# THE BFK-GLUING FORMULA FOR ZETA-DETERMINANTS AND THE VALUE OF RELATIVE ZETA FUNCTIONS AT ZERO

YOONWEON LEE

Department of Mathematics Inha University Incheon, 402-751, Korea

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to discuss the constant term appearing in the BFK-gluing formula for the zeta-determinants of Laplacians on a complete Riemannian manifold when the warped product metric is given on a collar neighborhood of a cutting hypersurface. If the dimension of a hypersurface is odd, generally this constant is known to be zero. In this paper we describe this constant by using the heat kernel asymptotics and compute it explicitly when the dimension of a hypersurface is 2 and 4. As a byproduct we obtain some results for the value of relative zeta functions at s = 0.

#### §1. Introduction

The gluing formula for zeta-determinants of Laplacians on a compact Riemannnian manifold with boundary had been given by Burghelea, Friedlander and Kappeler in [2] and later was extended by Carron in [3]. Their formula, however, contains a constant term which is expressed by the zero coefficient of some asymptotic expansions ([2], [8]). If the dimension of a cutting hypersurface is odd, this constant is known to be zero ([7]). If the product metric is given on a collar neighborhood of a cutting hypersurface, this constant was computed explicitly in [3] and [9]. The BFK-gluing formula also contains some informations about the value of relative zeta functions at s = 0. In this paper we discuss this constant term when the warped product metric is given on a collar neighborhood of a cutting hypersurface. More precisely, we describe this constant in terms of heat kernel asymptotics and compute it explicitly when the dimension of a cutting hypersurface is 2 and 4. As a byproduct we obtain some informations about the value of relative zeta functions at s = 0, which we discuss in the last section.

Let (M, g) be either a complete oriented Riemannian manifold or a compact oriented Riemannian manifold with boundary W (W is possibly empty) with dimension m + 1. Suppose that Y is a hypersurface of M with  $Y \cap W = \emptyset$ . Choose a collar neighborhood  $N_{-1,1}$  of Y such that  $N_{-1,1}$  is diffeomorphic to  $([-1,1] \times Y)$  with  $N_{-1,1} \cap W = \emptyset$  and Y is identified with  $\{0\} \times Y$ . We assume that g is a warped product metric on  $N_{-1,1}$ , *i.e.*,

$$g|_{N-1,1} = (du^2 + e^{h(u)}g_Y), \tag{1.1}$$

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 58J52, 58J50.

Key words and phrases. (relative) zeta-determinant, BFK-gluing formula, Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator, Dirichlet boundary condition, warped product metric.

The author was supported by KRF-2005-013-C00008 and thanks Max Planck Institute for Mathematics for their hospitality.

where  $g_Y$  is a Riemannian metric on Y, u is the normal direction to Y and  $h: [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function with h(0) = 0. We denote by  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$  the unit vector field on  $N_{-1,1}$  which is normal to  $Y_u := \{u\} \times Y$ . Let  $E \to M$  be a complex vector bundle on M having the product structure on  $N_{-1,1}$ , which means that  $E|_{N_{-1,1}} = p^*E|_Y$ , where  $p: [-1,1] \times Y \to [-1,1]$  is the projection on the first component. Then the Laplacian  $\Delta_M$  corresponding to the metric g is described on  $N_{-1,1}$ as follows.

$$\Delta_M|_{N_{-1,1}} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} - \frac{m}{2}h'(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + e^{-h(u)}\Delta_Y, \qquad (1.2)$$

where  $m = \dim Y$  and  $\Delta_Y$  is a Laplacian on Y. We impose a boundary condition  $P_W$  on W so that  $\Delta_M$  can be extended to a non-negative self-adjoint operator. We denote by  $M_{cut}$  the manifold with boundary  $W \cup Y \cup Y$  obtained by cutting M along  $Y (= Y_0)$ . We also denote by  $Y_{0,1}$   $(Y_{0,2})$ the component of the boundary of  $M_{cut}$  which is the copy of Y and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$  points outward (inward). We impose the Dirichlet boundary condition on  $Y_{0,1} \cup Y_{0,2}$  and denote by  $\Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0}$  the realization of  $\Delta_{M_{cut}}$  with respect to  $P_W$  on W and the Dirichlet boundary condition on  $Y_{0,1} \cup Y_{0,2}$ , where  $\Delta_{M_{cut}}$  is the natural extension of  $\Delta_M$  to  $M_{cut}$ . Then  $\left(e^{-t(\Delta_M + \lambda)} - e^{-t(\Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda)}\right)$  is a trace class operator (see [3]) and we define the relative zeta function and relative zeta-determinant for  $(\Delta_M + \lambda, \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda)$  by

$$\zeta\left(s;\Delta_{M}+\lambda,\ \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_{0}}+\lambda\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} Tr\left(e^{-t(\Delta_{M}+\lambda)} - e^{-t\left(\Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_{0}}+\lambda\right)}\right) dt$$
$$\log Det\left(\Delta_{M}+\lambda,\ \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_{0}}+\lambda\right) = -\zeta'\left(0;\Delta_{M}+\lambda,\ \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_{0}}+\lambda\right).$$
(1.3)

Throughout this paper we assume that for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$  the Dirichlet boundary value problem for  $\Delta_{M_{cut}} + \lambda$  has a unique solution, *i.e.* for  $(f,g) \in C^{\infty}(Y_{0,1} \cup Y_{0,2})$  there exists a unique solution  $\phi$  such that

$$(\Delta_{M_{cut}} + \lambda)\phi = 0, \quad \phi|_{Y_{0,1}} = f, \quad \phi|_{Y_{0,2}} = g, \quad P_W(\phi)|_W = 0.$$

Then Burghelea, Friedlander and Kappeler ([2]) had studied  $\log Det(\Delta_M + \lambda, \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$  on a compact manifold and later Carron ([3]) extended their result to the case of a complete non-compact manifold.

To state their results, we introduce elliptic  $\Psi$ DO's  $Q_1(\lambda)$ ,  $Q_2(\lambda)$  and  $R(\lambda)$  acting on  $C^{\infty}(Y)$ , smooth sections on Y, as follows. For  $f \in C^{\infty}(Y)$ , we choose unique sections  $\phi, \psi \in C^{\infty}(M_{cut})$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{M_{cut}} + \lambda)\phi &= 0, \quad \phi|_{Y_{0,1}} = f, \quad \phi|_{Y_{0,2}} = 0, \quad P_W(\phi)|_W = 0, \\ (\Delta_{M_{cut}} + \lambda)\psi &= 0, \quad \psi|_{Y_{0,1}} = 0, \quad \psi|_{Y_{0,2}} = f, \quad P_W(\psi)|_W = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we define

$$Q_1(\lambda)(f) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\phi\right)|_{Y_{0,1}} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\phi\right)|_{Y_{0,2}}, \qquad Q_2(t)(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\psi\right)|_{Y_{0,1}} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\psi\right)|_{Y_{0,2}}$$
(1.4)

and define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator  $R(\lambda): C^{\infty}(Y) \to C^{\infty}(Y)$  by

$$R(\lambda) = Q_1(\lambda) + Q_2(\lambda). \tag{1.5}$$

It is a well-known fact that for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $R(\lambda)$  is a positive elliptic  $\Psi DO$  of order 1. Then they proved the following equality. For some real coefficients  $a_j$   $(0 \le j \le \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor)$ ,

$$\log Det \left(\Delta_M + \lambda, \ \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \pi_j \lambda^j + \log Det R(\lambda).$$
(1.6)

When M is compact, it is known in [2] that  $\log Det(\Delta_M + \lambda, \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda)$  and  $\log DetR(\lambda)$  have asymptotic expansions as  $\lambda \to \infty$ , whose coefficients can be computed by integral of some densities determined by the symbols of operators. Moreover, the zero coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of  $\log Det(\Delta_M + \lambda, \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda)$  is zero (Lemma 3.2 or [21]). Hence  $-\pi_0$  in (1.6) is, in fact, the zero coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of  $\log DetR(\lambda)$ . This fact enables us to comput  $\pi_0$  in some cases. It is known that  $\pi_0 = 0$  when dimM is even ([7]). If the metric g is a product one on  $N_{-1,1}$  so that  $\Delta_M = -\partial_u^2 + \Delta_Y$ , it is known that  $\pi_0 = \log 2 \cdot (\zeta_{\Delta_Y}(0) + dimker\Delta_Y)$  ([3], [9]).

