

PROFINITE EQUIVARIANT HIGHER ALGEBRAIC K-THEORY FOR THE ACTIONS OF ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

ADEREMI KUKU

ABSTRACT. Let G be an algebraic group over a field F . In this paper, we study and compute equivariant higher K -groups as well as profinite equivariant higher K -groups for some G -schemes when F is a number field or p -adic field.

For example, let ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$ be a twisted flag variety (see 1.2.3), and B a finite dimensional separable F -algebra. When F is a number field, we prove that $K_{2n+1}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$ is a finitely generated Abelian group; $K_{2n}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$ is torsion (see theorem 3.1.2); $K_{2n}^{\text{Pf}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{Z}_l)$ is l -complete and furthermore $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{Pf}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{Z}_l) = 0$ (see theorem 4.3.1). When F is a p -adic field, we prove that for all $n \geq 2$ $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)_l$ is a finite group, $K_n^{\text{Pf}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{Z}_l) = K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{Z}_l)$ is an l -complete profinite Abelian group and $\text{div } K_n^{\text{Pf}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{Z}_l) = 0$.

We obtain similar results for some other smooth projective varieties (see 3.1.5, 3.2.3, 4.3.5).

CONTENTS

Introduction	2
Acknowledgements	2
1. Equivariant higher K -theory for schemes	3
1.1. Generalities	3
1.2. K -theory of twisted flag varieties	4
2. Profinite Higher K -Theory for Schemes — Definitions and Relevant Examples	6
2.1. Mod- l^s K -theory of \mathcal{C}	6
2.2. Profinite K -theory	7
3. Some Finiteness Results in Higher K -Theory of Twisted Smooth Projective Varieties	7
3.1. Finiteness results for twisted flag varieties	7
3.2. Finiteness results for some objects of the motivic category $\mathcal{C}(G)$.	10
4. Profinite Equivariant K -Theory for G -Schemes	11
4.1. A general result	11
4.2. Remarks and examples	12
4.3. Some computations	13
References	16

INTRODUCTION

Let G be an algebraic group over a field F . The aim of this paper is to study equivariant K -theory as well as profinite equivariant K -theory for G -schemes with the goal of computing these K -theoretic groups for twisted flag varieties, Brauer–Severi varieties and some other smooth projective varieties over number fields and p -adic fields.

We start in section 1 by reviewing the equivariant higher algebraic K -theory for schemes (à la Thomason, see [19]) with relevant examples including those that have appeared in the works of A. Merkujev [11] and I. Panin [13]. We note, however, that the equivariant categories involved are special cases of equivariant exact categories discussed in [10], even though we have focussed in this paper on the notations and terminologies of Thomason [19].

We prove at first some finiteness results in the K -theory of twisted flag varieties. More precisely, let \tilde{G} be a semi-simple connected and simply connected F -split algebraic group over a field F , \tilde{P} a parabolic subgroup of \tilde{G} , $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{P}$, ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$ the twisted form of \mathcal{F} with respect to the 1-cocycle $\gamma : \text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F) \rightarrow G(F_{\text{sep}})$ (see 1.2 or [13]), B a finite-dimensional separable F -algebra and $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$ the Quillen K -theory of the category $\mathcal{VB}_{\tilde{G}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$ of vector bundles on ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$ equipped with left B -module structure. We prove that when F is a number field, $K_{2n+1}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$ is a finitely generated abelian group and $K_{2n}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$ is torsion and has no non-trivial divisible elements for all $n \geq 1$ (see theorem 3.1.2). When F is a p -adic field, we prove that $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)_l$ is a finite group for all $n \geq 1$ (see theorem 3.1.5).

We obtain similar results for K -theory of Brauer-Severi varieties as well as for K -theory of twisted forms of some smooth projective varieties arising in the context of a motivic category constructed by I. Panin (see 3.2.3 or [13]).

In section 2 we introduce mod- l^s and profinite higher algebraic K -theory with copious examples relevant to this paper. We then prove that if F is a number field, then for all $n \geq 1$, $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is l -complete and $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ (see theorem 4.2.1).

When F is a p -adic field, we have that for all $n \geq 1$, $K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ are l -complete profinite groups, $\text{div } K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ and the kernel and cokernel of $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ are uniquely l -divisible (see theorem 4.2.4). Similar results are obtained for Brauer-Severi varieties.

Notes on Notation. For an additive abelian group A and a positive integer m , we write A/m for A/mA , and $A[m] = \{x \in A \mid mx = 0\}$. If l is a rational prime we denote by A_l the l -primary subgroup of A , i.e. $A_l = \bigcup A[l^s] = \varinjlim A[l^s]$.

Acknowledgements. Part of the work reported in this article was done while I was visiting University of Bielefeld, Germany and IHES, Paris. It was concluded and written up while visiting Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, Germany. I like to thank the three institutions for hospitality and financial support.

1. EQUIVARIANT HIGHER K -THEORY FOR SCHEMES

In this section, we briefly review equivariant higher algebraic K -theory for schemes as defined by R.W. Thomason in [19], as well as review some relevant examples. As remarked in the Introduction, the equivariant categories involved are special cases of equivariant exact categories discussed by this author in [10], even though we shall in this paper stick to the notations and terminologies of Thomason.

1.1. Generalities.

1.1.1. Let G be an algebraic group over a field F and $\text{Rep}_F(G)$ the category of representations of G in the category $\mathcal{P}(F)$ of finite dimensional vector spaces over F . We denote $K_0(\text{Rep}_F(G))$ by $R_F(G)$ or $R(G, F)$ (or just $R(G)$ when the context is clear). Note that $R(G)$ is the free abelian group generated by the classes of irreducible representations and that $R(G)$ also has a ring structure induced by tensor product. Call $R(G)$ the representation ring.

Since $\text{Rep}_F(G)$ is an exact category (see [16] or [13]) we denote $K_n(\text{Rep}_F(G))$ by $K_n(G, F)$, which is also equal to $G_n(G, F)$ (see [10]). So, $G_0(G, F) = R_F(G) = K_0(G, F)$ (see 1.1.3 below).

1.1.2. Let G be a group scheme over a scheme Y (we shall mostly be interested in $Y = \text{Spec}(F)$, F a field). A scheme X over Y is called a G -scheme if there is an action morphism $\theta : G \times_Y X \rightarrow X$ (see [19] or [11]).

