, N-1$, each $\varphi_{i}$ has finite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order, and $\varphi_{i+1}$ is obtained from $\varphi_{i}$ by the forward replacement of $\alpha^{i}$, where $\alpha^{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}_{i}$ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}^{+}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi_{i}}\right)$.

Proof. Construct $\varphi_{1}$ from $\varphi$ using Proposition 6.2. Let $r$ be the $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order of $\varphi_{1}$. Then, we have $r \geq 2$. Set $\alpha_{0}=\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{r, \varphi}, \alpha_{i}=G_{\varphi_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$ and $\gamma_{0}=\underline{\varphi}_{1} \ominus \alpha_{0}, \gamma_{i}=G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \ominus \alpha_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, r$. By Proposition 6.2, we have $\operatorname{rank} \gamma_{0}=m$ and $\operatorname{rank} \alpha=\operatorname{rank} \varphi_{1}-m$, where $m=1,2,3$. If $\alpha_{0}=\underline{0}$, then $\varphi_{1}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $G_{m}\left(\overline{\mathbf{C}^{n}}\right)$, where $m=1,2,3$, hence we may assume that $\alpha_{0} \neq \underline{0}$. Set $R=\underline{\varphi}_{1}^{\perp} \ominus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} G^{(j)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)\right)$, then we have the diagram (5.17). In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have two possibilities : (1) $\alpha_{i}=0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r, \quad(2)$ any $\alpha_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r)$ is non-zero.
(1) Set $\tilde{\varphi}=\left(\underline{\varphi}_{1} \ominus \alpha_{0}\right) \oplus \alpha_{1}$. Then, by (5.17) we see that either, $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a pluriharmonic map into $G_{m}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, where $m=1,2,3$, or $\widetilde{\varphi}$ has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+1$ and $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}(\tilde{\varphi}), \tilde{\varphi}}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\tilde{\varphi}}-m$, where $m=1,2,3$.
(2) Since $n \leq 14$, one of $\underline{\varphi}_{1}, G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{1}\right)(1 \leq i \leq r)$ has rank $\leq 4$ and $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r$. Hence, by Proposition 6.2 , either, we have a pluriharmonic map into $G_{m}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, where $m=1,2,3$, or we have a pluriharmonic map $\tilde{\varphi}$ which has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $r+1$ and satisfies $\operatorname{rank} \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(r+1)}(\tilde{\varphi}), \tilde{\varphi}}=\operatorname{rank} \tilde{\varphi}-m$, where $m=1,2,3$.
Repeating this procedure, we see that $\varphi$ is reduced to a pluriharmonic map into $G_{m}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, where $m=1,2,3$.
q.e.d.

If we don't require the result about (2) in Theorem 6.1, we have
Theorem 6.2. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map. Assume that $k=3$ (resp. 4) and $n \leq 20$ (resp. 15). Then, by the successive procedures of the forward replacement, $\varphi$ is reduced to an anti-holomorphic map $f: M \backslash S_{f} \longrightarrow$ $G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ for some $t$.

Proof. We show the case of $k=3$. By Proposition 5.1, we can construct $\varphi_{2}$ which has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq 3$. Since $n \leq 20$, either one of $\underline{\varphi}_{2}, G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ has rank $\leq 4$ or any of $\underline{\varphi}_{2}, G^{(i)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ has rank 5 . The former case implies that we may construct $\varphi$ from $\varphi_{2}$, which has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq 4$ by Theorems 3.1, 4.1 and Propositions 5.1, 6.2. The latter case implies that $G^{(4)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \subset \varphi_{2}$, hence $\operatorname{rank} G^{(4)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \leq 4$. Hence, we can construct $\widetilde{\varphi}$ from $G^{(4)}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)$, which has $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order $\geq 4$ by Theorems 3.1, 4.1 and Propositions 5.1, 6.2. Repeating this procedure and noting that any pluriharmonic map with infinite $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order is reduced
to an anti-holomorphic map, we see that $\varphi$ is reduced to an anti-holomorphic map by the successive procedures of the forward replacement.
q.e.d.

## 7. A construction of pluriharmonic maps from rational maps.

In this section, we give the inverse of the procedures in Theorems 3.1, 4.1, 5.1, 6.1 and 6.2. For this purpose, we review the following propositions

