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#### Abstract

We consider a module with an alternating form over a commutative ring. Under certain conditions, which hold, for example, when the form is non-singular and the module is projective of rank $\geq 6$ and contains a unimodular vector, we describe all subgroups of the symplectic group which are normalized by symplectic transvections. This generalizes many previous results of Dickson, Abe, Klingenberg, Bak, et el.
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## 1. Introduction.

Let $R$ be a commutative associative ring with 1 . For any integer $n \geq 1$, let $\operatorname{Sp}_{2 n} R$ be the standard symplectic group and $\mathrm{Ep}_{2 n} R$ its subgroup generated by elementary symplectic matrices [11], [37], [54], [62].

When $R$ is a field, Dickson [20] proved that $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n} R=\mathrm{Ep}_{2 n} R$ (by the way, the term "symplectic" was coined later, so Dickson wrote about "abelian linear groups $\operatorname{SA}(2 n, R)$ "). Moreover, he showed that this group modulo its center (which consists of $\pm 1_{2 n}$ ) is simple with the following three exeptions: $R$ consists of 2 elements and $n=1$ (in this case $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n} R=\mathrm{SL}_{2} R$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group $S_{3}$ ); $R$ consists of 3 elements and $n=2$ (in this case $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n} R$ is isomorphic to the alternating group $A_{4}$ ) $R$ consists of 2 elements and $n=2$ (in this case, $\mathrm{S}_{2 n} R=\mathrm{Sp}_{4} R$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group $S_{6}$ ). In all these 3 cases, the commutator subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n} R=\mathrm{Ep}_{2 n} R$ is a proper non-central normal subgroup. See also [5], [21], [42] [46] about symplectic groups over fields.

Klingenberg [23] described all normal subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n} R$ for a local ring $R$ such that the characteristic of the residue field $R / \operatorname{rad}(R)$ is not 2 and its cardinality is not 3. Abe [1] reduced the conditions on the local ring $R$ to the following condition: the residue field has more than 3 elements when $n=1$ and more than two elements when $n=2$. When $2 R \neq R$, his answer involves some additive subgroups of $R$ which are more general than ideals (he called them special submodules associated with ideals; later [3] the result were extended to other rings $R$ ). See also [13]- [17], [19], [25] [26] [31], [33]-[35], [43], [49]-[53] about $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}$ over local, semilocal, and other "zero-dimensional" rings $R$.

Mennicke [37] and Bass-Milnor-Serre [11] described all normal subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n} R$ when $R$ is the ring of integers $\mathbf{Z}$ or, more generally, a Dedikind ring of arithmetic type and $n \geq 2$. Note that the normal subgroup structure of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2} R=\mathrm{SL}_{2} R$ is very different and essentially intractable even when $R=\mathbb{Z}$ [27] - [30], [39], [40], [38] or another Dedikind ring of arithmetic type with finite $\mathrm{GL}_{1} R$ [18], [22], [41], [45].

The normal subgroup structure of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n} R$ for any $R$ with "infinite" $n$ was studied in [4], [9] , [32], [44], [61]. Bak [6] announced a description of all subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n} R$ when $n \geq 3$ and is greater than a certain dimension of $R$; see [7] for proofs.

Kopeiko [24] showed that $\mathrm{Ep}_{2 n} R$ is normal in $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n} R$ for any $R$ when $n \geq 2$. Later this was redescovered in part by Taddei [47].

Using localization and patching, a complete description of all subgroups $H$ of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 \pi} R$ which are normalized by $\mathrm{E}_{2 n} R$, was obtained in [58] in general context of Chavallwey groups, provided that $n \geq 2, R$ has no residue fields of 2 elements in the caee $n=2$, and
(1) for every element $z$ of $R$ there are $r, s$ in $R$ such that $z=2 r z+s z^{2}$.

The condition (1) is necessary for the standard description of those $H^{\prime \prime}$ s in terms of ideals of $R$, as can be seen from the case of local ring $R$ (see [1], [3]). It was claimed in [58] that without the condition (1), a complete description of $H$ 's is possible in more general terms. This was proved by Abe [2].

Here we improve on Abo's result extending it to symplectic groups of altemating forms $F$ on $R$-modules $V$. Our proofs here use localization and patching. The approach to description of normal subgroups was introduced in [57] for general linear groups $\mathrm{GL}_{n} R, n \geq 3$. Later it was used for orthogonal [60] and Chevalley [2] , [46], [58] groups.

As a departure from the setting of [6], [7], [9], our $R$-module $V$ need not be finitely generated or projective, and our alternating form $F$ need not be non-singular. Instead of non-singularity, we impose another condition which is equivalent to non-singularity in the case of a finitely generated projective $V$.

Singular $F$ on a finitely generated free $V$ over local and semilocal rings $R$ was studied in [13]-[16], [43]. The answer inviolves tableaux of ideals.

## 2. Statement of results

A alternating form $F$ on an $R$-module $V$ is a bilinear form $F$ on $V$ such that $F(\nu, v)=0$ for all $v$ in $V$. We do not require that $F=Q-Q^{\mathrm{T}}$, i.e. $F(u, v)=Q(u, v)-Q(v, u)$ for all $u, v$ in $V$, where $Q$ is a bilinear form on $V$, although such a form $Q$ exists when $V$ is projective. Note that any alternating form $F$ is skew-symmetric, i.e. $F(u, v)=-F(v, u)$ for all $u, v$ in $V$.

The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{F} R$ is the group of all automorpisms of the $R$-module $V$ which preserve an alternating form $F$. Let $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ denote the group of all automorphisms which multiply the form by a unit of $R$.

For every $e, u$ in $V$ such that $F(e, u)=0$ and any $x$ in $R$ we define (following [56]) $\tau(e, u, x)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}_{F} R$ by
$\tau(e, u, x) v=v+u F(e, v)+e F(u, v)+e x F(e, v)$.
An element $v$ of $V$ is called $F$-unimodular if $F(V, v)=R$, i.e. $F(u, v)=1$ for some $u$ in $V$. The elements $\tau(e, u, x)$ as above with unimodular $e$ are called symplectic transvections. We denote by $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{F} R$ generated by all symplectic transvection. Clearly (see (14) below) $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ is normal in $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$. Here we give another description of $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$, where a hyperbolic pair means a pair $u, v$ of vectors with $F(u, v)=1$.

PROPOSITION 2. The group $E p_{F} R$ coincides with the subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{F} R$ generated by all elements $\tau(e, 0, r)$, where $r \in R$ and $e \in V$ is either $F$-unimodular or orthogonal to a hyperbolic pair in $V$.

The main goal of this paper is to describe all subgroups $H$ of $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ normalized by $E p_{F} R$. It is much easier to describe the centralizer of $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$. If $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ is trivial, its centralizer in $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ is $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$. Otherwise, i.e. when an $F$-unimodular vector in $V$ exists, i.e. the Witt index of $F$ is at least 1 , we will show in Section 3 below that the centralizer consists of all scalar authomorphisms of $V$ :

PROPOSITION 3. If $V$ contains an $F$-unimodular vector, then the centralizer of $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ in $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ consists of all scalar authomorphisms of $V$, and hence coincides with the center of $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$.

We define a symplectic ideal of $R$ as a pair $(A, B)$, where $A$ is an ideal of $R$ and $B$ is an additive subgroup of $A$ such that $r^{2} b, 2 a, a^{2} r \in B$ for all $r$ in $R, b$ in $B$, and $a$ in $A$.

Note that the condition (1) above is equivalent to the following: $B=A$ for every symplectic ideal ( $A, B$ ) of $R$. Under different names, our symplectic ideals appeared first in $[1]$, and then in [2]) [3], [6], [7], [9], [10], [12], [31], [54], [56].

Given any symplectic ideal $(A, B)$ of $R$ and any vector $e$ in $V$, we define $T\left(e_{;} A, B\right)$ as the subgroup of $\operatorname{Ep}_{F} R$ generated by all $\tau(e, 0, b)$ with $b$ in $B$ and by all $\tau(e, u a, 0)$ with $a$ in $A$ and $u$ in $V$ such that $F(e, u)=0$. It is easy to check (see the identity (12) below) that $T(e ; A, B)$ consists of all $\tau(e, u, r)$ with $u \in e^{\perp}, r \in|u|$, where $e^{\perp}=\{v \in V: F(e, v)=0\}$ is the orthogonal complement of $e$ in $V$ and where the map II: $V A \rightarrow A / B$ is defined by
$\left|\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} v_{i} a_{i}\right|=B+\sum_{1 \leq i<j S n} F\left(v_{i} a_{i}, v_{j} a_{j}\right)$, where $v_{i} \in V, a_{i} \in A$.
It is easy to check that this is well-defined, i.e. $|v| \in A / B$ does not depend on choice of presentation $\nu=\sum v_{i} a_{i}$.

Let $\operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ denote the subgroup of $\operatorname{Ep}_{F} R$ generated by all $T(e ; A, B)$, where $e$ ranges over all $F$-unimodular vectors in $V$. Clearly, $\operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}_{F} R$, and $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(R, R)=\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$.

THEOREM 4. Assume that $\operatorname{dim}(F \bmod P) \geq 4$ for every maximal ideals $P$ of $R$. Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ be vectors in $V$ with $F\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=1$. Then the group $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$. is generated by its subgroups $T\left(e_{1}, R, R\right)$ and $T\left(e_{2}, R, R\right)$. Moreover, for any symplectic ideal $(A, B)$ of $R$, the group $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ coincides with the normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ generated by $T\left(e_{1}, R, R\right)$.

The condition $\operatorname{dim}(F \bmod P) \geq 2 m$ (used in Theorem 4 with $m=2$ ) means that there are vectors $v_{i}$ in $V$ such that the matrix $\left(F\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)_{1 \leq i j \leq 2 m}\right)$ over $R$ is invertible modulo $P$. Since $F$ is alternating, this number $2 m$ must be even. In the case of a non-singular $F$, the condition is equivalent to $\operatorname{dim}_{R / P} V / V P \geq 2 m$.

The dimension condition in the Theorem 3 is necessary. Without this condition, the first conclusion would give that $\mathrm{E}_{2} R=\mathrm{Ep}_{2} R$ is normal in $\mathrm{GL}_{2} R=\mathrm{Gp}_{2} R$, which is not true in general [18]. However $\mathrm{E}_{2} R$ is normal in $\mathrm{GL}_{2} R$ when $\mathrm{E}_{2} R=\mathrm{SL}_{2} R$ (which is the case under the first Bass stable range condition [8] and for some other rings [55]) or $R$ is a topological ring with $\mathrm{GL}_{1} R$ open in $R$ [59].

We define $\operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$ to be the set of all $g$ in $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ such that there is $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_{1} R$ and $c$ $\in R$ such that $\left(c^{2}-\alpha\right) R \subset B, F(g u, g v)=\alpha F(u, v), g v-v c \in V A$ and $F(v c, g v)+B=\lg v-$ $v c I$ for all $u, v \in V$. It is easy to check that $\operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(R, R)=$ $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$. The group $\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(0,0)$ is the group of scalar automorphisms of $V$.

For any two subgroups $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ of a group $G$ we denote by [ $H_{1}, H_{2}$ ] the subgroup of $G$ generated by all commutators $\left[h_{1}, h_{2}\right]=h_{1} h_{2} h_{1}^{-1} h_{2}^{-1}$ with $h_{1}$ in $H_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ in $H_{2}$. It is easy to check that $\left[H_{1}, H_{2}\right.$ ] is normalized by both $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. THEOREM 5. Assume that $V$ contains an $F$-unimodular vector, that $\operatorname{dim}(F \bmod P) \geq 4$ for every maximal ideals $P$ of $R$, and that $\operatorname{dim}(F \bmod P) \geq 6$ for every ideal $P$ of index 2 in $R$. Then $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ is generated by its subgroups $\tau(e, 0, R)$, where $e$ ranges over all $F$-unimodular vectors $e$ in $\dot{V}$. Moreover, for any symplectic ideal $(A, B)$ of $R, \mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$ is the centralizer of $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ in $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ modulo $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A$, $B$ ), i.e. it consists of all $g$ in $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ such that $\left[g, \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right] \subset \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$. COROLLARY 6. Under the conditions of Theorem 5 , for any symplectic ideal $(A, B)$ of $R$, every subgroup $H$ of $\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$ containing $\mathrm{E}_{F}(A, B)$ is normalized by $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$. Moreover, for any symplectic tranvection $g$ in $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ and any $h$ in $H$ the commutator $[g, h]$ is product of symplectic transvections in $H$.

