

# **Homogeneous Quaternionic Kähler Manifolds of Unimodular Group**

**D.V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés**

Mathematisches Institut  
der Universität Bonn  
Berlingstr. 6  
53115 Bonn  
GERMANY

Max-Planck-Institut  
für Mathematik  
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26  
53225 Bonn  
GERMANY

# Homogeneous Quaternionic Kähler Manifolds of Unimodular Group

D.V. Alekseevsky\*  
Max-Planck-Institut  
für Mathematik  
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26  
D-53225 Bonn

V. Cortés†  
Mathematisches Institut  
der Universität Bonn  
Berlingstr. 6  
D-53115 Bonn

August 16, 1995

*To the memory of Franco Tricerri*

## 1 Introduction

A **quaternionic structure** on a vector space  $V^{4n}$  is a 3-dimensional linear Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \text{End}(V)$  with a basis  $J_1, J_2, J_3$  satisfying the quaternionic relations

$$J_\alpha^2 = -1, \quad J_\alpha J_\beta = -J_\beta J_\alpha = J_\gamma.$$

Here  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is a cyclic permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$ . The basis  $(J_\alpha)_\alpha$  is called a **standard basis** of  $\mathfrak{q}$ . A quaternionic Kähler manifold is a Riemannian manifold  $(M^{4n}, g)$  together with a field of quaternionic structures  $\mathfrak{q} : x \mapsto \mathfrak{q}_x \subset \mathfrak{so}(T_x M)$  such that:

- 1)  $\mathfrak{q}$  is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.
- 2) The curvature tensor  $R_x$ ,  $x \in M$ , of the metric  $g$  is invariant under the natural action of  $\mathfrak{q}_x$ .

---

\*e-mail: daleksee@mpim-bonn.mpg.de; partially supported by Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik (Bonn).

†Fax: +49-228-737916; e-mail: V.Cortes@uni-bonn.de or vicente@rhein.iam.uni-bonn.de; partially supported by SFB 256 (Bonn University).

It is known that 1) implies 2) if  $n > 1$  and that any quaternionic Kähler manifold is Einstein.

The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $M$  be a quaternionic Kähler manifold admitting a transitive unimodular group  $G$  of isometries. Then either  $M$  is flat and hence is the Riemannian product of a torus and an Euclidean space or it is a quaternionic Kähler symmetric space  $G/H$ , where  $G$  is a simple Lie group and  $H$  is the normalizer of a regular 3-dimensional subgroup  $G_\alpha$  associated with a long root  $\alpha$ .*

The proof of the theorem reduces to the case of negative scalar curvature  $s < 0$  and semisimple Lie group  $G$ . Indeed, if  $s > 0$  the manifold  $M$  is compact and in this case the theorem was proved in [A]. In the case  $s = 0$ , the Ricci curvature  $Ric = 0$  and the result follows from the fact that any Ricci-flat homogeneous Riemannian manifold is flat [A-K]. Hence, we may assume that  $s < 0$  and hence  $Ric < 0$ .

The following result of I. Dotti Miatello shows that the group  $G$  is semisimple.

**Theorem 1.2** *[Do] Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold admitting a transitive unimodular group  $G$  of isometries. If  $Ric < 0$  then the group  $G$  is semisimple.*

To prove the main theorem we need some basic facts concerning homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifolds.

## 2 Basic facts about homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifolds

**2.1.** Let  $M$  be a quaternionic Kähler manifold which admits a transitive group  $G$  of isometries. Then we identify  $M = G/H$ , where  $H$  is the stabilizer of a point. We will say that  $M = G/H$  is a homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifold. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  be a reductive decomposition, where  $\mathfrak{g} = Lie G$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} = Lie H$ ,  $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . We identify  $\mathfrak{m} \cong T_H M$  and denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the  $Ad_H$ -invariant scalar product on  $\mathfrak{m}$  induced by the Riemannian metric on  $M$ . For any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  we define a skew-symmetric endomorphism  $L_a$  (Nomizu operator) on  $\mathfrak{m}$  by the formula

$$2 \langle L_a x, y \rangle = \langle \pi[a, x], y \rangle - \langle x, \pi[a, y] \rangle - \langle \pi a, \pi[x, y] \rangle,$$

$x, y \in \mathfrak{m}$ , where  $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$  is the natural projection.

