

# **Holomorphic Automorphisms of Quadrics II**

**Vladimir V. Ezhov \* and  
Gerd Schmalz \*\***

\*

Oklahoma State University  
Department of Mathematics  
College of Arts and Sciences  
Stillwater  
Oklahoma 74078-0613

U.S.A.

\*\*

Mathematisches Institut der  
Universität Bonn  
Wegelerstrasse 10  
D-5300 Bonn 1

Germany

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik  
Gottfried-Claren-Straße 26  
D-5300 Bonn 3

Germany



# HOLOMORPHIC AUTOMORPHISMS OF QUADRICS II

VLADIMIR V. EŽOV AND GERD SCHMALZ

ABSTRACT. This paper is the continuation of [2]. We consider the automorphisms of 4 different types of quadrics in  $\mathbb{C}^6$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(z, w = u + iv)$  be coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^3$  with  $w = u + iv$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . We consider quadrics  $Q$  of the form:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} v^1 &= \langle z, z \rangle^1 = \sum H_{ij}^1 z^i \bar{z}^j \\ v^2 &= \langle z, z \rangle^2 = \sum H_{ij}^2 z^i \bar{z}^j \\ v^3 &= \langle z, z \rangle^3 = \sum H_{ij}^3 z^i \bar{z}^j, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_{ij}^k = \overline{H_{ji}^k}$ .

The quadrics  $Q$  are presumed to be nondegenerate, i.e.

- i.)  $\langle z, b \rangle^j = 0$  for all  $z$  implies  $b = 0$
- ii.)  $\langle z, z \rangle^j$  are linearly independent  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

We are interested in finding the isotropy groups, i.e. the groups of holomorphic automorphisms preserving the origin.

It follows from the results of Henkin, Tumanov and Forstnerič [3, 4, 5] that any local CR diffeomorphism of  $Q$  extends to a birational map of  $\mathbb{C}^6$ .

Beloshapka proved, that quadrics of the form (1) in general position are rigid, i.e. their isotropy group consists of the trivial automorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} z &\mapsto cz \\ w &\mapsto |c|^2 w \end{aligned}$$

for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$

---

Research of the first author was supported by Max-Planck-Institut Bonn.

Research of the second author was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

But he found a quadric of form (1) with a 19 dimensional isotropy group. That is the maximally possible dimension. This quadric has the following defining equation

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} v^1 &= |z^1|^2 \\ v^2 &= z^1 \bar{z}^2 + z^2 \bar{z}^1 \\ v^3 &= z^1 \bar{z}^3 + z^3 \bar{z}^1, \end{aligned}$$

It is called nullquadric, because the characteristic polynomial

$$\det(t_1 H^1 + t_2 H^2 + t_3 H^3)$$

vanishes identically.

The goal of the present paper is to calculate the explicit isotropy group of the nullquadric.

In the case of quadrics of codimension 2 in  $\mathbb{C}^4$  the authors obtained the isotropy groups by means of some matrix substitution in the well known formulas of sphere automorphisms (see [2]).

We present a matrix substitution leading to the isotropy group of the nullquadric and three other types quadrics (1).

Remark. As mentioned in the previous paper [2] quadrics are related to Siegel domains of second kind. However the quadrics being considered here are strongly 1-concave, and therefore do not realize Siegel domains. In the case  $n = k = 2$  being considered in [2] only the hyperbolic quadric realizes a Siegel domain: the direct product of two balls.

## 2. THE MATRIX SUBSTITUTION

For  $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we consider the algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_{\epsilon\delta}$  consisting of matrices

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} z^1 & \epsilon\delta z^3 & \epsilon\delta z^2 \\ z^2 & z^1 & \epsilon z^3 \\ z^3 & \delta z^2 & z^1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with conjugation

$$\bar{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}^1 & \epsilon\delta \bar{z}^3 & \epsilon\delta \bar{z}^2 \\ \bar{z}^2 & \bar{z}^1 & \epsilon \bar{z}^3 \\ \bar{z}^3 & \delta \bar{z}^2 & \bar{z}^1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These algebras are commutative. Let  $\sigma$  be the lifting

$$\sigma : \mathbb{C}^3 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{\delta\epsilon}$$

given by the formula above.

The equation of the nullquadric takes the form

$$\operatorname{Im} W = Z\bar{Z}$$

where  $W = \sigma(w)$ ,  $Z = \sigma(z)$  for  $\epsilon = \delta = 0$ .

For  $\epsilon\delta > 0$ ,  $\epsilon\delta < 0$ , and  $\epsilon = 0$ ,  $\delta \neq 0$  other pairwise nonequivalent types of quadrics appear.