On the other hand, if M is compact or the essential spectrum  $\sigma_{ess}(\Delta_M)$  of  $\Delta_M$  has a positive lower bound, the coefficient of  $\log \lambda$  in the asymptotic expansion of  $\log Det(\Delta_M + \lambda, \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda)$ is  $\zeta(0; \Delta_M, \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0}) + dimker\Delta_M$  (Lemma 3.2). Hence, the comparison of the  $\log \lambda$ -coefficients in the asymptotic expansions of (1.6) gives some informations about the value of the relative zeta functions at zero.

In this paper we are going to discuss the constant  $\pi_0$  in (1.6), or equivalently the polynomial  $\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \pi_j \lambda^j$ , and the value of relative zeta functions at s = 0 when the Laplacian is given by (1.2) on  $N_{-1,1}$ . We next discuss, using the result in [12], the value of the zeta function at s = 0 for a compatible Dirac Laplacian with the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer (APS) boundary condition, which extends the result given by the author in the Appendix of [17].

#### §2. Description of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator $R(\lambda)$

In this section we are going to describe the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator  $R(\lambda)$  into a more useful form by using the variations of  $Q_1(\lambda)$ ,  $Q_2(\lambda)$ . To do this we first define the operator  $Q_{i,u}(\lambda)$ (i = 1, 2) on  $Y_u := \{u\} \times Y$  for each  $u, -1 \leq u \leq 1$ , in the same way as  $Q_i(\lambda)$  on  $Y_0$ . More precisely, let  $M_{cut,u}$  be the manifold with boundary obtained by cutting M along  $Y_u$  and  $Y_{u,1}$  $(Y_{u,2})$  be the boundary of  $M_{cut,u}$  such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$  points outward (inward). For  $f \in C^{\infty}(Y_u)$  choose  $\phi_u, \psi_u \in C^{\infty}(M_{cut,u})$  satisfying

$$\begin{split} (\Delta_{M_{cut}} + \lambda) \, \phi_u &= 0, \quad \phi_u|_{Y_{u,1}} = f, \quad \phi_u|_{Y_0} = 0, \quad P_W(\phi_u)|_W = 0, \\ (\Delta_{M_{cut}} + \lambda) \, \psi_u &= 0, \quad \phi_u|_{Y_0} = 0, \quad \psi_u|_{Y_{u,2}} = f, \quad P_W(\psi_u)|_W = 0. \end{split}$$

Then we define

$$Q_{1,u}(\lambda)(f) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\phi_u\right)|_{Y_{u,1}} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\phi_u\right)|_{Y_0} =: \widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda)(f) + \Psi_{1,u}(\lambda)(f),$$
  

$$Q_{2,u}(\lambda)(f) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\psi_u\right)|_{Y_0} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\psi_u\right)|_{Y_{u,2}} =: \Psi_{2,u}(\lambda)(f) + \widetilde{Q}_{2,u}(\lambda)(f), \qquad (2.1)$$

where  $\widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda)(f) := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\phi_u\right)|_{Y_{u,1}}$  and  $\Psi_{1,u}(\lambda)(f) := -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\phi_u\right)|_{Y_0}$ .  $\widetilde{Q}_{2,u}(\lambda)(f)$  and  $\Psi_{2,u}(\lambda)(f)$  are defined similarly.

Now for  $f \in C^{\infty}(Y_0)$ , choose  $\phi(u, y) \in C^{\infty}(M_{cut})$  such that

$$(\Delta_{M_{cut}} + \lambda) \phi(u, y) = 0, \quad \phi|_{Y_{0,1}} = f, \quad \phi|_{Y_{0,2}} = 0, \quad P_W(\phi)|_W = 0.$$

Then for each  $u, -1 \leq u \leq 1$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\phi(u,y)\right)|_{Y_u} = \widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda)\left(\phi(u,y)|_{Y_u}\right).$$

Taking the derivative with respect to u,

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2}\phi(u,y)\right)|_{Y_u} = \frac{\partial \widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda)}{\partial u}\left(\phi(u,y)|_{Y_u}\right) + \widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda)\left(\frac{\partial\phi(u,y)}{\partial u}|_{Y_u}\right) \\
= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda) + \widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda)^2\right)\left(\phi(u,y)|_{Y_u}\right).$$
(2.2)

Since  $\phi$  satisfies  $(\Delta_{M_{cut}} + \lambda) \phi = 0$ , (1.2) leads to

$$\left(-\frac{m}{2}h'(u)\widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda)+e^{-h(u)}\Delta_Y+\lambda\right)\left(\phi(u,y)|_{Y_u}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda)+\widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda)^2\right)\left(\phi(u,y)|_{Y_u}\right),$$

which shows that

$$\left(\widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda) + \frac{m}{4}h'(u)\right)^2 = e^{-h(u)}\Delta_Y + \lambda + \frac{m^2}{16}h'(u)^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda).$$
(2.3)

Similarly, we have

$$\left(\widetilde{Q}_{2,u}(\lambda) - \frac{m}{4}h'(u)\right)^2 = e^{-h(u)}\Delta_Y + \lambda + \frac{m^2}{16}h'(u)^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\widetilde{Q}_{2,u}(\lambda).$$
(2.4)

We next note that  $\Psi_{1,u}(\lambda) \left(\phi(u,y)|_{Y_u}\right) = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\phi(u,y)\right)|_{Y_{0,2}}$ . Taking the derivative, we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\Psi_{1,u}(\lambda)\right)\phi(u,y)|_{Y_u} + \Psi_{1,u}(\lambda)\left(\widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda)\phi(u,y)|_{Y_u}\right) = 0,$$
(2.5)

which shows that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\Psi_{1,u}(\lambda) + \Psi_{1,u}(\lambda)\widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda) = 0$ . Since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\Psi_{1,u}(\lambda)$  and  $\Psi_{1,u}(\lambda)$  are operators of same order and  $\widetilde{Q}_{1,u}(\lambda)$  is an elliptic operator of order 1, this equality implies that  $\Psi_{1,u}(\lambda)$  is a smoothing operator. As the same way,  $\Psi_{2,u}(\lambda)$  is also a smoothing operator. Setting  $R_u(\lambda) = Q_{1,u}(\lambda) + Q_{2,u}(\lambda)$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\Omega_u(\lambda) = Q_{1,u}(\lambda) - Q_{2,u}(\lambda) + \frac{m}{2}h'(u)$ . Then  $R_u(\lambda)^2$  is expressed as follows.

$$R_u(\lambda)^2 = 4\left(e^{-h(u)}\Delta_Y + \lambda + \frac{m^2}{16}h'(u)^2 + \frac{m}{4}h''(u)\right) - 2\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\Omega_u(\lambda) - \Omega_u(\lambda)^2 + a \text{ smoothing operator.}$$

*Remark*: It is well-known ([2], [3]) that  $R(\lambda)^{-1} = \gamma_0 (\Delta_M + \lambda)^{-1} (\cdot \otimes \delta_Y)$  and this fact implies that  $R(\lambda)$  is positive definite for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , where  $\gamma_0$  is the restriction map to Y.

We now discuss the asymptotic symbols of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\Omega_u(\lambda)$  and  $\Omega_u(\lambda)^2$ . The equations (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) show that

$$Q_{1,u}(\lambda)^{2} = e^{-h(u)}\Delta_{Y} + \lambda - \frac{m}{2}h'(u)Q_{1,u}(\lambda) - \frac{\partial}{\partial u}Q_{1,u}(\lambda) + \text{ a smoothing operator,}$$

$$Q_{2,u}(\lambda)^{2} = e^{-h(u)}\Delta_{Y} + \lambda + \frac{m}{2}h'(u)Q_{2,u}(\lambda) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}Q_{2,u}(\lambda) + \text{ a smoothing operator.}$$

$$(2.6)$$

We denote the asymptotic symbols of  $Q_{1,u}(\lambda)$ ,  $Q_{2,u}(\lambda)$  and  $e^{-h(u)}\Delta_Y + \lambda$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(Q_{1,u}(\lambda))(y,\xi,\lambda) &\sim \alpha_{1,u}(y,\xi,\lambda) + \alpha_{0,u}(y,\xi,\lambda) + \alpha_{-1,u}(y,\xi,\lambda) + \cdots \\ \sigma(Q_{2,u}(\lambda))(y,\xi,\lambda) &\sim \beta_{1,u}(y,\xi,\lambda) + \beta_{0,u}(y,\xi,\lambda) + \beta_{-1,u}(y,\xi,\lambda) + \cdots \\ \sigma(e^{-h(u)}\Delta_Y + \lambda) &= (e^{-h(u)}|\xi|^2 + \lambda) + e^{-h(u)}p_1(y,\xi) + e^{-h(u)}p_0(y,\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Then the asymptotic symbols of  $Q_{1,u}(\lambda)^2$  and  $Q_{2,u}(\lambda)^2$  are given by

$$\sigma(Q_{1,u}(\lambda)^2)(y,\xi,\lambda) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{|\omega|+i+j=k\\i,j\geq 0}} \frac{1}{\omega!} d_{\xi}^{\omega} \alpha_{1-i,u}(y,\xi,\lambda) \cdot D_y^{\omega} \alpha_{1-j,u}(y,\xi,\lambda),$$

$$\sigma(Q_{2,u}(t)^2)(y,\xi,\lambda) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{|\omega|+i+j=k\\i,j\geq 0}} \frac{1}{\omega!} d_{\xi}^{\omega} \beta_{1-i,u}(y,\xi,\lambda) \cdot D_y^{\omega} \beta_{1-j,u}(y,\xi,\lambda),$$
(2.7)