Remark that for  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$  the Nomizu operator  $L_a = ad_a|_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is exactly the isotropy operator. The following proposition is known.

**Proposition 2.1** [A] *A homogeneous Riemannian manifold  $M^{4n} = G/H$  ( $n > 1$ ) is quaternionic Kähler iff the Nomizu operators belong to the normalizer  $\mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{q}) \cong \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)$  in  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m})$  of some quaternionic structure  $\mathfrak{q} = \text{span}\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$  on  $\mathfrak{m}$ .*

**2.2. Structure equations.** Let  $M = G/H$  be a homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifold. We will always assume that the group  $G$  is connected and semisimple. Then the Cartan-Killing form  $B$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is non degenerate on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  and we fix the reductive decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the  $B$ -orthogonal complement to  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $J_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ , be a standard basis of the corresponding quaternionic structure on  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then for any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  we can write

$$L_a = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \omega_\alpha(a) J_\alpha + \bar{L}_a,$$

where  $\bar{L}_a$  belongs to the centralizer  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{q}) \cong \mathfrak{sp}(n)$  of  $\mathfrak{q}$  in  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m})$  and the 1-forms  $\omega_\alpha$  satisfy the following structure equations

$$\nu \pi^* \rho_\alpha = d\omega_\alpha + 2\omega_\beta \wedge \omega_\gamma. \quad (1)$$

Here  $\rho_\alpha = \langle \cdot, J_\alpha \cdot \rangle$  is the Hermitian form associated with the complex structure  $J_\alpha$ ;  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is a cyclic permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$  and  $\nu = s/4n(n+2)$  is the reduced scalar curvature, see [A].

We denote by  $\Omega$  the Kraines 4-form on  $\mathfrak{m}$ , given by

$$\Omega = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \rho_\alpha \wedge \rho_\alpha.$$

It is  $L_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -invariant and defines a parallel 4-form on  $M$  (the Kraines form of  $M$ ). The 4-form  $\pi^*\Omega$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is exact:

$$\pi^*\Omega = d\psi,$$

$$\psi = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \omega_\alpha \wedge d\omega_\alpha + 4\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3.$$

Denote by  $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$  the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\phi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}, \quad h \mapsto L_h - \bar{L}_h = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \omega_\alpha(h) J_\alpha$$

and by  $\mathfrak{a}$  the orthogonal complement of  $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$  in  $\mathfrak{h}$  with respect to the Cartan-Killing form  $B$ . Since  $\phi : \mathfrak{a} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{q} \cong \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  is an embedding,  $d = \dim \mathfrak{a} = 0, 1$  or  $3$ . We will say that the homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifold  $M = G/H$  is of type 1, 2 or 3, if  $d = 0, 1$  or  $3$  respectively. Passing to the universal covering, if needed, we may assume that  $M$  is simply connected and hence that  $H$  is connected.

### 3 Proof of the theorem for manifolds of type 1 and 2

**3.1. Type 1** We assume now that  $\mathfrak{a} = 0$ . Then  $\omega_\alpha(\mathfrak{h}) = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ , and the structure equations show that the 1-forms  $\omega_\alpha$  are invariant under the isotropy representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  and hence of the Lie group  $H$ , since  $H$  is connected. This implies that  $\psi$  defines some invariant form on  $M$  whose differential is the Kraines form  $\Omega$  on  $M$ . In particular, the volume form  $\Omega^n$  is the differential of some invariant form. This contradicts the following result of Koszul [Ko], [Ha].