All these quadrics have a 9 dimensional subgroup of automorphisms  $\Phi$  with the property

$$(3) \quad d\Phi|_{T_0^c Q} = \operatorname{id}.$$

We obtain these automorphisms by inserting matrices of  $\mathfrak{A}_{\epsilon\delta}$  into the Poincaré formula (cp. [2]) for the sphere  $\operatorname{Im} w = |z|^2$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\mapsto (Z + AW)(\operatorname{id} - 2i\bar{A}Z - (R + iA\bar{A})W)^{-1} \\ W &\mapsto W(\operatorname{id} - 2i\bar{A}Z - (R + iA\bar{A})W)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A, R \in \mathfrak{A}_{\epsilon\delta}$  and  $R = \bar{R}$ .

Adding to these groups the linear automorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} z &\mapsto Cz \\ w &\mapsto \rho z, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\langle Cz, Cz \rangle = \rho \langle z, z \rangle$ , we get the whole automorphism groups of dimension 19 in the case of the nullquadric, and of dimension 15, 15, 17, respectively, in the other cases. This follows from a uniqueness theorem of Beloshapka [1].

We are now able to define the chains, analogous to the Chern Moser chains in the case of a hyperquadric. They are 3 dimensional real analytic surfaces which can be obtained as images of the standard chain  $z = 0, v = 0$  via some automorphism. They have the form

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= (\operatorname{id} - iA\bar{A}U)^{-1}AU \\ W &= (\operatorname{id} - iA\bar{A}U)^{-1}U, \end{aligned}$$

where  $U$  is the parameter and  $A$  is fixed with  $A, U \in \mathfrak{A}_{\epsilon\delta}$  and  $U = \bar{U}$ .

The linear automorphisms can be obtained similarly as in the case  $n = k = 2$ . Solving a system of linear equations one get the corresponding Lie algebras. The images of the Lie algebras under the exponential map are the desired groups.

In the case of the nullquadric  $Q_{00}$  we have

$$C = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b & \alpha & \beta \\ c & \gamma & \xi \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In the case of the quadric  $Q_{10}$  we have

$$C = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b & \alpha & 0 \\ c & \beta & \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In the case of  $Q_{1-1}$  the linear groups are:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} a & \epsilon c & \epsilon b \\ b & a & \epsilon c \\ c & b & a \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Remark 1. Three types of quadrics with  $n = k = 3$  in  $\mathbb{C}^6$  with nontrivial isotropy group can be obtained as direct products of a sphere  $S^3 \in \mathbb{C}^2$  with the three types of quadrics with  $n = k = 2$ .

The direct products of the sphere with the hyperbolic, elliptic and parabolic quadrics have isotropy groups of dimension 15,15,16 respectively.

It is easy to verify that  $S^3 \times Q_{-1}$  and  $S^3 \times Q_1$  are not equivalent to  $Q_{1-1}$  and  $Q_{11}$ : In fact, we consider the set of isotropic vectors  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 | \langle z, z \rangle = 0\}$ . In the case of  $S^3 \times Q_{-1}$  it consists of the nullvector, in the case  $S^3 \times Q_1$  it consists of a single complex ray  $\{(0, a, -a) | a \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , and in the cases of  $Q_{11}$  and  $Q_{1-1}$  it contains a continuum of complex rays.

It is more difficult to show that  $Q_{11}$  and  $Q_{1-1}$  are not equivalent.

Suppose for a moment, they were equivalent and the linear transformation  $z \mapsto Cz, w \mapsto \rho w$  maps  $Q_{11}$  to  $Q_{1-1}$ .

Since chains of  $Q_{11}$  transform to chains of  $Q_{1-1}$  a linear isomorphism of the spaces of matrix lines in  $\mathfrak{A}_{11}^2$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_{1-1}^2$  occurs.

We consider the matrix line  $w = z$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_{11}^2$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that its image is  $w = z$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_{1-1}^2$ , because linear automorphisms of  $Q_{1-1}$  act transitively at the matrix line space.

This means that  $(w, w) \mapsto (w^*, w^*) = (Cw, \rho w)$  for any  $w$ . It follows  $C = \rho$ .

Furthermore, let  $z = aw$  be any matrix line. It will be sent to  $z^* = a^*w^*$ , where  $a^* = Ca\rho^{-1} = \rho a\rho^{-1}$ .

Hence, the algebras  $\mathfrak{A}_{11}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_{1-1}$  would be adjoint. We show that this is impossible. The contradiction proves that the two mentioned types are not equivalent.

Let  $a = \sigma(a_1, a_2, a_3)$  and  $a^* = \sigma(a_1^*, a_2^*, a_3^*)$ . Then the characteristic polynomials  $\det(a - \lambda \text{id})$  and  $\det(a^* - \lambda \text{id})$  are identical. It follows immediately that  $a_1 = a_1^*$ ,  $a_2 a_3 = a_2^* a_3^*$ , and  $a_2^3 + a_3^3 = (a_2^*)^3 + (a_3^*)^3$ . These equations lead to  $a_2 = a_2^*$ , and  $a_3 = a_3^*$ . Thus,  $\rho = C$  has to be the unit matrix.