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1,u} &= \beta_{1,u} = \sqrt{e^{-h(u)}|\xi|^2 + \lambda} \\ \alpha_{0,u} &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1,u}^{-1} \left( -d_{\xi}\alpha_{1,u} \cdot D_{y}\alpha_{1,u} + e^{-h(u)}p_{1} - \frac{m}{2}h'(u)\alpha_{1,u} + \frac{h'(u)e^{-h(u)}|\xi|^2}{2\sqrt{e^{-h(u)}|\xi|^2 + \lambda}} \right) \\ \beta_{0,u} &= \frac{1}{2}\beta_{1,u}^{-1} \left( -d_{\xi}\beta_{1,u} \cdot D_{y}\beta_{1,u} + e^{-h(u)}p_{1} + \frac{m}{2}h'(u)\beta_{1,u} - \frac{h'(u)e^{-h(u)}|\xi|^2}{2\sqrt{e^{-h(u)}|\xi|^2 + \lambda}} \right). \end{aligned}$$
(2.8)

Using the relation (2.7) we can compute the homogeneous components  $\alpha_{1-k,u}$  and  $\beta_{1-k,u}$  for any  $k \geq 1$ , and hence the asymptotic symbols of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\Omega_u(\lambda)$  and  $\Omega_u(\lambda)^2$ . For instance, the principal symbols of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\Omega_u(\lambda)$  and  $\Omega_u(\lambda)^2$  are given as follows.

$$\sigma_L \left( 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \Omega_u(\lambda) \right) = \frac{\left( h''(u) - h'(u)^2 \right) e^{-h(u)} |\xi|^2}{e^{-h(u)} |\xi|^2 + \lambda} + \frac{h'(u)^2 e^{-2h(u)} |\xi|^4}{\left( e^{-h(u)} |\xi|^2 + \lambda \right)^2},$$
  
$$\sigma_L \left( \Omega_u(\lambda)^2 \right) = \frac{h'(u)^2 e^{-2h(u)} |\xi|^4}{4 \left( e^{-h(u)} |\xi|^2 + \lambda \right)^2}.$$
(2.9)

**Corollary 2.2.**  $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\Omega_u(\lambda)$  and  $\Omega_u(\lambda)^2$  are  $\Psi DO$ 's of order 0 and each homogeneous part of the asymptotic symbol tends to 0 pointwisely as  $\lambda \to \infty$ .

We next discuss the heat kernel asymptotics of  $R_u(\lambda)^2$  at u = 0. We assume that h(0) = 0 and denote by

$$c_0 = \frac{m^2}{16} h'(0)^2 + \frac{m}{4} h''(0), \qquad \mathfrak{S}_0(\lambda) = -2\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \Omega_u(\lambda)|_{u=0} - \Omega_0(\lambda)^2.$$
(2.10)

We suppose that as  $t \to 0^+$ ,

$$Tre^{-t(\Delta_Y+c_0)} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^{-\frac{m}{2}+j},$$
  
$$Tre^{-t(\Delta_Y+c_0+\frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{S}_0(\lambda))} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(\lambda) t^{-\frac{m}{2}+j} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(\lambda) t^k \log t.$$
 (2.11)

Then each coefficient  $a_j(\lambda)$  and  $a_j$  in (2.11) can be computed by the following formula (*cf.* [5], [20]). Let  $\Gamma$  be a contour in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\Gamma = \{ re^{i\pi} | \infty > r \ge \epsilon \} \cup \{ \epsilon e^{i\phi} | \pi \ge \phi \ge -\pi \} \cup \{ re^{-i\pi} | \epsilon \le r < \infty \}$$

$$(2.12)$$

for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$  and oriented counterclockwise. Let us fix a finite number of local coordinate charts covering Y, local trivializations for E, and a partition of unity subordinate to this covering. In each coordinate chart we denote the asymptotic symbol of  $(\Delta_Y + c_0 + \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{S}_0(\lambda))$  by

$$\sigma\left(\Delta_Y + c_0 + \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{S}_0(\lambda)\right) \sim p_2(y,\xi) + p_1(y,\xi) + (p_0(y,\xi) + q_0(y,\xi,\lambda)) + q_{-1}(y,\xi,\lambda) + \cdots, (2.13)$$

where  $p_2(y,\xi) = |\xi|^2$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^2 p_j(y,\xi)$ ,  $\sum_{j=0}^\infty q_j(y,\xi,\lambda)$  are the (asymptotic) symbols of  $\Delta_Y + c_0$  and  $\frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{S}_0(\lambda)$ , respectively. Then the asymptotic symbol  $\sum_{j=0}^\infty r_{-2-j}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu)$  of the resolvent  $\left(\mu - \left(\Delta_Y + c_0 + \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{S}_0(\lambda)\right)\right)^{-1}$  is given recursively as follows.

$$r_{-2}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu) = (\mu - |\xi|^2)^{-1},$$
  

$$r_{-2-j}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu) = -(\mu - |\xi|^2)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sum_{|\omega|+l+k=j} \frac{1}{\omega!} \partial_{\xi}^{\omega} \widetilde{p}_{2-l}(y,\xi,\lambda) \cdot D_y^{\omega} r_{-2-k}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu),$$
(2.14)

where

$$\widetilde{p}_j(y,\xi,\lambda) = \begin{cases} p_j(y,\xi) & \text{for } j = 1,2\\ p_0(y,\xi) + q_0(y,\xi,\lambda) & \text{for } j = 0\\ q_j(y,\xi,\lambda) & \text{for } j < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $a_j(\lambda)$   $(0 \le j \le [\frac{m}{2}])$  can be computed by the following integral (*cf.* Formula (12) in [5] or Theorem 13.1 in [20]).

$$a_{j}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2}-j)}{2\cdot 2\pi i} \int_{Y} dvol(Y) \int_{|\xi|=1} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m}} d\xi' \int_{\Gamma} \mu^{-\frac{m}{2}+j} r_{-2-2j}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu) d\mu & \text{for } 0 \le j < \frac{m}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_{Y} dvol(Y) \int_{|\xi|=1} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{m}} d\xi' \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{-2-m}(y,\xi,\lambda,-\nu) d\nu & \text{for } j = \frac{m}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \end{cases}$$
(2.15)

where  $d\xi'$  is the usual surface element of the sphere  $|\xi| = 1$ . Similarly, let us denote the asymptotic symbol of  $(\mu - (\Delta_Y + c_0))^{-1}$  by

$$\sigma\left(\left(\mu - (\Delta_Y + c_0)\right)^{-1}\right) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_{-2-j}(y,\xi,\mu),$$
 (2.16)

where  $\delta_{-2-j}(y,\xi,\mu)$  is defined recursively by the same formula as (2.14). Then  $a_j$  can be computed by the integral (2.15) with the integrand  $\delta_{-2-j}(y,\xi,\mu)$ . We here note that

$$r_{-2-j}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu) = \delta_{-2-j}(y,\xi,\mu) + \tilde{r}_{-2-j}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu), \qquad (2.17)$$

where  $\tilde{r}_{-2-j}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu)$  tends to 0 pointwisely as  $\lambda \to \infty$ . In particular,  $r_{-2}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu) = \delta_{-2}(y,\xi,\mu)$ . Then we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.3.** Each  $a_j(\lambda)$ ,  $0 \le j \le [\frac{m}{2}]$ , has an asymptotic expansion for  $\lambda \to \infty$  of the following form.

$$a_j(\lambda) \sim a_j + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{j,\frac{k}{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{k}{2}}.$$

In particular,

$$a_0(\lambda) = a_0$$
 and  $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} a_j(\lambda) = a_j, \ (1 < j \le \left[\frac{m}{2}\right]).$ 