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $M = G/H$  be an orientable Riemannian homogeneous space of a connected unimodular Lie group  $G$ . Then the Riemannian volume form is not cohomological to zero in the complex of invariant differential forms.*

#### 3.2. Totally geodesic Kähler and quaternionic Kähler submanifolds

**Definition 3.1** *Let  $(M, g, \mathfrak{q})$  be a quaternionic Kähler manifold.*

- 1) *A submanifold  $N$  of  $M$  is called a **Kähler submanifold** if there exists a section  $J$  of the quaternionic structure  $\mathfrak{q}$  along  $N$  such that  $(N, g|_N, J)$  is a Kähler manifold, i.e.  $J$  is a parallel complex structure on  $N$ .*
- 2) *A submanifold  $N$  of  $M$  is called a **quaternionic Kähler submanifold** if  $\mathfrak{q}_x T_x N \subset T_x N$  for any  $x \in N$ .*

Recall that any quaternionic Kähler submanifold  $N$  of a quaternionic Kähler manifold  $(M, g, \mathfrak{q})$  is totally geodesic with the same reduced scalar curvature, in particular,  $(N, g|_N, \mathfrak{q}|_N)$  is a quaternionic Kähler manifold.

Let  $M = G/H$  be a homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifold and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{a} + \bar{\mathfrak{h}} + \mathfrak{m}$$

be the corresponding reductive decomposition as before. Denote by  $Z_G^0(b)$  the connected component of the centralizer of an element  $b \in \mathfrak{h}$  in  $G$ .

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $M = G/H$  be a homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifold of type  $k$ .*

- 1) *For any  $b \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$  the orbit  $N = Z_G^0(b)o$  of the point  $o = eH$  is a quaternionic Kähler submanifold of the same type  $k$  or a point.*

2) For any  $a \in \mathfrak{a} - \{0\}$  the orbit  $N = Z_G^0(a)o$  is a totally geodesic Kähler submanifold or a point.

3) Assume  $k = 2$ . Then for any  $b \in \mathfrak{h} \setminus \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$  the orbit  $N = Z_G^0(b)o$  is a totally geodesic Kähler submanifold or a point.

**Proof.** It is known (see e.g. [A], Assertion 4) that the orbit  $N = Z_G^0(b)o$  of the centralizer of any element  $b \in \mathfrak{h}$  in a homogeneous Riemannian manifold  $M = G/H$  is totally geodesic. In the case 1), the reductive decomposition of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(b)$  corresponding to  $N$  can be written as

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{\mathfrak{h}}}(b) + \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(b).$$

Since  $L_b \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{q}) \cong \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ , the subspace  $\mathfrak{n}$  is quaternionic, i.e.  $\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ . Now it is immediate to check that  $N$  is a homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifold of type  $k$ , using the trivial fact that the image of  $b \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$  under the isotropy representation on  $\mathfrak{n} \cong T_o N$  equals  $ad_b|_{\mathfrak{n}} = L_b|_{\mathfrak{n}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \omega_{\alpha}(b)J_{\alpha}|_{\mathfrak{n}} + \bar{L}_b|_{\mathfrak{n}}$ .

In the case 3), the reductive decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  reads:

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbb{R}a + \mathfrak{z}_{\bar{\mathfrak{h}}}(\bar{b}) + \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(b),$$

where  $b = a \oplus \bar{b} \in \mathfrak{a} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Without restriction of generality we can choose a standard base  $(J_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$  of  $\mathfrak{q}$  such that  $L_b = J_1 + \bar{L}_b$ ,  $\bar{L}_b \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{q})$ . Since  $[L_b, J_1] = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a  $J_1$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . The structure equations (1) show that  $\omega_2|_{\mathfrak{n}} = \omega_3|_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0$ , e.g.

$$0 = \omega_2([b, x]) = 0 + 2(0 - \omega_3(x) \cdot 1) = -2\omega_3(x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{n}.$$

This shows that  $[L_x, J_1] = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Since the Lie algebra generated by the Nomizu operators contains the holonomy algebra, this implies that  $J_1$  defines an invariant parallel complex structure on  $N$  and hence  $N$  is a Kähler submanifold.

In the case 2),  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(a)$  has the reductive decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbb{R}a + \bar{\mathfrak{h}} + \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}}(a)$$

and the proof is the same as for the case 3).  $\square$

Remark that in the cases 2) and 3) the  $N$  is a totally complex manifold in the sense of Tsukada [T].