Proposition 7.1 ([O-U2]). Let $\varphi: M \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map from a complex manifold. Let $\alpha \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}\right)$ be a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}$ and let $\widetilde{\varphi}$ be defined from $\varphi$ by the forward replacement of $\alpha$. Then, $G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ is an anti-holomorphic subbundle of $\tilde{\varphi}, G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha) \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(0,1)}^{\tilde{\varphi}^{\perp}} \circ A_{(0,1)}^{\tilde{\varphi}}\right)$ and, if $\underline{\operatorname{Ker}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi}=\underline{Q}$, then $\varphi$ is obtained from $\widetilde{\varphi}$ by the backward replacement of $G_{\varphi}^{\prime}(\alpha)$.
Proposition 7.2 ([O-U2]). Let $\varphi: M \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be a pluriharmonic map from a complex manifold. Assume that $\underline{\operatorname{Ker}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi} \neq \underline{0}$. Then, there exists a pluriharmonic $\operatorname{map} \psi: M \backslash S_{\psi} \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ for some $0 \leq t \leq k-1$ and a non-zero anti-holomorphic subbundle $\beta$ of $\left(\underline{\psi} \oplus G^{\prime}(\psi)\right)^{\perp}$ such that $\underline{\varphi}=\psi \oplus \beta$ over $M \backslash S_{\psi}$. Conversely, given $\psi: M \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ a pluriharmonic map and a non-zero anti-holomorphic subbundle $\beta$ of $\left(\psi \oplus G^{\prime}(\psi)\right)^{\perp}$ then $\varphi$ defined by $\underline{\varphi}=\underline{\psi} \oplus \beta$ gives a pluriharmonic $\operatorname{map} \varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ with $\underline{\operatorname{Ker}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi} \neq \underline{0}$, where $k=t+\operatorname{rank} \beta$.

We remark that if we reverse the orientation of $M$ we may use the concepts of $\partial^{\prime \prime}$-isotropy order and the backward replacement in place of those of $\partial^{\prime}$-isotropy order and the forward replacement. First, we treat the case of infinite isotropy order.

Proposition 7.3. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be any non-holomorphic pluriharmonic map with infinite $\partial^{\prime \prime}$-isotropy order, where $M$ is a complex manifold. Then, there is a unique sequence $\left\{\varphi^{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ of pluriharmonic maps such that
(1) $\varphi^{N}=\varphi$, (2) $\varphi^{0}: M \backslash S_{\varphi^{0}} \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ is a holomorphic map for some $t \in \mathbf{N}$, that is, a rational map $f: M \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right)$,
(3) for $i=0,1, \cdots, N-1, \underline{\operatorname{Ker}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{i}}=\underline{0}$, and each $\varphi^{i+1}$ is obtained from $\varphi^{i}$ by $\underline{\varphi}^{i+1}=G^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{i}\right) \oplus \alpha^{i}$, where $\alpha^{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\left(G^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{i}\right) \oplus \underline{\varphi}^{i}\right)^{\perp}$.

Proof. Since $G^{(-s)}(\varphi)=\underline{0}$ for some $s \in \mathbf{N}$, set $\underline{\varphi}^{i}=G^{(-s+1+i)}(\varphi)$ for $i=$ $0,1, \cdots, s-1$. Since $G^{\prime}\left(G^{(-s+1+i)}(\varphi)\right) \subset G^{(-s+2+i)}(\varphi)$, we have $G^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{i}\right) \subset \varphi^{i+1}$. Set $\alpha^{i}=\operatorname{Ker} A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi^{i+1}, \varphi^{i}}$, then by (1.2) and Proposition 7.2 we see that $\underline{\varphi}^{i+1}=G^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{i}\right) \oplus$ $\alpha^{i}$ and $\alpha^{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\left(G^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{i}\right) \oplus G^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{i}\right)\right)\right)^{\perp}$. Note that the condition $\underline{\operatorname{Ker}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{i}}=\underline{0}$ is equivalent to that $A_{(0,1)}^{\varphi^{i+1}, \varphi^{i}}: \underline{\varphi}^{i+1} \longrightarrow \underline{\varphi}^{i}$ is surjective,
which is satisfied by the definition (see (1.2)). Now, $N=s-1$ and the existence is established. For the uniqueness, define the sequence $\left\{\varphi^{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ as in (3), where $\varphi^{0}$ is as in (2). We show that each $\alpha^{i}$ is uniquely determined by the condition (1). Suppose that $\underline{\varphi}^{i} \varsubsetneqq G^{(-N+i)}(\varphi)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq N-1$. Set $\beta^{i}=G^{(-N+i)}(\varphi) \ominus \varphi^{i}$. Since, $\underline{\varphi}^{i+1}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\left(\underline{\varphi}^{i}\right)^{\perp}, A_{(0,1)}^{G^{(-N+i+1)}(\varphi), \beta^{i}}$ is surjective, and $\underline{\operatorname{Ker}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{i}}=\underline{0}$, it follows that $\varphi^{i+1}$ can not have $G^{(-N+i+1)}(\varphi)$ as a direct sum factor and $\underline{\varphi}^{i+1} \subset G^{(-N+i+1)}(\varphi) \oplus \beta^{i}$. Thus, either $\underline{\varphi}^{i+1} \varsubsetneqq G^{(-N+i+1)}(\varphi)$ or $\underline{\varphi}^{i+1}$ has the non-trivial projection into $\beta^{i}$. The former case may be treated in the same way, and the latter one yields $\varphi^{N} \neq \varphi$ because $\underline{\operatorname{Ker}} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{j}}=\underline{0}$ and $\underline{\operatorname{Ker}} A_{(1,0)}^{G^{(-j-1)}(\varphi)}=\underline{0}$ for any $0 \leq j \leq N-1$. Therefore, we have $\varphi^{N} \neq \varphi$. Next, suppose that $\underline{\varphi}^{i} \supsetneqq G^{(-N+i)}(\varphi)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq N-1$. If $\varphi^{i}$ contains also $G^{(-N+i+1)}(\varphi)$, then $G^{(-N+i)}(\varphi) \subset \operatorname{Ker} A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{i}}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\underline{\varphi}^{i}$ has a proper holomorphic subbundle of $G^{(-N+i+1)}(\varphi)$ as a direct sum factor, hence, again, we have $\varphi^{N} \neq \varphi$. Finally, suppose that $G^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{i-1}\right) \varsubsetneqq G^{(-N+i)}(\varphi), \alpha^{i-1}$ has the non-trivial projection into the both of $G^{(-N+i+1)}(\varphi)$ and $\beta^{i}$, for some $1 \leq i \leq N-1$. This case also leads to the conclusion $\varphi^{N} \neq \varphi$.
q.e.d.