*Proof*: In view of (2.14),  $r_{-2-j}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu)$  can be expressed by

$$r_{-2-j}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{f_k(y,\xi,\mu)}{(|\xi|^2 + \lambda)^{\frac{k}{2}}},$$

where  $f_k(y,\xi,\mu)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k-2-j with respect to  $\xi$  and  $\mu$ . Hence, (2.15) shows that for  $0 \le j < \frac{m}{2}$ ,

$$a_j(\lambda) = \sum_k \frac{1}{(\lambda+1)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2\pi i} \int_Y dvol(Y) \int_{|\xi|=1} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} d\xi' \int_{\Gamma} \mu^{-\frac{m}{2}-j} f_k(y,\xi,\mu) d\mu,$$

from which the result follows. The case of  $j = \frac{m}{2}$  can be treated in the same way.  $\Box$ 

### $\S3$ . The main results and their proofs

We begin this section with the following lemma, which is straightforward (cf. [8] or [21]).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let P be an elliptic  $\Psi DO$  of order > 0 on a compact manifold and  $\{\alpha_j\}, \{\beta_j\}$  be increasing sequences with  $\beta_0 > 0$ , and tending to  $\infty$ . Suppose that

$$Tre^{-tP} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^{\alpha_j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j t^{\beta_j} \log t \quad for \quad t \to 0^+.$$

Then, as  $\lambda \to \infty$ ,

$$\log Det (P+\lambda) \sim -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{\Gamma(s+\alpha_j)}{\Gamma(s)} \right)_{s=0} \cdot \lambda^{-\alpha_j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \left( \frac{\Gamma(s+\alpha_j)}{\Gamma(s)} \right)_{s=0} \cdot \lambda^{-\alpha_j} \log \lambda \\ + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \Gamma(\beta_j) \cdot \lambda^{-\beta_j} \log \lambda - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \int_0^{\infty} x^{\beta_j - 1} e^{-x} \log x \, dx \cdot \lambda^{-\beta_j} + O\left(e^{-c\lambda}\right).$$

In particular, the constant term does not appear and the coefficient of  $\log \lambda$  is  $(\zeta_P(0) + dimkerP)$ .

We next consider the asymptotic expansions of log  $Det(\Delta_M + \lambda, \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda)$  and log  $DetR(\lambda)$ as  $\lambda \to \infty$ . Let K be a collar neighborhood of Y whose closure is a compact subset of M. Then it is shown in [1] (see also [3]) that for some positive constant C,

$$|Tr\left(1_{M-K}\left(e^{-t\Delta_M}-e^{-t\Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0}}\right)1_{M-K}\right)| \le e^{-\frac{C}{t}}.$$

It is a well-known fact that for  $t \to 0$ ,

$$Tr\left(1_K\left(e^{-t\Delta_M}-e^{-t\Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0}}\right)1_K\right) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^{\frac{j-m}{2}}.$$

Hence, for  $t \to 0$ ,

$$Tr\left(e^{-t\Delta_M} - e^{-t\Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0}}\right) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^{\frac{j-m}{2}},\tag{3.1}$$

where dimM = m + 1. This fact together with Lemma 3.1 yields the following result.

**Lemma 3.2.** As  $\lambda \to \infty$ , we have the following asymptotic expansion.

$$\log Det \left(\Delta_M + \lambda, \ \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda\right) \ \sim \ -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \left(\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{m-j}{2})}{\Gamma(s)}\right)\right)_{s=0} \lambda^{\frac{m-j}{2}} \\ + \ \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j \left(\frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{m-j}{2})}{\Gamma(s)}\right)_{s=0} \lambda^{\frac{m-j}{2}} \cdot \log \lambda \ + \ O(e^{-c\lambda}).$$

In particular, the constant term does not appear. If M is compact or complete with  $\sigma_{ess}(\Delta_M)$  having a positive lower bound, the coefficient of  $\log \lambda$  is  $\zeta(0; \Delta_M, \Delta_{M_{cut}, \gamma_0}) + \dim \ker \Delta_M$ .

The above lemma shows that  $-\pi_0$  in (1.6) is, in fact, the zero coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of  $\log DetR(\lambda)$  as  $\lambda \to \infty$ . If  $\dim Y$  is odd, this zero coefficient is known to be zero ([7]) and hence we need to discuss the case of  $\dim Y$  even. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.1 with (2.11) we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let m be the dimension of Y. Then :

$$\log Det R(\lambda) = \log 2 \cdot \zeta_{\left(\Delta_Y + c_0 + \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{S}_0(\lambda) + \lambda\right)}(0) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} a_j(\lambda) \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{m}{2} + j)}{\Gamma(s)}\right)_{s=0} \lambda^{\frac{m}{2} - j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} a_j(\lambda) \left(\frac{\Gamma(s - \frac{m}{2} + j)}{\Gamma(s)}\right)_{s=0} \lambda^{\frac{m}{2} - j} \log \lambda + O\left(\lambda^{-c}\right), \quad (c > 0),$$

where

$$\zeta_{\left(\Delta_Y+c_0+\frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{S}_0(\lambda)+\lambda\right)}(0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}-j}}{(\frac{m}{2}-j)!} a_j(\lambda) \lambda^{\frac{m}{2}-j} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Specially, if dimY is even, Lemma 3.3 together with Lemma 2.3 leads to the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $m = \dim Y$  be even. Then  $\log DetR(\lambda)$  has the following asymptotic expansion for  $\lambda \to \infty$ .

$$\log Det R(\lambda) ~\sim~ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}_j \lambda^{\frac{m-j}{2}} ~+~ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{q}_j \lambda^{\frac{m-j}{2}} \log \lambda,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{p}_{m} = \log 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}-j}}{(\frac{m}{2}-j)!} a_{j,\frac{m}{2}-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}-j}}{(\frac{m}{2}-j)!} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\frac{m}{2}-j}\right) a_{j,\frac{m}{2}-j},$$
$$\mathfrak{q}_{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}-j}}{(\frac{m}{2}-j)!} a_{j,\frac{m}{2}-j}.$$

On the other hand, let  $P(\eta)$  be a non-negative classical  $\Psi$ DO of order k with parameter  $\eta$  of weight  $\chi$  ( $\chi > 0$ ) on a d-dimensional compact closed manifold X. We refer to [2] or [20] for the definitions. Suppose that the asymptotic symbol of  $P(\eta)$  is given as follows.

$$\sigma(P(\eta)) = \sum_{\substack{j=0\\8}}^{\infty} p_{k-j}(\eta, x, \xi),$$

where for  $\tau > 0$ ,  $p_{k-j}(\tau^{\chi}\eta, x, \tau\xi) = \tau^{k-j}p_{k-j}(\eta, x, \xi)$ . Then it was shown in the Appendix of [2] that  $\log DetP(\eta)$  has the following asymptotic expansion as  $|\eta| \to \infty$ .

$$\log Det P(\eta) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \kappa_j |\eta|^{\frac{d-j}{\chi}} + \sum_{j=0}^{d} \theta_j |\eta|^{\frac{j}{\chi}} \log |\eta|, \qquad (3.2)$$

where each  $\kappa_j$  and  $\theta_j$  can be computed in terms of the symbol of  $P(\frac{\eta}{|\eta|})$ . Specially,  $\kappa_d$  and  $\theta_0$  can be computes as follows. Let us denote the symbol of  $(\mu - P(\eta))^{-1}$  by

$$\sigma\left(\left(\mu-P(\eta)\right)^{-1}\right)\left(\mu,\eta,x,\xi\right) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_{-k-j}(\mu,\eta,x,\xi).$$

We define  $J_d(s, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}, x)$  by

$$J_d(s, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\xi \int_{\Gamma} \mu^{-s} r_{-k-d}(\mu, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}, x, \xi) d\mu,$$
(3.3)

where  $\Gamma$  is a contour in  $\mathbb{C}$  defind in (2.12). Then,

$$\kappa_{d} = \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d}} \int_{M} J_{d}(s, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}, x) \, dvol(x) \right)|_{s=0}, \quad \theta_{0} = \left( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{k}{\chi} \int_{M} J_{d}(s, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}, x) \, dvol(x) \right)|_{s=0}.$$
(3.4)

If the symbol of  $P(\eta)$  satisfies the following property

$$p_{k-j}(\eta, x, -\xi) = (-1)^j p_{k-j}(\eta, x, \xi),$$
(3.5)

and d = dimX is odd, then  $J_d(s, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}, x) = 0$  and hence  $\kappa_d = \theta_0 = 0$ . It is known that the Dirichletto-Neumann operator  $R(\lambda)$  is a classical  $\Psi$ DO of order 1 with parameter  $\lambda$  of weight 2 and satisfies (3.5) (cf. [7]). This fact with Corollary 3.4 leads to the following result.