**3.3. Invariant symplectic structure on quaternionic Kähler manifolds of type 2** Now we consider the case when  $\dim \mathfrak{a} = 1$ . Choosing an appropriate standard basis  $(J_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$  we may assume  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}a$ ,  $B(a, a) = -1$  and

$L_a = J_1 + \bar{L}_a$ . The reductive decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  induces a decomposition  $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{m}^*$  of the dual space. For any  $k$ -form  $\sigma \in \wedge^k \mathfrak{g}^*$  we denote by  $\sigma^{pq}$ , ( $p + q = k$ ) the natural projection onto

$$\wedge^{pq} := \wedge^p \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \wedge^q \mathfrak{m}^* .$$

If  $\sigma$  is  $Ad_H$ -invariant,  $\sigma^{pq}$  is also  $Ad_H$ -invariant and, in particular,  $\sigma^{0q}$  is an  $Ad_H$ -invariant  $q$ -form on  $\mathfrak{m}$  and hence defines an invariant form on  $M$ . The 1-forms  $\omega_\alpha$  associated to the basis  $(J_\alpha)_\alpha$  have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \omega_1^{10} + \omega_1^{01} \quad \text{is } Ad_H\text{-invariant and } \omega_1^{10} = -B(a, \cdot) \neq 0, \\ \omega_2 &= \omega_2^{01} \quad \text{and } \omega_3 = \omega_3^{01} . \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.3** 1) *The 2-form  $d\omega_1^{10}(x, y) = B(a, [x, y])$  belongs to  $\wedge^{02}$ , is  $Ad_H$ -invariant and hence defines an invariant 2-form  $\sigma$  on  $M$ .*

2) *The forms  $\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3$ ,  $\omega_2 \wedge d\omega_2 + \omega_3 \wedge d\omega_3$  and  $\psi$  are  $Ad_H$ -invariant.*

3) *The Kraines form  $\Omega$  on  $M$  is cohomological to  $\sigma \wedge \sigma$ .*

**Proof.** The form  $d\omega_1^{10}$  is  $Ad_H$ -invariant, since  $\omega_1$  is  $Ad_H$ -invariant. Let  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $d\omega_1^{10}(h, x) = -\omega_1^{10}([h, x]) = 0$ , since  $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . Hence  $(d\omega_1^{10})^{11} = 0$ . The component  $(d\omega_1^{10})^{20} = 0$ , because  $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \bar{\mathfrak{h}} = \ker \omega_1$ . This proves 1).

2) The structure equations (1) imply

$$\begin{aligned} ad_h \omega_2 &= 2\omega_1(h)\omega_3, \\ ad_h \omega_3 &= -2\omega_1(h)\omega_2 \end{aligned}$$

for  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . From this 2) immediately follows.

3) From the structure equations we obtain the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_1 &= d\omega_1^{02} = \pi^* \rho_1 - 2\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3, \\ d\omega_2 &= d\omega_2^{02} + d\omega_2^{11}, \\ d\omega_3 &= d\omega_3^{02} + d\omega_3^{11}, \\ d\omega_2^{02} &= \pi^* \rho_2 - 2\omega_3 \wedge \omega_1^{01}, \\ d\omega_3^{02} &= \pi^* \rho_3 - 2\omega_1^{01} \wedge \omega_2, \\ d\omega_2^{11} &= -2\omega_3 \wedge \omega_1^{10}, \\ d\omega_3^{11} &= -2\omega_1^{10} \wedge \omega_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using this we obtain