Remark 2. In the case of the nullquadric the subgroup of automorphisms with (3) can be obtained in another way. Therefore, let  $Q_0$  be the parabolic quadric of codimension 2 in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ , i.e. the quadric given by

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} v^1 &= |z^1|^2 \\ v^2 &= z^1 \bar{z}^2 + z^2 \bar{z}^1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let  $\pi$  be the projection to the sphere  $v = |z|^2$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  defined by

$$(z^1, z^2, w^1, w^2) \mapsto (z^1, w^1) = (z, w).$$

Now the nullquadric is the fibred product of two copies of  $Q_0$  over the sphere. Since the  $(z^1, w^1)$  components of the automorphisms of  $Q_0$  depend only on the  $(z^1, w^1)$  variables, there is a canonical projection of the isotropy group of  $Q_0$  onto the isotropy group of the sphere (see [2]). Therefore a subgroup of the isotropy group of the nullquadric can be obtained as fibred product of two copies of the isotropy group of  $Q_0$  over the isotropy group of the sphere. This subgroup has only dimension 17. However, it contains all automorphisms with (3).

Remark 3. Analogously to the case of 2 quadrics in  $\mathbb{C}^4$  there exists a linear representation of the automorphism groups in  $\mathbb{C}^9$ , namely

Let  $\mathfrak{A}^3$  be the  $\mathfrak{A}$  module with  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_{\epsilon\delta}$  (for  $\epsilon, \delta = 1, 1, -1$ ) of triples  $(\Theta_0, \Theta_1, \Theta_2)$  with  $\Theta_i \in \mathfrak{A}$ . By  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  we denote the group of invertible elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and by  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}^3$  the factor space under the natural action of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ .  $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}^3$  is a compact manifold which can be considered as a compactification of  $\mathbb{C}^6 = \mathfrak{A}_{\epsilon\delta}^2$  by the embedding

$$(Z, W) \mapsto (\text{id}, Z, W),$$

where  $Z, W$  are  $\sigma(z), \sigma(w)$ .

Now, any automorphism of  $Q_{\epsilon\delta}$  can be represented as a linear transformation of  $\mathbb{C}^9$  in the following way:

Let  $Q_{\epsilon\delta}$  be given in the form  $\text{Im } W = Z\bar{Z}$ . Then the automorphisms can be written as a composition of

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\mapsto (Z + AW)(\text{id} - 2i\bar{A}z - (R + iA\bar{A})W)^{-1} \\ W &\mapsto W(\text{id} - 2i\bar{A}z - (R + iA\bar{A})W)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A, R \in \mathfrak{A}$ , with  $R = \bar{R}$ , and a linear  $(C, \rho)$  transformation. The first map induces the following linear transformation in  $\mathfrak{A}^3$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_0 &\mapsto \Theta_0 - 2i\bar{A}\Theta_1 - (R + iA\bar{A})\Theta_2 \\ \Theta_1 &\mapsto \Theta_1 + A\Theta_2 \\ \Theta_2 &\mapsto \Theta_2.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\theta_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$  be the projections of  $\mathfrak{A}$  to resp.  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , such that  $\sigma(\theta_i) = \Theta_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_0 &\mapsto \theta_0 - 2i\bar{A}\theta_1 - (R + iA\bar{A})\theta_2, \\ \theta_1 &\mapsto \theta_1 + A\theta_2, \\ \theta_2 &\mapsto \theta_2.\end{aligned}$$

Together with the linear transformation  $C, \rho$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_0 &\mapsto \theta_0 - 2i\bar{A}\theta_1 - (R + iA\bar{A})\theta_2, \\ \theta_1 &\mapsto C\theta_1 + CA\theta_2, \\ \theta_2 &\mapsto \rho\theta_2.\end{aligned}$$

#### REFERENCES

1. V.K. Beloshapka. A uniqueness theorem for automorphisms of a nondegenerate surface in a complex space. *Math. Notes*, 47:239–242, 1990.
2. V.V. Ežov and G. Schmalz. Biholomorphic automorphisms of Siegel domains in  $\mathbb{C}^4$ . preprint, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik Bonn, 1992.
3. F. Forstnerič. Mappings of quadric Cauchy-Riemann manifolds. *Math. Ann.*, 292:163–180, 1992.
4. G.M. Henkin and A.E. Tumanov. Local characterization of holomorphic automorphisms of Siegel domains. *Funkt. Analysis*, 17(4):49–61, 1983.
5. A.E. Tumanov. Finite dimensionality of the group of CR-automorphisms of a standard CR manifold and characteristic holomorphic mappings of Siegel domains. *USSR Izvestiya*, 32(3):655–662, 1989.

(V.V. Ežov) OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74078-0613  
*E-mail address:* ezhov@hardy.math.okstate.edu

(G. Schmalz) MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, DER UNIVERSITÄT BONN, WEGELERSTRASSE 10, D-5300 BONN-1  
*E-mail address:* schmalz@mpim-bonn.mpg.de