**Corollary 3.5.** Suppose that dim M = m+1 and either M is compact or  $\sigma_{ess}(\Delta_M)$  has a positive lower bound, then

$$\zeta(0; \Delta_M, \Delta_{M_{cut}, \gamma_0}) + dimker\Delta_M = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}-j}}{(\frac{m}{2}-j)!} a_{j, \frac{m}{2}-j} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Since  $-\pi_0$  in (1.6) is the zero coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of log  $Det(R(\lambda))$ , Corollary 3.4 leads to the following result, which is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let dimM = m + 1,  $c_0 = \frac{m^2}{16}h'(0)^2 + \frac{m}{4}h''(0)$  and  $l = dimker(\Delta_Y + c_0)$ . Then the constant  $\pi_0$  in (1.6) is the following.

(1) If m is odd, then  $\pi_0 = 0$ .

(2) If m = 2, then  $\pi_0 = -\log 2 \cdot (\zeta_{(\Delta_Y + c_0)}(0) + l)$ .

(3) If m = 4,  $\pi_0 = -\log 2 \cdot \left(\zeta_{(\Delta_Y + c_0)}(0) + l\right) - \left(\log 2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{vol(Y)}{64\pi^2} \left(h''(0) - h'(0)^2\right)$ , where vol(Y) is the volume of Y.

(4) Generally, if  $m \ge 4$  and even, then we have

$$\pi_0 = -\mathfrak{p}_m$$

$$= -\log 2 \cdot \left(\zeta_{(\Delta_Y + c_0)}(0) + l\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}-j}}{(\frac{m}{2}-j)!} a_{j,\frac{m}{2}-j} \left(\log 2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\frac{m}{2}-j}\right)\right).$$
9

*Proof*: The assertion (4) follows from Corollary 3.4. It's enough to prove the assertion (3). If m = 4, the assertion (4) shows that

$$\pi_0 = -\log 2 \cdot \left(\zeta_{(\Delta_Y + c_0)}(0) + l\right) + a_{1,1} \left(\log 2 - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

By (2.15) and Lemma 2.3,  $a_{1,1}$  can be computed as follows. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{-2-1}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu) &= \delta_{-2-1}(y,\xi,\mu) \\ r_{-2-2}(y,\xi,\lambda,\mu) &= \delta_{-2-2}(y,\xi,\mu) - (\mu - |\xi|^2)^{-2} \cdot q_0(y,\xi,\lambda) \\ &= \delta_{-2-2}(y,\xi,\mu) - (\mu - |\xi|^2)^{-2} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(h''(0) - h'(0)^2\right)|\xi|^2}{|\xi|^2 + \lambda} - \frac{5}{16} \frac{h'(0)^2 |\xi|^4}{(|\xi|^2 + \lambda)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.15) we have

$$a_{1}(\lambda) = a_{1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{vol(Y) \cdot vol(S^{3})}{16\pi^{4}} \left( \frac{1}{4} \frac{h''(0) - h'(0)^{2}}{(\lambda+1)} + \frac{5}{16} \frac{h'(0)^{2}}{(\lambda+1)^{2}} \right)$$
  
 
$$\sim a_{1} - \frac{vol(Y)}{16\pi^{2}} \left( \frac{1}{4} \left( h''(0) - h'(0)^{2} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{16} \left( -4h''(0) + 9h'(0)^{2} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} + \cdots \right),$$

which shows that  $a_{1,1} = -\frac{vol(Y)}{64\pi^2} (h''(0) - h'(0)^2)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.6.

*Remark* : Replacing  $\Delta_M$  and  $\Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0}$  by  $\Delta_M + \nu$  and  $\Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \nu$  for  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we can rewrite (1.6) as follows.

$$\log Det\left(\Delta_M + \nu + \lambda, \ \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \nu + \lambda\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \pi_j(\nu)\lambda^j + \log DetR(\nu + \lambda).$$
(3.6)

Since  $\Delta_M + \nu$ ,  $\Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \nu$  and  $R(\nu)$  are invertible operators, we have

$$\log Det \left(\Delta_M + \nu, \ \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \nu\right) = \pi_0(\nu) + \log Det R(\nu), \tag{3.7}$$

where  $\pi_0(\nu)$  is the polynomial part in (1.6). Similarly, we can express  $\pi_0(\nu)$  in terms of coefficients in Lemma 2.3. For instance,  $\pi_0(\nu) = 0$  when dimM is even.

We next discuss what data determines  $\pi_0$ . We consider the collar neighborhood  $N_{-1,1}$  of Y and denote by  $\Delta_{N_{-1,1}}$ ,  $\Delta_{N_{-1,0}}$ ,  $\Delta_{N_{0,1}}$  the restrictions of  $\Delta_M$  to  $N_{-1,1}$ ,  $N_{-1,0}$ ,  $N_{0,1}$ . We impose the Dirichlet boundary condition on each boundary and denote the realizations by  $\Delta_{N-1,1,\gamma_0}, \Delta_{N-1,0,\gamma_0}, \Delta_{N-1,0,\gamma_0}$  $\Delta_{N_{0,1},\gamma_0}$ . In this case (1.6) can be written by

$$\log Det \left(\Delta_{N_{-1,1},\gamma_0} + \lambda\right) - \log Det \left(\Delta_{N_{-1,0},\gamma_0} + \lambda\right) - \log Det \left(\Delta_{N_{0,1},\gamma_0} + \lambda\right)$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} \widetilde{\pi}_j \lambda^j + \log Det R_{N_{-1,1}}(\lambda), \quad (3.8)$$

where  $R_{N_{-1,1}}(\lambda) = Q_{N_{-1,0}}(\lambda) + Q_{N_{0,1}}(\lambda)$  is defined as follows. For  $f \in C^{\infty}(Y)$ , choose  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(Y)$  $C^{\infty}(N_{-1,0}), \psi \in C^{\infty}(N_{0,1})$  such that

$$(\Delta_{N_{-1,0}} + \lambda) \phi = 0, \quad (\Delta_{N_{0,1}} + \lambda) \psi = 0, \quad \phi|_{Y_{-1}} = \psi|_{Y_1} = 0, \quad \phi|_{Y_0} = \psi|_{Y_0} = f.$$
  
We define

$$Q_{N_{-1,0}}(\lambda)f = (\partial_u \phi)|_{Y_0}, \quad Q_{N_{0,1}}(\lambda)f = -(\partial_u \psi)|_{Y_0}.$$
(3.9)

Then  $Q_{N_{-1,0}}(\lambda)$  and  $Q_{N_{0,1}}(\lambda)$  satisfy the equations (2.3) and (2.4), respectively, which shows that  $Q_{N_{-1,0}}(\lambda)$   $(Q_{N_{0,1}}(\lambda))$  has the same asymptotic symbol as  $Q_1(\lambda)$   $(Q_2(\lambda))$  and hence  $R(\lambda) - R_{N_{-1,1}}(\lambda)$ is a smoothing operator. This fact shows that  $\log DetR(\lambda)$  and  $\log DetR_{N_{-1,1}}(\lambda)$  have the same asymptotic expansions as  $\lambda \to \infty$ . In particular, they have the same zero- and log  $\lambda$ - coefficients, which leads to the following result.

**Corollary 3.7.** The coefficients  $\mathfrak{p}_m$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_m$  in Corollary 3.4 are determined by the data on a collar neighborhood of Y.