$$\psi = \psi^{03} + \psi^{12} .$$

Moreover we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^{12} &= \omega_1^{10} \wedge d\omega_1 + \omega_2 \wedge d\omega_2^{11} + \omega_3 \wedge d\omega_3^{11} + 4\omega_1^{10} \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \\
&= \omega_1^{10} \wedge d\omega_1 = \omega_1^{10} \wedge d\omega_1^{10} + \omega_1^{10} \wedge d\omega_1^{01}, \\
\psi^{03} &= \omega_1^{01} \wedge d\omega_1 + \omega_2 \wedge d\omega_2^{02} + \omega_3 \wedge d\omega_3^{02} + 4\omega_1^{01} \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \\
&= \omega_1^{01} \wedge d\omega_1 + \omega_2 \wedge \pi^* \rho_2 + \omega_3 \wedge \pi^* \rho_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Using these formulas we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega &= d\psi = d\psi^{12} + d\psi^{03} \\
&= d(\omega_1^{10} \wedge d\omega_1^{10} + \omega_1^{10} \wedge d\omega_1^{01}) + d\psi^{03} \\
&= d\omega_1^{10} \wedge d\omega_1^{10} + d(\omega_1^{10} \wedge \omega_1^{01} + \psi^{03}).
\end{aligned}$$

According to 1), 2)  $d\omega_1^{10} \wedge \omega_1^{01} + \psi^{03} \in \wedge^{03}$  is  $Ad_H$ -invariant and hence defines an invariant 3-form  $\tau$  on  $M$ . Hence, on the manifold  $M$

$$\Omega = \sigma \wedge \sigma + d\tau. \quad \square$$

As a corollary we obtain

**Proposition 3.4**  *$\sigma$  is an invariant symplectic form on  $M$  and  $M = G/H$  is identified with the universal covering  $G/Z_G^0(a)$  of the adjoint orbit  $Ad_G a = G/Z_G(a)$ . Moreover, the group  $G$  is simple.*

**Proof.** It is clear that the form  $\sigma$  is closed and invariant. Moreover, the form  $\sigma^{2n}$  is cohomological to  $\Omega^n$ . Since  $\Omega^n$  is not cohomological to zero by Koszul's theorem, the invariant form  $\sigma^{2n} \neq 0$ . Hence,  $\sigma$  is non-degenerate, that is  $\sigma$  is a symplectic form. The second statement follows now from the Kirillov-Kostant description of homogeneous symplectic manifolds. Suppose now that the semisimple group  $G$  is not simple. Without restriction of generality we may assume that  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ . Then the homogeneous manifold  $G/H$  is  $G$ -isomorphic to the direct product  $G_1/H_1 \times G_2/H_2$  of homogeneous manifolds, where  $H = Z_G^0(a) = H_1 \times H_2$ . Any invariant metric on such a manifold is reducible. On the other hand, it is known that a quaternionic Kähler metric of non zero scalar curvature is irreducible. This contradiction shows that the group  $G$  is simple.  $\square$

**3.4. Type 2** The proof of the theorem for type 2 manifolds is based on the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.5** *Assume that  $G/H$  is a quaternionic Kähler manifold of type 2 and  $\text{rk } \mathfrak{g} > 2$ . Then there exists  $h \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h)$  is non-compact.*



**Proof.** Consider the root system  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}})$ , where  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{R}a + \bar{\mathfrak{t}}, \bar{\mathfrak{t}} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ , is a compact Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and hence of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Any root  $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$  generates a 3-dimensional subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{h_\alpha, e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}\} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  or to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . The root  $\alpha$  is called **compact** respectively **non-compact**, if  $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$  respectively  $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is non-compact, then there exists a non-compact root  $\beta$ , s. [He]. Choose  $0 \neq h \in \bar{\mathfrak{t}} \cap \ker \beta$ . Then  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h) \supset \mathfrak{g}(\beta) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $M = G/H$  be a homogeneous manifold, where  $G$  is a real simple Lie group of rank 2 and  $H$  a compact subgroup of the form  $H = Z_G^0(a)$ ,  $a \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Assume that the isotropy representation of  $H$  preserves a quaternionic structure on  $\mathfrak{m} \cong T_H M$ . Then  $G/H = SU(3)/U(2) \cong \mathbb{C}P^2$  or  $= SU(1, 2)/U(2) \cong \mathbb{C}H^2$ .*