Indeed, by Theorem 5, $\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R, H\right] \subset\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R, \mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)\right] \subset \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B) \subset H$.

THEOREM 7. Under the conditions of Theorem 5,

$$
\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)=\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]=\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Sp}_{F} R\right]=\left[\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]
$$

for every symplectic ideal $(A, B)$ of $R$.

Since the group $\mathrm{Sp}_{4} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}=\mathrm{Ep}_{4} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is not perfect, we have to require that the dimension of $F$ modulo $P$ is not 4 for any ideal $P$ of index 2 in $R$. Note that the group $\mathrm{Ep}_{2} R=\mathrm{E}_{2} R$ is not perfect for small fields and for many other rings $R$.

By Corollary 6 , every subgroup $H$ of $\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$ containing $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ is normalized by $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$. We want to prove the converse: for every subgroup $H$ of $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ which is normalized by $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ there is a symplectic ideal $(A, B)$ of $R$ such that $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B) \subset H \subset \mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$. For this to be true, we will need some conditions on $F$, besides the existence of an $F$-unimodular vector in $V$.

First of all, as we did in Theorem 6, we want to exclude the case when $V=R^{2}$. In the case, there are non-standard normal subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}_{F} R=\mathrm{SL}_{2} R$ (even for $R=\mathbb{Z}$ [27], [28], [30], [36], [39], [40], [41] and other small dimensional rings [18], [22], [29], [38]) unless we impose rather severe restrictions on $R$ [17], [45], [59]. Since the group $\mathrm{Sp}_{4} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ has a non-standard normal subgroup (its commutator subgroup which is proper subgroup), we have to require that the dimension of $F$ modulo $P$ is not 4 for any ideal $P$ of index 2 in $R$

Finally, we have to impose a condition on $F$ which is weaker than its non-singularity. Namely, we will assume that $\mathrm{v} \in V F(v, V)$ for every vector $v$ in $V$. That is, for every vector $v$ there is a finite set of vectors $u_{i}, w_{i}$ in $V$ such that $v=\sum w_{i} F\left(v, u_{i}\right)$. When $V$ is finitely generated projective, this condition is equivalent to the condition that $F$ is non-singular, i.e. the assignement $u \mapsto F\left(u\right.$, ?) gives an bijection $V \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(V, R)$. In general, the condition means that the map $V / V A \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R / A}(V / A, R / A)$ is injective for every ideal $A$ of $R$.

Here is the main result of this paper.
THEOREM 8. Under the conditions of Theorem 5 , assume that $v \in V F(v, V)$ for every vector $v$ in $V$. Then a subgroup $H$ of $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ is normalized by $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B) \subset H$ $\subset G p_{F}(A, B)$ for a symplectic ideal $(A, B)$ of $R$, and if and only if the commutator $[g, h]$ is a product of symplectic transvections in $H$ for every symplectic tranvection $g$ in $\operatorname{Gp}_{F} R$ and every $h$ in $H$.

## 3. Proof of Proposition 2

First we list some easy to check relations for $\tau(e, u, x)$.. Let $e, u, v$ be in $V, x, y$ in $R$, and $g$ in $G p(q, R)$. Assume that $F(e, u)=F(e, v)=0$. Then:
(9) $\tau(e, u, x) v=v$ when $F(u v)=0$; in particular, $\tau(e, u, x) e=e$;
(10) $\tau(e y, u, x)=\tau\left(e, u y, x y^{2}\right)$;
(11) $\tau(e, u+e y, x)=\tau(e, u, x+2 y)$;
(12) $\tau(e, u, 0)=\tau(u, e, 0)$;
(13) $\tau(e, u, x) \tau(e, v, y)=\tau(e, u+v, x+y+F(u, v))$;
in particular, $\tau(e, u, x)^{-1}=\tau(e,-u,-x)$;
(14) $\left.g \tau(e, u, x) g^{-1}=\tau(g e, g u / \alpha(g), x / \alpha(g))\right)$ for every $g$ in $\operatorname{Gp}_{F} R$, where $\alpha(g) \in$ $\mathrm{GL}_{1} R$ is such that $F\left(g w, g w^{\prime}\right)=\alpha(g) F\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)$ for all $w, w^{\prime}$ in $V$,
in particular,
(15) when $g e=e$ and $g \in \operatorname{Sp}_{F} R$ (i.e. $\alpha(g)=1$ ), we have $g \tau(e, u, x) g^{-1}=\tau(e, g u, x)$ and $[g, \tau(e, u, x)]=\tau(e, g u, x) \tau(e,-u,-x)=\tau(e, g u-u, F(u, g u))$.

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 2. Let $H$ be the subgroup of $E p_{F} R$ generated by the subgroups $\tau(e, 0, R)$, where $e$ ranges over all vectors $e$ in $V$ which are either $F$-unimodular or orthogonal to a hyperbolic pair in $V$. Clearly, $H$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$. We want to prove that $H=\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$.

By the definition of $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$, it contains $\tau(e, 0, R)$ for every $F$-unomodular vector $e$ in $V$. Let us show that $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R \rightarrow \tau(e, 0, r)$ when $r \in R$ and $e$ is orthogonal to a hyperbolic pair $e_{1}$, $e_{2}$ in $V$. Indeed,
$\tau(e, 0, r)=\tau\left(e, e_{1}, 0\right) \tau\left(e, e_{2} r, 0\right) \tau\left(e,-e_{1}-e_{2} r, 0\right)$
$=\tau\left(e_{1}, e, 0\right) \tau\left(e_{2}, e r, 0\right) \tau\left(e_{1}+e_{2} r,-e, 0\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ by (10), (12), (13), because the vectors $e_{1}, e_{2}$, and $e_{1}+e_{2} r$ are $F$-unimodular.

Thus, $H \subset \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$. Let us show now that $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R \subset H$.

By the definition of $\mathrm{E}_{F} R$, it suffices to show that $H \supset \mathrm{~T}(e, R, R)$ for any $F$-unimodular vector $e$ in $V$, i.e. $H \ni \tau(e, u, r)$ for an arbitrary symplectic transvection $\tau(e, u, r)$, where $u$ $\in e^{\perp}$ and $r \in R$.

We pick a vector $e^{\prime}$ in $V$ with $F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)=1$, and set $r^{\prime}=F\left(u, e^{\prime}\right), v=u-e r^{\prime}$. Then $u=e r^{\prime}$ $+v$ with $v$ orthogonal to both $e$ and $e^{\prime}$. By (11),(13),

$$
\tau(e, u, r)=\tau(e, v, 0) \tau\left(e, 0, r+2 r^{\prime}\right) .
$$

So it remains to show that $\tau(e, v, 0) \in H$.

By (15),
$H \rightarrow\left[\tau(e, 0,1), \tau\left(v, e^{\prime}, 0\right)\right]=\tau(v, e,-1)$, hence
$H \ni \tau(v, e,-1) \tau(v, 0,1)=\tau(\nu, e, 0)=\tau(e, v, 0)$.

## 4. Proof of Proposition 3

In this section we assume that $V$ contains an $F$-unimodular vector. We fix a hyperbolic pair $e_{1}, e_{2}$ in $V$. So $F\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=1$ and $e_{1} R+e_{2} R$ is a hyperbolic plane in $V$. Let $U=\left(e_{1} R+\right.$ $\left.e_{2} R\right)^{\perp}$ denote the orthogonal complement of $e_{1} R+e_{2} R$ in $V$. So $V=\left(e_{1} R+e_{2} R\right) \perp U$.

LEMMA 16. Under the conditions of Theorem 2, the centralizer of $T\left(e_{1}, R, R\right)$ in $\operatorname{Gp}_{F} R$, is $Z_{1}$ $\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(0,0)$ where $\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(0,0) \subset \mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$, is the subgroup of all scalar authomorphisms of $V$ and $Z_{1}$ is the center of $T\left(e_{1}, R, R\right)$, which consists of $\tau\left(e_{1}, u, x\right)$ ) in $T\left(e_{1}, R, R\right)$, with $2 F(u, V)=0$.

Proof. Let $g$ be in $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ and commute with each element of $T\left(e_{1}, R, R\right)$. In particular, $g$ $\tau\left(e_{1}, 0,1\right)=\tau\left(e_{1}, 0,1\right) g$, hence $g \tau\left(e_{1}, 0,1\right) e_{2}=\tau\left(e_{1}, 0,1\right) g e_{2}$, i.e. $g e_{2}+g e_{1}=g e_{2}+$ $e_{1} F\left(e_{1}, g e_{2}\right)$, i.e. $g e_{1}=e_{1} F\left(e_{1}, g e_{2}\right)$. Since the vector $g e_{1}$ is $F$-unimodular, it follows that $F\left(e_{1}, g e_{2}\right) R=R$. Replacing $g$ by its scalar multipie $g F\left(e_{1}, g e_{2}\right)^{-1}$, we can assume that $g e_{1}=$ $e_{1}$. Since $F\left(\mathrm{ge}_{1}, \mathrm{ge}_{2}\right)=1$, the vector $g e_{2}$ has the form $g e_{2}=e_{2}+e_{1} \mathrm{c}+w$ with $c \in R$ and $w$ $\in U$. So $g e_{2}=\tau\left(e_{1}, w, \mathrm{c}\right) e_{2}$. Set now $h=\tau\left(e_{1}, w, \mathrm{c}\right)^{-1} g$. Then $h e_{1}=e_{1}$ and $h e_{2}=e_{2}$, hence $h U=U$. The equality $g \tau\left(e_{1}, u, x\right) g^{-1}=\tau\left(e_{1}, u, x\right)$ for an arbitrary $\tau\left(e_{1}, u, x\right)$ in $T\left(e_{1}, R, R\right)$, with $u$ in $U$ takes the form
$\tau\left(e_{1}, h u, x+2 F(w, h u)\right)=\tau\left(e_{1}, u, x\right)$, hence $h=1, g=\tau\left(e_{1}, w, c\right)$, and $2 F(w, U)=0$. Thus, $g$ (after it was multiplied by a scalar) belongs to the center of $T\left(e_{1}, R, R\right)$. Lemma 13 is proved.

Remark. The intersection of $\operatorname{Gp}_{F}(0,0)$ and $Z_{1}$ is trivial.

Notation. For any vectors $e, e^{\prime}$ in $V$, let $E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R\right)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{F} R$ generated by $T(e, R, R)$ and $T\left(e^{\prime}, R, R\right)$.

COROLLARY 17. The centralizer of $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R\right)$ in $G p_{F} R$. coincides with the group $G p_{F}(0,0)$ of scalar authomorphisms of $V$. In particular, $\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(0,0)$ is exactly the center of $G p_{F} R$.

Proof. Let $g \in G p_{F} R$ commute with every element of $T\left(e_{1}, R, R\right)$ and $T\left(e_{2}, R, R\right)$. By Lemma 13, $g \in T\left(e_{1}, R, R\right) \mathrm{Gp}_{F}(0,0) \cap T\left(e_{2}, R, R\right) \mathrm{Gp}_{F}(0,0)=\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(0,0)$. (Since $g e_{2} \in$ $e_{2} R$, the $T\left(e_{1}, A, A\right)$-component of $g$ is 1 , so $g \in \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(0,0)$, i.e. $g$ is multiplication by an invertible scalar on $V$.)

Remark. Corollary 17 contains Proposition 2 , because $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R\right) \subset E_{p_{F}} R$.

THEOREM 18. Assume that $V$ contains an $F$-unimodular vector. Let ( $A, B$ ) be a symplectic ideal of $R$ and $g \in G p_{F} R$. If $\left[g, E p_{F} R\right] \in \mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$, then $g \in \mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$.