A G -module M over X is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module M together with an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{G \times_Y X}$ -modules $\rho : \theta^*(M) \rightarrow p_2^*(M)$ where $p_2 : G \times_Y X \rightarrow X$ is the projection satisfying the cocycle condition on $G \times_Y G \times_Y X$:

$$p_{23}^*(\rho) \circ (\text{id}_\rho \times \theta)^*(\rho) = (m \times \text{id}_X)^*(\rho),$$

where $m : G \times_Y G \rightarrow G$ is the multiplication (see [11] or [19]).

1.1.3. Let $\mathcal{M}(G, X)$ denote the abelian category of G -modules over a G -scheme X . We write $G_n(G, X)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{M}(G, X))$. Note that when $X = \text{Spec}(F)$ we recover $G_n(G, F)$ in 1.1.1.

Let $\mathcal{P}(G, X)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}(G, X)$ consisting of locally free \mathcal{O}_X -modules. We can write $K_n(G, X)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{P}(G, X))$. Note that:

- (a) if G is a trivial scheme, then $G_n(G, X) \simeq G_n(X)$; $K_n(G, X) \simeq K_n(X)$.
- (b) $G_n(G, -)$ is contravariant with respect to flat G -maps.
- (c) $G_n(G, -)$ is covariant with respect to projective G -maps.
- (d) $K_n(G, -)$ is contravariant with respect to any G -map.
- (e) $G_n(-, X)$ is contravariant with respect to group homomorphisms.
- (f) $K_n(-, X)$ is covariant with respect to group homomorphisms (see [19] or [11]).

1.1.4. We have the following generalization of 1.1.3 (see [11], [13]):

Let A be a finite dimensional separable F -algebra, G an algebraic group over F and X a G -scheme. A G - A -module over a G -scheme X is a G -module M which is also a left $A \otimes_F O_X$ -module such that $g(am) = ga \cdot gm$ for $g \in G$, $m \in M$.

Let $\mathcal{M}(G, X, A)$ be the Abelian category whose objects are G - A -modules and whose morphisms are $A \otimes_F O_X$ - and G -module morphisms. We write $G_n(G, X, A)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{M}(G, X, A))$. Note that $\mathcal{M}(G, X, F) \simeq \mathcal{M}(G, X)$, and so, $G_n(G, X, F) \simeq G_n(G, X)$.

Let $\mathcal{P}(G, X, A)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}(G, X, A)$ consisting of locally free $O_{A \otimes_F O_X}$ -module. Write $K_n(G, X, A)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{P}(G, X, A))$. Hence $\mathcal{P}(G, X, F) \simeq \mathcal{P}(G, X)$, $K_n(G, X, F) \simeq K_n(G, X)$.

1.1.5. Let G be an affine algebraic group over F , X a G -scheme, $\mathcal{VB}_G(X)$ the category of G -equivariant vector bundles on X . If H is a closed subgroup of G , then we have an equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Rep}_F(H) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ind}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{res}} \end{array} \mathcal{VB}_G(G/H),$$

where ‘ind’ and ‘res’ are defined as follows:

- ▷ res: For any vector bundle $E \xrightarrow{p} G/H$, $p^{-1}(\bar{e}) \in \mathrm{Rep}_F(H)$ (where $\bar{e} = eH = H$) since the stabilizer of H in $G/H = \bar{e}$.
- ▷ ind: Let $(V, \alpha : H \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(V)) \in \mathrm{Rep}_F(H)$. Then, one has a vector bundle $(G \times V)/H \rightarrow G/H$ where H acts on $(G \times V)/H$ by $(g, v)h = (g \cdot h, h^{-1}v)$, see [13]. We denote $(G \times V)/H$ by \tilde{V} . Here $h^{-1}v := \alpha(h^{-1}v)$. So we get $K_n(\mathrm{Rep}_F(H)) \simeq K_n(\mathcal{VB}_G(G/H))$. We denote $K_n(\mathcal{VB}_G(G/H))$ by $K_n(G/H)$.

1.2. **K -theory of twisted flag varieties.** In this subsection we briefly introduce twisted flag varieties and their algebraic K -theory. Details can be found in [13]. We say enough here to develop notations for later use.

1.2.1. Let \tilde{G} be a semi-simple connected and simply connected, F -split algebraic group over a field F . Let $\tilde{T} \subset \tilde{G}$ be a maximal F -split torus of \tilde{G} , $\tilde{P} \subset \tilde{G}$ a parabolic subgroup of \tilde{G} containing the torus \tilde{T} . The factor variety $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{P}$ is smooth and projective (see [13], [2]). Call $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{P}$ a flag variety.

Let $N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{T})$ be the normalizer of \tilde{T} in \tilde{G} , $W := N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{T})/\tilde{T}$ the Weyl group of G — a finite group. Let $W_{\tilde{P}} := \{w \in W \mid w\tilde{P}w^{-1} = \tilde{P}\}$. Put $n(\mathcal{F}) = [W : W_{\tilde{P}}]$. Note that $R(\tilde{P})$ is a free $R(\tilde{G})$ -module of rank $n(\mathcal{F})$ (see [13]).

1.2.2. Let \tilde{Z} be the center of \tilde{G} and $\tilde{Z}^* = \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{Z}, G_m)$ the group of characters of \tilde{Z} . Note that \tilde{Z}^* is a finite group.

Let $x \in \tilde{Z}^*$ and $\mathrm{Rep}_G^\chi(\tilde{P})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}_F(\tilde{P})$ consisting of those $V \in \mathrm{Rep}_F(\tilde{P})$ such that \tilde{Z} acts on V by the character χ . The F -group scheme \tilde{Z} acts on V by the character χ and hence on every $\tilde{V} = (\tilde{G} \times V)/\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{VB}_{\tilde{G}}(\mathcal{F})$ (see 1.1.5).

Let $\mathcal{VB}_{\tilde{G}}(\mathcal{F}, \chi)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{VB}_{\tilde{G}}(\mathcal{F})$ consisting of those \tilde{V} such that \tilde{Z} acts on every fibre of \tilde{V} by the character χ . Write $K_n(\mathcal{F}, \chi)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{VB}_{\tilde{G}}(\mathcal{F}, \chi))$ and $R^\chi(\mathcal{P})$ for $K_0(\text{Rep}_F^\chi(\mathcal{P}))$.