**Proof.** According to the theory of semisimple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$  or  $G_2$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{t}^2$  or to  $\mathfrak{t}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ , where  $\mathfrak{t}^n$  denotes the Lie algebra of the  $n$ -dimensional torus. Assume that the isotropy representation of  $M$  preserves some quaternionic structure. Then  $\dim G/H \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  can only be of type  $(A_2, \mathfrak{t}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2))$ ,  $(B_2, \mathfrak{t}^2)$  or  $(G_2, \mathfrak{t}^2)$ . Checking the real Lie algebras of Type  $A_2$ , we conclude that the first pair gives exactly the two manifolds  $G/H$  described in Lemma 3.6. Let now  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a real simple Lie algebra of type  $B_2$  or  $G_2$  with a compact Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}^2$ . To prove the lemma, it is sufficient to check that the isotropy representation  $ad_{\mathfrak{t}}|_{\mathfrak{m}}$  of  $\mathfrak{t}$  on  $\mathfrak{m} = [\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{g}]$  does not preserve any quaternionic structure  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Suppose that such a quaternionic structure  $\mathfrak{q}$  exists. Then

$$ad_{\mathfrak{t}}|_{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m})}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{H}),$$

where  $n = 2$  (resp. 3) if  $\mathfrak{g}$  has type  $B_2$  (resp.  $G_2$ ). There exists an element  $0 \neq b \in \mathfrak{t}$  such that  $A = ab_b|_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{H})$ . Since for any  $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{H})$  the multiplicity of an eigenvalue of  $A$  is even, the root system  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}})$  must satisfy the following condition for any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$ :

$$\#\{\beta \in \mathcal{R} \mid \beta(b) = \alpha(b)\} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

From the picture of the root systems of type  $B_2$  and  $G_2$  one sees that this is impossible.  $\square$

Now we prove that there is no homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifold  $M = G/H$  of type 2 with an unimodular group  $G$ . By Prop. 3.4 we may assume that  $G$  is simple. We will use induction on the rank of  $G$ . First we remark that there is no quaternionic Kähler manifold  $M = G/H$  of type 2 and  $\text{rk } G \leq 2$ . Indeed, if  $\text{rk } G = 1$ , then  $\dim G = 3$ . If  $\text{rk } G = 2$ , the

only quaternionic Kähler manifolds are the symmetric manifold  $SU(3)/U(2)$  and its non-compact dual, which are not of type 2. Applying induction, we assume that there is no quaternionic Kähler manifold  $G/H$  of type 2 and  $\text{rk } G < k$ . Let now  $M = G/H$  be a quaternionic Kähler manifold of type 2 with an unimodular and hence simple group  $G$  of  $\text{rk } G = k$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathbb{R}a + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}) + \mathfrak{m}$  be the corresponding reductive decomposition. We may assume that  $\text{rk } \mathfrak{g} > 2$  and hence  $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \neq 0$ . By Lemma 3.5 there exists  $b \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$  with non-compact centralizer  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(b)$ . Remark that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is a reductive and hence unimodular Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{g}_0 \not\subset \mathfrak{h}$ . According to Prop. 3.2 1) the orbit  $N$  of the corresponding connected Lie group  $Z_G^0(b)$  is a quaternionic Kähler submanifold of type 2. The corresponding reductive and hence unimodular isometry group  $G_N$  of  $(N, g|_N)$  is the quotient of  $Z_G^0(b)$  by the kernel of non-effectivity, which contains  $\{\exp tb \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Hence,  $\text{rk } G_N < \text{rk } Z_G^0(b) = \text{rk } G = k$ . This contradicts the inductive assumption.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of the theorem for type 3 manifolds

Now we consider a homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifold  $M = G/H$  of type 3 with semisimple Lie group  $G$ . We will consider the reductive decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the orthogonal complement to  $\mathfrak{h}$  with respect to the Cartan-Killing form  $B$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ , where  $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$  is the kernel of the homomorphism  $\phi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{q} \cong \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  is the  $B$ -orthogonal complementary ideal to  $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$  in  $\mathfrak{h}$ , s. 2.2. With respect to a standard basis  $(J_\alpha)_\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{q}$  the isomorphism  $\phi|_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{q} \cong \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  is given by  $\phi(h) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \omega_\alpha(h) J_\alpha$ , in particular, the forms  $\omega_\alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}}$  are linearly independent.