Proof. Applying Proposition 2 to $R / A, V / V A$, and $F(\bmod A)$ instead of $R, V$, and $F$ and using that the map $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(R / A)$ is onto, we conclude that $g$ is a scalar modulo $A$, i.e. there is $c \in R$ such that $g v-c v \in V A$ for all $v \in V$. In prticular $c^{2}-\alpha(g) \in A$, where $\alpha(\mathrm{g})=F\left(g e_{1}, g e_{2}\right) \in G L_{1} R$ is such that $F(g u, g v)=\alpha(g) F(u, v)$ for all $u, v \in V$.

We claim now that $\left(c^{2}-\alpha(g)\right) R \subset B$ and that $F\left(e_{1} c, g e_{1}\right)+B=\lg e_{1}-e_{1} c l$.
To prove this, we write $g e_{1}=e_{1} x+e_{2} y^{\prime}+w$ with $x=F\left(g e_{1}, e_{2}\right), y^{\prime}=F\left(e_{1}, g e_{2}\right)$, and $w$ $\in U$. We have $x-c \in A, y^{\prime} \in A, w \in U A$. Now we pick $x^{\prime} \in R$ such that $x^{\prime}-1 \in A$ and $z \in\left|w x^{\prime}\right|$. We set $g^{\prime}=\tau\left(e_{2}, w c^{\prime}, z\right)$ with $\tau\left(e_{2}, w c^{\prime}, z\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$. We have $g^{\prime} e_{1}=$ $\tau\left(e_{2}, w c^{\prime}, z\right) g e_{1}=\tau\left(e_{2}, w c^{\prime}, z\right)\left(e_{1} x+e_{2} y^{\prime}+w\right)=e_{1} x+e_{2} y+w a$ with $a=1-x x^{\prime} \in A$ and $y=y^{\prime}-z \in A$.

Our claim takes the following form: $\left(x^{2}-\alpha(g)\right) R \subset B$ and that $x y \in B$.

For an arbitrary r in R we set $h=\left[g^{\prime}, \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, r\right)\right] \in \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$. Then $\left.h e_{2}=\tau\left(g^{\prime} e_{1}, 0, r / \alpha(g)\right)\left(e_{2}-e_{1} r\right)=e_{2}-e_{1} r+g^{\prime} e_{1} F\left(g^{\prime} e_{1}, e_{2}-e_{1} r\right) r / \alpha(g)\right)$
$\left.=e_{2}\left(1+r x y / \alpha(g)+r^{2} y^{2} / \alpha(g)\right)+\mathrm{e}_{1}\left(\mathrm{rx}^{2} / \alpha(g)\right)-\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{xy} / \alpha(g)\right)+\operatorname{war}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{ry}) / \alpha(g)$.

Since $R y^{2} \subset B$, the equality $\left|h e_{2}-e_{2}\right|=F\left(h e_{2}, e_{2}\right)+B$ takes the form $r x^{2} / \alpha(g)-r \in B$, i.e. $r\left(x^{2}-\alpha(g)\right) \in B$.

We have proved that $\left(x^{2}-\alpha(g)\right) R \subset B$ which is equivalent to $\left(c^{2}-\alpha(g)\right) R \subset B$ because $x-c \in A$.

> Now we consider $h^{\prime 1} e_{2}=\left[\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, r\right), g^{\prime}\right] e_{2}=\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, r\right) \tau\left(g^{\prime} e_{1}, 0,-r / \alpha(g)\right) e_{2}$
> $=\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, r\right)\left(e_{2}-g^{\prime} e_{1} F\left(g^{\prime} e_{1}, e_{2}\right) r / \alpha(g)=e_{2}-g^{\prime} e_{1} r x / \alpha(g)+e_{1} F\left(e_{1}, e_{2}-g^{\prime} e_{1} r x / \alpha(g)\right) r\right.$
> $=e_{2}(1-r x y / \alpha(g))+e_{1}\left(r-r x^{2} / \alpha(g)-x y r^{2} / \alpha(g)\right)-w a r x / \alpha(g)$.

Since $R y^{2} \subset B$ and $\left(1-x^{2} / \alpha(g)\right) R \subset B$, the equality $\left|h^{-1} e_{2}-e_{2}\right|=F\left(h^{-1} e_{2}, e_{2}\right)+B$ takes the form $\left.x y r^{2} / \alpha(g)\right) \in B$. Setting $r=x$, we obtain that $x y \in B$.

Thus, our claim is proved. Similarly, $F(e c, g e)+B=\lg e-e c l$ for every $F$-unimodular vector $e$ in $V$. Note that $V$ is spanned by $F$-unimodular vectors. Namely, $v=e_{1} s+e_{2} t+w=e_{1}+e_{2} t$ $+w+e_{1}(s-1)$ for an arbitrary vector $v$ in $V$, where $s, t \in R, w \in U$, and vectors $e_{1}+$ $e_{2} t+w$ and $e_{1}$ are $F$-unimodular. So $F(e c, g e)+B=\mid g e-e d$ for every vector $e$ in $V$. Thus, we have proved that $g \in \mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$.

Remark. Theorem 18 with $A=0$ implies Proposition 2.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 4

Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $U=\left(e_{1} R+e_{2} R\right)^{\perp}$ be as defined before Lemma 16. For any symplectic ideal ( $A, B$ ) of $R$ and any two vectors $e, e^{\prime}$ in $V$, let $E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right)$ denote the normal subgroup of $E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R\right)$ (see the notation before Corollary 17) generated by $T(e ; A, B)$ and $T\left(e^{\prime}\right.$, $A, B)$. In particular, $E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, R, R\right)=E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R\right)$

We want to prove that $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right)=\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$, i.e. that $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right)$ does not depend on choice of a hyperbolic pair $e_{1}, e_{2}$ under the conditions of Theorem 4. LEMMA 19. For any symplectic ideal ( $A, B$ ) of $R$, any two vectors $e, e^{\prime} \in V$, and any vector $e^{\prime \prime} \in V$ orthogonal to $e, e^{\prime}$ we have $E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right) \supset T\left(e^{\prime \prime}, A s^{2}, B s^{2}\right)$, where $s=F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, u a s^{2}, b s^{2}\right) \in T\left(e^{\prime \prime}, A s^{2}, B s^{2}\right)$, where $u \in V, F\left(e^{\prime \prime}, u\right)=0, a \in A, b \in$ $B$. We have to prove that $\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, u s^{2}, b s^{2}\right) \in E\left(e, e^{n} ; R, A, B\right)$.

Case 1: $u=0$. Then $\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, u a s^{2}, b s^{2}\right)=\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, u a s^{2}, b s^{2}\right)=\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, 0, b s^{2}\right)=\tau\left(e^{\mu \prime},-e b s, b s^{2}\right)$ $\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, e b s, 0\right) \in E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right)$, because $\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime},-e b s, b s^{2}\right)=\left[\tau(e, 0,-b), \tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime}, 0\right)\right] \in$ $E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right)$, where $\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime}, 0\right)=\tau\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) \in T\left(e^{\prime}, R, R\right)$ by (12), and $\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, e b s, 0\right)=\tau\left(e, e^{\prime \prime} b s z, 0\right) \in T(e ; A, B)$ also by (12).

General case. Set $r=F(e, u) \in R, r^{\prime}=F\left(e^{\prime}, u\right) \in R$ and $w=u s-e^{\prime} r+e r^{\prime}$. Then $w$ is orthogonal to $e, e^{\prime}$, and $e^{\prime \prime}$.

By (13), $\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime} t, u a s^{2}, b s^{2}\right)=\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, u a s^{2}, b s^{2}\right)$
$=\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right.$, was, 0$) \tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime}\right.$ ars, 0$) \tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right.$, ear's, 0$) \tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, 0, b^{\prime} s^{2}\right)$, where $b^{\prime}=b+r r^{\prime} s a^{2} \in B$.
By (12), $\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime} a r s, 0\right) \in T\left(e^{\prime} ; A x, B x\right) \subset E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right)$ and
$\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right.$, ear's, 0$) \in T(e ; A x, B x) \subset E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right)$.
By Case $1, \tau\left(e, 0, b s^{2}\right) \in E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right)$.
Moreover $\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right.$ was, 0$)=\left[\tau(e, w a, 0), \tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime}, 0\right)\right] \in E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right)$, because
$\tau\left(e^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime}, 0\right)=\tau\left(e^{\prime},-e^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) \in T\left(e^{\prime}, R, R\right)$ by (12).
Thus, $\tau\left(e{ }^{\prime \prime} t, u a s^{2}, b s^{2}\right) \in E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right)$.
COROLLARY 20. For any symplectic ideal ( $A, B$ ) of $R$, any two vectors $e, e^{\cdot} \in V$, and any two vectors $w, w^{\prime} \in V$ orthogonal to $e, e^{\prime}$ we have $E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right) \supset E\left(w s^{2}, w^{\prime} s^{2} ; R, A, B\right)$, where $s=F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. We have to prove that $g h g^{-1} \in E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right)$ whenever $g \in E\left(w s^{2}, w^{\prime} s^{2}, R\right)$ and $h \in T\left(w s^{2}, A, B\right) \cup T\left(w s^{2}, A, B\right)$. By Lemma 19, $h \in E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right)$ and $g \in$ $E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, R, R\right)=E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R\right)$. So, $\mathrm{ghg}^{-1} \in E\left(e, e^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right)$.

LEMMA 21. Let $P$ be a maximal ideal of $R$. Supose that $\operatorname{dim}(F \bmod P) \geq 4$. Let $e, e^{\prime} \in$ $V$ and $F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right) \in S=R \backslash P$. Then there is $s \in S$ such that $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right) \supset T\left(e ; A s^{2}\right.$, $B s^{2}$ ) for all symplectic ideals ( $A, B$ ) of $R$.

Proof. We write $e=v+u$ with $v \in e_{1} R+e_{2} R$ and $u \in U$.
If $F(U, u)$ intersects $S$, then we find $v$ in $U$ with $F(u, v)=s_{0} \in S$. By Corollary 20, $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right) \supset E(u, v ; R, A, B)$ and $E(u, v ; R, A, B) \supset T\left(e ; A s_{0}{ }^{2}, B s_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$. So
$E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right) \supset T\left(e ; A s^{2}, B s^{2}\right)$ with $s=s_{0}$.
If $F(U, u)$ does not intersect $S$, i.e. $F(U, u)=F(V, u) \subset P$ then $F(V, v)$ intersects $S$. We find a vecot $v^{\prime}$ in $e_{1} R+e_{2} R$ with $F\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=s_{1} \in S$, and a pair $w, w^{\prime} \in U$ with $F\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=$ $s_{2} \in S$. By Corollary 20,
$E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right) \supset E\left(w, w^{\prime} ; R, A, B\right) \supset E\left(v s_{2}{ }^{2}, v^{\prime} s_{2}{ }^{2} ; R, A, B\right)$. By Lemma 19,
$E\left(v s_{2}{ }^{2}, v s_{2}{ }^{2} ; R, A, B\right) \supset T\left(e ; A s_{2}{ }^{2} s_{1}{ }^{8}, B s_{2}{ }^{2} s_{1}{ }^{8}\right)$.
So $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right) \supset T\left(e ; A s^{2}, B s^{2}\right)$ with $s=s_{2} s_{1}^{4} \in S$.
Now we can complete our proof of Theorem 4. We have to prove that $\tau(e, u a, b) \in$ $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right)$ for any $F$-unimodular vector $e \in V$, any vector $u \in V$ orthogonal to $e$, any $a \in A$, and any $b \in B$. By Lemma 21, for every maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ there is $s \in R$ outside $P$ such that $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right) \supset \tau\left(e, u a R s^{2}, 0\right)$. Writing 1 as a linear combination of those $s^{2}$, we obtain an element of $\quad E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right)$ of the form $\tau\left(e, u a, r a^{2}\right)$ with $r \in R$.