1.2.3. Let $\tilde{G}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{T}, \tilde{P}$ be as in 1.2.1 and 1.2.2. Put $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{Z}$, $P = \tilde{P}/\tilde{Z}$, $T = \tilde{T}/\tilde{Z}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{P} = G/P$. Put $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F)$ where F_{sep} is the separable closure of F . Let $\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G(F_{\text{sep}})$ be a 1-cocycle (see [13]) and ${}_\gamma\mathcal{F}$ the twisted form of \mathcal{F} corresponding to γ (see [11] or [13]). We write $K_n({}_\gamma\mathcal{F})$ for $K_n(\mathcal{VB}_G({}_\gamma\mathcal{F}))$.

Now, for $\chi \in \tilde{Z}^* = \text{Hom}(\tilde{Z}, G_m)$, choose a non-trivial representation $V_\chi \in \text{Rep}^\chi(\tilde{G})$. Put $A_\chi = \text{End}_F(V_\chi)$. Then A_χ is an F -algebra equipped with a G -action by F -algebra automorphism (see [13]). Using the 1-cocycle γ , one gets a new \mathfrak{g} -action on $A_\chi \otimes_F F_{\text{sep}}$ and hence a twisted form $A_{\chi, \gamma}$ of the algebra A_χ (see [13]).

1.2.4. As in 1.2.3, let $\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G(F_{\text{sep}})$ be a 1-cocycle and let ${}_\gamma\mathcal{F}$ be the twisted form of \mathcal{F} corresponding to the cocycle γ . Assume that $\text{char}(F) = 0$ or $\text{char}(P)$ is prime to the order of \tilde{Z}^* . Now consider the exact sequence

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow \tilde{Z} \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \longrightarrow \tilde{G}/\tilde{Z} \longrightarrow \{1\}$$

and the boundary map $\partial : H^1(F, G) \rightarrow H^2(F, \tilde{Z})$. Then we have an element $\partial\gamma \in H^2(F, \tilde{Z})$. Now, any $\chi \in \tilde{Z}^* = \text{Hom}(\tilde{Z}, G_m)$ induces a map $\chi_* : H^2(F, \tilde{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(F, G_m) = \text{Br}(F)$. Hence we now have a map

$$\begin{aligned} \beta : \tilde{Z}^* &\longrightarrow \text{Br}(F) \\ \chi &\longmapsto \chi_*(\partial\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

1.2.5. **Lemma** (Tits, [20]). *Assume that $\text{char}(F) = 0$ or that $\text{char}(F)$ is prime to the order of \tilde{Z}^* , then $[A_{\chi, \gamma}] = \beta(\gamma) \in \text{Br}(F)$.*

1.2.6. *Remarks.*

- (a) Note from 1.2.5, that $A_{\chi, \gamma}$ is a central simple F -algebra.
- (b) We give one example of the structure above. Other examples can be found in [13]. Take $\tilde{G} = \text{SL}_n$, $G = \text{PGL}_n$, $\tilde{Z} = \mu_n$, the group scheme of n^{th} roots of unity, $\tilde{Z}^* = Z/nZ$ whose generator is the embedding $\mu_n \xrightarrow{\chi} G_m$. Let V_n be the regular n -dimensional representation of \tilde{G} . Then $V_n \in \text{Rep}^\chi(\tilde{G})$. Take $V_{\chi^i} := V_n^{\otimes i} \in \text{Rep}^{\chi^i}(\tilde{G})$, $A_i := \text{End}_F(V_{\chi^i})$. Then $A_{\chi, \gamma}$ is a central simple F -algebra of degree n corresponding to γ , and $A_{\chi^i, \gamma} \simeq A_{\chi, \gamma}^{\otimes i}$ (for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$). Put $P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \underline{a} & \underline{b} \\ 0 & \underline{c} \end{pmatrix} \mid \det(\underline{a}) \det(\underline{b}) = 1 \right\}$, $\underline{a} \in \text{GL}_k$, $\underline{c} \in \text{GL}_{n-k}$. Then $\tilde{G}/\tilde{P} = \text{Gr}(k, n)$ is the Grassmannian variety of k -dimensional linear subspaces of a fixed n -dimensional space.

1.2.7. Let B be a finite dimensional separable F -algebra, X a smooth projective variety equipped with the action of an affine algebraic group G over F , ${}_\gamma X$ the twisted form of X via a 1-cocycle γ . Let $\mathcal{VB}_G({}_\gamma X, B)$ be the category of vector bundles on ${}_\gamma X$ equipped with left B -module structure. We write $K_n({}_\gamma X, B)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{VB}_G({}_\gamma X, B))$.

2. PROFINITE HIGHER K -THEORY FOR SCHEMES — DEFINITIONS AND RELEVANT EXAMPLES

In this section we briefly introduce mod- l^s and profinite K -theory for exact categories with examples relevant to this paper. More details and examples can be found in [10, chapter 8] or [8].

2.1. Mod- l^s K -theory of \mathcal{C} .

2.1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be an exact category, l a rational prime, s a positive integer, $M_{l^s}^{n+1}$ the $(n+1)$ -dimensional mod- l^s -space i.e. the space obtained from S^n by attaching an $(n+1)$ -cell via a map of degree l^s (see [3], [12]).

If X is any H -space, write $\pi_{n+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ for $[M_{l^s}^{n+1}, X]$, the set of homotopy classes of maps from $M_{l^s}^{n+1}$ to X . If \mathcal{C} is an exact category and $X = BQC$, write $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ for $\pi_{n+1}(BQC, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $K_0(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ for $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/l^s$. Call $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ mod- l^s K -theory of \mathcal{C} .