Finally, we discuss the behaviors of  $\log Det(\Delta_M + \lambda, \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda)$  and  $\log DetR(\lambda)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda$ 0 when  $\sigma_{ess}(\Delta_M)$  has a positive lower bound. Let  $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_l\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $ker\Delta_M \cap L^2(M)$  with  $l = dim \, ker\Delta_M \cap L^2(M)$ . We put  $a_{ij} = \langle \phi_i |_Y, \phi_j |_Y \rangle_Y$  and  $A_0 = (a_{ij})$ . Then, Lemma 2.2 in [15] shows that

$$\log Det(\Delta_M + \lambda, \ \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0} + \lambda) = l \cdot \log \lambda + \log Det(\Delta_M, \ \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0}) + o(\lambda), \tag{3.10}$$

as  $\lambda \to 0$ . We assume that dim kerR = l, equivalently  $kerR = \{\phi|_Y \mid \phi \in ker\Delta_M \cap L^2(M)\}$ . This is the case when M is compact. Then, the following equality can be shown as the same way as Theorem 2.4 in [11].

$$\log DetR(\lambda) = l \cdot \log \lambda - \log detA_0 + \log DetR(0) + o(\lambda).$$
(3.11)

Combining these two equalities we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.8.** We assume that  $\sigma_{ess}(\Delta_M)$  has a positive lower bound and dim  $ker\Delta_M \cap L^2(M) =$ dim kerR. Then,

$$\log Det(\Delta_M, \ \Delta_{M_{cut}, \gamma_0}) = \pi_0 - \log det A_0 + \log Det R(0).$$

### §4. The value of relative zeta functions at s = 0

Theorem 3.6 gives some informations about the value of relative zeta functions at s = 0 and in this section we discuss this fact. Corollary 3.5, Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.7 lead to the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let (M,g),  $M_{cut}$  be as above and g,  $\Delta_M$  be given by (1.1), (1.2) on N. Suppose that  $\dim M = m+1$ ,  $c_0 = \frac{m^2}{16}h'(0)^2 + \frac{m}{4}h''(0)$  and  $l = \dim ker(\Delta_Y + c_0)$ . We assume that either M is compact or complete with  $\sigma_{ess}(\Delta_M)$  having a positive lower bound. Then : (1) If dimM is even,  $\zeta(0; \Delta_M, \Delta_{M_{cut}, \gamma_0}) + dimker\Delta_M = 0.$ 

(2) If dim M is odd,  $\zeta(0; \Delta_M, \Delta_{M_{cut}, \gamma_0}) + dim ker \Delta_M = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}-j}}{(\frac{m}{2}-j)!} a_{j, \frac{m}{2}-j}$ , which is determined by the data on a collar neighborhood of Y.

(3) If dim M = 3,  $\zeta(0; \Delta_M, \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0}) + dim ker \Delta_M = \frac{1}{2} (\zeta_{\Delta_Y + c_0}(0) + l)$ .

(4) If dim M = 5,  $\zeta(0; \Delta_M, \Delta_{M_{cut}, \gamma_0}) + dim ker \Delta_M = \frac{1}{2} \left( \zeta_{\Delta_Y + c_0}(0) + l + \frac{vol(Y)}{64\pi^2} \left( h''(0) - h'(0)^2 \right) \right).$ 

Remark : Since the coefficient  $\theta_0$  in (3.2) vanishes when dimY is odd, the assertion (1) holds generally.

As an application of Theorem 4.1 we consider the case of  $h(u) \equiv 0$ , *i.e.* the product metric on N and  $\Delta_M|_N = -\partial_u^2 + \Delta_Y$ . Then it is known that  $\pi_0 = -\log 2 \cdot (\zeta_{\Delta_Y}(0) + dim ker \Delta_Y)$  and it is not difficult to check that  $a_{j,\frac{m}{2}-j} = 0$  for  $1 \le j \le \frac{m}{2} - 1$ , which gives the following result.

**Corollary 4.2.** Suppose that M is a compact closed manifold and the metric g is the product one on N so that  $\Delta_M = -\partial_u^2 + \Delta_Y$ . Then :

(1)  $\zeta(0; \Delta_M, \Delta_{M_{cut},\gamma_0}) + dim ker \Delta_M = \frac{1}{2} (\zeta_{\Delta_Y}(0) + dim ker \Delta_Y).$ (2) If dim M is odd,  $\zeta_{\Delta_{M_1,\gamma_0}}(0) = -\frac{1}{4} (\zeta_{\Delta_Y}(0) + dim ker \Delta_Y).$ (3) If dim M is even,  $\zeta_{\Delta_{M_1,\gamma_0}}(0) = \frac{1}{2} (\zeta_{\Delta_{\widetilde{M}_1}}(0) + dim ker \Delta_{\widetilde{M}_1})$ , where  $\widetilde{M}_1$  is the double of  $M_1$  and  $\Delta_{\widetilde{M}_1}$  is the natural extension of  $\Delta_{M_1}$  to  $\widetilde{M}_1$ .

Let  $M_1$  be the compact manifold with boundary Y and  $M_{1,\infty} = M_1 \cup_Y [0,\infty) \times Y$ . We give the product metric on the cylinder part of  $M_{1,\infty}$  so that on the cylinder part  $\Delta_{M_{1,\infty}} = -\partial_u^2 + \Delta_Y$ . Suppose that  $\mu_1 > 0$  is the smallest positive eigenvalue of  $\Delta_Y$  and we denote the scattering matrix by

$$S(s): ker\Delta_Y \to ker\Delta_Y, \qquad |s| < \sqrt{\mu_1}.$$

Then it was shown in [16] that

$$Tr\left(e^{-t\Delta_{M_{1,\infty}}} - e^{-t\left(-\partial_{u}^{2} + \Delta_{Y}\right)_{[0,\infty) \times Y,\gamma_{0}}}\right) = b_{0} + O(t^{-\rho}), \tag{4.1}$$

where  $b_0 = dimker\Delta_{M_{1,\infty}} + \frac{1}{4} (TrS(0) + dimker\Delta_Y)$  and  $\rho > 0$ . Then we have the following result. **Theorem 4.3.** 

## . .

$$\begin{split} \zeta(0; \Delta_{M_{1,\infty}}, \left(-\partial_u^2 + \Delta_Y\right)_{[0,\infty) \times Y,\gamma_0}) \\ &= \zeta_{\Delta_{M_1,\gamma_0}}(0) - dimker\Delta_{M_{1,\infty}} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta_{\Delta_Y}(0) + dimker\Delta_Y\right) - b_0 \\ &= \zeta_{\Delta_{M_1,\gamma_0}}(0) - 2dimker\Delta_{M_{1,\infty}} + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_{\Delta_Y}(0) + \frac{1}{2}dimker\left(Id + S(0)\right). \end{split}$$

We next discuss the case of the Neumann boundary condition. We still assume the product metric and product structure near the boundary. Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be the Neumann boundary condition imposed on the boundary of  $M_1$  and  $\Delta_{M_1,\mathfrak{N}}$  be the realization. Then it can be shown by the method presented in [12] (cf. [18]) that

$$\log Det\left(\Delta_{M_1,\mathfrak{N}} + \lambda\right) - \log Det\left(\Delta_{M_1,\gamma_0} + \lambda\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} a_k \lambda^k + \log DetQ_1(\lambda), \tag{4.2}$$

where  $Q_1(\lambda)$  differs from  $\sqrt{\Delta_Y + \lambda}$  by a smoothing operator and hence log *Det* of these two operators have the same asymptotic expansions. Since the coefficient of log  $\lambda$  in the asymptotic expansion of log *Det*  $(\sqrt{\Delta_Y + \lambda})$  is  $\frac{1}{2}(\zeta_{\Delta_Y}(0) + dimker\Delta_Y)$ , this fact together with Corollary 4.2 leads to the following result.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $(M_1, g)$  be a compact manifold with boundary and the metric g is the product one near the boundary so that  $\Delta_M = -\partial_u^2 + \Delta_Y$ . Then : (1)  $\zeta_{\Delta_{M_1,\mathfrak{N}}}(0) + \dim \ker \Delta_{M_1,\mathfrak{N}} - \zeta_{\Delta_{M_1,\mathfrak{N}}}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_{\Delta_Y}(0) + \dim \ker \Delta_Y).$ (2) If  $\dim M_1$  is odd,  $\zeta_{\Delta_{M_1,\mathfrak{N}}}(0) + \dim \ker \Delta_{M_1,\mathfrak{N}} = \frac{1}{4}(\zeta_{\Delta_Y}(0) + \dim \ker \Delta_Y).$ (3) If  $\dim M_1$  is even,  $\zeta_{\Delta_{M_1,\mathfrak{N}}}(0) + \dim \ker \Delta_{M_1,\mathfrak{N}} = \zeta_{\Delta_{M_1,\mathfrak{N}}}(0).$ 

Finally, we discuss the value of the zeta function associated with a compatible Dirac Laplacian with the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer (APS) boundary condition on a compact manifold with boundary.