**Proposition 4.1** *For any  $a \in \mathfrak{a} - \{0\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ .*

**Proof.** Without restriction of generality we may assume that  $\omega_1(a) = 1$ ,  $\omega_2(a) = \omega_3(a) = 0$ . According to Prop. 3.2 2)

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) = \mathfrak{h}_0 + \mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{R}a + \bar{\mathfrak{h}} + \mathfrak{n}$$

defines a totally geodesic Kähler submanifold and  $\omega_2|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \omega_3|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = 0$ . Remark that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  (and any quotient of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ) is reductive and hence unimodular. By the structure equations (1)  $d\omega_1 = \nu\pi^*\rho_1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Consider the decomposition of  $\omega_1|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$

$$\omega_1 = \omega_1^{10} + \omega_1^{01} \in \mathfrak{h}_0^* + \mathfrak{n}^*$$

as before. Since  $\omega_1$  is  $ad_{\mathfrak{h}_0}$ -invariant, the 1-form  $\omega_1^{01}$  is invariant, vanishes on  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  and hence defines some invariant form on the homogeneous Kähler manifold  $N = G_0/H_0$ , where  $G_0$  and  $H_0$  are the connected Lie subgroups

of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  respectively.  $\rho_1$  defines the Kähler form  $\sigma$  on  $N$  and  $d\omega_1^{10} = d\omega_1 - d\omega_1^{01}$  defines an invariant form on  $N$ , which is cohomological to  $\sigma$  (up to the factor  $\nu \neq 0$ ). Since  $\sigma^{2k}$ ,  $k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N$ , is a volume form, the cohomological form  $(d\omega_1^{10})^{2k}$  is not zero on  $N$  by Koszul's theorem. In other words,  $d\omega_1^{10}$  defines an invariant symplectic form on  $N$ .

Remark now that the 1-form  $\omega_1^{10}$  equals

$$\omega_1^{10} = \lambda B(a, \cdot) \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^-,$$

since  $\omega_1^{10}(\bar{\mathfrak{h}} + \mathfrak{n}) = 0$  and  $\omega_1^{10}(a) = 1$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{h}} + \mathfrak{n}$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\mathbb{R}a$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  with respect to the Cartan-Killing form  $B$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This implies  $d\omega_1^{10} = 0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ :

$$d\omega_1^{10}(x, y) = -\omega_1^{10}([x, y]) = -\lambda B(a, [x, y]) = \lambda B([x, a], y) = 0$$

for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ . On the other hand we proved that  $d\omega_1^{10}$  defines a non-degenerate form on  $N$ , hence  $N = pt$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2** 1) For all  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$  we have  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) = \mathbb{R}a + \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ .

2)  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} + \bar{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

3) Any Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and has the form  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{R}a + \bar{\mathfrak{t}}$ , where  $\bar{\mathfrak{t}}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ .

**Proposition 4.3** 1)  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a compact regular 3-dimensional subalgebra associated to a long root  $\alpha$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ .

2)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple.

**Proof.** By Cor. 4.2 3) there exists a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of the form  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{R}a + \bar{\mathfrak{t}} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ . Obviously it normalizes  $\mathfrak{a}$ , hence  $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$  is a regular 3-dimensional subalgebra associated with some root  $\alpha$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}})$ . Since any 3-dimensional regular subalgebra is contained in some simple ideal and its normalizer contains all other simple ideals, from Cor. 4.2 2) and from the effectivity of  $G$  statement 2) follows. It remains only to prove that  $\alpha$  is long. It was proved in [A] (s. Lemma 5 2)) that under our assumptions  $\alpha$  is long, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not of type  $G_2$ . In the latter case the normalizer  $\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha}$  of the regular 3-dimensional subalgebra associated to (any root)  $\alpha$  is of the form  $\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{a}_{long}^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{a}_{short}^{\mathbb{C}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}_{long}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{a}_{short}$ ) is a regular 3-dimensional subalgebra associated to a long (resp. short) root. Moreover,  $(\mathfrak{g}_2/\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha})^{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}_{short}^{\mathbb{C}}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{a}_{long}^{\mathbb{C}}$ ) acts irreducibly on  $\mathbb{C}^4$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ) and trivially on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}^4$ ). This shows that  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_{short}$  is impossible, hence  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_{long}$ .  $\square$