2.1.2. Note from [10, 8.1.12] or [8] that the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{l^s} K_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\rho} K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \xrightarrow{\beta} K_n(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

induces a short exact sequence for all $n \geq 2$

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C})[l^s] \longrightarrow 0.$$

2.1.3. *Examples.*

- (i) if A is a ring with identity, and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(A)$ the category of finitely generated projective A -modules, write $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{P}(A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$. Note that $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ is also $\pi_n(BGL(A)^+, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$.
- (ii) If Y is a scheme and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(Y)$, the category of locally free sheaves of O_Y -modules, write $K_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{P}(Y), \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$. Note that for $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$, A commutative, we recover $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$.
- (iii) Let A be a Noetherian ring and $\mathcal{M}(A)$ the category of finitely generated A -modules. We write

$$G_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{M}(A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

- (iv) If Y is a Noetherian scheme, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(Y)$ the category of coherent sheaves of O_Y -modules, write

$$G_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad G_n(\mathcal{M}(Y), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

- (v) Let G be an algebraic group over a field F , X a G -scheme and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(G, X)$ as defined in 1.1.3. Write

$$G_n((G, X), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{M}(G, X), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

- (vi) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(G, X)$ as defined in 1.1.3, write

$$K_n((G, X), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{P}(G, X), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

- (vii) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{VB}_G(\gamma X, B)$ as in 1.2.7 we write

$$K_n((\gamma X, B), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{VB}_G(\gamma X, B); \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

- (viii) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(G, X, A)$ as defined in 1.1.4, write

$$G_n((G, X, A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{M}(G, X, A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

(ix) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}((G, X, A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ as in 1.1.4, we write

$$K_n((G, X, A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n(\mathcal{P}(G, X, A), \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

2.2. Profinite K -theory.

2.2.1. Let \mathcal{C} be an exact category, l a rational prime, s a positive integer. Put $M_l^{n+1} = \varinjlim M_l^{n+1}$. We define the profinite K -theory of \mathcal{C} by $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) := [M_l^{n+1}; BQC]$. We also write $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ for $\varprojlim_s (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$. Note that for all $n \geq 1$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_s^1 K_{2n+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow 0.$$

For more information see [10] or [8].

2.2.2. Examples.

- (i) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(A)$ as in 2.1.3(i), we write $K_n^{\text{pr}}(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{P}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ and $K_n(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{P}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(Y)$ as in 2.1.3(ii) we write $K_n^{\text{pr}}(Y; \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ for $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{P}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ and $K_n(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{P}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$.
- (iii) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(A)$ as in 2.1.3(iii) we write $G_n(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ for $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{M}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ and $G_n(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ for $K_n(\mathcal{M}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$.
- (iv) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(Y)$ as in 2.1.3(iv) write

$$G_n^{\text{pr}}(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{M}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

- (v) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(G, X)$ as in 2.1.3(v) write

$$G_n^{\text{pr}}((G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{M}(G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

- (vi) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(G, X)$ as in 2.1.3(vi) write

$$K_n^{\text{pr}}((G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{P}(G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

- (vii) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{VB}_G(\gamma X, B)$ as in 2.1.3(vii), write

$$K_n^{\text{pr}}((\gamma X, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{VB}_G(\gamma X, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

- (viii) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(G, X, A)$ as in 2.1.3(viii) write

$$G_n^{\text{pr}}((G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{M}(G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

- (ix) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(G, X, A)$ as in 2.1.3(ix) write

$$K_n^{\text{pr}}((G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad \text{for} \quad K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{P}(G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

3. SOME FINITENESS RESULTS IN HIGHER K -THEORY OF TWISTED SMOOTH PROJECTIVE VARIETIES

In this section, we prove some finiteness results in the K -theory of twisted flag varieties as well as K -theory of twisted forms of some other smooth projective varieties over number fields and p -adic fields.

3.1. Finiteness results for twisted flag varieties.

3.1.1. Let \tilde{G} be a semi-simple, simply connected and connected F -split algebraic group over a field F , \tilde{P} a parabolic subgroup of G , γ the 1-cocycle $\gamma : \text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F) \rightarrow \tilde{G}(F_{\text{sep}})$, ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$ the twisted form of \mathcal{F} . Let B be a finite dimensional separable F -algebra. We write $K_n({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$ for K_n of the category $\mathcal{VB}_G({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$ of vector bundles on ${}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}$ equipped with left B -module structure. We prove the following result.

3.1.2. **Theorem.** *Let F be a number field. Then for all $n \geq 1$,*

- (a) $K_{2n+1}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$ is a finitely generated Abelian group.
- (b) $K_{2n}({}_{\gamma}\mathcal{F}, B)$ is a torsion group and has no non-trivial divisible elements.

In order to prove 3.1.2, we first prove the following

3.1.3. **Theorem.** *Let Σ be a semi-simple algebra over a number field F . Then for all $n \geq 1$*

- (a) $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma)$ is finitely generated Abelian group.
- (b) $K_{2n}(\Sigma)$ is torsion and has no non-zero divisible elements.

Proof. (a) Let R be the ring of integers of F . It is well-known that any semi-simple F -algebra contains at least one maximal R -order (see [10], [16] or [4]). So let Γ be a maximal order in Σ . From the localization sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\underline{p}} G_{2n+1}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) \rightarrow G_{2n+1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow G_{2n+1}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\underline{p}} G_{2n}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (\text{I})$$

(whose \underline{p} ranges over all prime ideals of R) we have

$$G_{2n}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) \simeq K_{2n}((\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma)/\text{rad}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma))$$

where $(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma)/\text{rad}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma)$ is a finite semi-simple ring which is a direct product of matrix algebras over finite fields. So, $G_{2n}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) = 0$. Note that since Γ and Σ are regular, $K_n(\Gamma) \simeq G_n(\Gamma)$ and $K_n(\Sigma) \simeq G_n(\Sigma)$ for all $n \geq 0$. But $K_{2n+1}(\Gamma)$ is finitely generated (see [10, theorem 7.1.13] or [7]). Hence $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma)$ is finitely generated as a homomorphic image of $G_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$. \square

(b) Recall from the proof of (a) that $G_{2n}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) = 0$. Hence Quillen's localization sequence yields

$$0 \rightarrow G_{2n}(\Gamma) \rightarrow G_{2n}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\underline{p}} G_{2n-1}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma) \rightarrow SK_{2n-1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{II})$$

Also recall that since Γ, Σ are regular, $K_n(\Gamma) \simeq G_n(\Gamma)$ and $K_n(\Sigma) \simeq G_n(\Sigma)$ for all $n \geq 0$. But $G_{2n}(\Gamma) \simeq K_{2n}(\Gamma)$ is a finite group for all $n \geq 1$ (see [10] theorem 7.1.12 or [6]). Also, $\bigoplus G_{2n+1}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma)$ is a torsion group as a direct sum of finite groups, see [10, 7.1.12]. Hence it follows from the diagram (II) above that $G_{2n}(\Sigma) \simeq K_{2n}(\Sigma)$ is a torsion group.