Let (M, g) be a compact oriented (m + 1)-dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $E \to M$  be a Clifford module bundle. Suppose that Y is a hypersurface of M such that M - Y has two components whose closures are denoted by  $M_1, M_2$ . We denote by  $M_{cut}$  the compact manifold with boundary obtained by cutting M along Y as before, *i.e.*  $M_{cut} = M_1 \cup_Y M_2$ . We choose a collar neighborhood  $N_{-1,1}$  of Y which is diffeomorphic to  $[-1,1] \times Y$  and assume that the metric g is the product one on N and the bundle E has the product structure on N. Suppose that  $D_M$  is a compatible Dirac operator acting on smooth sections of E, having the following form on  $N_{-1,1}$ 

$$D_M = G(\partial_u + B),$$

where  $G: E|_Y \to E|_Y$  is a bundle automorphism,  $\partial_u$  is the outward normal derivative to  $M_1$  on  $N_{-1,1}$  and B is a Dirac operator on Y. We further assume that G and B are independent of the normal coordinate u and satisfy

$$G^* = -G, \qquad G^2 = -I, \qquad B^* = B, \qquad GB = -BG,$$
  
$$\dim (ker(G-i) \cap kerB) = \dim (ker(G+i) \cap kerB). \tag{4.3}$$

Then we have, on N, the Dirac Laplacian

$$D_M^2 = -\partial_u^2 + B^2.$$

We also denote by  $D_{M_{cut}}$ ,  $D_{M_i}$  (i = 1, 2) the extension and restriction of  $D_M$  to  $M_{cut}$  and  $M_i$ . We denote by  $\Pi_{\leq}$   $(\Pi_{\geq})$  the orthogonal projection onto the space spanned by negative (positive) eigensections of B and by  $\sigma: kerB \to kerB$  a unitary operator satisfying

$$\sigma G = -G\sigma, \quad \sigma^2 = Id_{kerB}.$$

We define the generalized APS boundary condition  $\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}$ ,  $\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}$  by

$$\Pi_{<,\sigma^{-}} = \Pi_{<} + \frac{1}{2}(I - \sigma)|_{kerB}, \quad \Pi_{>,\sigma^{+}} = \Pi_{>} + \frac{1}{2}(I + \sigma)|_{kerB}$$

and denote by  $D_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}$ ,  $D^2_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}$   $(D_{M_2,\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}}, D^2_{M_2,\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}})$  the realizations of  $D_{M_i}$ ,  $D^2_{M_i}$  with respect to the boundary condition  $\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}$   $(\Pi_{>,\sigma^+})$ . Then it was shown in [12] that

$$\log Det \left( D_{M_{1},\Pi_{<,\sigma^{-}}}^{2} + \lambda \right) - \log Det \left( D_{M_{1},\gamma_{0}}^{2} + \lambda \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} a_{1,j} \lambda^{j} + \log Det \left( \Pi_{>,\sigma^{+}} \left( Q_{1}(\lambda) + |B| \right) \Pi_{>,\sigma^{+}} \right), \log Det \left( D_{M_{2},\Pi_{>,\sigma^{+}}}^{2} + \lambda \right) - \log Det \left( D_{M_{1},\gamma_{0}}^{2} + \lambda \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} a_{2,j} \lambda^{j} + \log Det \left( \Pi_{<,\sigma^{-}} \left( Q_{2}(\lambda) + |B| \right) \Pi_{<,\sigma^{-}} \right),$$
(4.4)

where  $Q_1(\lambda)$  and  $Q_2(\lambda)$  are defined by the same way as in (1.4). We now consider the first equation of (4.4) and we can treat the second equation in the same way. As before, log  $Det\left(D^2_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}+\lambda\right)$ , log  $Det\left(D^2_{M_1,\gamma_0}+\lambda\right)$  and log  $Det\left(\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}\left(Q_1(\lambda)+|B|\right)\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}\right)$  have asymptotic expansions for  $\lambda \to \infty$ . Moreover, the coefficients of log  $\lambda$  in the asymptotic expansions of log  $Det\left(D^2_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}+\lambda\right)$  and  $\log Det\left(D_{M_1,\gamma_0}^2+\lambda\right)$  are  $\left(\zeta_{D_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}^2}(0)+dimker D_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}^2\right)$  and  $\zeta_{D_{M_1,\gamma_0}^2}(0)$ . Now let us consider the asymptotic expansion of  $\log Det\left(\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}\left(Q_1(\lambda)+|B|\right)\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}\right)$ . It was shown in [9] that

$$Q_i(\lambda) = \sqrt{B^2 + \lambda} + a$$
 smoothing operator,  $i = 1, 2$ .

which shows that  $\log Det \left( \prod_{>,\sigma^+} (Q_1(\lambda) + |B|) \prod_{>,\sigma^+} \right)$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \log Det \left( \sqrt{B^2 + \lambda} + |B| \right)$  have the same asymptotic expansions. We compute the  $\log \lambda$ -coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of  $\log Det \left( \sqrt{B^2 + \lambda} + |B| \right)$  as follows.

**Lemma 4.5.** For  $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$  let us denote  $f_k(s, \lambda)$  by

$$f_k(s,\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{s+k}{2}-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(|B|^k e^{-t(B^2+\lambda)}\right) dt.$$

Then the coefficients of  $\log \lambda$  in the asymptotic expansions of  $f_k(0,\lambda)$  and  $-f'_k(0,\lambda)$ , as  $\lambda \to \infty$ , are zero.

*Proof*: We first note that  $Tr\left(|B|^k e^{-tB^2}\right)$  has the following asymptotic expansion for  $t \to 0^+$  (Theorem 2.7 in [4]).

$$Tr\left(|B|^{k}e^{-tB^{2}}\right) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{j}^{(k)}t^{\frac{j-m-k}{2}} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(c_{j}^{(k)}\log t + d_{j}^{(k)}\right)t^{j}.$$
(4.5)

Then direct computation shows that the coefficients of  $\log \lambda$  in the asymptotic expansions of  $f_k(0, \lambda)$ and  $-\zeta'_k(0, \lambda)$  for  $\lambda \to \infty$  are zero and  $b_m^{(k)}$ . On the other hand, we note that

$$\zeta_{|B|}(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{s+k}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{s+k}{2}-1} Tr\left(|B|^k e^{-tB^2}\right) dt.$$
(4.6)

The equation (4.5) shows that the RHS of (4.6) has a pole at s = 0 with residue  $\frac{2b_m^{(k)}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})}$ . Since  $\zeta_{|B|}(s)$  has a regular value at s = 0, this fact implies that each  $b_m^{(k)} = 0$  for  $k \ge 1$ , which completes the proof of the lemma.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.6.** The coefficient of  $\log \lambda$  in the asymptotic expansion of  $\log Det \left(\sqrt{B^2 + \lambda} + |B|\right)$  for  $\lambda \to \infty$  is  $\frac{1}{2} (\zeta_{B^2}(0) + dimkerB)$ .

*Proof* : We first note that

$$\begin{split} \zeta_{(\sqrt{B^2+\lambda}+|B|)}(s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \ Tre^{-t(\sqrt{B^2+\lambda}+|B|)} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \ \sum_{q=0}^\infty \frac{t^q}{q!} Tr\left(\left(\sqrt{B^2+\lambda}-|B|\right)^q e^{-2t\sqrt{B^2+\lambda}}\right) dt \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^\infty \frac{1}{q!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s+q-1} \ Tr\left(\left(\sqrt{B^2+\lambda}-|B|\right)^q e^{-2t\sqrt{B^2+\lambda}}\right) dt. \end{split}$$

We now set

$$\zeta_q(s,\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s+q-1} Tr\left(\left(\sqrt{B^2 + \lambda} - |B|\right)^q e^{-2t\sqrt{B^2 + \lambda}}\right) dt$$
14

In case of q = 0, the coefficient of  $\log \lambda$  in the asymptotic expansion of  $-\zeta'_0(0,\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \to \infty$  is  $\frac{1}{2}(\zeta_{B^2}(0) + dimkerB)$  (cf. Lemma 3.1). For  $q \ge 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_q(s,\lambda) \ &= \ \sum_{k=0}^q (-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} q \\ k \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s+q-1} \, Tr\left(\left(\sqrt{B^2+\lambda}\right)^{q-k} |B|^k e^{-2t\sqrt{B^2+\lambda}}\right) dt \\ &= \ 2^{-s-q} \sum_{k=0}^q (-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} q \\ k \end{pmatrix} \frac{\Gamma(s+q)}{\Gamma(\frac{s+k}{2})} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{s+k}{2}-1} \, Tr\left(|B|^k e^{-t(B^2+\lambda)}\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then Lemma 4.5 shows that each log  $\lambda$ -coefficient of  $-\zeta'_q(0,\lambda)$  is zero, which completes the proof of the lemma.  $\Box$ 

Remark : In the asymptotic expansion of log  $Det(\sqrt{B^2 + \lambda} + |B|)$ , the computation of the constant term given in Section 3 in [10] has some error and we can correct it as follows. In Lemma 4.5, the constant terms in the asymptotic expansions of  $f_k(0, \lambda)$  and  $-f'_k(0, \lambda)$ , as  $\lambda \to \infty$ , are zero. Using this fact, we can show that the constant terms in the asymptotic expansions of  $-\zeta'_0(0, \lambda)$  and  $-\zeta'_q(0, \lambda)$  are  $\log 2 \cdot (\zeta_{B^2}(0) + dimkerB)$  and  $-\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^q} \cdot (\zeta_{B^2}(0) + dimkerB)$ , respectively, which shows that the constant term in the asymptotic expansion of log  $Det(\sqrt{B^2 + \lambda} + |B|)$  is zero.