It remains to show that $\tau\left(e, 0, b^{\prime}\right) \in E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right)$ with $b^{\prime}=b-r a^{2} \in B$. By Lemma 21, for every maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ there is $s \in R$ outside $P$ such that $\tau\left(e, 0, b^{\prime} r^{2} s^{2}\right) \in E\left(e_{1}\right.$, $\left.e_{2} ; R, A, B\right)$ for all $r \in R$. Writing 1 as the square of a linear combination of those $s$, and using that $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right) \supset \tau\left(e, e b^{\prime} R, 0\right)=\tau\left(e, 0,2 b^{\prime} R\right)$, we obtain that $\tau\left(e, 0, b^{\prime}\right) \in$ $E\left(e_{1}, e_{2} ; R, A, B\right)$.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 5

To prove the first conclusion of the theorem we need only the following condition: $\operatorname{dim}(F$ $\bmod P) \geq 6$ for every maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ of index 2 . We denote by $H$ the subgroup of $E p_{F} R$ generated by its subgroups $\tau(e, 0, R)$, where $e$ ranges over all $F$-unimodular vectors $e$ in $V$. Clearly, $H$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$. We want to prove that $H=\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$. By the definition of $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$, it suffices to show that $H$ contains an arbitrary symplectic transvection $\tau(e, u, r)$.

We pick a vector $e^{\prime}$ in $V$ with $F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)=1$, and set $U^{\prime}=\left(e R+e^{\prime} R\right)^{\perp}, r^{\prime}=F\left(u, e^{\prime}\right), v=$ $u-e r^{\prime}$. Then $u=e r^{\prime}+v$ with $v$ orthogonal to both $e$ and $e^{\prime}$. By (11),(13),

$$
\tau(e, u, r)=\tau(e, v, 0) \tau\left(e, 0, r+2 r^{\prime}\right)
$$

So it remains to show that $\tau(e, v, 0) \in H$. It suffices to show that for every maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ there is $s \in S=R \backslash P$ such that $\tau\left(e, U^{\prime} s, 0\right) \subset H$.

If $\operatorname{card}(R / P) \neq 2$, then we pick $t_{0} \in R$ such that $t_{0}{ }^{2}-t_{0}=s \in S$. By (15), $H \quad \ni[\tau(e$, $\left.\left.0, t^{\prime}\right), \tau\left(v, e^{\prime} t, 0\right)\right]=\tau\left(\nu, e \pi t^{\prime},-t^{\prime} \tau^{2}\right)=f\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$ for all $t, t^{\prime} \in R$ and all $v \in U^{\prime}$, hence
$H \exists f\left(t_{0}, 1\right)^{-1} \mathrm{f}\left(1, t_{0}{ }^{2}\right)=\tau\left(\nu, e\left(t_{0}{ }^{2}-t_{0}\right), 0\right)$
$=\tau(v, e s, 0)=\tau(e, v s, 0)$.
If $\operatorname{card}(R / P)=2$, then we use the condition of the theorem and pick two orthogonal pairs ( $\nu$, $\left.v^{\prime}\right),\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)$ in $U^{\prime}$ with $s_{1}=F\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in S$ and $s_{2}=F\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \in S$.

We have $H \rightarrow\left[\tau(e, 0,1), \tau\left(v, e^{\prime}, 0\right)\right]=\tau(v, e,-1)$, hence
$H \ni\left[\tau\left(e^{\prime},-w^{\prime}, 0\right), \tau(v, e,-1)\right]=\tau\left(v, w^{\prime}, 0\right)$, and $H \quad \ni\left[\tau(w, e t, 0), \tau\left(v, w^{\prime}, 0\right)\right]=$ $\tau\left(\nu, e t s_{2}, 0\right)=\tau\left(e, v t s_{2}, 0\right)$ for all $t$ in $R$.

Thus, $\tau\left(e, v s_{2} R, 0\right) \subset H$. For an arbitrary $u^{\prime} \in U^{\prime}$ we have $u^{\prime} s_{1}=v x+u^{\prime \prime}$ with $x=F\left(u^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.v^{\prime}\right)$ and $F\left(u^{\prime \prime}, v\right)=0$. We have
$\tau\left(e, u^{\prime \prime} s_{2} s_{1}, 0\right)=\left[\tau\left(u^{\prime \prime},-v^{\prime}, 0\right), \tau\left(e, v s_{2}, 0\right)\right] \in H$, hence
$\tau\left(e, u^{\prime} s, 0\right)=\tau\left(e, u^{\prime} s_{2} s_{1}^{2}, 0\right)=\tau\left(e, v s_{2} s_{1}, 0\right) \tau\left(e, u^{\prime \prime} s_{2} s_{1}, 0\right) \in H$ with $s=s_{2} s_{1}^{2} \in S=$ $R \backslash P$.

The first half of Theorem 5 is proved. Now we have the second half to prove.
By Theorem 3, we have only the inclusion $\left[\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right] \subset \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ to prove. Note that both $\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$ and $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ normalize $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$.

By the first conclusion of the theorem, it suffices to show that $\left\{\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B), \tau(\mathrm{e}, 0, R)\right\} \subset$ $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ for any $F$-unimodular vector $e$ in $V$. In other words, we want to prove that the subgroups $\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$ and $\tau(e, 0, R)$ commute modulo $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$.

It suffices to show that for every maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ and any $g$ in $\operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$ there is.s

$$
\in S=R \backslash P \text { such that }[g, \tau(e, 0, R s)] \subset \operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B)
$$

We will prove this using only the following condition: $\operatorname{dim}(F \bmod P) \geq 4$.
Case 1: there is $w, w^{\prime}$ in $V$ orthogonal to both $e$ and $g e$ and such that $F\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=s \in S=$ $R \backslash P$. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_{1} \mathrm{R}$ and $c \in R$ be such that $\left(c^{2}-\alpha\right) R \subset B, F(g u, g v)=\alpha F(u, v), g v \cdot v c$ $\in V A$ and $F(v, g v)+B=|g v-v c|$ for all $u, v \in V$. For any $r$ in $R$ we write
$\tau(e c, 0, r s)=\tau(e c, w, 0) \tau\left(e c, w^{\prime} r, 0\right) \tau\left(e c,-w-w^{\prime} r, 0\right)$
$=\tau(w, e c, 0) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, e c r, 0\right) \tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r,-e c, 0\right)$
and $\tau(g e, 0, r s)=\tau(g e, w, 0) \tau\left(g e, w^{\prime} r, 0\right) \tau\left(g e,-w-w^{\prime} r, 0\right)$
$=\tau(w, g e, 0) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, g e r, 0\right) \tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r,-g e, 0\right)$, hence
$\tau(g e, 0, r s) \tau(e c, 0, r s)^{-1}$
$=\tau(w, g e, 0) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, g e r, 0\right) \tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r,-g e, 0\right)\left(\tau(w, e c, 0) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, e c r, 0\right) \tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r, \cdot e c, 0\right)\right)^{-1}$
$=h_{1}\left(g_{2} h_{2} g_{2}^{-1}\right)\left(g_{3} h_{3} g_{3}^{-1}\right)$, where
$h_{3}=\tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r,-g e, 0\right) \tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r,-e c, 0\right)^{-1}=\tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r, e c-g e,-F(g e, e c) \in \operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B)\right.$,
$g_{3}=\tau(w, e c, 0) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, e c r, 0\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$,
$h_{2}=\tau\left(w^{\prime}, g e r, 0\right) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, e c r, 0\right)^{-1}=\tau\left(w^{\prime}\right.$, ger - ecr, $-F($ ger , ecr $\left.)\right) \in E p_{F}(A, B)$,
$g_{2}=\tau(w, e c, 0) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$,
and $h_{1}=\tau(w, g e, 0) \tau(w, e c, 0)^{-1}=\tau(w, g e-e c,-F(g e, e c)) \in E p_{F}(A, B)$.

So $\tau(g e, 0, r s) \tau(e c, 0, r s)^{-1} \in \operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$, hence $[g, \tau(e, 0, \alpha r s)]$
$=g \tau(e, 0, \alpha r s) g^{-1} \tau(\mathrm{e}, 0, \alpha r s)^{-1}=\tau(g e, 0, r \mathrm{~s}) \tau(e, 0, \alpha r s)^{-1}$
$=\tau(g e, 0, r s) \tau(e c, 0, r s)^{-1}\left(\tau\left(e, 0, r s\left(c^{2}-\alpha\right)\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)\right.$ for all $r$ in $R$.
Thus, $[g, \tau(e, 0, R s)] \subset \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$.

General case. We pick a vector $e^{\prime} \in V$ such that $F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)=1$ and write $g e=e x+e^{\prime} y+u$ with $x=F\left(g e, e^{\prime}\right), y=F(e, g e) \in R, u \in U=\left(R e+R e^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$. Since $g \in \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$, we have $\left(x^{2}-\alpha(g)\right) R \subset B, y \in A, u \in U A$, and $x y+B=|u|$.

Set $h=\tau\left(e^{\prime}, u x / \alpha(g), x y / \alpha(g)\right)$. Then hge $=e x+e^{\prime} y a+u a$, where $\left.a=1-x^{2} / \alpha(g)\right)$, $a R=\left(x^{2}-\alpha(g)\right) R \subset B$. Note that $x y / \alpha(g)-x y(x / \alpha(g))^{2}=a x y / \alpha(g) \in B$, hence $h \in$ $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$. Since $g e=e x+e^{\prime} y+u$ is $F$-unimodular and $a-1 \in x R$, we can find $u^{\prime} \in U$ and $r \in R$ such that $y^{\prime}=y+F\left(u^{\prime}, u\right) a+r x \in S$. Set $h^{\prime}=\tau\left(e^{\prime}, u^{\prime} a, r \mathrm{a}\right) h \in \mathrm{E}_{F}(A, B)$. Then $h g e=e x+e^{\prime} a y^{\prime}+u a-u^{\prime} a$.

Now we pick $v, v^{\prime}$ in $U$ with $F\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in S$ and set $w=v y^{\prime}+e F\left(u-u^{\prime}, v\right)$, $w^{\prime}=v^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ $+e F\left(u-u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$. Then $F\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=F\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) y^{\prime 2} \in S$ and $F(e, w)=F\left(e, w^{\prime}\right)=F(h g e, w)=$ $F\left(h g e, w^{\prime}\right)=0$. By Case 1. $[h g, \tau(e, 0, R s)] \subset \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ for some $s \in S$, hence $[g, \tau(e, 0, R s)] \subset \operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$.

## 7. Proof of Theorem 7

By Theorem 5, it suffices to prove that $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B) \subset \quad\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]$, i.e. $T\left(e_{1}, A, B\right)$ $\subset\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{E}_{F} R\right]$, i.e. $\tau\left(e_{1}, u a x, b\right) \in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]$ for all $u \in U=\left(e_{1} R+e_{2} R\right)^{\perp}$, $a \in A$, and $b \in B$, where $e_{1}, e_{2}$ is a hyperbolic pair in $V$.
LEMMA 22. Under the condition of Theorem 4, for any maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ there is $s \in S=$ $R \backslash P$ such that $\tau\left(e_{1}, u a s, 2 s a^{\circ}+b s^{2}\right) \in\left[\operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right] \quad$ for all $a, a^{\prime}$ in $A$ and $b$ in $B$.

Proof. We pick vectors $e_{3}, e_{4} \in U$ such that $s_{0}=F\left(e_{3}, e_{4}\right) \in S$.
Case 1: $a=b=0$. Then
$\tau\left(e_{1}\right.$, uas, $\left.2 s a^{\prime}+b s^{2}\right)=\tau\left(e_{1}, 02 s a^{\circ}\right)$
$=\left[\tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} a^{\prime}, 0\right), \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{4}, 0\right)\right] \in\left[\operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right] \quad$ for $s=s_{0}=F\left(e_{3}, e_{4}\right) \in S$.

Case 2: $a^{\prime}=b=0$ and the image $\pi(u)$ of $u$ in $U_{P}$ is $F_{P}$-unimodular. We pick $v \in U$ such that $s^{\prime}=F(u, v) \in S$.