Also from one sequence (II), $\bigoplus G_{2n-1}(\Gamma/\underline{p}\Gamma)$, as a direct sum of finite groups has no non-trivial divisible elements. So any divisible element in $K_{2n}(\Sigma)$ must come from $G_{2n}(\Gamma) \simeq K_{2n}(\Gamma)$. But $K_{2n}(\Gamma)$ is a finite group and also has no non-trivial divisible elements. Hence $G_{2n}(\Sigma)$ has no non-trivial divisible elements.

Proof of 3.1.2. (a) It was proved in [13] that for all $n \geq 0$ $K_n(A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B) \simeq K_n(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)$. So, it suffices to prove that $K_{2n+1}(A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B)$ is finitely generated. Now, as discussed in 1.2.4–1.2.6, $A_{\chi,\gamma}$ is a central simple F -algebra. Also B being separable is also semi-simple. So, $A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B$ is a semi-simple F -algebra (see [14, p. 136]). Hence by theorem 3.1.3(a) $K_{2n+1}(A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B)$ is finitely generated. Hence $K_{2n-1}(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)$ is finitely generated.

(b) follows from theorem 3.1.3(b) by substituting $A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B$ for Σ . \square

3.1.4. Remarks.

(a) One can also see that $K_{2n+1}(\gamma\mathcal{F})$ is finitely generated as a special case of 3.1.2(a). However one can also prove it directly as follows: Since $\bigoplus_1^{n(\mathcal{F})} K_{2n+1}(F) = K_{2n+1}(\gamma\mathcal{F})$ (see [13]), we only have to see that $K_{2n+1}(F)$ is finitely generated (since we have a finite direct sum of $K_{2n+1}(F)$). Now by Quillen's result, $K_{2n+1}(R)$ is finitely generated and by Soule's result $K_{2n+1}(R) \simeq K_{2n+1}(F)$ is finitely generated.

(b) To see that $K_{2n}(\gamma\mathcal{F})$ is torsion it suffices to show that $K_{2n}(F)$ is torsion since $\bigoplus_1^{n(\mathcal{F})} K_{2n}(F) \simeq K_{2n}(\gamma\mathcal{F})$. The arguments are similar to the proof of 3.1.3(b) applied to the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{2n}(R) \longrightarrow K_{2n}(F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_p K_{2n-1}(R/\underline{p}) \longrightarrow 0$$

of Soule, realizing that $K_{2n}(R)$ is finite and each $K_{2n-1}(R/\underline{p})$ is also finite.

We now turn attention to the local structure.

3.1.5. Theorem. *Let F be a p -adic field, l a rational prime such that $l \neq p$. Then for all $n \geq 1$ and any separable F -algebra B , $K_n(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)_l$ is a finite group.*

Proof. As noted before, $A_{\chi,\gamma} \otimes_F B$ is a semi-simple F -algebra and so, it suffices to prove that for any semi-simple F -algebra Σ , $K_n(\Sigma)_l$ is a finite group for any $n \geq 1$. To do this, it suffices to show that for any central division algebra D over some p -adic field F , $K_n(D)_l$ is a finite group.

Now, D has at least one maximal order Γ , say (see [4]). Let \underline{m} be the unique maximal ideal of Γ . Then, from the localization sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_n(\Gamma/\underline{m}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_n(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(\Gamma/\underline{m}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (\text{III})$$

we know that $K_n(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \simeq K_n(\Gamma/\underline{m}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ for all $n \geq 1$. (See [18, corollary 2 to theorem 2]).

Now, the groups $K_n(\Gamma/\underline{m}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$, $n \geq 1$ are finite groups with uniformly bounded orders (see [18]). Hence, so are the groups $K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ and $K_n(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ (from the exact sequence (III)). Also from 2.1.2, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{n+1}(D)/l^s \longrightarrow K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n(D)[l^s] \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\text{IV})$$

where $K_{n+1}(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ is finite group having uniformly bounded orders (as shown above). So the groups $K_n(D)[l^s]$ are equal for $s \geq$ some s_0 . But $K_n(D)_l = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n(D)[l^s]$. Hence $K_n(D)_l$ is finite. \square

3.1.6. *Remarks.* Let V be a Brauer-Severi variety over a field F , and A the finite dimensional central division F -algebra associated to V . D. Quillen shows in [15] that

$$K_n(V) = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\dim V} K_n(A^{\otimes s}),$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

- (a) Suppose that F is a number field, then $K_{2n+1}(V)$ is a finitely generated Abelian group. Again, this follows from theorem 3.1.3.
- (b) If F is a p -adic field then for all $n \geq 1$ $K_n(V)_l$ is a finite group if l is a prime $\neq p$.

3.2. Finiteness results for some objects of the motivic category $\mathcal{C}(G)$.

3.2.1. Let G be an algebraic group over a field F . By considering a smooth projective G -scheme as an object of a category $\mathcal{C}(G)$ defined below, we have similar finiteness results to those of 3.1 for $K_n(\gamma X, B)$ where γ is a 1-cocycle, γX is the γ -twisted form of X and B is a separable F -algebra.

3.2.2. The category $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is constructed as follows (the construction is due to I. Panin, see [13], or [11]):

The objects of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ are pairs (X, A) whose X is a smooth projective G -scheme and A is a finite dimensional separable F -algebra on which G acts by F -algebra automorphisms. Define

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(G)}((X, A), (Y, B)) := K_0(G, X \times Y, A^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_F B).$$

Composition of morphisms is defined as follows: If $u : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$, $v : (Y, B) \rightarrow (Z, C)$ are two morphisms, then the composite is defined by

$$v \circ u := p_{13}^*(p_{23}^*(v) \otimes_B p_{12}^*(u)),$$

where $p_{12} : X \otimes Y \otimes Z \rightarrow X \otimes Y$, $p_{13} : X \otimes Y \otimes Z \rightarrow X \otimes Z$, and $p_{23} : X \otimes Y \otimes Z \rightarrow Y \otimes Z$.