Theorem 7.1. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ be any non $\pm$-holomorphic pluriharmonic map, where $M$ is a compact complex manifold with $c_{1}(M)>0$. Then, there is a unique sequence $\left\{\varphi^{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}(N \leq n-1)$ of pluriharmonic maps into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ such that
(1) $\varphi^{N}=\varphi$, (2) $\varphi^{0}: M \backslash S_{\varphi^{0}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ is a holomorphic map, that is, a rational $\operatorname{map} f: M \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$,
(3) for $i=0,1, \cdots, N-1$, each $\varphi^{i+1}$ is obtained from $\varphi^{i}$ by $\underline{\varphi}^{i+1}=G^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{i}\right)$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 7.3. q.e.d.
For the case of finite isotropy order, we have the following
Theorem 7.2. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be any pluriharmonic map with finite $\partial^{\prime \prime}$-isotropy order, where $M$ is a compact complex manifold with $c_{1}(M)>0$. Then, there is a sequence $\left\{\varphi^{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ of pluriharmonic maps such that
(1) $\varphi^{N}=\varphi, \quad$ (2) $\varphi^{0}: M \backslash S_{\varphi^{0}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{C} P^{n-1}$, and $\varphi^{1}$ is obtained from $\varphi^{0}$ by $\underline{\varphi}^{1}=\underline{\varphi}^{0} \oplus \beta_{0}$, where $\beta^{0}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\left(\underline{\varphi}^{0} \oplus G^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)\right)^{\perp}$ so that $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(0,1)}^{G^{(-r)}}\left(\varphi^{1}\right), \varphi^{1}=\beta^{0}$ for some $r \in \mathbf{N}$, and if $\varphi$ is non anti-holomorphic then $\operatorname{rank} \beta^{0}=1$,
(3) for $i=1, \cdots, N-1$, each $\varphi^{i+1}$ has $\partial^{\prime \prime}$-isotropy order $r-i$, and $\varphi^{i+1}$ is obtained from $\varphi^{i}$ by

$$
\underline{\varphi}^{i+1}=\widetilde{\varphi}^{i} \oplus \beta^{i}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}^{i}=\left(\underline{\varphi}^{i} \Theta \alpha^{i}\right) \oplus G_{\varphi^{i}}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)
$$