The proof of the main theorem follows immediately from the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.4** *Let  $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$  be a compact regular 3-dimensional subalgebra associated with a long root  $\alpha$  of a simple non-compact real Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If its normalizer  $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}_\alpha)$  is compact, then it is maximal compact and hence the corresponding homogeneous space  $G/N_G(\mathfrak{a}_\alpha)$  is a non-compact symmetric quaternionic Kähler manifold (dual to a Wolf space).*

The proof of Prop. 4.4 is based on the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.5** *Let  $\sigma, \sigma_0$  be two involutive automorphisms of a simple complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with fix point sets  $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}^{\sigma_0}$ . Assume  $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma_0} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ , then  $\sigma = \sigma_0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{\sigma_0} + \mathfrak{g}^{\sigma_0}$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^\sigma + \mathfrak{g}_-^\sigma$  denote the corresponding symmetric decompositions. They are orthogonal with respect to the Cartan-Killing form. Moreover, since  $\sigma$  preserves  $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma_0}$ , it preserves also the orthogonal complement  $\mathfrak{g}_-^{\sigma_0} = \mathfrak{a}_+ + \mathfrak{a}_-$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_+ = \mathfrak{g}^\sigma \cap \mathfrak{g}_-^{\sigma_0}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_- = \mathfrak{g}_-^\sigma$ . Then

$$[\mathfrak{a}_+, \mathfrak{a}_-] \subset [\mathfrak{g}^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}_-^\sigma] \subset \mathfrak{g}_-^\sigma \subset \mathfrak{g}_-^{\sigma_0}.$$

On the other hand

$$[\mathfrak{a}_+, \mathfrak{a}_-] \subset [\mathfrak{g}_-^{\sigma_0}, \mathfrak{g}_-^{\sigma_0}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_-^{\sigma_0}.$$

Hence  $[\mathfrak{a}_+, \mathfrak{a}_-] = [\mathfrak{a}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-^\sigma] = 0$ . Therefore the kernel  $\mathfrak{k}$  of the isotropy representation of  $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_-^\sigma$ , which is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , contains  $\mathfrak{a}_+$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple,  $0 = \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{a}_+$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6** *Let  $\mathfrak{l}$  be a simple complex Lie algebra. There is no inclusion between maximal compact subalgebras of different real forms  $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{l}$  of  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}'^{\mathbb{C}}$ .*

**Proof.** It is sufficient to consider the Cartan involutions of the real forms and apply the lemma to their complex linear extensions.  $\square$

**Proof** (of Prop. 4.4). Let  $\mathfrak{k} \supset \mathfrak{n}_\alpha = \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}_\alpha)$  be a maximal compact subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . There exists some real form  $\mathfrak{g}'$  of  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$  is maximally compact in  $\mathfrak{g}'$ . This real form corresponds to the non-compact dual of the Wolf space  $G_c/N_{G_c}(\mathfrak{a}_\alpha)$ , where *Lie*  $G_c$  is the compact real form of  $\mathfrak{l}$ . Cor. 4.6 implies  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ .  $\square$

## References

- [A] D.V. Alekseevskii: *Compact quaternion spaces*, Functional Anal. Appl. **2** (1968), 106–114.

- [A-K] D.V. Alekseevskiĭ, B.N. Kimel'fel'd: *Structure of homogeneous Riemannian spaces with zero Ricci curvature*, Functional Anal. Appl. **9** (1975), 97–102.
- [Do] I. Dotti Miatello: *Transitive group actions and Ricci curvature properties*, Michigan Math. J. **35** (1988), 427–434.
- [Ha] J.-I. Hano: *On Kählerian homogeneous spaces of unimodular Lie groups*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 885–900.
- [He] S. Helgason: *Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1978.
- [Ko] J.L. Koszul: *Homologie et cohomologie des algèbres de Lie*, Bulletin Soc. Math. France vol. 78 (1950), 65–127.
- [T] K. Tsukada: *Parallel submanifolds in a quaternion projective space*, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 187–241.