If $\operatorname{card}(R / P) \neq 2$, then we pick $r$ in $R$ with $r-r^{2} \in S$ and set $f(y, t)$
$=\tau\left(e_{1}\right.$, uasty, $\left.-y\left(a s^{\prime} t\right)^{2}\right)=\left[\tau(u, 0, y), \tau\left(e_{1}, v a t, 0\right)\right] \in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]$ for any $r, t$ in $R$, where $\tau(u, 0, r) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ by Lemma 19 with $x=1$. Now $f(1, r) f\left(r^{2}, 1\right)^{-1}=\tau\left(e_{1}\right.$, uas $\left.^{\prime}\left(r-r^{2}\right), 0\right) \in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]$.
So we are done with $s=s^{\prime}\left(r-r^{2}\right) \in S$.
If $\operatorname{card}(R / P)=2$, then $\operatorname{dim}(F \bmod P) \geq 6$ by the condition of Theorem 5 . So we can find $e$, $e^{\prime}$ in $U$ orthogonal to $u, v$ so that $F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right) \in S$ Although $e$ need not be $F$-unimodular, $\tau(e, u$, $0) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ by Lemma 19 with $x=1$. So
$\tau\left(e_{1}\right.$, uas, 0$)=\left[\tau(e, u, 0), \tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} a, 0\right)\right] \in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]$ for any $a \in A$, where $s=$ $F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right) \in S$.

Case 3: $u=0$ and $a^{\prime}=0$. Then $\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right] \quad$ ]
$\left[\tau\left(e_{3}, 0 b\right), \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{4}, 0\right)\right]=\tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} b s_{0},-b s_{0}^{2}\right)$ for all $b \in B$.
On the other hand, by Case 2 there is $s_{1} \in S$ such that $\left[\operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \operatorname{Ep}_{F} R\right] \quad \ni \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} b s_{1}, 0\right)$ for all $b \in B$. So for $s=s_{0} s_{1}$ we obtain that $\left[\mathrm{E}_{p_{F}}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right] \quad \rightarrow$
$\tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} b s, 0\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} b s,-b s^{2}\right)^{-1}=\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, b s^{2}\right)$ for all $b \in B$.

General case. We write $u s_{0}=e_{3} t+e_{4} t^{\prime}+w=e_{3}+e_{4} t^{\prime}+w+e_{3}(t-1)$ with $t=F\left(u, e_{4}\right), t^{\prime}$ $=F\left(e_{3}, u\right) \in R$ and $w \in U$ orthogonal to both $e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$. Then:
$\tau\left(e_{1}, 0,2 s_{0} a^{\prime}\right) \in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]$ for all $a^{\prime}$ in $A$ by Case 1 ;
$\tau\left(e_{1},\left(e_{3}+e_{4} t^{\prime}+w\right) a s_{1}, 0\right) \in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]$ for all $a \in A$ for a suitable $s_{1} \in S$ by Case 2;
$\tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3}(\mathrm{t}-1) a s_{2}, 0\right) \in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]$ for all $a \in A$ with a suitable $s_{2} \in S$ by Case $2 ;$
$\left[\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}_{F}}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right] \rightarrow \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, b s_{3}{ }^{2}\right)$ for all $b \in \mathrm{~B}$ with a suitable $s_{3}$ in S .
So for $s^{\prime}=s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} \in S$ and $s=s_{0} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} \in S$ we obtain that $\tau\left(e_{1}\right.$, uas, $\left.2 s a^{\prime}+b s^{2}\right)$
$=\tau\left(e_{1}, 0,2 s a^{\prime}\right) \tau\left(e_{1},\left(e_{3}+e_{4} t^{\prime}+w\right) a s^{\prime}, 0\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3}(t-1) a s s^{\prime}, 0\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, b s^{2}+t^{\prime}(t-1) a^{2} s s^{\prime}\right)$
$\in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]$ foir all $a, a^{\prime}$ in $A$ and $b$ in $B$.
Lemma 22 is proved. Now, for fixed $u, a, b$, we set
$Y_{1}=\left\{r \in R: \tau\left(e_{1}, u a r, 0\right) \in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]\right\}$,
$Y_{2}=\left\{r \in R: \tau\left(e_{1}, 0,2 r a^{\prime}\right) \in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{p} R\right]\right\}$,
$Y_{3}=\left\{r \in R: \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, b 3^{2}\right) \in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]\right\}$.
By Lemma 22, each $Y_{i}$ contains $R s$ for an element $s$ outside an arbiitrary maximal ideal $P$ of $R$. Clearly, $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are additive subgroups of $R$. So $Y_{1}=Y_{2}=R$. Now it is clear that $Y_{3}$ is an additive subgroups of $R$, hence $Y_{3}=R$.

Therefore, $\tau\left(e_{1}\right.$, uas, $\left.2 s a^{\prime}+b s^{2}\right)=\tau\left(e_{1}, u a r, 0\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, 0,2 r a^{\prime}\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, b 3^{2}\right)$
$\in\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right]$.

## 8. Proof of Theorem 8

In this section we assume that there are vectors $e_{1}, e_{2}$ in $V$ with $F\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=1$. As above, we set $U=\left(e_{1} R+e_{2} R\right)^{\perp}$.

Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ normalized by $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$. Denote by $A$ the ideal of $R$ generated by all $F(U, u)$, where $u \in U$ and $\tau\left(e_{1}, u, r\right) \in H$ for some $r$ in $R$ (depending on $u$ ). Let $B$ be the set of all $b \in R$ such that $\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, b\right) \in H$. Clearly, $B$ is an additive subgroup of $R$.
LEMMA 23. $2 A \subset B$.
Proof. It suffices to show that $2 F(u, v) \in B$ whenever $u, v \in U, r \in R$, and $\tau\left(e_{1}, u, r\right)$ $\in H$. We have $H \supset\left[H, \mathrm{E}_{F} R\right] \ni\left[\tau\left(e_{1}, u, r\right), \tau\left(e_{1}, v, 0\right)\right]=\tau\left(e_{1}, 0,2 F(u, v)\right)$, hence $2 F(u, v)$ $\in B$ by the definition of $B$.

LEMMA 24. Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(U \bmod P) \geq 2$ for every maximal ideal $P$ of $R$. Then $B \subset A$.
Proof. The dimension condition means that 1 can be written as a sum of elements $F(u, v)$ with $u, v$ in $U$. So it suffices to produce $\tau\left(e_{1}, v b F(u, v), *\right)$ in $H$ for arbitrary $u, v$ in $U$ and $b$ in $B$. We have $H \supset\left[H, \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right] \ni$
$\left[\tau\left(e_{2}, v, 0\right), \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, b\right)\right]=\left[\tau\left(e_{1}, 0,-b\right), \tau\left(v, e_{2}, 0\right)\right]$
$=\tau\left(v, e_{2}-e_{1} b, 0\right) \tau\left(v,-e_{2}, 0\right)=\tau\left(v,-e_{1} b,-b\right)$, hence
$H \ni\left[\tau\left(e_{1}, u, 0\right), \tau\left(v,-e_{1} b,-b\right)\right]=\tau\left(e_{1}, u, 0\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, \tau\left(v,-e_{1} b,-b\right) u, 0\right)$
$=\tau\left(e_{1}, u, 0\right) \tau\left(e_{1},-u+e_{1} F(v, u)+v b F(v, u), 0\right)=\tau\left(e_{1}, v b F(v, u),-b F(v, u)^{2}\right)$.
LEMMA 25. Under the condition of Lemma 24, for any $w \in U$ and any $a \in A$ there is $t \in R$ such that $\tau\left(e_{1}, w a, t\right) \in H$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $a=F(u, v)$, where $u, v \in U, r \in R, \tau\left(e_{1}, u, r\right)$ $\in H$. Set
$Y=\left\{s \in R: \tau\left(e_{1}\right.\right.$, was, $\left.t\right) \in H$ for some $\left.t \in R\right\}$.
We want to prove that $Y \ni 1$. Since $Y$ is an additive subgroup of $R$, it suffices to show that $Y \supset R s$ for an element $s$ of $R$ outside an arbitrary maximal ideal $P$ of $R$.

We pick $e, e^{\prime}$ in $V$ with $F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)=s_{0}$ in $S=R \backslash P$. We write $w s_{0}=e z+e^{\prime} z^{\prime}+w^{\prime}$ with $z$ $=F\left(w, e^{\prime}\right), z^{\prime}=F(e, w), w^{\prime}$ orthogonal to $e, e^{\prime}$. Similarly, we write $u s_{0}=e x+e^{\prime} x^{\prime}+u^{\prime}$ and $v s_{0}$ $=e y+e^{\prime} y^{\prime}+v^{\prime}$ with $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ orthogonal to $e, e^{\prime}$. Note that $F\left(u s_{0}, v s_{0}\right)=a s_{0}^{2}=y z^{\prime}-z y^{\prime}+$ $F\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$.

By Lemma 19, $\tau\left(e, \nu^{\prime}, y\right), \tau\left(e^{\prime}, 0, c s_{0}\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ for any $c$ in $R$, so
$H \supset\left[\operatorname{Ep}_{F} R, H\right] \ni \quad\left[\tau\left(e, v^{\prime}, y\right), \tau\left(e_{1}, u, r\right)\right]=\tau\left(e_{1}, \tau\left(e, v^{\prime}, y\right) u, r\right) \tau\left(e_{1},-u,-r\right)$
$=\tau\left(e_{1},-e F\left(u^{\prime}, v\right)+e y x^{\prime}+\nu^{\prime} x^{\prime} s_{0}, ?\right.$, hence
$H \supset\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R, H\right] \ni\left[\tau\left(e^{\prime}, 0, c s_{0}\right), \tau\left(e_{1},-e F\left(u^{\prime}, v\right)+e y x^{-}+v^{\prime} x^{\prime} s_{0}, ?\right)\right]$
$=\tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} c s_{0}^{2}\left(F\left(u^{\prime}, v\right)-y x^{\prime}\right), ?\right)$.
Moreover, $H \supset\left[\operatorname{Ep}_{F} R, H\right] \ni\left[\tau\left(e^{\prime}, 0,1\right), \tau\left(e_{1}, u, r\right)\right]=\tau\left(e_{1},-e^{\prime} x, ?\right)$, hence $H \supset$ $\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R, H\right] \ni \quad\left[\tau(e, 0,1), \tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} x, ?\right)\right]=\tau\left(e_{1},-\operatorname{exx}_{0}, ?\right)$, hence $H \supset\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R, H\right] \ni$
$\left[\tau\left(e^{\prime}, 0, c y\right), \tau\left(e_{1},-e x s_{0}, ?\right)\right]=\tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} c x y^{\prime} s_{0}^{2}, ?\right)$. So $H$ э
$\tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} c s_{0}^{2}\left(F\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)-y x^{\prime}\right), ?\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} c x y^{\prime} s_{0}^{2}, ?\right)=\tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} c s_{0}^{2}\left(F\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)-y x^{\prime}+x y^{\prime}\right), ?\right)=$ $\tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} \cos _{0}{ }^{4}, ?\right)$.

Recall that $c$ here is an arbitrary element of $R$. So $H \ni \tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} c\left(z^{\prime} s_{0}-1\right) a s_{0}{ }^{4}\right.$,?).
By Lemma 19, $\mathrm{f}=\tau(e, w, z) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$. So
$H \rightarrow f \tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} \operatorname{cas}_{0}{ }^{4}, ?\right) f^{-1}=\tau\left(e_{1}, f e^{\prime} \operatorname{cas}_{0}{ }^{4}, ?\right)$.
Therefore $H \geqslant \tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} c\left(z^{\prime} s_{0}-1\right) a s_{0}{ }^{4}, ?\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, f e^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{4}{ }_{0}{ }^{4}\right.$, ?)
$=\tau\left(e_{1},\left(e^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime} s_{0}-1\right)+\tau(e, w, z) e^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{cas}_{0}{ }^{4}, ?\right)=\tau\left(e_{1}\right.$, wcas $\left._{0}{ }^{6}, ?\right)$.
Thus, $Y \supset R s$ with $s=s_{0}{ }^{6}$ in $S=R \backslash P$.

COROLLARY 26. Under the coditions of Theorem 5, (A, B) is a symplectic ideal of $R$.
Proof. Let $r \in R, a \in A, b \in B$. By Lemmas 23 and $24,2 a, \in B$ and $b \in A$. It remains to prove that $b r^{2}, r a^{2} \in B$.