The identity endomorphism of (X, A) in $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is the class $[A \otimes_F O_\Delta]$ (where $\Delta \subset X \times X$ is the diagonal) in $K_0(G, X \times X, A^{(\gamma)} \otimes_F A) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}(G)}(X, A)$.

We now have the following results.

3.2.3. **Theorem.** *Let $\alpha : C \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ be an isomorphism in the category $\mathcal{C}(G)$, i.e., $\alpha : (\mathrm{Spec}(F), C) \xrightarrow{\sim} (X, F)$. For every 1-cocycle $\gamma : \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\mathrm{sep}}/F) \rightarrow G_{F_{\mathrm{sep}}}$ and any finite dimensional separable F -algebra B , let $K_n(\gamma Y, B)$ be as defined in 1.2.3.*

- (a) *If F is a number field, then for $n \geq 1$,*
 - (i) *$K_{2n+1}(\gamma X, B)$ is a finitely generated Abelian group and has no non-trivial divisible elements.*
 - (ii) *$K_{2n}(\gamma X, B)$ is a torsion group and has no non-trivial divisible elements.*
- (b) *If F is a p -adic field, l a rational prime such that $l \neq p$, then for all $n \geq 1$ and any separable F -algebra B , $K_n(\gamma X, B)_l$ is a finite group.*

Proof. From [13], we have that for all $n \geq 1$ $K_n(C_\gamma \otimes_F B) \simeq K_n(\gamma X, B)$ where F is any field and $C_\gamma \otimes_F B$ is a semi-simple F -algebra Σ , say.

If F is a number field, (a)(i),(ii) follows from 3.1.3(a),(b). If F is a p -adic field it suffices to prove that for all $n \geq 1$, $K_n(\Sigma)_l$ is a finite group. But this is done already in the proof of 3.1.5. \square

4. PROFINITE EQUIVARIANT K -THEORY FOR G -SCHEMES

4.1. A general result. We first prove the following general result for later use

4.1.1. Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ be exact categories and $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ an exact functor which induces an Abelian group homomorphism $f_* : K_n(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}')$, for each $n \geq 0$. Let l be a rational prime, s a positive integer*

(a) *Suppose that f_* is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective), then so are the induced maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_* : K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) &\longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{and} \\ f_*^{\text{pr}} : K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) &\longrightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}', \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \end{aligned}$$

(b) *If f_* is split surjective (resp. split injective) then so is*

$$\hat{f}_* : K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram (I) where the rows are exact and the vertical arrows are induced from f_* .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})[l^s] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{f}_* & & \downarrow \hat{f}_* & & \downarrow f'_* \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_n(\mathcal{C}')/l^s & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s) & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & K_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}')[l^s] \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (\text{I})$$

\square

Now, f_* injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) implies that \bar{f}_* , f'_* are injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective). So by applying the five lemma to diagram (I), we have that \bar{f}_* , f'_* injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) imply that \hat{f}_* is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective). Hence f_* injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) implies that \hat{f}_* is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective). This proves the first part of (a).

Now consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_s^1 K_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \hat{f}_* & & \downarrow f_*^{\text{pr}} & & \downarrow \hat{f}_*'' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_s K_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s) & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}', \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & K_n(\mathcal{C}', \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (\text{II})$$

where \hat{f}_*' and \hat{f}_*'' are induced by \hat{f}_* in diagram (I).

Note that,

$$K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) := \varprojlim_s K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \quad \text{and} \quad K_n(\mathcal{C}', \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) := \varprojlim_s K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s).$$

Now if \hat{f}_* is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) in diagram (I), then \hat{f}'_* and \hat{f}_* are both injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) in diagram (II).

Also by applying the five lemma to diagram (II) we find that if \hat{f}'_* and \hat{f}''_* are both injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective), then f_*^{Pr} is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective). Hence if f_* is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) then so is \hat{f}_* and this implies that f_*^{Pr} is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective) as required.

(b) We prove here only that f_* split surjective implies that \hat{f}_* is split surjective since proving that f_* split injective implies that \hat{f}_* is split injective is similar.

First observe that the horizontal sequences in diagram (I) are split exact (see [10] or [1]) since l is an odd prime. Hence there exist a map $\hat{\delta} : K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s$ such that $\hat{\delta}\delta = 1_{K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s}$, as well as a map $\hat{\delta}' : K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}')/l^s$ such that $\hat{\delta}'\delta' = 1_{K_n(\mathcal{C}')/l^s}$. Also, f_* split surjective implies that \hat{f}_* is split surjective. So, there exists \hat{f}'_* such that $\hat{f}_*\hat{f}'_* = 1_{K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s}$. Put $\hat{f}'_* = \delta\hat{f}'_*\hat{\delta}'$. Then for any $x \in K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_*\hat{f}'_*(x) &= \hat{f}_*\delta\hat{f}'_*\hat{\delta}'(x) \\ &= \delta'\hat{f}_*\hat{f}'_*\hat{\delta}'(x), \quad \text{by the commutativity of the left-hand square,} \\ &= x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\hat{f}_*\hat{f}'_* = \text{id}_{K_n(\mathcal{C}', \mathbb{Z}/l^s)}$ i.e. \hat{f}_* is split surjective.

4.1.2. *Remark.* This author is not able to use the procedure above to show that f_* split surjective (resp. split injective) implies that

$$f_*^{\text{Pr}} : K_n^{\text{Pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{Pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$$

is split surjective (resp. split injective). This is because it is not known (to the author) that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_s^1 K_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{Pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow 0$$

is split.