where $\alpha^{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}^{i}$ so that $\operatorname{rank} \alpha^{i}=\operatorname{rank} \underline{\varphi}^{i}-1$ and the Hermitian orthogonal projection $P^{i}: \underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(0,1)}^{G^{(i-r-1)}\left(\varphi^{i}\right), \varphi^{i}} \longrightarrow \alpha^{i}$ is an antiholomorphic isomorphism, and $\beta^{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{i} \oplus G^{\prime \prime}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{i}\right)\right)^{\perp}$ so that $\underline{\operatorname{Im}} A_{(0,1)}^{G^{(i-r)}\left(\varphi^{i+1}\right), \varphi^{i+1}}=G_{\varphi^{i}}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{i}\right) \oplus \beta^{i}$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.1 and Propositions 7.1, 7.2. q.e.d.
The uniqueness for the choice of $\beta^{i}$ may be expected if we assume that
$\underline{\operatorname{Ker}}\left(\left.A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{i}}\right|_{\alpha^{i}}\right)=\underline{0}$, however, in general, it seems to be difficult to determine $\alpha^{i}$ uniquely.
Theorem 7.3. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be any pluriharmonic map with finite $\partial^{\prime \prime}$-isotropy order, where $M$ is a compact complex manifold with $c_{1}(M)>0$. Assume that $k=3$ (resp. 4) and $n \leq 15$ (resp. 14). Then, there is a sequence $\left\{\varphi^{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ of pluriharmonic maps such that
(1) $\varphi^{N}=\varphi$, (2) $\varphi^{0}: M \backslash S_{\varphi^{0}} \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right), 1 \leq t \leq k-1$, and $\varphi^{1}$ is obtained from $\varphi^{0}$ by $\underline{\varphi}^{1}=\underline{\varphi}^{0} \oplus \beta^{0}$, where $\beta^{0}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\left(\underline{\varphi}^{0} \oplus G^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi^{0}\right)\right)^{\perp}$, and $\varphi^{1}$ has finite $\partial^{\prime \prime}$-isotropy order,
(3) for $i=1, \cdots, N-1$, each $\varphi^{i+1}$ has finite $\partial^{\prime \prime}$-isotropy order, and $\varphi^{i+1}$ is obtained from $\varphi^{i}$ by

$$
\underline{\varphi}^{i+1}=\widetilde{\varphi}^{i} \oplus \beta^{i}, \quad \widetilde{\varphi}^{i}=\left(\underline{\varphi}^{i} \ominus \alpha^{i}\right) \oplus G_{\varphi^{i}}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)
$$

where $\alpha^{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\varphi^{i}$ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi^{i}\right)^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{i}}\right)$, and $\beta^{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\left(\tilde{\varphi}^{i} \oplus G^{\prime \prime}\left(\tilde{\varphi}^{i}\right)\right)^{\perp}$.

Proof. This follows from Theorems 5.1, 6.1 and Propositions 7.1, 7.2. q.e.d.
Theorem 7.4. Let $\varphi: M \backslash S_{\varphi} \longrightarrow G_{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ be any non-holomorphic pluriharmonic map. Assume that $k=3$ (resp. 4) and $n \leq 20$ (resp. 15). Then, there is a sequence $\left\{\varphi^{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ of pluriharmonic maps such that
(1) $\varphi^{N}=\varphi$, (2) $\varphi^{0}: M \backslash S_{\varphi^{0}} \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ is a holomorphic map for some $t \in \mathbf{N}$, that is, a rational map $f: M \longrightarrow G_{t}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$,
(3) for $i=0,1, \cdots, N-1$, each $\varphi^{i+1}$ is obtained from $\varphi^{i}$ by

$$
\underline{\varphi}^{i+1}=\underline{\varphi}^{i} \oplus \beta^{i}, \quad \tilde{\underline{\varphi}}^{i}=\left(\underline{\varphi}^{i} \ominus \alpha^{i}\right) \oplus G_{\varphi^{i}}^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)
$$

where $\alpha^{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\underline{\varphi}^{i}$ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{(1,0)}^{\left(\varphi^{i}\right)^{\perp}} \circ A_{(1,0)}^{\varphi^{i}}\right)$, and $\beta^{i}$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $\left(\tilde{\underline{\varphi}}^{i} \oplus G^{\prime \prime}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{i}\right)\right)^{\perp}$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.2 and Propositions 2.3, 7.1~7.3. q.e.d.

Remark. (1) Ohnita and Valli [O-V] proved the factorization theorem for the class of meromorphically pluriharmonic maps into the unitary group. We remark that, our class of pluriharmonic maps is wider than that of theirs, the method using the image or kernel of the second fundamental form, which is called the basic transform, is not established yet, and that even if it is established our results are not covered by it (cf. [Wd1]).
(2) Toledo suggested to the author that the analogy of their result [C-T] may hold, that is, any non-constant pluriharmonic map $\varphi$ from compact complex manifold $M$ into $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$ has a factorization of the form $\varphi=g \circ f$, where $f: M \longrightarrow S$ is a holomorphic map into a compact Riemann surface and $g: S \longrightarrow \mathrm{C} P^{n-1}$ is a harmonic map, if $\varphi(M)$ is not a geodesic arc in $\mathbf{C} P^{n-1}$. The exceptional case surely occurs when we set $M=T^{m}$, that is, $m$-dimensional complex torus, and consider the factorization, $f: T^{m} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ a totally geodesic map, $g: S^{1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{C} P^{n-1}$ a totally geodesic immersion. Note that a totally geodesic map from a Kähler manifold is pluriharmonic (cf. [O-U1]). Thus, if we assume $c_{1}(M)>0$, we may expect that $S$ is a Riemann sphere and $g$ is a branched minimal immersion. We will discuss it elsewhere.
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