To prove that $r a^{2} \in B$, it suffices to show that for any maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ there is $s \in$ $S=R \backslash P$ such that $a^{2} s R \subset B$

We pick vectors $e_{3}, e_{4} \in U$ such that $s_{0}=F\left(e_{3}, e_{4}\right) \in S$.

By Lemma 25, for any $c$ in $R$ we have $\tau\left(e_{1}, e_{4} c a, ?\right) \in H$. So for any $d$ in $R$ we have $H \supset\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R, H\right] \ni \quad\left[\tau\left(e_{3}, 0, d\right), \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{4} c a, ?\right)\right]=\tau\left(e_{1}, \mathrm{e}_{3} a c d s_{0},-a^{2} c^{2} d s_{0}^{2}\right)=f(c, d)$.
So $H \ni f(c, d) f\left(1, d c^{2}\right)^{-1}=\tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} a\left(c-c^{2}\right) d s_{0}, 0\right)$ and
$H \ni \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} a\left(c-c^{2}\right) d s_{0}, 0\right) f\left(c-c^{2}, d\right)^{-1}=\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, a^{2}\left(c-c^{2}\right)^{2} d s_{0}^{2}\right)$,
i.e. $a^{2}\left(c-c^{2}\right)^{2} d s_{0}^{2} \in B$.

If $\operatorname{card}(R / P) \neq 2$, we can choose $c$ such that $c^{2}-c$ is in $S$, hence $a^{2} s R \subset B$ for $s=$ $\left(c-c^{2}\right)^{2} s_{0}^{2} \in S$.

If $\operatorname{card}(R / P)=2$, we pick vectors $e, e^{\prime}$ in $U$ orthogonal to $e_{3}, e_{4}$ and such that $F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)$ $\in S$. By Lemma 19, $\tau\left(e, e_{3} d, 0\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$. So $H \supset\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R, H\right] \ni$
$\left[\tau\left(e, e_{3} d, 0\right), \tau\left(e_{1}, e a, ?\right)\right]=\tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} a d F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right), 0\right)$, hence
$H \ni \mathrm{f}(1,-d F(e, e)) \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} a d F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right), 0\right)=\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, a^{2} d F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right) s_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$,
i.e. $s a^{2} R \subset B$ for $s=F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right) s_{0}^{2} \in S$.

We have proved that $r a^{2} \in B$.
Now we have to prove that $b r^{2} \in B$. Since $2 A \subset B$, it suffices to show that for any maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ there is $s \in S=R \backslash P$ such that $b r^{2} s^{2} \in B$.

Let $e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$ be as above. We have seen that for any $a \in A$ there is $s \in S$ such that
(27) $\tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} a d s, 0\right) \in H$ for all $d \in R$.

We will use this with $a, d$ replaced by $b, r$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H \supset\left[H, \mathrm{E}_{F} R\right] \ni \quad\left[\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, b\right), \tau\left(e_{3}, e_{2} r, 0\right)\right] \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} b r s, 0\right) \\
& =\tau\left(e_{3}, e_{1} b r s,-b r^{2} s^{2}\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} b r s, 0\right)=\tau\left(e_{3}, 0,-b r^{2} s^{2}\right), \text { hence } H \supset\left[H, E_{p_{F}} R\right] \ni \\
& {\left[\tau\left(e_{3}, 0,-b r^{2} s^{2}\right), \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{4}, 0\right)\right] \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} b r^{2} s^{2}, 0\right)} \\
& =\tau\left(e_{1},-e_{3} b r^{2} s^{2}, b r^{2} s^{2}\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3} b r^{2} s^{2}, 0\right) \\
& =\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, b r^{2} s^{2}\right) . \\
& \text { Thus, } b r^{2} s^{2} \in B .
\end{aligned}
$$

COROLLARY 28. Under the coditions of Theorem 5, $H \supset \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$,

Proof. By Theorem 4, it suffices to show that $H \supset T\left(e_{1}, A, B\right)$. By the definition of $B$, $H \supset \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, B\right)$. So it remains to show that $\tau\left(e_{1}, w a, 0\right) \in H$ for any $u \in U$ and any $a \in A$.

Set $Y=\left(t \in R: \tau\left(e_{1}\right.\right.$, wat, 0$\left.) \in H\right\}$. We want to prove that $1 \in Y$. Since $Y$ is closed under addition, it suffices to show that for any maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ there is an element $s^{\prime} \in S$ $=R \backslash P$ such that $R s^{\prime} \subset Y$.i.e. $\tau\left(e_{1}\right.$, was'r, 0$) \in H$ for all $r$ in $R$.

Let $e_{3}, e_{4} \in U$ and $s_{0}=F\left(e_{3}, e_{4}\right) \in S$ be as in the proof of Corollary 24 above. We are going to use (26) again. We write $w s_{0}=e_{3} x+e_{4} y+w^{\prime}$ with $x, y \in R$ and $w^{\prime} \in U$ orthogonal to $e_{3}, e_{4}$. Then $w s_{0}^{2}=e_{3}\left(x s_{0}-1\right)+e_{3}+\mathrm{e}_{4} y s_{0}+w^{\prime} s_{0}=e_{3}\left(x s_{0}-1\right)+f e_{3}$, where $f=$ $\tau\left(e_{4},-w^{\prime},-y\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ by Lemma 15.

By (27), $h_{1}=\tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\left(x s_{0}-1\right)\right.$ ars, 0$) \in H$ and $h_{2}=\tau\left(e_{1}, f e_{3}\right.$ ars, 0$)=\mathrm{f} \tau\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right.$ ars, $0) \mathrm{f}^{-1} \in H$ for all $r$ in $R$. Since $\left(x s_{0}-1\right) y s_{0} a^{2} r^{2} s^{2} \in R a^{2} \subset B$ by Corollary $24, h_{3}=\tau\left(e_{1}, 0\right.$, $\left.\left(x s_{0}-1\right) y s_{0} a^{2} r^{2} s^{2}\right) \in H$. So $\tau\left(e_{1}\right.$, warss $\left._{0}^{2}, 0\right)=h_{3} h_{2} h_{1} \in H$, hence $r s s_{0}^{2}=r s^{\circ} \in Y$ for all $r \in$ $R$, where $s^{\prime}=s s_{0}^{2} \in H$. Corollary 28 is proved.

Originally, our definition of $A, B$ depended on choice of an $F$-unimodular vector $e_{1}$. However Corollary 28 shows that in fact it does not depend. We can also state it as follows; COROLLARY 29. Under the conditiuons of Theorem $5, \operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ contains all symplectic transvections in $H$.

LEMMA 30. Under the conditions of Theorem 5, let $e \in U, v \in V, r, r^{\prime} \in R, F(e, v)=0$, and $\tau(e, v, r), \tau(e, 0, r) \in H$. Then $F(u, V) r_{0} \subset A$ and $r r_{0}{ }^{4} \in B$ for every $r_{0} \in F(e, V)$.

Proof. We pick a vector $e^{\prime} \in V$ such that $F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)=r_{0}$. We have $H \supset\left[\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R, H\right] \ni$ $\left[\tau(e, 0, r), \tau\left(e_{1}, e^{\prime} t, 0\right)\right]=\tau\left(e_{1}, e r t r_{0},-r t^{2} r_{0}^{2}\right)=f(t)$ for ail $t$ in $R$.

By its definition, $A \supset F\left(e r r_{0}, V\right) \supset R r r_{0}{ }^{2}$.
By Corollary $28, H \supset \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B) \ni \tau\left(e_{1}, e r r_{0}^{2}, 0\right)$. So
$H \ni \tau\left(e_{1}, e r r_{0}{ }^{2}, 0\right) f\left(r_{0}\right)^{-1}=\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, r r_{0}{ }^{4}\right)$. By its definition, $B \ni r r_{0}{ }^{4}$.
Now we have the inclusiuon $F(u, V) r_{0} \subset A$ to prove. It suffices to show that for every maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ there is $s \in S=R \backslash P$ such that $s F(u, V) r_{0} \subset A$.

Pick any $v^{\prime} \in V$ and set $z=F\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$. We have to prove that $r_{0} s z \in A$ for some $s \in S$ independent on $v^{\prime}$. We write $v^{\prime}=e_{1} x+e_{2} y+w$ with $x, y \in R$ and $w \in U$. Note that $F(e, w)$ $=0$ and $z=F\left(\nu, e_{1}\right) x+F\left(\nu, e_{2}\right) y+F(\nu, w)$.

We have:
$H \ni\left[\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, x\right), \tau(e, v, r)\right]=\tau\left(e, e_{1} F\left(e_{1}, v\right) x, ?\right)$;
$H \ni \quad\left[\tau\left(e_{2}, 0,1\right), \tau\left(e, v, r^{\prime}\right)\right]=\tau\left(e, e_{2} F\left(e_{2}, v\right), ?\right)$, hence
$H \ni\left[\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, y\right), \tau\left(e, e_{2} F\left(e_{2}, v\right), ?\right)\right]=\tau\left(e, e_{1} F\left(e_{2}, v\right) y, ?\right)$;
$H \ni\left[\tau\left(e_{2}, w, 0\right), \tau(e, v, r)\right]=\tau\left(e, e_{2} F(w, v)+w F\left(e_{2}, w\right), ?\right)$, hence
$H \ni\left[\tau\left(e_{1}, 0,1\right), \tau\left(e, e_{2} F(w, v)+w F\left(e_{2}, w\right), ?\right)\right]=\tau\left(e, e_{1} F(w, v), ?\right)$.
So $H \ni \tau\left(e, e_{1} F\left(e_{1}, v\right) x\right.$, ?) $\tau\left(e, e_{1} F\left(e_{2}, v\right) y\right.$, ?) $\tau\left(e, e_{1} F(w, v)\right.$, ?)
$=\tau\left(e, e_{1} F\left(v^{*}, v\right) x, ?\right)=\tau\left(e,-e_{1} z, ?\right)$.
If $\operatorname{card}(R / P) \neq 2$, we pick $t_{0} \in R$ with $s=t_{0}{ }^{2}-t_{0} \in S$. Then for any $t, t^{\prime} \in R$ we have $H \ni\left[\tau\left(e_{2}, 0, t\right), \tau\left(e,-e_{1} z, ?\right)\right]=\tau\left(e,-e_{2} t z,-t z^{2}\right)$, hence
$H \ni\left[\tau\left(e_{1}, 0, t\right), \tau\left(e,-e_{2} t z,-t z^{2}\right)\right]=\tau\left(e,-e_{1} t t^{\prime} z,-t^{2} t^{\prime} z^{2}\right)=f\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$, and
$H \ni f\left(1, t_{0}^{2}\right) f\left(t_{0}, 1\right)^{-1}=\tau\left(e, e_{1} s z, 0\right)=\tau\left(e_{1}, e s z, 0\right)$.
Thus, $s z r_{0} \in A$ by the definition of $A$.

If $\operatorname{card}(R / P)=2$, we invoke the condition of Theorem 5 to find vectors $e_{3}, e_{4} \in U$ orthogonal to $e, e^{\prime}$ with $s=F\left(e_{3}, e_{4}\right) \in S$. Then
$H \ni\left[\tau\left(e_{2}, e_{3}, 0\right), \tau\left(e,-e_{1} z, ?\right)\right]=\tau\left(e,-e_{3} z, 0\right)$, hence
$H \ni\left[\tau\left(e_{1}, e_{4}, 0\right), \tau\left(e,-e_{3} z, 0\right)\right]=\tau\left(e,-e_{1} s z, 0\right)=\tau\left(e_{1}, e s z, 0\right)$.
Thus, $s z r_{0} \in A$ by the definition of $A$.
LEMMA 31. Under the conditions of Theorem 8, let $h \in H$ and $h e=e c$ for some $c \in R$ and an $F$-unimodular vector $e \in V$. Then $h v-v c \in V A$ and $|h v-v c|=F(h v, v c)+B$ for all $v \in V$.