4.2. **Remarks and examples.** Theorem 4.1.1 applies notably in the following situations

- (a) Let B be a split solvable group, $T \subset B$ a split maximal torus, X a B -scheme. Then, by [11], $G_n(B, X) \longrightarrow G_n(T, X)$ is an isomorphism. So, by 4.1.1, $G_n^{\text{Pr}}((B, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow G_n^{\text{Pr}}((T, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is an isomorphism.
- (b) Let G be an algebraic group over a field F , H a closed subgroup of G such that $G/H \simeq \mathbb{A}_F^1$ and X a G -scheme. It is known (see [11]) that $G_n(G, X) \simeq G_n(H, X)$. Hence $G_n^{\text{Pr}}((G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq G_n^{\text{Pr}}((H, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$.
- (c) Let G be a split reductive group with $\pi_1(G)$ torsion free and X a smooth projective G -scheme. Then the restriction homomorphism $G_n(G, X) \longrightarrow G_n(X)$ is surjective (see [11]). Hence, by 4.1.1 follows that $G_n^{\text{Pr}}((G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow G_n^{\text{Pr}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is surjective.

- (d) Let G be a reductive group defined over a field F such that G is factorial (i.e. for any finite field extension E/F , $\text{Pic}(G_E)$ is trivial). Let X be a smooth projective G -scheme over F . Then the restriction homomorphism $G_n(G, X) \rightarrow G_n(X)$ is split surjective (see [11]). Hence by 4.1.1 $G_n((G, X), \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow G_n(X, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ is split surjective and so $G_n((G, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow G_n(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is split surjective. (Recall that $G_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = \varprojlim_s G_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$.)
- (e) Let G be an algebraic group over F and X a quasi-projective smooth G -scheme. Then $K_n(G, X, A) \simeq G_n(G, X, A)$ (see [11]). Hence by 4.1.1 $K_n^{\text{pr}}((G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq G_r^{\text{pr}}((G, X, A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$.
- (f) Let U be a split unipotent group over F , X a U -scheme. Then the restriction homomorphism $G_n(U, X) \rightarrow G_n(X)$ is an isomorphism (see [11]). Hence by 4.1.1, $K_n^{\text{pr}}((U, X), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$

4.3. Some computations. In this subsection, we obtain some l -completeness and other results for some twisted flag varieties as well as Brauer–Severi varieties over number fields and p -adic fields. Recall that if l is a rational prime, an Abelian group H is said to be l -complete if $H = \varprojlim_s H/l^s H$.

4.3.1. Theorem. *Let F be a number field, \tilde{G} a semi-simple, connected, simply connected split algebraic group over F , \tilde{P} a parabolic subgroup of \tilde{G} , $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{P}$, γ a 1-cocycle $\text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F) \rightarrow \tilde{G}(F_{\text{sep}})$, $\gamma\mathcal{F}$ the γ -twisted form of \mathcal{F} , B a finite dimensional separable F -algebra. Then for all $n \geq 1$,*

- (1) $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}((\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is an l -complete Abelian group.
- (2) $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}((\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$.

Proof. From [13] we have an isomorphism $K_n(A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B) \simeq K_n(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Hence by 4.1.1 we also have $K_n^{\text{pr}}((A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}((\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$. So, it suffices to show that $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}((A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is l -complete for all $n \geq 1$. As earlier explained in the proof of 3.1.2, $A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B$ is a semi-simple F -algebra and so, by theorem 3.1.3, $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma)$ is a finitely generated Abelian group. Now it is proved in [10, lemma 2.8] or [8], that for all $m \geq 2$ and any exact category \mathcal{C} ,

$$\varprojlim_s (K_m^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s) \simeq K_m(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$$

Hence for any $m \geq 2$

$$\varprojlim_s K_m^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq K_m(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \quad (\text{III})$$

Also, for any $m \geq 2$ and any exact category \mathcal{C} we have from [10, lemma 8.2.1] or [8] an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_s^1 K_{m+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_m^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow K_m(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence we have an exact sequence (for $m \geq 2$)

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_s^1 K_{n+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow K_m^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{IV})$$

Since $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma)$ is finitely generated for $n \geq 1$ then $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ is a finite group and so, $\varprojlim_s^1 K_{2n+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$. Hence from (IV),

$$K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_{2n}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \quad (\text{V})$$

Also from (III),

$$\varprojlim_s K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq K_{2n}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \quad (\text{VI})$$

From (V) and (VI) we now have

$$\varprojlim_s K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

So, $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is l -complete. Hence $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(r\mathcal{F}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is l -complete.

(b) From [10, theorem 8.2.2(ii)] or [8], we have that for all $m \geq 2$ and any exact category \mathcal{C} ,

$$\varprojlim_s^1 K_{m+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = \text{div } K_m^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$$

Hence for all $m \geq 2$,

$$\varprojlim_s^1 K_{m+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = \text{div } K_m^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

If $m = 2n$, then $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma)$ is finitely generated and so, $K_{2n+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ is a finite group. Hence, $\varprojlim_s^1 K_{2n+1}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$. Hence $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ and so, $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$. \square

4.3.2. *Remarks.* The following results can be proved by procedures similar to those above.

- (a) If F is a number field, $\gamma\mathcal{F}$ as in 4.2.1, then $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{F}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is an l -complete Abelian group and $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(\gamma\mathcal{F}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$. The proof in this case is easier.
- (b) If V is a Brauer-Severi variety over a number field F , then for all $n \geq 2$, $K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is l -complete and $\text{div } K_{2n}^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$.

4.3.3. Our next aim is to consider the situation when F is a p -adic field. Before doing this, we make some general observations. Note that for any exact category \mathcal{C} , the natural map $M_{l^\infty}^{n+1} \rightarrow S^{n+1}$ induces a map

$$[S^{n+1}, BQC] \xrightarrow{\varphi} [M_{l^\infty}^{n+1}, BQC]$$

i.e.,

$$K_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \quad (\text{VII})$$

and hence maps

$$K_n(\mathcal{C})/l^s \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \quad (\text{VIII})$$

and

$$K_n(\mathcal{C})[l^s] \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)[l^s]. \quad (\text{IX})$$

We shall denote the maps in (VIII) and (IX) also by φ by abuse of notation.

We now prove the following result.