We can say the similar assertions for  $D^2_{M_2,\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}}$ . The comparison of log  $\lambda$ -coefficients in the asymptotic expansions of (4.4) and Corollary 4.2 leads to the following result.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let M,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be as above. We denote  $dimker D_M^2$ ,  $dimker D_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}^2$ ,  $dimker D_{M_2,\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}}^2$  by  $l_M$ ,  $l_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}$ ,  $l_{M_2,\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}}$ , respectively. Then : (1)  $\zeta_{D_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}^2}(0) + l_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}} - \zeta_{D_{M_1,\gamma_0}}^2(0) = \frac{1}{4}(\zeta_{B^2}(0) + dimkerB).$ (2) If  $dimM_1$  is odd,  $\zeta_{D_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}^2}(0) + l_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}} = 0.$ (3) If  $dimM_1$  is even,  $\zeta_{D_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}^2}(0) + l_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}} = \zeta_{D_{M_1,\gamma_0}}^2(0).$ (4)  $\zeta_{D_M^2}(0) - \zeta_{D_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}}^2}(0) - \zeta_{D_{M_2,\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}}^2}(0) = -l_M + l_{M_1,\Pi_{<,\sigma^-}} + l_{M_2,\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}}.$ 

*Remark*: (1) The second assertion was proved earlier in the appendix of [17] by the author. (2) The zeta-determinant of a compatible Dirac operator is defined by

$$DetD_M = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\zeta'_{D_M^2}(0)} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} \left(\zeta_{D_M^2}(0) - \eta_{D_M}(0)\right)} = \sqrt{DetD_M^2} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} \left(\zeta_{D_M^2}(0) - \eta_{D_M}(0)\right)}, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\eta_{D_M}(0)$  is the eta-invariant for  $D_M$  (cf. [19]). The gluing formula for the eta-invariant of a Dirac operator with respect to the APS boundary condition is given in [6] (or [13]) and the gluing formula for the zeta-determinant of a Dirac Laplacian with respect to the APS boundary condition is given in [12] (or [13]). Hence, the assertion (4) together with the results in [6] and [12] completes the gluing formula for the zeta-determinant of a compatible Dirac operator.

In view of Theorem 4.3 we conclude this section with the computation of the value of relative zeta function for Dirac Laplacian with the APS boundary condition on a manifold with cylindrical end. As before, we denote by  $M_{1,\infty} := M_1 \cup_Y [0,\infty) \times Y$  and by  $D_{M_{1,\infty}}$  the natural extension of  $D_{M_1}$  to  $M_{1,\infty}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s, D_{M_{1,\infty}}^{2}, (-\partial_{u}^{2} + B^{2})_{[0,\infty) \times Y,\Pi_{>,\sigma^{+}}}) \\ &= \zeta(s, D_{M_{1,\infty}}^{2}, (-\partial_{u}^{2} + B^{2})_{[0,\infty) \times Y,\gamma_{0}}) + \zeta(s, (-\partial_{u}^{2} + B^{2})_{[0,\infty) \times Y,\gamma_{0}}, (-\partial_{u}^{2} + B^{2})_{[0,\infty) \times Y,\Pi_{>,\sigma^{+}}}) \\ &= \zeta(s, D_{M_{1,\infty}}^{2}, (-\partial_{u}^{2} + B^{2})_{[0,\infty) \times Y,\gamma_{0}}) - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s + 1)} \zeta_{B^{2}}(s). \end{aligned}$$
(4.8)

Here we used the fact (cf. [12]) that

$$\zeta(s, (-\partial_u^2 + B^2)_{[0,\infty) \times Y,\gamma_0}, (-\partial_u^2 + B^2)_{[0,\infty) \times Y,\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}}) = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(s+1)} \zeta_{B^2}(s).$$
(4.9)

Since  $dimker(Id + S(0)) = \frac{1}{2}dimkerB$  on a manifold with cylindrical end ([14]), Theorem 4.3 together with (4.8) yields the following result.

### Corollary 4.8.

$$\begin{split} &\zeta(0, D_{M_{1,\infty}}^2, (-\partial_u^2 + B^2)_{[0,\infty) \times Y,\Pi_{>,\sigma^+}}) \\ &= \zeta_{D_{M_{1,\gamma_0}}^2}(0) - 2 \ dimker D_{M_{1,\infty}}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \ \zeta_{B^2}(0) + \frac{1}{2} \ dimker \ (Id + S(0)) \\ &= \zeta_{D_{M_{1,\gamma_0}}^2}(0) - 2 \ dimker D_{M_{1,\infty}}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \ (\zeta_{B^2}(0) + dimker B) \,. \end{split}$$

Acknowledgment : The author thanks Prof. Werner Müller and Gilles Carron for valuable conversations.

#### References

- [1] U. Bunke, *Relative index theory*, J. of Funct. Anal. **105** (1992), 63-76.
- D. Burghelea, L. Friedlander and T. Kappeler, Mayer-Vietoris type formula for determinants of elliptic differential operators, J. of Funct. Anal. 107 (1992), 34-66.
- [3] G. Carron, Determinant relatif et fonction Xi, Amer. J. Math 124 (2002), 307-352.
- G. Grubb and R. Seeley, Weakly parametric pseudodifferential operators and Atiyah-Patodi-Singer boundary problems, Invent. Math. 121 (1995), 481-529.
- C. Kassel, Le residue non commutif (d'apres M. Wodzicki), Seminaire Bourbaki 41eme annee Exp. 708 (1988-89).
- P. Kirk and M. Lesch, The eta-invariant, Maslov index, and spectral flow for Dirac-type operators on manifolds with boundary, Forum Math. 16 (2004), 553-629.
- Y. Lee, Mayer-Vietoris formula for the determinant of a Laplace operator on an even-dimensional manifold, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 - 6 (1995), 1933-1940.
- Y. Lee, Mayer-Vietoris formula for the determinants of elliptic operators of Laplace-Beltrami type (after Burghelea, Friedlander and Kappeler), Diff. Geom. and Its Appl. 7 (1997), 325-340.
- Y. Lee, Burghelea-Friedlander-Kappeler's gluing formula for the zeta determinant and its applications to the adiabatic decompositions of the zeta-determinant and the analytic torsion, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355-10 (2003), 4093-4110.
- [10] Y. Lee, Burghelea-Friedlander-Kappeler's gluing formula and the adiabatic decomposition of the zeta-determinant of a Dirac Laplacian, Manuscripta Math. 111 (2003), 241-259.
- [11] Y. Lee, Asymptotic expansions of the zeta-determinants of Dirac Laplacians on a compact manifold with boundary, To appear in J. of Geom. Anal..
- [12] Y. Lee, The zeta-determinants of Dirac Laplacians with boundary conditions on the smooth self-adjoint Grassmannian, preprint (2006).
- [13] P. Loya and J. Park, On the gluing problem for the spectral invariants of Dirac operators, Adv. Math. 202 (2006), 401-450.
- [14] W. Müller, Eta invariant and manifolds with boundary, J. of Diff. Geom. 40 (1994), 311-377.
- W. Müller, Relative zeta functions, relative determinants and scattering theory, Commun. Math. Phys. 192 (1998), 309-347.
- [16] J. Müller and M. Müller, Regularized determinants of Laplace type operators, analytic surgery and relative determinants, Duke Math. Jour. 133 (2006), 259-312.
- [17] P. Park and K. Wojciechowski with Appendix by Y. Lee, Adiabatic decomposition of the ζ-determinant of the Dirac Laplacian I. The case of an invertible tangential operator, Comm. in PDE. 27 (2002), 1407-1435.
- [18] P. Park and K. Wojciechowski, Agranovich-Dynin formula for the zeta-determinants of the Neumann and Dirichlet problems, Comtemp. Math. 366 (2005), 109-121.

- [19] S. G. Scott and K. P. Wojciechowski, The ζ-determinant and Quillen determinant for a Dirac operator on a manifold with boundary, Geom. Funct. Anal. 10 (2000), 1202-1236.
- [20] M. A. Shubin, Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- W. Voros, Spectral function, special functions and Selberg zeta function, Commun. Math. Phys. 110 (1987), 439-465.

E-mail address : ywonlee@inha.ac.kr Current E-mail address : ywlee@mpim-bonn.mpg.de