Proof. Clearly, $c \in \mathrm{GL}_{1} R$. For any vector $u$ in $V$ orthogonal to $e$ and any scalar $r$ in $R$ we have
$H \rightarrow[h, \tau(e, u, r)]=\tau\left(e, h u c / \alpha(h)-u, r c^{2} / \alpha(h)-r-F(h u, u c) / \alpha(h)\right)$.
So (using Lemma 30 and a condition of Theorem 8) huc/ $\alpha(h)-u \subset V A$ and
$|h u c / \alpha(h)-u|=r c^{2} / \alpha(h)-r-F(h u, u c) / \alpha(h)+B \quad$ for all $u \in e^{\perp}$, hence (taking $u=0$ ) $R\left(\alpha(h)-c^{2}\right) \subset B$. It follows that $h u-u c \subset V A$ and $|h u-u c|=F(h u, u c)+B$ for all $u \in e^{\perp}$.

Pick a vector $e^{\prime}$ in $V$ with $F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)=1$. We can write $h=\tau(e, u, r) h^{\prime}$, where $u \in V^{\prime}=$ $\left(e R+e^{\prime} R\right)^{\perp}, r \in R, h^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, B), h^{\prime} e=e c$, and $h^{\prime} e^{\prime}=e^{\prime} \alpha(h) / c, h^{\prime} v-v c \in V A$ and $\left|h^{\prime} v-v c\right|=F\left(h^{\prime} v, v c\right)+B$ for all $v$ in $V$.

For any $w \in V^{\prime}$ we have $H \quad \ni[h, \tau(w, 0,1)]$, because $\tau(w, 0,1) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$, and $H \quad \ni$ $\left[h^{\prime}, \tau(w, 0,1)\right]$ by Theorem 5. So $H \quad \exists[\tau(e, u, r), \tau(w, 0,1)]=\tau(e, u, r) \tau(e,-u-w F(w, u),-r)$ $=\tau(e,-w F(w, u), ?)$, hence $w F(w, u) \in V A$. It follows that that $u \in V A$.

Incuding $\tau(e, u, r)$ into $h^{\prime}$, where $r^{\prime} \in|u|$, we are reduced to the case $u=0$. In this case, $h$ $=\tau(e, 0, r) h^{\prime}$, and for any vector $w \in V^{\prime}$ we have $H \quad \ni\left[h, \tau\left(w, e^{\prime}, 0\right)\right]$ and $H \quad \ni$ $\left[h^{\prime}, \tau\left(w, e^{\prime}, 0\right)\right]$, hence $H \quad \geqslant\left[\tau(e, 0, r), \tau\left(w, e^{\prime}, 0\right)\right]=\tau(w, e r,-r)$. By Lemma 30, wr $\in V A$. So $U^{\prime} r \subset U^{\prime} A$, hence $r \in A$. Using Lemma 30 again, we conclude that $r \in B$. Thus, we can include we can include $\tau(e, 0, r)$ into $h^{\prime}$, i.e. we are reduced to the case when $h=h^{\prime}$.

LEMMA 32. Under the conditions of Theorem 8, let $h \in H \cap \mathrm{~S}_{F} R$. and $h w=w$ for a vector $w \in V$ which is orthogonal to a hyperbolic pair. Then $(h v-v) r_{0} \in V A$ and $\left|(h \nu-v) r_{0}\right| r_{0}{ }^{4}=$ $F(h v, v) r_{0}^{6}+B$ for all $v \in V$ orthogonal to $w$ and all $r_{0} \in F(w, V)$.

Proof. We can assume that $w$ is orthogonal to $e_{1}, e_{2}$ i.c. $w \in U$. For any vector $v$ in $V$ orthogonal to $w$ and any scalar $r$ in $R$ we have
$H \quad \exists[h, \tau(w, v, r)]=\tau(w, h \nu-v,-F(h \nu, v))$.
By Lemma 30, $(h v-v) r_{0} \in V A$. We pick now $z \in I(h v-v) r_{0} l$. Then
$H \ni \tau\left(w,(h v-v) r_{0}, z\right)$ and
$H \ni \tau\left(w,-h v r_{0}+v r_{0},-F\left(h v r_{0}, v r_{0}\right)\right)$, hence $H \ni \tau\left(w, 0, z-F\left(h v r_{0}, v r_{0}\right)\right)$.
By Lemma 30, $\left(z-F\left(h \nu r_{0}, v r_{0}\right)\right) r_{0}{ }^{4} \in B$.
Thus, $(h v-v) r_{0} \in V A$ and $l(h v-v) r_{0} \mid r_{0}^{4}=F(h v, v) r_{0}{ }^{6}+B$ for all $v \in w^{\perp}$.

LEMMA 33. Under the conditions of Theorem 8, assume that $A=0$. Then $H \subset \mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)=$ $\mathrm{GP}_{F}(0,0)$.

Proof. Let $h \in H$. We write $h e_{1}=e_{1} x+e_{2} y+u$ with $x=F\left(h e_{1}, e_{2}\right), y=F\left(e_{1}, h e_{1}\right), u \in$ U. We set

$$
h^{\prime}=[h, \tau(e, 0,1)] \in H
$$

Case 1: $y=0$. Then $h^{\prime} e_{1}=e_{1}$. So $h^{\prime}=1$ by Lemma 31 with $A=0$. It follows that $u=0$. So $h e_{1}=e_{1} x$. By Lemma 31, $h \in \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, B)=\operatorname{Gp}_{F}(0,0)$

Case 2; $y^{2}=0$. Since $h^{\prime} e_{1}=e_{1}+h e_{1} y$, we have $h^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, B)=\operatorname{Gp}_{F}(0,0)$ by Case 1. It follows that $F\left(h^{\prime} e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=x y-1-x^{2}=0$ and $u x=0$, hence $x \in \mathrm{GL}_{1} R$, and $u=0$. So $h e_{1}$ $=e_{1} x$. By Lemma 31, $h \in \mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)=\mathrm{Gp}_{F}(0,0)$.

Case 3: $y^{3}=0$. Since $h^{\prime} e_{1}=e_{1}+h e_{1} y$, we have $h^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, B)=\operatorname{Gp}_{F}(0,0)$ by Case 2. It follows that $F\left(h^{\prime} e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=x y-1-x^{2}=0$ and $u x=0$, hence $x \in \mathrm{GL}_{1} R$, and $u=0$. So he $e_{1}=$ $e_{1} x$. By Lemma 31, $h \in \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, B)=\operatorname{Gp}_{F}(0,0)$.

Case 4: $y^{3} \neq 0$. Then there is a maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ such that $y^{3} s \neq 0$ for all $\mathrm{s} \in S=R \backslash$ $P$. We pick a pair $v, v^{\prime}$ of vectors in $U$ with $r_{0}=F\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in S$, and set $w=e_{1} F(u, v)+v . y$. Then $F\left(e_{1}, w\right)=F\left(h e_{1}, w\right)=0, h^{\prime} w=w$. and $F(w, V) \ni y^{2} r_{0} \in S y^{2}$. By Lemma 32, ( $h^{\prime} e_{1}$. $\mathrm{e}_{1}$ ) $\mathrm{y}^{2} r_{0}=0$, hence $y^{3} r_{0}=0$ (because $h^{\prime} e_{1}-e_{1}=h e_{1} r y$ ).

So Case 4 is impossible.

LEMMA 34. Under the conditions of Theorem $8, H \subset \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, A)$
Proof. We want to prove that the image of $H$ modulo $A$ consists of scalar automorphisms of $R / A$-module $V / V A$. Indeed, otherwise, applying Lemma 33 to this module instead of $V$, we would obtain a non-trivial symplectic transvection in the image of $H$ modulo $A$. (We used that the image of $E_{p_{F}} R$ modulo $A$ contains all symplectic transvections of $(V / V A, F \bmod A)$.)

So $H$ would contain an element of the form $\tau(e, u, r) g$, where $\tau(e, u, r)$ is a symplectic transvection in $\mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ which is non-trivial modulo $A$ and where $g$ is trivial modulo $A$, hence $g$ $\in \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, A)$. We pick a vector $e^{\cdot} \in V$ with $F\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)=1$ and set $U^{\prime}=\left(e R+e^{\cdot} R\right)^{\perp}$. We can assume that $u \in U^{\prime}$.

By Lemma 19, $\tau(w, 0,1) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$ for any $w \in U^{\prime}$, hence $[\tau(w, 0,1), g] \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, A)$ by Theorem 5. It follows that $\tau(e, w F(w, u), ?)=[\tau(w, 0,1), \tau(e, u, r)] \in H E p_{F}(A, A)$. By Corollary 29, applyed to $H \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, A)$ instead of $H$, we obtain that $F(w, u) \in A$. So $F\left(U^{\prime}, u\right)$ $\subset A$, hence $u \in U A$.

Including $\tau(e, u, 0)$ into $g$, we are reduced to the case $u=0$. In this case we have
$\tau(w,-e r, ?)=\left[\tau\left(w, e^{\prime}, 0\right), \tau(e, u, r)\right] \in H \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, A)$, hence $r F(w, U) \subset A$ for ail $w \in U^{\prime}$ by Corollary 29. It follows that $r \in A$. This is a contradiction.

LEMMA 35. Under the conditions of Theorem 8, let $g \in \mathrm{Gp}_{F} R$ and $g e_{1}=e_{1} x+e_{2} a^{\prime}+u a$ with $u \in U A, a, a^{\prime} \in A, x \in R$, and $x a^{\prime} \in B$. Then $\tau\left(g e_{1}, 0, r\right) \tau\left(e_{1} x, 0,-r\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ for all $r$ $\in R$.

Proof. It suffices to show that for each maximal ideal $P$ of $R$ there is $s \in S=R \backslash P$ such that $\tau\left(g e_{1}, 0, r s\right) \tau\left(e_{1} x, 0,-r s\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ for all $r \in R$.

Case i: there is $w, w^{\prime}$ in $V$ orthogonal to both $e_{1}$ and $g e_{1}$ and such that $F\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=s \in S$ $=R \backslash P$. For any $r$ in $R$ we write

```
\(\tau\left(e_{1} x, 0, r s\right)=\tau\left(e_{1} x, w, 0\right) \tau\left(e_{1} x, w^{\prime} r, 0\right) \tau\left(e_{1} x,-w-w^{\prime} r, 0\right)\)
\(=\tau\left(w, e_{1} x, 0\right) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, e_{1} x r, 0\right) \tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r,-e_{1} x, 0\right)\) and \(\tau\left(g e_{1}, 0, r s\right)\)
\(=\tau\left(g e_{1}, w, 0\right) \tau\left(g e_{1}, w^{\prime} r, 0\right) \tau\left(g e_{1}, w^{\prime}-w^{\prime} r, 0\right)=\tau\left(w, g e_{1}, 0\right) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, g e_{1} r, 0\right) \tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r,-g e_{1}, 0\right)\),
```

hence $\tau\left(g e_{1}, 0, r s\right) \tau\left(e_{1} x, 0, r s\right)^{-1}$
$=\tau\left(w, g e_{1}, 0\right) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, g e_{1} r, 0\right) \tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r,-g e_{1}, 0\right)\left(\tau\left(w, e_{1} x, 0\right) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, e_{1} x r, 0\right) \tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r,-e_{1} x, 0\right)\right)^{-1}$
$=h_{1}\left(g_{2} h_{2} g_{2}^{-1}\right)\left(g_{3} h_{3} g_{3}^{-1}\right)$, where
$h_{3}=\tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r,-g e_{1}, 0\right) \tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r,-e_{1} x, 0\right)^{-1}=\tau\left(w+w^{\prime} r, e_{1} x-g e_{1}, F\left(g e_{1}, e_{1} x\right) \in\right.$
$\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B), g_{3}=\tau\left(w, e_{1} x, 0\right) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, e_{1} x r, 0\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$,
$h_{2}=\tau\left(w^{\prime}, g e_{1} r, 0\right) \tau\left(w^{\prime}, e_{1} x r, 0\right)^{-1}=\tau\left(w^{\prime}, g e_{1} r-e_{1} x r,-F\left(g e_{1} r, e_{1} x r\right)\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$,
$g_{2}=\tau\left(w, e_{1} x, 0\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R$, and $h_{1}=\tau\left(w, g e_{1}, 0\right) \tau\left(w, e_{1} x, 0\right)^{-1}$
$=\tau\left(w, g e_{1}-e_{1} x,-F\left(g e_{1}, e_{1} x\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$.
So $\tau\left(g e_{1}, 0, r s\right) \tau\left(e_{1} x, 0, r s\right)^{-1} \in \operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$.