4.3.4. Theorem. *Let p be a rational prime, F a p -adic field, \tilde{G} a semisimple connected and simply connected split algebraic group over F , \tilde{P} a parabolic subgroup of \tilde{G} , γ a 1-cocycle $\text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F) \rightarrow \tilde{G}(F_{\text{sep}})$, $\gamma\mathcal{F}$ the γ -twisted form of \mathcal{F} , B a finite dimensional separable F -algebra, l a rational prime such that $l \neq p$. Then for all $n \geq 2$*

- (a) $K_n^{\text{pr}}((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is an l -complete profinite Abelian group.
- (b) $K_n^{\text{pr}}((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$.
- (c) The map $\varphi : K_n(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ induces isomorphisms
 - (1) $K_n(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)[l^s] \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)[l^s]$,
 - (2) $K_n(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)/l^s \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s$.
- (d) Kernel and cokernel of $K_n((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ are uniquely l -divisible.
- (e) $\text{div } K_n^{\text{pr}}((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. (a), (b). Since $K_n(A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B) \simeq K_n((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B))$ and $A_{\chi, \gamma} \otimes_F B$ is a semi-simple F -algebra Σ , say, it suffices for the proof of (a) to show that $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\Sigma, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is l -complete profinite Abelian group. To do this it suffices to prove that $K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is an l -complete profinite Abelian group for a central division algebra over a p -adic field F . From the proof of 3.1.5, we saw already that $K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ is a finite group. Hence, in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_s^1 K_{n+1}(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow K_n(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have $\varprojlim^1 K_{n+1}(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$. Hence

$$K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \tag{X}$$

proving (b).

Now, for any exact category \mathcal{C} , we have $\varprojlim K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \simeq K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ for all $n \geq 2$ (see [10, lemma 8.2.2] or [7]). So, we have

$$\varprojlim_s K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \mathbb{Z})/l^s \simeq K_n(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \tag{XI}$$

From (X) and (XI) we now have $\varprojlim K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \mathbb{Z})/l^s \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ — proving (a). It is profinite because $K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = \varprojlim K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$, where $K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ is a finite group.

(c),(d). Recall that $K_n(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)$ is by definition the K_n of the (exact) category of vector bundles on $\gamma\mathcal{F}$ equipped with left B -module structure. Recall also from theorem 3.1.5 that for all $n \geq 1$, $K_n(\gamma\mathcal{F}, B)_l$ is a finite group and hence has no non-zero divisible subgroups. Hence, (c) follows from [10, theorem 8.2.1] or [8] and (d) follows from [10, corollary 8.2.1] or [8].

(e). We saw in the proof of 3.1.5 that $K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$ is a finite group for all $n \geq 2$. Hence $\varprojlim^1 K_n(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$. But by [10, theorem 8.2.2(ii)] or [8]

$$\varprojlim^1 K_{m+1}(D, \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \simeq \text{div } K_m^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

Hence $\text{div } K_n^{\text{pr}}(D, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ as required for all $n \geq 1$, so $\text{div } K_n^{\text{pr}}((\gamma\mathcal{F}, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$. \square

4.3.5. *Remarks.* (a) Let V be a Brauer-Severi variety over a p -adic field F . By a similar proof to that of 4.2.4, we have

- (i) $K_n^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq K_n(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ is an l -complete profinite Abelian group.
- (ii) $K_n(V)/l^s \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s$ and $K_n(V)[l^s] \simeq K_n^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)[l^s]$.
- (iii) Kernel and cokernel of $K_n(V) \rightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ are uniquely l -divisible.
- (iv) $\text{div } K_n^{\text{pr}}(V, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$.

(b) Finally, if ${}_{\gamma}X$ is as in 3.2.3, we have similar results to those of 4.2.4 for $K_n^{\text{pr}}(({}_{\gamma}X, B), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$, etc.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Araki and H. Toda. *Multiplicative structures on mod- q cohomology*. Osaka Math. J. (1963), 71–115.
- [2] A. Borel. *Linear Algebraic Groups*. Second enlarged edition. Graduate Texts in Math. Vol. 126. Springer, Berlin (1991).
- [3] W. Browder. *Algebraic K-theory with coefficients \mathbb{Z}/p* . Lecture Notes in Math. **657**. Springer, 40–84.
- [4] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Methods of Representation Theory*. J. Wiley (1981).
- [5] J. E. Humphreys. *Linear algebraic groups*. Springer N.Y. (1975).
- [6] A. O. Kuku. *K-theory of group rings of finite groups over maximal orders in division algebras*. J. Algebra **91** (1984), 18–31.
- [7] A. O. Kuku. *K_n, SK_n of integral group rings and orders*. Contemporary Math. AMS **55** (1986), 333–338.
- [8] A. O. Kuku. *Profinite and continuous higher K-theory of exact categories, orders and group rings*. K-theory **22** (2001), 367–392.
- [9] A. O. Kuku. *Finiteness of higher K-groups of orders and group rings*. K-Theory **36** (2005), 51–58.
- [10] A. O. Kuku. *Representation theory and higher algebraic K-theory*. Chapman and Hall (2007).
- [11] A. Merkurjev. *Comparison of equivariant and ordinary K-theory of algebraic varieties*. (Preprint).
- [12] J. Neisendorfer. *Primary homotopy theory*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **232** (1980).
- [13] I. Panin. *On the algebraic K-theory of twisted flag varieties*. K-theory **8** (1994), 541–585.
- [14] V. P. Platonov and V. I. Yanchevskii. *Finite dimensional division algebras*. Enciclopedia of Math. Sc. Algebra IX. Springer (1996), 125–224.
- [15] D. Quillen. *Higher Algebraic K-theory I*. Lecture Notes in Math. **341**. Springer-Verlag (1973), 85–149.
- [16] K. W. Roggenkamp and V. Huber-Dyson. *Lattices over orders I*. Lecture Notes in Math. **115**. Springer-Verlag (1970).
- [17] C. Soule. *Groupes de Chow et K-théorie de variétés sur un corps fini*. Math. Ann. **268** (1984), 317–345.
- [18] A. A. Suslin and A. V. Yufryakov. *K-theory of local division algebras*. Soviet Math. Doklady **33** (1986), 794–798.
- [19] R. Thomason. *Algebraic K-theory of group scheme actions*. In: Algebraic Topology and Algebraic K-theory. Proceedings. Princeton, NJ (1987), 539–563.
- [20] J. Tits. *Classification of semisimple algebraic groups*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **9** (1966), 33–62.

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: kuku@mpim-bonn.mpg.de