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Abstract. In general, mutation does not preserve the Alexander module or the concordance class of a knot.

For a discussion of mutation of classical links, and the invariants which it is known to preserve, the reader is referred to $[L M, A P R, M T]$. Suffice it here to say that mutation of knots preserves the polynomials of Alexander, Jones, and HOMFLY, and also the signature. Mutation of an oriented link $k$ can be described as follows. Take a diagram of $k$ and a tangle $T$ with two outputs and two inputs, as in Figure 1.
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Rotate the tangle about the east-west axis to obtain Figure 2 , or about the north-south axis to obtain Figure 3, or about the axis perpendicular to the paper to obtain Figure 4. Keep or reverse all the orientations of $T$ as dictated by the rest of $k$. Each of the links so obtained is a mutant of $k$.

The reverse $k^{\prime}$ of a link $k$ is obtained by reversing the orientation of each component of $k$. Let us adopt the
convention that a knot is a link of one component, and that $k+1$ denotes the connected sum of two knots $k$ and 1 .

Lemma. For any knot $k$, the knot $k+k^{\prime}$ is a mutant of $\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}$.

Proof. Shrink one of the summands in $k+k$ to a small knot, and arrange a diagram of $k+k$ to have a tangle as in Figure 5.
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Fig. 6

Rotate about the axis perpendicular to the page, to obtain Figure 6, which represents $k+k^{\prime}$. Note that whatever convention we make about orientations, we always obtain k+k' .
Q.E.D.

By a result of C. Livingston [L], there exist knots $k$ which are not concordant to their reverses $k$ ' . It follows at once that $k+k$ is not concordant to $k+k$, and hence that mutation does not preserve the concordance class in general. I should like to thank Cameron Gordon for reminding me of Livingston's result.

In [K] there is an example of a knot $k$, in fact the pretzel knot ( $25,-3,13$ ), whose Steinitz-Fox-Smythe row ideal class $\rho$ does not satisfy $\rho^{2}=1$. The row ideal class of $k^{\prime}$, as pointed out in [K], is $\tau$, the column ideal class of $k$. Of course, $\rho \tau^{\circ}=1$, and so we see that the row ideal class of $k+k$ is $\rho^{2} \neq 1$, whereas the row ideal class of $k+k^{\prime}$ is $\rho \tau=1$. Thus we have an example in which the knot module of $k+k$ is not isomorphic to that of $k+k^{\prime}$. Another example can be obtained, from [BHK, § 4], and other examples can be found using [B] and number theory tables.
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