Case 2: $F(V, u)$ intersects $S$. Then we can find $w^{\prime}$ in $U$ such that $F\left(u, w^{\prime}\right)=s \in S$ and set $w=u$. The vectors $w, w^{\prime}$ are orthogonal to both $e_{1}$ and $g e_{1}$, se we are done by Case 1 .

Case 3: $a^{\prime} \in S$. Then we find vectors $v, v^{\prime}$ in $U$ such that $F\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in S$ and set $w=$ $e_{1} F(u, v)+v a^{\prime}, w^{\prime}=e_{1} F\left(u, v^{\prime}\right)+v^{\prime} a$. Then $F\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=F\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) a^{\prime 2} \in S$ and the vectors $w, w^{\prime}$ are orthogonal to both $e_{1}$ and $g e_{1}$, se we are done by Case 1 .

Case 4: $x \in S$. Then we can find $v \in U$ such that both $F(v, U)$ and $F(u-v x, v)$ intersects $S$. Set $g^{\prime}=\tau\left(e_{2}, v a, 0\right) g$, so $g^{\prime} e_{1}=e_{1} x+e_{2}\left(a^{\prime}+F(v a, u a)\right)+(u-v x) a$. By Case 2 , there is $s_{1} \in S=R \backslash P$ such that $\tau\left(g^{\prime} e_{1}, 0, r s_{1}\right) \tau\left(e_{1} x, 0,-r s_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ for all $r \in R$. Conjugating this by $\tau\left(e_{2}, v a, 0\right)$, we obtain that $\tau\left(g e_{1}, 0, r s_{1}\right) \tau\left(\tau\left(e_{2},-v a, 0\right) e_{1} x, 0,-r s_{1}\right) \in$ $\mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ for all $r$ in $R$.

On the other hand, we can apply Case 2 to $g=\tau\left(e_{2},-v a, 0\right)$ and conclude that $\tau\left(\tau\left(e_{2},-v a\right.\right.$, $\left.0) e_{1}, 0, r s_{2}\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, 0,-r s_{2}\right) \in E_{p_{F}}(A, B)$ for some $s_{2}$ in $S$ and all $r$ in $R$.

So $\tau(g e, 0, r s) \tau(e x, 0,-r s)=\tau\left(g e, 0, r s_{1} s_{2}\right) \tau\left(e x, 0,-r s_{1} s_{2}\right)$
$=\left(\tau\left(g e_{1}, 0, r s_{2} s_{1}\right) \tau\left(\tau\left(e_{2},-v a, 0\right) e_{1} x, 0,-r s_{2} s_{1}\right)\right)$
$\cdot\left(\tau\left(\tau\left(e_{2},-v a, 0\right) e_{1}, 0, x^{2} s_{1} r s_{2}\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, 0,-x^{2} s_{1} r s_{2}\right)\right)$
$\in \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ for all $r \in R$.
General case. Since $g e_{1}$ is $F$-unimodular, Cases 2, 3, 4 cover all possibilities.

LEMMA 36. Under the conditions of Theorem 8, let $e \in V$ be $F$-unimodular, $h \in H, c \in R$ and $h \nu-v c \in V A$ for all $v \in V$. Then
(36) $(F(h e, e c)+t) r^{2} \alpha(h)^{2}+c^{2}\left(c^{2}-\alpha(h)\right) r \in B$ for all $r \in R$ and all $t \in|h e-e c|$.

Proof. Note that in the presence of a hyperbolic pair $e, e^{j}$, the element $\alpha(h) \in \operatorname{GL}_{1} R$ (such that $F(h u, h v)=\alpha(h) F(u, v)$ for all $u, v$ in $V$ is unique and equal to $F\left(h e, h e^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 34, $h \in \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, A)$, i.e. there is $c \in R$ such that $g \nu-\nu c \in V A$ for all $v \in V$. Such an element $c$ is not unique, but its coset $c+A$ is unique (under the conditions of Theorem 8), $c+A \in$ $\mathrm{GL}_{1} R / A$, and $c^{2}-\alpha(h) \in A$. Note also the the relation (36) we want to prove does not depend on choice of $c$ in the coset $c+A$ or on choice $t$ in the coset $|h e-e c| \in A / B$. It suffices to consider the case $e=e_{1}$.

We write $h e_{1}=e_{1} x+e_{2} y+u$ with $x=F\left(h e_{1} . e_{2}\right) \in c+A, y=F\left(e_{1}, h e_{1}\right) \in A, u \in U A$, where $U=\left(R e_{1}+R e_{2}\right)^{\perp}$.

Pick $z \in|u|$. Then $t \equiv(x-c) y+z(\bmod B)$, hence $F(h e, e c)+t \equiv x y+z(\bmod B)$.
Since $c^{2}-\alpha(h) \in A, a=1-x x^{\prime} \in A$ for $x^{\prime}=x / \alpha(h)$.
Set $f=\tau\left(e_{2}, u x^{\prime}, z x^{\prime 2}\right) \in T\left(e_{2}, A, B\right)$. Then $f h e_{1}=e_{1} x+e_{2} y^{\prime}+u a$ with $y^{\prime}=y-z x x^{\prime 2}$ $\in A$. Note that $R\left(1-\left(x x^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)=R\left(2 a-a^{2}\right) \subset B$, hence $F(h e, e c)+t \equiv x y+z \equiv x y^{\prime}(\bmod B)$. (Recall that $2 A+a^{2} R \subset B$.)

Set now $z^{\prime}=x^{\prime} y^{\prime}(1+a) \in A$ and $f^{\prime}=\tau\left(e_{2}, 0, z^{\prime}\right) \in T\left(e_{2}, A, A\right)$. Then $g e_{1}=f^{\prime} f h e_{1}=$ $e_{1} x+e_{2} a^{\prime}+u a$, where $g=f^{\prime} f h \in \operatorname{Gp}_{F}(A, A)$ and $a^{\prime}=y^{\prime} a^{2}$, so $a^{\prime} R \subset B$.

By Lemma 35, $\tau\left(g e_{1}, 0, r\right) \tau\left(e_{1} x, 0,-r\right) \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B)$ for all $r \in R$. Note that
$\left[g, \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, \alpha(g) r\right)\right]=\tau\left(g e_{1}, 0, r\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, 0,-\alpha(g) r\right)$
$=\tau\left(g e_{1}, 0, r\right) \tau\left(e_{1} x, 0,-r\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, r x^{2}-\alpha(g) r\right)$
$\in \operatorname{Ep}_{F}(A, B) \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, r\left(x^{2}-\alpha(g)\right)\right)$ for all $r$ in $R$.
Since $h \in H,\left[H, \mathrm{Ep}_{F} R\right] \subset H$ and $f \in \mathrm{Ep}_{F}(A, B) \subset H$, it follows that $k(r)=$ (f $\left.f^{\prime}, \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, \alpha(g) r\right)\right] \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, r x^{2}-\alpha(g) r\right) \in H$ for all $r \in R$.
Since $k(r)$ fixes every vector in $U$, we can use Lemma 32 and conclude that $\left|k(r) e_{2}-e_{2}\right|=$ $F\left(k(r) e_{2}, e_{2}\right)+B$, i.e. $d d^{\prime} \in B$, where
$k(r) e_{2}=\mathrm{e}_{1} d+e_{2} d^{\prime}$, i.e. $d=F\left(k(r) e_{2}, e_{2}\right)$ and $d^{\prime}=F\left(e_{1}, k(r) e_{2}\right)$.
Set $r^{\prime}=\alpha(g) r=\alpha(h) r \in R$ and $r^{\prime \prime}=r x^{2}-\alpha(g) r=r x^{2}-\alpha(h) r \in A$. Since $f^{\prime}=\tau\left(e_{2}, 0, z^{\prime}\right)$ $\in T\left(e_{2}, A, A\right)$,
$k(r)=\left[f^{\prime}, \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, r^{\prime}\right)\right] \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, r^{\prime \prime}\right)=\tau\left(f^{\prime} e_{1}, 0, r^{\prime}\right) \tau\left(e_{1}, 0, r^{\prime \prime}-r^{\prime}\right)$.
So $k(r) e_{2}=\tau\left(f^{\prime} e_{1}, 0, r^{\prime}\right)\left(e_{1}\left(r^{\prime \prime}-r^{\prime}\right)+e_{2}\right)$
$=e_{1}\left(r^{\prime \prime}-r^{\prime}\right)+e_{2}+f^{\prime} e_{1} r^{\prime} F\left(f^{\prime} e_{1}, e_{1}\left(r^{\prime \prime}-r^{\prime}\right)+e_{2}\right)$
$=e_{1}\left(r^{\prime \prime}-r^{\prime}\right)+e_{2}+\left(e_{1}-e_{2} z\right) r^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime \prime}-r^{\prime}\right)+1\right)=e_{1} d+e_{2} d^{\prime} \quad$ with $d=r^{\prime \prime}+$ $r^{\prime} z^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime \prime \prime}-r^{\prime}\right)$ and $d^{\prime}=1-r^{\prime} z^{\prime}-r^{\prime} z^{\prime 2}\left(r^{\prime \prime}-r^{\prime}\right)$.

So $d d^{\prime} \in-z^{\prime} r^{\prime 2}+r^{\prime \prime}+z^{\prime 2} R \subset z^{\prime} r^{\prime 2}+r^{\prime \prime}+B$, because $z^{\prime} \in A$. Since $d d^{\prime} \in B$, we conclude that $z^{\prime} r^{\prime 2}+r^{\prime \prime} \in B$. So $z^{\prime} r^{2} x^{2}+r^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \in B$, i.e. $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}(1+a) r^{\prime} x^{2}+r^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \in B$, i.e. $y^{\prime} r^{\prime 2} x+x^{2} r^{\prime \prime} \in B$

Recall now that $x-c \in A, F(h e, e c)+t \equiv x y^{\prime}(\bmod B), r^{\prime}=\alpha(h) r$, and $r^{\prime \prime}=r x^{2}-$ $\alpha(h) r$. Thus, we obtain (36).

Now we can conclude our proof of Theorem 8. Pick $t_{1} \in\left|h e_{1}-e_{1} c\right|$ and $t_{2} \in\left|h e_{2}-e_{2} c\right|$. Then $t_{1}+t_{2}+F\left(h e_{1}-e_{1} c, h e_{2}-e_{2} c\right) \in\left|h\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)-\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right) c\right|$. We apply Lemma 35 to $e=-e_{2}, e=e_{1}$, and $e=e_{1}+e_{2}$. Using that $F\left(h e_{1}-e_{1} c, h e_{2}-e_{2} c\right)$
$=\alpha(h)+c^{2}-F\left(h e_{1}, e_{2} c\right)-F\left(e_{1} c, h e_{2}\right)$
$=\alpha(h)+c^{2}-F\left(h\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right),\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right) c\right)+F\left(h e_{1}, e_{1} c\right)+F\left(h e_{2}, e_{2} c\right)$, and that
$2 A \subset B$, we obtain that $\alpha(h)+c^{2}+c^{2}\left(c^{2}-\alpha(h)\right) r \in B$ for all $r \in R$, hence $c^{2} c^{2}\left(c^{2}-\right.$ $\alpha(h)) R \subset B$ for all $c^{\prime} \in R$. Picking $c^{\prime}$ such that $c c^{\prime}-1 \in A$, we conclude that $\left(c^{2}-\alpha(h)\right) R \subset$ $B$.. Now Lemma 35 gives that $F(h e, e c)+t \in B$ for all $F$-unimodular vectors $e \in V$. Since $V$ is spanned by its $F$-unimodular vectors, we conclude that $h \in \mathrm{Gp}_{F}(A, B)$.
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